EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY A COGNITIVE VIEW

By the Same Author

- ECO DEVELOPMENT AND THE PERSONALITY DISORDERS A DEVELOPMENTAL Approach to Psychopathology, 1952
- THEORY AND PROBLEMS OF ADOLESCENT DEVELOPMENT, 1954
- THEORY AND PROBLEMS OF CHILD DEVELOPMENT, 1958
- DRLG ADDICTION PHYSIOLOGICAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL, AND SOCIOLOGICAL ASPECTS, 1958
- THE PSYCHOLOGY OF MEANINGFUL VERBAL LEARNING AN INTRODUCTION TO SCHOOL LEARNING, 1963
- READINGS IN THE PSYCHOLOGY OF COGNITION (with R. C. Anderson), 1965
- MAORI YOUTH A PSYCHOETHNOLOGICAL STUDY OF CULTURAL DEPRIVATION, 1965
- THE FERN AND THE TIKE AN AMERICAN VIEW OF NEW ZEALAND NATIONAL CHARACTER, SOCIAL ATTITUDES, AND RACE RELATIONS, 1965

EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

A COGNITIVE VIEW

DAVID P. AUSUBEL

Office of Research and Evaluation, Division of Teacher Education The City University of New York



HOLT, RINEHART AND WINSTON, INC. New York · Chicago · San Trancisco · Atlanta · Dallas Montreal · Toronto · London Copyright & 1968 by Holt Rinchart and Viniton Inc All Rights Reserved Labrary of Congress Catalog Card Number 68-2007

2696409

Printed in the United States of America

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

Pearl, Fred, and Laura

If I had to reduce all of educational psychology to just one principle, I would say this The most important single factor influencing learning is what the learner already know Ascertain this and teach hun accordingly

PREFACE

THE BASIC PREMISE UNDERLYING THIS BOOK is that educational psychology is primarily concerned with the nature, conditions, outcomes, and evaluation of classroom learning. Unlike most of its predecessors in the field, it does not conceive of educational psychology as an amalgam of learning theory, developmental psychology, mental hygiene, and educational and psychological measurement. More specifically this text differs from these other works in the following six respects

works in the following six respects First, it does not consider such topics as child development, adolescent psychology, the psychology of adjustment, mental hygiene, personality, and group dynamics as ends in themselves. It considers them only insofar as they bear on and are directly relevant to classroom learning. This criterion of relevance has, of course, also been adopted by other textbooks in the field, but more in theory than in actuality. I have endeavored to include in thus volume only psychological theory, evidence, problems, and issues that are of direct concern either to the serious student of education or to the future teacher in his role as facilitator of school learning

Second, it eliminates entirely many normally covered topics drawn from general and developmental psychology which bear little or no rela tion to classroom learning Examples include the nature and development of needs, general determinants of behavior, reactions to frustration, developmental tasks, mechanisms of adjustment, patent child relationships, noncognitive development during infancy and the preschool yerts, and physical development I is true, for example, that physical development during childhood affects motor coordination, writing, and popularity in the peer group, and that physical changes in adolescence affect the self concept, emotional stability, peer relations, and athletic skills. But an edu cational psychology textbook cannot cover everything. Prospective primary school tead ers will presumably have a course in child development and prospective secondary school teachers will presumably have a course in adolescent psychology. Similarly certuin raypets of motivation are ob vously relevant for classroom learning but a general discussion of needs their nature function development and classification such vs would be appropriate in a course in general psychology hirdly scems necessary

Third this text is principally concerned with the kinds of learning that take place in the classroom that is meaningful symbolic learning both reception and discovery Some kinds of learning such as rote learn ing and motor learning are considered to inconsequential a pirt of school learning at to warrant no systematic treatment in a textbook on educa tional psychology. Other kinds of learning for example the learning of values and attutudes are not considered indigenous to the primary or distinctive function of the school and are treated only insoftr as they affect or are part of the learning of subject matter. Their more general aspects are left to such courses as general and social psychology. And still other kinds of learning for example animal learning conditioning instrumential learning and ample discumnation learning are considered irrelevant for most learning tasks in school despite the faet that wildly extrapolated findings in these areas quite commonly pad the learning clapters of many educational psychology textbooks.

Fourth this work is not celectic in theoretical orientation but proeceds from a consistent point of view based on a cognitive theory of mean ingful verbal learning

Fifth greater stress is placed on cognitive development than in most other educational psychology texts and the material is integrated with related aspects of cognitive functioning

Finally a level of discourse is employed that is appropriate for prospective teachers and mature students of education. Oversimplified explana tions language and presentation of ideas are avoided Educational psy chology is a complex rather than a simple subject Hence to oversimplify it is to render the beginning student a serious disservice Clarity and in cisiveness of presentation do not require reversion to a kindergarten level of writing and illustration In fact it is the writer's firm conviction that much of the thinly disguised contempt many prospective teachers have for courses in pedagogy and educational psychology stems from watered-down repetitive content and an unnecessarily elementary level of vocabulary sentence structure illustration and example illustrations tables and fig ures therefore are used in this text only where it is felt they could convey meanings more effectively and succinctly than could language they are not used to provide relief diversion seatimental atmosphere or an aura of scientific precision For the same reason and also because they are so space-consuming and so frequently accepted as evidence rather than as interesting illustrative matter, case lustories and anecdotal material are not included in this volume

In short, the aim of this book is to furnish the prospective teacher with the basic psychological soplistication he will need for classroom teach ing It should be supplemented by courses in general, developmental, and social psychology and cannot attempt to serve as a substitute for any or all of these subjects

My decision to restrict the discussion of learning to mean agful verbal learning points up the unfortunate paucity of experimental evidence in this area Thus situation is a reflection of the prevaling tendency, over the past three or more decades for educational psychologists to extrapolate findings from animal, rote, and perceptual motor learning experiments rather than to conduct research on meaningful verbal learning But pre senting certain significant theoretical propositions without definitive em pirical support was considered preferable to learning large gaps in theory of filing them by means of unwarranted extrapolation. In certain instances however, where abundant confirmatory research was available, considera uons of space made judicious selection necessary Cited evidence, therefore, should be considered more illustrative than exhaustive

To be consistent with the pedagogic principles of progressive differ entiation and integrative reconclutation (see Chapter 4), the book is orga nized in such a way that early chapters present an overview of later chapters, and the introductory material in each chapter performs the same function in relation to the material that follows Furthermore, when similar material is encountered again in a different context, deliberate repetition explicitly delineating similarities and differences is considered pedagog ically superior to expecting the student to perform the necessary crossrender chapter summaries superfluous. Unlike a summary, an overview orients the reader in advance When used as an organizer, it presents (at a higher level of abstraction, generality, and indusiveness) an ideational scaffolding for the detailed material to follow. It is also a well known fact that students frequently abuse summaries by using them as the sole basis for review.

Several other familiar textbook features are missing in this book First, specific questions are not posed at the end of each chapter Thus degree of explicit guidance in review is considered more appropriate at the elementary and high school levels of instruction. The use of an accompanying workbook was rejected for the same reason Second, chapter reading lists are not offered since it is beleved that most students simply ignore suggested readings selected by the author. The student who is genuinely in terested in exploring original sources of particular interest to him can easily do so by identifying them in the text and then turning to the bib lography at the end of the book. Lastly a file of test items is not milde available to instructors using this text Tvilution of student learning is considered to he within the latters responsibility.

I am indebted to my wife Peril Ausubel and to Mrs Mary Stager for critical reading of the manuscript and for many helpful suggestions that have materially increased its clarity and readability. Mrs Margaret Brengle and Miss Irene Pystachyn were particularly helpful in preparing the manuscript for publication.

Finally I owe a special debt of gratitude to the publishers of my previous works and especially to Grune & Stration. Inc. for generously permitting me to incorporate previously published material into this volume

DPA

Toronio Oniano January 1968

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

THE AUTHOR WISHES TO ACKNOWLEDGE the courtesy of the following publishers in permitting the use of excerpts from some of his previously published articles and books:

Academic Press. Inc. Chandler Publishing Company Grune & Stratton, Inc. The American Biology Teacher The Bulletin of the National Association of Secondary School Principals The Catholic Educational Review Education Journal of General Psychology Journal of Research in Science Teaching Iournal of Teacher Education The Modern Language Journal Phi Delta Kappan The Psychiatric Quarterly Psychology in the Schools Review of Educational Research The School Remew Urban Education

CONTENTS

| PREFACE | V11 |
|---|------------|
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | x 1 |
| INTRODUCTION | |
| Chapter 1 THE ROLE AND SCOPE OF EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY | 3 |
| Why Educational Psychology for Prospective Teachers? The Role of Psychology in Education | 4 4 |
| The Decline of Classroom Learning Theory | 9 |
| Theories of Learning versus Theories of Teaching | 11 |
| Research Strategy in Educational Psychology | 14 |
| Types of Learning | 20 |
| Classification of Learning Variables and the Organization | |
| of the Book | 26 |
| Convergent Current Trends in Educational Thought | 28 |
| MEANING AND MEANINGFUL LEARNING | |
| Chapter 2 MEANING AND MEANINGFUL LEARNING | 37 |
| The Nature of Meaning | 37 |
| Logical and Psychological Meaning | 44 |
| Meaningful Learning versus the Learning of Meaningful | |
| Material | 45 |
| The Acquisition of Meanings | 47 |
| Cognition versus Perception in Meaningful Verbal Learning | 55 |
| | xui |

| The Sum Groupes of Meaningful Learning in Acquiring | |
|---|------|
| The significance of incaming of another in the second | 58 |
| Andwicege Theories of Meaning | 62 |
| According Manufactures Informal Learning of Syntax | 67 |
| Acquiring treatings Informat for Bead | 68 |
| Acquiring Meanings Leanning to Reaching Reading | 70 |
| Phonetic versus windistic alchious of reaching reasons | 72 |
| Acquiring Meanings Second Language Learning | 79 |
| Language and Cognitive Functioning | |
| Chapter 3 MEANINGFUL RECEPTION LEARNING AND RETENTION | 83 |
| The Nature of Meaningful Recention Learning | 83 |
| The Assessment Process in the Acoustical Retention | |
| and Organization of Luonledge | 89 / |
| The Threshold of Araidability Reminiscence | 101 |
| Sources of Forgetting | 106 |
| Meaningful versus Rote Learning | 107 |
| Alternative Theories of Retention and Forgetting | 115 |
| | |
| COGNITIVE FACTORS IN LEARNING | |
| Chapter 4 COGNITIVE STRUCTURE AND TRANSFER | 127 |
| The Role of Cognitive Structure in Meaningful Learning | |
| and Retention | 127 |
| Cognitive Structure and Transfer | 128 |
| Principal Cognitive Structure Variables | 130 |
| Learning and the Availability of Relevant Anchoring Ideas | 133 |
| The Role of Discriminability in Meaningful Learning and | |
| Retention | 142 |
| Stability and Clarity of Anchoring Ideas | 145 |
| Pedagogic Facilitation of Transfer | 147 |
| Other Pedagogic Means of Facilitating Transfer | 161 |
| Other Theories of Transfer | 16% |
| The Role of Transfer in Education | 164 |
| The Facilitating Effect of Verbalization in Transfer | 169 |
| Cognute Style | 170 |
| Chapter 5 COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT AND READINESS | 175 |
| The Nature of Readmess | 176 |
| The Effects of Environmental Deprivation on Cognitive | |
| Development | 183 |
| General Stages of Intellectual Development | 191 |
| The Concrete Abstract Dimension of Cognitive Development | 198 |
| of Computer Fundations of the Concrete Intuitive Level | |
| or cognitive runchoning | 208 |

| Educational Implications of the Transition from Concrete | |
|---|-----|
| to Abstract Cognitive Functioning | 219 |
| Developmental Considerations Regarding Breadth of | |
| Curriculum | 221 |
| Chapter 6 INTELLECTUAL ABILITY | 225 |
| The Nature of Intelligence | 225 |
| Distribution of IQ Scores | 230 |
| Developmental Changes in Intelligence | 231 |
| Sex Differences | 241 |
| Nature and Nurture | 243 |
| Intelligence as a Predictor of Academic Achievement | 255 |
| Chapter 7 PROVIDING FOR INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES IN INTELLECTUAL ABILITY | 259 |
| Advantages and Disadvantages of Grouping | 260 |
| Nonpromotion | 265 |
| The Academically Gifted Enrichment or Acceleration | 265 |
| The Mentally Retarded | 267 |
| The Culturally Deprived | 267 |
| Chapter 8 PRACTICE | 273 |
| Frequency | 275 |
| Distribution of Practice Effect on Learning and Retention | 291 |
| Method of Practice | 295 |
| General Conditions of Practice | 309 |
| Knowledge of Results (Feedback) | 315 |
| Chapter 9 INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS | 320 |
| Amount of Material Task Size | 320 |
| Difficulty of the Material | 325 |
| Step Size | 326 |
| Pacing | 327 |
| Internal Logic of Instructional Material | 328 |
| Organization of Material | 330 |
| Effective Communication | 338 |
| Instructional Aids | 342 |
| Curriculum Reform Movements | 349 |
| AFFECTIVE AND SOCIAL FACTORS IN LEARNING | |
| Chapter 10 MOTIVATIONAL FACTORS IN LEARNING | 363 |
| Is Motivation Necessary for Learning? | 364 |

| Deve | 367 |
|--|------|
| Cognitive Drive | 368 |
| The Menation of Monthelional Amponents of | |
| Asher ament Motu atton | 375 |
| Atmetement workation | 379 |
| Reward and Funishing and Reinforcement | 381 |
| The Effects of Intention on Meaningful Learning and | |
| The Effects of Internion on dearing in Dearing inter | 386 |
| Retention Nelves and Attender | 388 |
| The Effects of Attitudes on Manunaful Learning and | |
| Patention | 389 |
| Increasing Clauroom Notwation | 393 |
| Increasing Classioont Motivation | |
| Chapter 11 PERSONALITY FACTORS IN LEARNING | 391 |
| Notivational Orientation to Learning | 395 |
| Anxiety and School Learning | 401 |
| Dogmatism and Authoritarianism | 408 |
| Personality Adjustment and School Achievement | 409 |
| The Personality Development and Mental Health | |
| Responsibilities of the School | 411 |
| The Teacher's Responsibility in Handling Personality | |
| Valadjustment | 415 |
| Chapter 12 GROUP AND SOCIAL FACTORS IN LEARNING | 416 |
| Classroom Climate | 417 |
| Interaction among Pupils | 420 |
| Competition and Cooperation | 423 |
| Conformity and Individuality | 425 |
| Adult versus Peer Group Norms | 430 |
| Social Sex Role and the School | 434 |
| Social Class Stratification and Education | 435 |
| Racial Factors in Education. | 438 |
| Motivating the Culturally Deprived Pupil | 445 |
| Chapter 13 TEACHER CHARACTERISTICS | 449 |
| The Roles of Teachers | 450 |
| Cognitive Abilities | 450 |
| Personality Characteristics | 458 |
| Teaching Style | 455 |
| School Discipline | 457 |
| | -157 |

DISCOVERY LEARNING

| Chapter 14 LEARNING BY DISCOVERY | 467 |
|--|-----|
| Historical Antecedents | 467 |
| Psychological and Educational Rationale of the Discovery | 107 |
| Method | 471 |
| Psychological and Educational Limitations of Learning by | |
| Discovery | 478 |
| Research Evidence | 497 |
| Chapter 15 THE ACQUISITION AND USE OF CONCEPTS | 505 |
| The Nature of Concepts | 505 |
| Problems in the Acquisition and Use of Concepts | 509 |
| Different Ways of Acquiring and Using Concepts | 509 |
| The Nature of Generic Meaning | 518 |
| Other Theoretical Views of Concept Acquisition | 516 |
| Sequential Stages in Concept Acquisition | 517 |
| Conceptual Terms and Cognitive Content | 519 |
| Classification of Concepts | 520 |
| Strategies in Concept Formation | 520 |
| Language and Concept Acquisition | 521 |
| Developmental Aspects of Concept Acquisition | 523 |
| Increased Abstractness and Precision | 525 |
| Factors Influencing Concept Acquisition | 530 |
| Chapter 16 PROBLEM SOLVING AND CREATIVITY | 553 |
| The Nature of Problem Solving | 584 |
| The Role of Cognitive Structure in Problem Solving | 538 |
| Language and Thought | 539 |
| Alternative Views on Problem Solving | 540 |
| Stages and Strategies of Problem Solving | 541 |
| Developmental Changes in Problem Solving | 541 |
| Factors Influencing Problem Solving | 546 |
| The Trainability of Problem Solving Skills | 549 |
| Creativity | 551 |
| EVALUATION AND MEASUREMENT | |
| Chapter 17 PRINCIPLES OF MEASUREMENT AND | |
| EVALUATION | 565 |
| The Purposes of Measurement and Evaluation | 566 |
| Limitations and Abuses of Evaluation and Measurement | 570 |
| Requirements of an Effective Test | 573 |
| The Standardized Objective Test | 583 |

| Interpretation of Achievement Test Scores | 585 |
|---|-----|
| Other Methods of Evaluation and Measurement | 586 |
| REFERENCES | 591 |
| INDEX | 659 |

INTRODUCTION

Theories of Learning versus Theories of Teaching

Disillusionment regarding the relevance and usefulness of learning theory for educational practice has been responsible in part for the recent emergence of theories of teaching that are avowedly independent of the ories of learning The justification of such theories has been advanced on both lustorical and logical grounds

The Historical Argument

N L Gage cites the historical record to argue that theories of learning have had very little applicability to and influence on educational practice whether in education psychology textbooks in courses devoted to teaching methods or in the everyday operations of classroom teaching. He argues further that theories of learning are inherently irrelevant for problems of instruction and should therefore be replaced by theories of teaching. For example, he states that

while theories of learning deal with the ways an organism learns theories of teaching deal with the ways in which a person influences an organism to learn To satisfy the practical demands of education theories of learning must be stood on their head so as to yield theories of teaching (Gage 1964 pp 268 269)

This argument is based essentially on the historical failure of learning theory to provide a psychologically relevant basis for pedagogic practice But this undeniable shortcoming of learning theory to date is by no means a necessary or inherent limitation in the applicability of such theory to edu cation it is merely characteristic of the prevailing brand of school learning theory which in general does not deal with the kind of learning that occurs in the classroom but rather has for the most part been uncritically extrapolated from the main body of laboratory learning theory A truly realistic and scientifically viable theory of classroom learning in contrast would be primarily concerned with the complex and meaningful types of verbal and symbolic learning that takes place in school and similar learning environ ments and would also give a prominent place to those manipulable factors that affect it There is in other words a very close relationship between knowing how a pupil learns and the manipulable variables influencing learning on the one hand and knowing what to do to help him learn better on the other By teaching we mean primarily the deliberate guidance of learning processes along lines suggested by relevant classroom learning theory It would seem reasonable therefore to suppose that the discovery of the most effective methods of teaching would be inherently dependent upon and related to the status of learning theory

Of course only general principles of facilitating school learning could be considered the domain of educational psychology. The applied aspects of pedagogy derived from these principles would constitute a theory of instruction and would continue to be taught in methods courses—comparable to the clinical phase of a medical student's training. The methods courses would go into detail about the many complexities of the classroom teaching process both generally and for particular age groups and subject matters.

The Logical Argument

In contrast to Gage a historical argument which focuses on the failure of learning theory to prove relevant to educational practice B O Smith (1960) presents a strictly logical rationale for formulating theories of teach ing that are wholly independent of rather than complementary to theories of learning. He bases his case on the propositions that learning and teach ing are not inextricable from each other and that a theory of learning can not tell us how to teach

First Smiths insistence that learning and teaching are different and separately identifiable phenomena admuttedly does more than belabor the obvious it clears up some widely prevalent semantic confluston—since in his own words it is frequently implied that if the child has not learned the teacher has not targit or else has taught incompetently Teaching and learning are not coextensive for teaching is only one of the conditions which may influence learning. This pupils can learn without heing taught it hat is by teaching themselves and even it teaching is manifestly competent it does not necessarily lead to learning if the pupils concerned are inatten tive unmousted or cognitively unprepared.

Nevertheless once these unwarranted inferences about the coextensive ness of learning and teaching are discarded it is useful to focus on those appets of reaching and tearning that are related to each other. These recipical relationships include the purposes the effects and the evaluation of teaching Thus although it is true that teaching is logically distinct from learning and case be analysed independently of what puppls learn what would be the practical advantage of so doing? The facilitation of learning is when only proper end of teaching the do not teach as an end in itself but only that pupils may learn and even though the failure of puppls to learn does not necessarily indict the competence of the teacher learning is still the only feasible measure of teaching ment. Further as was just pointed out teaching its effective only to the extent that it manipulates effectively those psychological standards.

Second even though a valid theory of learning cannot tell us how to teach in a prescriptive sense it does offer us the most feasible point of de parture for discovering general principles of teaching that can be formu lated in terms of both interventing psychological processes and cause effect relationships. It is largely from a theory of learning that we can develop defensible notions of how crucial factors in the learning teaching situation can be most effectively manipulated. The only other possible approaches are to vary teaching factors at random or to rely on initiation. The latter approaches not only are more time consuming but can also yield only purely empirical laws that cannot be formulated in general terms with re spect to the psychological conditions and relevant cognitive processes in volved

Of course an adequate theory of learning is not a sufficient condition for the improvement of instruction Valid principles of teaching are neces sarily based on relevant principles of learning but as pointed out above are not simple and direct applications of these principles. Laws of classroom learning merely provide general direction for discovering effective teaching principles they do not indicate what these teaching principles are. The formulation of teaching principles requires much supplementary research that takes account of practical problems and new instructional variables not implicit in the learning principles themselves. In other words one can consider the basic principles of teaching as applied derivatives of school learning theory, they are products of an engineering type of research and are based on such modifications of learning theory as are necessitated by the practical difficulties or the additional new variables involved in the task of teaching

As B O Smith (1960) asserts simply by knowing the cause of a phe nomenon one does not thereby acquire control of it for practical ends Thus for example we can know the cause of a disease without knowing how to treat it and we can treat a disease successfully without knowing its cause. It is undeniable that many practical and useful inventions are made accidentially without any understanding of how or why they work. But who would advocate this as a *deliberatic* research strategy? Ordinarily scientists search for practical methods of control that can be related to general state ments of relationship among the relevant variables involved. The superority of this approach inheres in the fact that methods of control that are relatable to general principles not only are understandable and interpret able but also are more widely transferable to other practical problems. We could for example discover as an empirical fact that using teaching method X facilitates learning. But the practical value of such knowledge is quite limited Would a scritarin in what ways method X influences relevant psycho logical variables and intervening cognitive states in the course of facilitating relaring retention or problem solving? It is extremely wasteful of time and effort to search for more efficient methods of teaching that can be described only in terms of descriptive characteristics of the teaching act and cannot be related to laws of learning Even when scientists do sumble accidentally on useful empirical laws they immediately launch new hypothesis-oriented research to explain in more general terms the underlying basis of the accidental discovery

Finally although knowledge of causation does not imply immediate discovery of control procedures it does constitute a tremendous advantage in discovering such procedures. For one thing it narrows the field for another it enables one to try procedures that have proven successful in controlling related conditions. Knowing that tuberculous has caused by a microorganism for example did not provide us immediately with a cure or a preventative. But it enabled us to try such approaches as vaccines immune sera antisepsis quarantine and chemotherapy that had been used successfully in treating other infectious diseases. In the same sense, knowledge of the cause of cancer would help immeasurably in discovering a cure and knowledge of the nature and relevant variables involved in concept acquisis ing concepts.

As E R Hilgard points out however scientific practices in instruction need not necessarily wait upon agreement among learning theorists

If one were unable to proceed without a learning theory upon which all agreed the nutation would indeed be fightening. At least two things need be said For one thing the disagreement among theority samp be in respect to the interpretation of a set of fact upon which as facts all agree as this case the issue often is not one to insuffe the practical period at all "Thus treavaids may control learning in a given situation and be interpreted in comparity terms an reinforcement terms of in information terms. While eventually the correct interpretation might make some difference in often makes luite difference at the present stage of technology Second the technology of instruction rests on much more than learning theory (Hilgard 1964 pp. 402405)

In conclusion therefore theories of learning and theories of teaching are interdependent rather than mutually exclusive Both are needed for a complete science of pedagogy and neether one is an adequate substitute for the other. Theories of teaching must be based on theories of learning but must also have a more applied focus that is be concerned with more engineering kinds of problems.

Research Strategy in Educational Psychology

Few persons would take issue with the proposition that education is an applied or engineering science. It is an applied, science because it is

¹ The term applied is used here to distinguish between sciences which are oriented toward practical ends as opposed to "basic sciences which do not have

concerned with the realization of certain practical ends which have social value. The precise nature of these ends is highly controversal in terms of both substance and relative emphasis. To some individuals the function of education is to transmit the ideology of the culture and a core body of knowledge and intellectual skills. To others education is primarily con cerned with the optimal development of potentiality for growth and achieve ment—not only with respect to cognitive abilities but also with respect to personality goals and adjustment. Disagreement with respect to ends how ever neither removes education from the category of science nor makes it any less of an applied branch of knowledge. It might be mentioned in pass ing that automobile engineers are also not entirely agreed as to the char acteristics of the ideal car and physicians disagree violently in formulat ing a definition of health

Regardless of the ends it chooses to idopt an applied discipline be comes a science only when it seeks to ground proposed means to ends on empirically validatable propositions. The operations involved in such an undertaking are commonly subsumed inder the term research. The question under discussion here relates to the nature of research in applied science or more specifically in education Is educational research a field in its own right with theoretical problems and a methodology of its own or does it merely involve the operation of ipplying knowledge from pure scientific disciplines to practical problems of pedagogy?

Science in a science are observed and a set of the set

this orientation Applied does not imply that the content of the practical disciplines consists of applications from the basic disciplines. The problems rather than the knowledge of appl ed sciences are applied ducting the research necessary for implementing these laws in actual class room practice

The position we have adopted thus far in this book is that the principles governing the nature and conditions of school learning can be dis covered only through an applied or engineering type of research that actually takes into account both the kinds of learning that occur in the classroom as well as the salient charactenistics of the learners. We cannot merely extrapolate to classroom learning general basic science laws that are derived from the laboratory study of qualitatively different and vasily simpler in stances of learning Attempts to do so are extremely tortuous as, for example, G. Mandler s (1962) attempt to explain complex cognitive function ing in terms of the laws of association, or F. D. Sheffield's (1961) recent explanation of the hierarchial learning of sequentially organized materials in terms of the principle of contiguous conditioning

Laws of classroom learning at an applied' level are needed by the educational technologist before he can hope to conduct the research pre paratory to effecting scientific changes in teaching paratice; He can be added further by general principles of teaching which are intermediate, in level of generality and prescriptiveness between laws of classroom learning and the technological problems that confront him Contrary to K. W Spence s (1959) contention the greater complexity and number of determining variables involved in classroom learning does not preclude the possibility of ducovering precise laws with wide generality from one educational situation to another. It is simply means that such research demands experimental in genuity and vopinisticated use of modern techniques of research design

Basic Science versus Applied Approach

Three different kinds of research orientations have been adopted by those who are concerned with scientific progress in applied dissiplines such as medicine and education (a) basic science research (b) extrapolated re search in the basic sciences and (c) research at an applied level (Ausubel, 1953)

The basic science research approach is predicated on the very defensible proposition that applied sciences are ultimately related to knowledge in the underlying sciences. It can be demonstrated convincingly illust progress in medicine is intimately related to progress in general biochemistry and bacteriology, that progress in engineering is inimately related to prog-

² These laws are just as basic as basic science laws The terms basic and "applied refet to the distinction between basic (pure) and applied (practical) sciences made carlier Basic does not mean fundamental fin the latter sense applied reveatch is just as basic for its domain as research in the pure sciences

because it is not oriented toward solving educational problems, and its findings, if relevant, are applicable only if much additional research is per formed to translate general principles into the more specific form the plave to assume in the task specialized and more complex contexts of pedagogy

These limitations would not be so serious if they were perceived. In the latter event, it would be defensible for educational institutions to set and a *small* portion of their research funds for basic science research as a long term investment. But since the limitations of this approach are not generally appreciated some bureaus of educational research confidently invest their major resources in such programs, and then complacently expect that the research findings which emerge will be both relevant and applicable in their original form to the problems of education

Naivete with respect to the second premise, that is, of immediate appliciality, is especially rampant and has led to very serious distortions in our knowledge of those aspects of the psychology of learning that are rele vant for pedagogy. The psychology of learning that teachers study is based on findings in general psychology which have been borrowed wholesale without much attempt to test their applicability to the kinds of learning situations that exist in classrooms. It would be a shocking situation indeed if a comparable procedure were practiced in medicine, that is, if physicarans mal experimentation

The second general research approach in the applied disciplines is extrapolated basic science research. Unlike pure basic science research, it is onented toward the solution of practical or applied problems 11 starts out by identifying significant problems in the applied field and designs experiments pointed toward their solution on a highly simplified basic science level in this way it studies the important criterion of relevance, but must still contend with the problem of level of applicability. The rationale of this approach is that many practical problems are so complex that they must be reduced to simpler terms and patterned after simpler models before one can develop fundial hypotheses leading to their solumore manageable.

Depending on the nature of the problem under investigation, this approach may have genuine ment provided that the resulting research find ings are regarded only as leads or bypotheses to be tested in the applied situation rather than as definitive answers to problems in predicipy. As alreads noted however educational researchers have a tendency to extrapolate base science finduciational researchers have a tendency to extrapolate base science finduciational researchers have a tendency to extrapolate base science finduciation by reladoged problems without conducting the generative involved. level, is the most relevant and direct of the three, yet paradoxically is util ized least of all by professional research workers in the field. When research is performed in relation to the actual problems of education, at the level of complexity at which they exist, that is, under the conditions in which they are found in practice, the problems of relevance and extrapolation do not arise.³ Most rigorous research in applied disciplines other than education is conducted at this level. The research program of a hospital or medical school would be seriously unbalanced if most of its funds and efforts went into pure biochemical or bacteriological research instead of into applied and clinical research. The major responsibility for furthering research in the former areas belongs to gradurite departments of cliensisty and bacteriology. On the other hand, unless medical schools undertake to solve their own applied and clinical problems, who else will? And the same analogy obviously holds for education as well

Although applied research presents greater difficulties with respect to research design, control, and measurement, the rewards are correspondingly greater when these problems are solved Certainly such problems cannot be solved when they are deliberately avoided If other applied disciplines have been able to evolve satisfactory research methodologies there is no reason why education cannot also do so In fact, if any applied discipline with unique and distinctive problems of its own is to survive as a science, it has no choice in the matter—it is obliged to develop such methodologies

Many of the better known generalizations in educational psychologythe principle of readiness, the effects of overlearning the concrete to ab stract trend in conceptualizing the environment-illustrate the pitfalls of the basic science approach to educational research. They are interesting and pocentially useful ideas to curriculum specialisis and educational tech nologists, but have little utility in educational practice until they are *particularized* at an applied level of operations. The prevailing lack of practical particularization damages the image of educational psychology insofar as it induces many beginning teachers to nurture unrealistic expectations about the current usefulness of these principles. These teachers after under going acute disillusionment may lose whatever original confidence they may have fielt in the value of a psychological approach to educational problems. The need for applied research in these areas is well illustrated by the

The need for applied research in these areas is well illustrated by the principles of readiness. At present we can only speculate on what curriculum sequences might be if they took into account precise and detailed (but cur

Applied research is also directed toward the discovery of general laws within the framework of its applied ends The generalizations it discovers therefore exist a different plane of generality than those of baiks science research

rently unavailable) research findings on the emergence of readiness for dif ferent subject matter areas sub-areas and levels of difficulty within areas They would also have to take into account different methods of teaching the same material Because of the unpredictable specificity of readiness as shown for example by the fact that four and five year olds can profit from training in pitch but not in rhythm (Jersild and Bienstock 1931 1935) valid answers to questions such as those of readiness cannot be derived from logical extrapolation they require meticulous empirical research in a school setting The next step involves the development of teaching methods and materials appropriate for taking optimal advantage of existing degrees of readiness and for increasing readiness wherever necessary and desirable But since we generally do not have this research data available except perhaps in the field of reading we can pay only hip service to principles of readiness in curriculum planning

The basic science-extrapolation approach of course offers several very attractive methodological advantages in verbal learning experiments First by using nonsense syllables of equal meaningfulness it is possible to work by using nonzeros synamics of equal difficulty. Second by using relatively meaning less learning tasks such as equated nonsense syllables it is possible to elim mate for the most part the indeterminable influence of meaningful ante cedent experience which naturally varies from one individual to another But it is precisely this interaction of new learning tasks with existing knowl edge in the learner that is the distinctive feature of meaningful learning

Thus although the use of nonsense syllables adds undoubted meth odological rigor to the study of learning the very nature of the material limits the applicability of experimental findings to a type of short term discrete learning that is rare both in everyday situations and in the classroom Nevertheless even though there are no grounds for supposing that meaningful and nonmeaningful learning and retention occur in the same way the findings from rote learning experiments have been commonly ex trapolated to meaningful learnings situations One cannot have one's cake and eat it too If one chooses the particular kind of methodological rigor associated with the use of rote materials one must also be satisfied with applying the findings from such experiments only to rote learning tasks

Types of Learning

Much of the cuttent confusion regarding the nature of learning is a reflection of the fact that for a long time psychologists with few exceptions have tended to subsume many qualitatively different kinds of learning under a single explanatory model It has been assumed that the nature of the

change called learning must in some fundamental sense be the same, regardless of what is being learned " But

although the verification of general laws is surely a desirable objective, the assumption that the kind of change in capability being studied is always somehow "the same" may be unjustified How much similarity is there, actually, between the kind of change represented by a child learning to say his first word, and that represented by a more experienced child learning to read printed English sentences" Or between learning to distinguish trangles from rectangles and learning to demonstrate that the sum of the internal angles of a triangle is the same as a straight angle! How much similarity is there between the learning of new 'facts' by a beginning chemistry student from a textbook, and the learning of new 'facts' by his chemistry professor from a technical journal? All of these are surely examples of learning, that is, they involve a change in capability which can be inferred from a before-and alter comparison of performance. But are, they the same kind of change?

Despite the prevailing emphasis on fundamental similarities of process in various learning situations, investigators of learning have always recognized certain 'type' of learning There is ''irrial and-error learning.'' (streaming the learning '' and associate learning,'' concept learning,'' conditioned response learning'' and so on But these varieties of learning have tended to be identified with certain kinds of simulus situations generated by particular equipment or materials, like the bar pressing apparatus, or the memory drum with verbal syllables, or the maze with choice points' The tendency has not been for these types of learning to be distinguished in terms of the kind of change in capability they imply

The existence of differentiable performances as outcomes of learning naturally leads to the inference that different kinds of capabilities are established by learning,

[and] the identification of these different kinds of performance, together with the different kinds of capability they imply suggests that there may be at least as many different kinds of learning And if this is so, it may be supposed that there exist an equal number of conditions of effective learning to correspond with each variety A theory of instruction, then, cannot be maximally useful if it concerns itself with only those conditions that are general to all classes of learning Instead, such a theory must concern itself in an individual manner with each of the types of learning (Gagie 1967, pp 298-500)

Thus, from the standpoint of enhancing school learning, no theoretical concern is more relevant or urgent in the present state of our knowledge than the need for distinguishing clearly among the principal kinds of learn ing (rote and meaningful learning, concept formation, and verbal and non verbal problem solving) that can take place in the classroom (Ausubel, 1961a) The most significant way of differentiating among these types of classroom learning is to make two crucial process distinctions that cut across all of them—one distinction between reception and discovery learning and another between rote and meaningful learning. The first distinction is sig unficant because most of the understandings that learners acquire both in and out of school are presented rather than discovered. And since most learning material is presented verbally it is equally important to apprecate that verbal reception learning is not necessarily rote in character and can be meaningful without prior nonverbal or problem solving experience

Reception versus Discovery Learning

In reception learning (rote or meaningful) the entire content of what is to be learned is presented to the learner in final form. The learning task does not involve any independent discovery on lus part. He is required only to internalize or incorporate the matternal (a list of nonsense syllables or paired adjectives a poem or geometrical theorem) that is presented to hum so that it is available or reproducible at some future date. In the case of meaningful reception learning the potentially meaningful task or maternal is comprehended or made meaningful in the process of internalization. In the case of tote reception learning the learning task citler is not potentially meaningful or is not made meaningful in the process of internalization.

The essential feature of discovery learning whether concept formation or rote problem solving is that the principal content of what is to be learned is not given but must be discovered by the learner defore he can incorporate it meaningfully into his cognitive structure. The distinctive and prior learn ing task in other words is to discover something—which of two mare alleys leads to the goal the precise nature of the relationship between two variables the common attributes of a number of thieres instances and so forth The first phase of discovery learning involves a process quite different from that of reception learning. The learner must rearrange information integrate it with existing cognitive structure and reorganize or transform the integrated combination in such a way as to generate a duested end product or discover a musing mean-end relationship. After discovery learning itself is completed the discovered content is made meaningful in much the same way that presented content is made meaningful in reception learning

It is evident therefore that reception and discovery learning are two quite different kinds of processes and as will be shown later that most classroom instruction is organized along the lines of reception learning in the next section it will be pointed out that verbal reception learning is not necessarily role in character that much ideational material (concepts gen eralizations) can be internalized and retained meaningfully without prior problem solving experience and that at no stage of development, does the learner have to discover principles undependently in order to be able to understand and use them meaningfully.

It is important to note at this point that reception and discovery learn ing also differ with respect to their respective principal roles in intellectual development and functioning (Numbel 1961a). For the most part, large bodies of subject matter are acquired through reception learning, whereas the everyday problems of hving are solved through discovery learning Nevertheless, some overlap of function obviously exists knowledge acquired through reception learning is also used in everyday problem solving and discovery learning is commonly used in the classroom both to apply, extend, clarify, integrate, and evaluate subject matter knowledge and to test comprehension. In laboratory situations, discovery learning provides insight into scientific method and also leads to the contrived rediscovery of known propositions, and when employed by glited persons it may generate significant new knowledge. In the more typical classroom situation, however, the discovery of original propositions through problem solving activity is not a conspicuous feature in the acquisition of new concepts or information As far as the formal education of the individual is concerned the educa utonal agency largely transmits ready made concepts, classifications and propositions. In any case, discovery methods of teaching hardly constitute an efficient primary means of transmitting the content of an academic discipline

It may be argued with much justification, of course that the school is also concerned with developing the students a bility to use acquired knowl edge in solving particular problems, that is with lits ability to think sys tematically, independently, and critically in various fields of inquiry. But this function of the school although constituung a legitimate objective of education in its own right, is less central than its related transmission of knowledge function in terms of the abient of time that can be reasonably allotted to it, in terms of the objectives of education in a democratic so ciety and in terms of what can be reasonably expected from most students From the standpoint of psychological process meaningful discovery learning is obviously more complex than meaningful reception learning

From the standpoint of psychological process meaningful discovery learning is obviously more complex than meaningful reception learning it involves an anticcedent problem solving stage before meaning emerges and can be internalized (Ausubel 1961) Generally speaking however, reception learning aithough phenomenologically simpler than discovery learning, paradoxically emerges later developmentally, and, particularly in its more advanced and pure verbal forms implies a higher level of cognitive maininty Greater intellectual maturity in this case makes possible a simpler and more efficient mode of cognitive functioning in the acquisition of knowledge

Thus concepts and propositions are typically acquired during the post infancy, preschool, and early elementary school years as a result of inductive processing of verbal and nonverbal concrete empirical experience—typically through autonomous problem solving or discovery. The young child for example, acquires the concept of a chair by abstracting the common features of the concept from multiple incidental encounters with many different sizes, shapes ind colors of chairs and then generalizing these curtibutes Reception learning, on the other land, although also occurring early, does not become a prominent feature of intellectual functioning until the child becomes sufficiently mature cognitively to comprichend verbally presented concepts and propositions in the absence of concrete, empirical experience (until he can comprehend, for example, the meaning of 'democracy' or 'acceleration' from their dictionary definitions). In other words, inductive concept formation based on nonverbal, concrete, empirical problem solving expensence exemplifies early developmental phases of information processing, whereas simple concept assimilation through meaningful verbal recepuon learning exemplifies later stages

Meaningful versus Role Learning

Although the distinction between reception and discovery learning diseussed above has absolutely nothing to do with the rote meaningful dimen sion of the learning process, the two dimensions of learning were commonly confused This confusion is partly responsible for the widespread but unwarranted twin beliefs that reception learning is invariably rote and that discovery learning is inherently and necessarily meaningful. Both assumptions, of course, reflect the long standing assumption, in many educational circles, that the only knowledge one really possesses and understands is knowledge that one discovers by oneself Actually, each distinction (rote versus meaningful learning and reception versus discovery learning) constitutes an entirely independent dimension of learning Hence, a much more defensible proposition is that both reception and discovery learning can be either rote or meaningful depending on the conditions under which learning occurs (Ausubel, 1961a) In both instances meaningful learning takes place if the learning task can be related in nonarbitrary, substantive (non verbatim) fashion to what the learner already knows, and if the learner adopts a corresponding learning set to do so Rote learning, on the other hand, occurs if the learning task consists of purely arbitrary associations, as in paired associate, puzzle box, maze, or serial learning, if the learner lacks the relevant prior knowledge necessary for making the learning task poten trally meaningful, and also (regardless of how much potential meaning the task has), if the learner adopts a set merely to internalize it in an arbitrary, verbatim fashion (that is, as an arhitrary series of words)

Insolar as classroom and sumilar kinds of learning are concerned, it is evident that meaningful learning is a preponderant with respect to rose learning as reception learning is with respect to discovery learning Both widhin and outside like dassroom, meaningful verbal learning is the principal means of acquiring large bodies of knowledge. Role learning of isits of nonsense syllables or of arbitrarily paired adjectives may be characteristic of many research studies in the psychological laboratory, but is representative of few actual or defensible tasks in modern classrooms. It is dif ficult indeed to find supportive evidence for B J Underwood's assertion that much of our educational effort is devoted to making relatively mean ingless verbal units meaningful (Underwood 1959 p 11). To be sure some classroom learning does somewhat approach the rote level—the letter symbols in reading foreign language vocabulary the names of particular objects and concepts and the symbols used to represent the chemical ele ments. This is so because the words or symbols chosen to represent the objects sounds or abstractions in question are purely arbitrary. There is no good reason for example why the particular combination of sounds in chair' should have been chosen to represent the object it does Such learn ing however tends to form a very small part of the curriculum especially once children have mastered the basic letter and number symbols in the elementary school years.

Furthermore it is much less arbitrary to learn that a particular foreign language word is equivalent in meaning to a word or an idea that is already meaningful—that garron represents the meaning of already meaningful boy —than to learn a list of parted adjectives such as unctuous-previous arduous-reversible. In the first case one is relating in some comprehensible

boy —than to learn a list of paired adjectives such as uncluous-previous arduous-reversible. In the first case one is relating in some comprehensible fashion (on the basis of proposed equivalence) a new symbol to an already established and meaningful symbol in the learner's psychological structure of knowledge in the second case one is trying to establish a wholly arburary association between two already meaningful words that the learner very well knows are neither equivalent nor otherwise reasonably relatable to each other. The learning of representational equivalents in other words may more properly be considered a primitive form of meaningful learning than a true variety of rote learning.

It is true that much potentially meaningful knowledge taught by verbal exposition results in rotely learned verbalisms. This rote outcome however is not inherent in the expository method but rather in such abuses of this method as fail to satisfy the criteria of meaningful learning (Ausubel 1961a).

There is much greater reluctance on the other hand to acknowledge that the aforementioned conditions of meaningful learning also apply to problem solving methods. It should scene rather self evident both that per forming laboratory experiments in cookbook fashion without understanding the underfying substantive and methodological principles involved confers precious hitle appreciation of scientific method and that discovering correct answers to problems in mathematics and science without really understanding what one is doing adds hitle either to knowledge or problem solving ability Students accomplish this latter feat merely by rolely mem origing type problems and mechanical procedures for manipulating al gebraic symbols. Nevertheless it is still not generally appreciated that laboratory work and problem solving are not genunely meaningful experiences unless they are built on a loundation of clearly understood concepts and principles and unless the constituent operations are themselves mean ingful

As indicated previously we shall be concerned in this volume only with meaningful kinds of learning both reception and discovery Excluded from consideration in addition to rote learning are such noncognitive (nonintel lectual) kinds of learning as classical and instrumental conditioning and motorskills learning and such less complex kinds of cognitive learning as perceptual and simple discrimination learning. The latter types of learning have only indirect tangential and occasional relevance for what is learned in the classroom. We shall be concerned therefore with the complex vari eties of meaningful cognitive learning (that is with the less immediate kinds of knowing understanding and problem solving that are dependent on the higher mental processes) that comprise the bulk of intellectual activity in the school environment. The psychology of specific school subjects however is not considered except by way of example since this book deals only with general principles of learning applicable to all variables and grade levels of subject matter. The former topic is more indigenous to the clinical aspects of the pedagogie curriculum

Classification of Learning Variables and the Organization of the Book

Inasmuch as instruction involves the manipulation of those variables (factors) influencing learning a rational classification of learning variables can be of considerable value in clarifying both the nature of the learning process and the conditions that affect it. Such a classification also provides in a sense an organizational preview of this book, since any textbook of elucianomal psychology must of necessity be organized around the different kinds of factors influencing classroom learning.

One obvious way of classifying, learning variables is to divide them into intrapersonal (factors within the learner) and situational (factors in the learning situation) categories. The intrapersonal category includes (a) cognitive structure variables—substantice and organizational properties of relevant or the assimilation of another learning task in the same field that are relevant for the assimilation of another learning task in the same field. Since theial fashion what one already knows in a given field and how well one knows it obviously milleness ones readiness for related new learnings (b) learners stage of intellectual development and the intellectual capacities

and modes of intellectual functioning characteristic of that stage. The cog nitive equipment of the 15 year-old learner self-evidently makes him ready for different kinds of learning tasks than does that of the 6- or 10 year-old learner; (c) intellectual ability-the individual's relative degree of general scholasuc aptitude (general intelligence or brightness level), and his relative standing with respect to particular more differentiated or specialized cogni tive abilities How well a pupil learns subject matter in science, mathematics, or literature obviously depends on his general intelligence, his verbal and quantitative abilities, and on his problem solving ability. (d) motivational and attitudinal factors-desire for knowledge, need for achievement and self-enhancement, and ego-involvement (interest) in a particular kind of subject matter These general variables affect such relevant conditions of learning as alertness, attentiveness, level of effort, persistence, and concen tration, (e) personality factors-individual differences in level and kind of motivation, in personal adjustment, in other personality characteristics, and in level of anxiety Subjective factors such as these have profound effects on quantitative and qualitative aspects of the learning process

The situational category of learning variables includes (a) practice—its frequency, distribution, method, and general conditions (including feedback or knowledge of results), (b) the arrangement of instructional materialis—in terms of amount, difficulty, step size, underlying logic, sequence, parang, and the use of instructional aids, (c) such group and social factors as classroom climate, cooperation and competition, social class stratification, cultural deprivation, and racial segregation, and (d) characteristics of the teacher—his cognitive abilities, knowledge of subject matter, pedagogic competence, per sonality, and behavior Gagne states that intrapersonal and situational vari ables

undoubtedly have interactive effects upon learning The external variables cannot exert their effects without the presence in the learner of certain states derived from motivation and prior learning and development. Nor can the internal capabilities of themselves generate learning without the stimulation provided by external events As a problem for research, the learning problem is one of finding the necessary relationships which must obtain among internal and external variables in order for a change in capability to take place. Instruction may be thought of as the institution and arrangement of the external condutions of learning in ways which will optimally interact with internal capabilities of the learner, so as to bring about a change in these capabilities (545) (555).

Another equally meaningful and useful way of classifying the same set of learning variables is to group them into cognitive and affective social categories. The former group includes the relatively objective intellectual factors, whereas the latter group includes the subjective and interpersonal determinants of learning. Since this scheme of categorization is somewhat however, that although this extreme position was often domin int in teachers colleges and schools of education it rately prevailed, either in theory or in practice, in more than a handful of public schools filence, present con cern with intellectual training and with the quality of the curriculum is more a matter of increased emphasis than a radical shift in the goals of American education

Most teachers and school administrators as a matter of fact, have always agreed that the distinctive function of the school in our socrety is not to promote menial health and personality development, but to foster intellectual growth and to transmit subject matter knowledge. The child centered versus the subject matter approach to education constitutes a pseudodichotomy hust causes sensors disagreement only among extremists at either end of the commum No realistic advocate of the subject matter approach suggests that the school should disregard the personality development and social adjustment of pupils, or that subject matter should be taught without due regard for such relevant lactors as readmens, motivationt and individual differences in mellectual ability, and, similarly, constructive proponents of the child centered approach largely emphasize noncognistic determinitiants and outcomes of learning because of their importance in mastering subject matter.

It must also be recognized, however, that greater emphasis upon intellectual competence can easily be perverted to serve undestrable purposes To begin with higher standards, more advanced content, and longer usign ments are not ends in themselves. They are valueless and even permitious (a) unless the content of the subject matter involved is worthwhile, leads to meaningful knowledge, and is consonant with contemporary scholarship, and (b) unless the standards themselves are differentially applied so as to demand from each pupil what he can actually do and the best of which he is capable Raised standards must never be used as a means of eliminating from school those pupils in the lower range of intellectual ability Rather, new ways must be found to motivate such pupils adequately and to teach them academic subject matter more effectively Second excellence is not synonymous with high examination scores, one must consider the way in which such scores are achieved the kind of knowledge they reflect, and the motivation underlying them The intense competition today for entrance into prestige colleges and graduate schools has created a real danger that examination scores are fast becoming ends in themselves rather than symbols of genuine accomplishment and actual mastery of worthwinte

More important than what pupils know at the end of the sixth eighth, and twelfith grades is the extent of their knowledge at ages 25, 40, and 60, as well as their ability and desire both to learn more and to apply their knowl edge fruifully in adult life. In the light of these latter criteria, in comparing, for example, the quantity and quality of our national research output with that of European countries, the American educational system stands up relatively well even though our school children are apparently exposed to less academic material Ostensibly higher academic standards may therefore have relatively little effect on real learning if they stress rote memorization of out dated subject matter content and slavish assimilation of the opmions of teachers and textbook writers Hence, in setting our academic goals, we must be concerned with the ultimate intellectual objectives of schooling, namely, with the long term acquisition of valid and usable bodies of knowl edge and intellectual skills, and with the development of ability to think critically, systematically, and independently

Knowledge as an End in Itself

Related to the greater emphasis on intellectual training is an encourag ing recent trend to place higher value on the acquisition of knowledge as a significant end in itself ft is true that the school cannot and dare not ignore totally the current concerns and the future family, vocational, and civic problems of high school students, particularly those who have no intention of attending college The danger of disregarding these latter concerns is that adolescents tend to lose interest in academic studies if they perceive the school as indifferent to their problems. Some extreme proponents of the life adjustment movement however, carried this approach too far by adopting an anti intellectual and overly utilitarian attitude toward secon dary school education They tended summarily to dismiss, as a complete waste of time any branch of subject matter knowledge that had no immedi ate applicability to problems of everyday living and, in some instances, to dilute the curriculum by giving students a choice between academic subjects and various recreational frills and trivia. It was sometimes held that only intellectually superior or college bound students should be exposed to substantial academic fare, and that other students should be given only prevocational and life adjustment ' education

Learning tasks however need not necessarily be concerned with problems of adolescent adjustment in order to inspire adequate motivation and interest in high school students Meaningfully organized subject matter taught by competent teachers can generate considerable drive for learning as an end in itself. The value of much school learning, after all, can be de fended only on the grounds that it enhances pupils understanding of important ideas in their culture—not because it has, even remotely, any practical uses or implications. Nevertheles, some aspects of academic train ing do constitute, in a general way just as important a preparation for adult living as education that is explicitly directed ioward vocational and family adjustment.
Responsibility for Directing Education

One extreme point of view associated with the child centered approach to education is the notion that children are innately equipped in some mysterious fashion for knowing precisely what is best for them. This idea is obviously an outgrowth of predeterministic theories (for example, those of Rousseau and Gesell) that conceive of development as a series of internally regulated sequential steps that unfold in accordance with a prearranged design According to these theorists, the environment facilitates development best by providing a maximally permissive field that does not interfere with the predetermined processes of spontaneous maturation From these assumptions it is but a short step to the claim that the child himself must be in the most strategic position to know and select those components of the environment that correspond most closely to his current developmental needs and, hence, are most conducive to his optimal growth Em parical proof of this proposition is adduced from the fact that nutrition is adequately maintained, and existing deficiency conditions are spontane ously corrected, when infants are permitted to select their own diets If the child can successfully choose his diet, he must certainly know what is best for hum in all areas of growth and should therefore be permitted to select everything including his curriculum

In the first place, and refuting this theory, even if development were primarily a matter of internal inpening, there would still be no good reason for supposing that the child is therefore implicitly conversant with the current direction and facilitating conditions of development and, hence, automatically equipped to make the most appropriate choices Because the individual is sensitive in early childhood to initernal cues of physiological need, we cannot conclude that he is similarly sensitive to cues reflective of psychological and other developmental needs, even in the area of nutrition, self selection is a reliable criterion of need only during early infancy

Second unless one assigns a sacrosanct status to endogenous motivations, there is luttle warrant for beheving either that they alone are truly reflective of the child's genuize developmental requirements or that environmentally derived needs are imposed, "authoritarian in spirit, and inevitably fated to thwart the actualization of his developmental potentialities Actually, most needs originate from whout, in response to appropriate stimulation and successful experience and are internalized in the course of the child's inter action and identification with significant persons in his family and cultural environments

Third one can never assume that the child's spontaneously expressed interests and activities are completely reflective of all of his important needs and capacities just because capacities can potentially provide their own motivation does not mean that they always or necessarily do so it is not the possession of capacities per se that is motivating, but the anticipation of future satisfactions once they have been successfully exercised. But be cause of such factors as inertia, lack of opportunity, lack of appreciation, and proccupation with other activities, many capacities may never be exercised in the first place. Thus, children typically develop only some of their capacities, and their expressed interests cannot be considered coexten sive with the potential range of interests they are capable of developing with appropriate stimulation.

appropriate simulation In conclusion, therefore, the current interest and spontaneous desires of immature pupils can hardly be considered reliable guideposts and ade quate substitutes for specialized knowledge and seasoned judgment in de signing a curriculum. Recognition of the role of pupil needs in school learning does not mean that the scope of the syllabus should be restricted to the existing concerns and spontaneously expressed interests that happen to be present in a group of children growing up under particular conditions of intellectual and social class stimulation. In fact, one of the primary functions of education should be to stimulate the development of motivations and interests that are currently nonexistent. It is true that academic achievee ment is greater when pupils manifest felt needs to acquire knowledge as an end in itself Such needs, however, are not endogenous but acquired—and largely through exposure to provocative, meaningful, and developmentally appropriate instruction. Hence, while it is reasonable to consider the views of pupils and even, under certain circumstances, to solicit their participation in the planning of the curriculum, it makes little developmental or admin istrative sense to entrust them with responsibility for significant policy or operational decisions

The school, of course, can never assume complete responsibility for the student s learning. The latter must also bear his full share by learning actively and critically, by seeking persistently to understand and retain what he is taught, by integrating new learning tasks with previously acquired knowledge and inhosyncratic experience by translating new propositions into his own language, by putting forth the necessary effort to master difficult new subject matter, by asking significant questions and by conscientiously undertaking the problem solving exercises he is assigned All of this how ever, is a far cry from demanding that he take *complete* charge of his own learning It does not mean that he has to self-discover everything he learns, locate and interpret his own instructional materials from primary sources, design hus own experiments, and merely use the teacher as a consultant and critic

The very nature of education as adequately guided instruction implies knowledgeable selection, organization, interpretation, and sequential ar rangement of learning materials and experiences by academically competent and pedagogically sophisticated persons rather than a trial and-error process of self instruction True, since education does not end when students leave school at the end of the day or at graduation time, *likey must also be taught* to *learn by themselves* but these two aspects of education are by no means mutually preclusive. Acknowledgement of the desirability of students devot ing *part* of the school day to requiring skill in locating interpreting, and organizing information by themselves does not in any way reflexe the educational estabilisment of the *primary* responsibility of structuring subject matter content. Teachers cannot in good conscience abdicate this responsibility by turning over to students in the name of democracy and progressivas the direction.

Pedagogie irresponsibility also often hides behind the specious slogan that the function of the school is to teach children how to think-not what to think Here again we encounter a false dichotomy since the two functions are in no way mutually exclusive Actually, as has already been pointed out the transmission-of knowledge function of the school is more primary than its role in promoting problem solving ability Most of the critical thinking that goes on in the classroom is properly designed to facilitate the active and integrative assimilation of subject matter content, and whatever thinking power is fostered as an educational objective in its own right is typically considered a lesser objective than the teaching of subject matter and is teachable only in part Under no circumstances is discovery learning a feasible primary means of imparting subject matter knowledge Fortunately as evidenced by the phenomenal growth of cur riculum reform movements and of various kinds of programmed instruction, leading educators are currently returning to the more traditional educational view that the content of the curriculum is the school s and not the student s responsibility

MEANING AND MEANINGFUL LEARNING

Chapter 2

MEANING AND MEANINGFUL LEARNING

Stocz THE ESCHOLOGY OF CLASSGOYL LEARNYG is concerned in mosily with the acquisition and retention of large bodies of meanings, it is important that we make very explicit at the outset what we mean by mean ing and meaningful learning. In this chapter, therefore, we shall explore the nature of meaning, examine some alternative theories of meaningful verbal learning. In so doing, we shall also be concerned with such issues as the gen eral significance of meaningful learning in acquiring knowledge, how words, concepts, and propositions acquire meaning the distinction between logical and psychological meaning, and the difference between cognition and perception. Lastly, we shall attempt to illustrate and concretize this abstrat discussion of meaning and meaningful learning by showing brieffy how important such conceptions are for understanding how we learn the syntax of our native language, how we learn to read, and how we learn second languages

The Nature of Meaning

Meaningful learning unolves the acquisition of new meanings, and new meanings conversely, are the products of meaningful learning. That is, the emergence of new meanings in the learner reflects the completion of a mean ingful learning process. After indicating in some detail what is involved in dus process we shall examine more explicitly both the nature of meaning uself and its relationship to meaning learning.

The Conditions of Meaningful Learning

The essence of the meaningful learning process, as we have already seen, is that symbolically expressed ideas are related in a nonarbitrary and substan The former batting for the second structure of the second structure the second structure of the secon

certain teachers. Another reason is that because of a generally high level of anxiety or because of chronic fadure experience in a given subject (reflec tive in turn of low aptitude or poor teaching), they lack confidence in their ability to learn meaningfully and hence perceive no alternative to pante apart from rote learning. This phenomenon is very familiar to inathematics teachers because of the widespread prevalence of number shock or num ber anxiety. J Lastly pupils may develop a rote learning set if they are under accessive pressure to exhibit glibness or to conceal rather than admit and gradually remedy original lack of genuine understanding. Under these circumstances it scens easier and more important to create a spurious impression of fucle comprehension by returning a few key terms Circumstances it seems easier and more important to create a spurious impression of facile comprehension by totely memorizing a few key terms or sentences than to try to understand what they mean Teachers frequently forget that pupils become very adept at using abstract terms with apparent appropriateness—when they have to—even though their understanding of the underlying concepts is virtually nonexistent

The uncersyng concepts is structury non-concentration whether the learning task is potentially meaningful (nonarbitrarily and substantively relatable to the learner's structure of knowledge) is a somewhat Substantively relations to the termine's structure of knowledge) is a solution of more complex matter than meaningful learning set At the very least it obviously depends on the two principal factors involved in establishing this kind of relationship that is both on the nature of the material to be learned. Anno of relationship that is both on the nature of the material to be relative and on the nature of the *particular* learners cognitive structure (see Table 1) Turning first to the nature of the material it must self-evidently be sufficiently nonarbitrary or nonrandom itself that it could be related on a

| TABLE I Relationships detiveen Meaninger Leanning, Potential Meaningpulness Logical Meaningfulness, and Psychological Meaning | (2) Meaningful Learning Sct | (2) The availabulity of such relevant dieas in the particular learners coguitive structure | Potential Merungfulness and Meaningful Learning Set |
|---|--|---|---|
| | and | pue | of |
| | (1) Potentially Material Material | (1) Logical Meaningludness (the nonarburary and substantive relatability of the Eatability to correspondingly refevant does that the within the relation of human kearung capability) | Meanungful Learnung |
| | requires | depends on | us the product of |
| | MEANINGFUL LEARNING or THE ACQUISITION OF MEANINGS | REALINESS ROLLINESS | PSYCHOLOGICAL MEANING (DIOSYNCRATIC PHENOMENOLOGICAL MEANING) |
| | < | a . | U |

The Nature of Meaning

39

-

nonarbitrary and substantive basis to correspondingly relevant ideas that like within the realm of human learning capibility (to correspondingly rele vant ideas that at least some human beings are capable of learning if given the opportunity to do so). This property of the learning task itself that deter mines whether or not it is potentially meaningful is referred to as logical meaningfulness it seldom, if ever is lacking in school learning tasks since subject matter content, almost by definition, is logically meaningful. Such a some however, with respect to many laboratory and everday learn ing tasks (for example, telephone numbers, paired adjectives, scrambled sentences hist of nonsense syllables) which are relatable to anyone s cognitive situatione on only an arbitrary and verbaitin basis

The second factor determining whether learning material is potentially meaningful is a function of the learners cognitive structure rather than of the learning material. The acquisition of meanings as a natural phenomenoi occurs in particular human beings—not in mankind generally. Hence, for meaningful learning to occur in fact it is not sufficient that the new material simply be nonarbitrarily and substantively relatable to correspondingly relevant ideas in the abstract sense of the term (to correspondingly relevant ideas that some human beings could learn under appropriate circumstance) it is also necessary that such relevant ideational content be available in the cognitive structure of the particular learner. It is apparent, therefore, that insofar as meaningful learning outcomes in the classroom are concerned, the evailability and other significant properties of relevant content in different learners cognitive structures constitute the most crucial and variable de meaningfunes of learning material varies not only with prori educational background but also with such factors as age, 1Q occupation, and social class and cultural membership.

What precisely is meant by the statement that for learning material to be logically meaningful it must be nonarburarily and substantively relatable to correspondingly relevant ideas that he within human learning capacity? The first enterion—nonarburary relatability—as suggested above, simply implies that it the material *itself* exhibits sufficient nonarburarness (or nonrandomuess) an adequate and almost self evident basis exists for relating it in nonarburary fashion to the kinds of correspondingly relevant ideas that human beings are capable of learning Logically meaningful learning material could thus be nonarburary relatable to *specifically relevant ideas* as qualifications and more inclusive generalizations or in could be relatable to awder array of relevant ideas in the sense of being generally congruent with them.

The second criterion-substantive relatability-implies that if the learning material again is sufficiently nonarbitrary, an ideationally equivalent symbol or group of symbols could be related to cognitive structure without any resulting change in meaning. In other words, neither mean ingful learning nor emergent meaning are dependent on the *exclusive* use of *particular* signs and no others, the same concept or proposition could be expressed in synonymous language and would convey precisely the same meaning. Thus, for example, 'canine, 'Hund, and 'chien would chiet the same meanings as 'dog' in a person who has a fair command of English, German, and French, and 'All of the internal angles of a triangle equal a straight angle" would mean the same to most geometry students as "All of the internor angles of a triangle equal 1800 degrees '

Role learning tasks, of course, are not mastered in a cognitive vacuum They are relatable to cognitive structure but only in an arbitrary, verbatim fashion that does not result in the acquisition of any meanings. Since, for example, the particular stimulus and response members of a given pair of adjectives in paired associate learning are linked together in purely arbitrary fashion, there is no possible basis for nonarbitrarily relating the learning task to anyone s cognitive structure, and the learner must also remember verbatim the response to each stimulus word—he cannot use synonyms This arbitrary and verbatim relatability of role learning tasks to cognitive structure does, of course, have certain significant consequences for learning First, since human cognitive equipment, unlike a computer, cannot handle information very efficiently that is related to it on an arbitrary and verbatim basis, only relatively short learning tasks can be internalized in this fashion, and these can be retained for only short penods of time unless greatly over learned and concurrently encountered similar materials. As we shall see learning tasks highly vulnerable to interference from previously learned and concurrently encountered similar materials. As we shall see (arbitrary and verbatim versus nonarbitrary and substantive) that accounts for the fundamental difference between rote and meaningful learning ro

It is also true that already meaningful component elements of a rote learning task can be related to cognitive structure in ways that do not in volve any learning of the elements themselves but nevertheless facilitate the rote learning of the task as a whole. It is by virtue of such relatability, for example, that the component letters of nonsense syllables are perceived meaningfull words (and are thus perceived as partly meaningful themselves). For similar reasons—by enhancing the familiarity of the material, by obviat ing the need for prior learning of the component elements, and by making possible the combination of these elements into larger units (thereby reducing the total number of discrete associations to be established)—the use of already meaningful component elements in learning material facilitates rote learning

Relationship of Meaning to Meaningful Learning

Our discussion of meaningful learning thus far leads to the conclusion that meaning itself is a product of the meaningful learning process, and refers to the differentiated cognitive content evoked in a given learner by a particular symbol, or group of symbols, after either of these expressions has been meaningfully learned. Why this is the case can be deduced directly from what is involved in meaningful learning. At the very onset of such learning we start with a symbolic expression that is only potentially mean ingful to the learner or as yet has no actual meaning for him. This expression is then nonarbitrarily and substantively related to, and correspondingly interacts with, relevant ideas in his cognitive structure At the conclusion of the learning process, therefore, it follows that the *product* of this interact tion (which product is itself a differentiated cognitive contently constitutes the meaning of the newly learned symbolic expression and will hencefortli be evoked when the latter is presented.

Types of Meaningful Learning

The most basic type of meaningful learning upon which all other mean ingful learning depends is *representational* learning, that is, learning the meanings of single symbols (typically words) or learning what they represent Single words in any language after all, are conventional or socially shared symbols each of which represents a unitary object, event, situation, concept, or other symbol in the physical, social, and ideational worlds. To any uninitiated individual however, what a given symbol means or represents, is at first something completely unknown to him, it is something that he has to learn. The process whereby he learns this is called representational learn ing and is coextensive with the process whereby new words come to represent for hum the corresponding objects or ideas to which the words refer (their referents) that is the new words come to signify to him the same things that the referents do or to chect the same differentiated cognitive content latt they do.

For example when a child is first learning the meaning of the word dog it is proposed to him that the sound of the word (which is potentially meaningful but as yet has no meaning for him) represents, or is equivalent to a particular dogobject that he is perceiving at the moment, and hence that it signifies the same thing (an image of this dogobject) that the object isself does He in turn actively relates—in relatively nonarbitrary and sub stantive fashion—this proposition of representational equivalence to rele vant coment in his cognitive structure. Thus when meaningful learning is completed the word dog is reliably capable of cheating differentiated cog nitive content (a composite image of the various dogs in his experience) that is approximately equivalent to that elicited by particular dog-objects How representational learning actually occurs, and how children de

How representational learning actually occurs, and how children de velop a capacity for such learning, will be discussed later in this chapter in some detail under che heading of Types of Voesbulary Learning". At this point we wish only to distinguish between two basic kinds of meaningful learning, representational learning and propositional learning Representa tonal learning concerns the meanings of untary symbols or words and propositional learning concerns the meanings of ideas expressed by groups of words combined into propositions or sentences. In the first instance (as in naming, labeling, and defining activities), learning the meaning single words involves learning what they represent or, in effect, learning specific propositions of representational equivalence. It means learning the area into, represent, but, rather, to learn the meaning of new ideas expressed in propositional learning is not to learn the meaning of new ideas expressed in propositional form. In true propositional learning, in other words, the object of the learning is not to learn propositions that express ideas other than those of representational equivalence.

In true werbal propositional learning, one is, of course, learning the meaning of a new composite idea in the sense that (a) the proposition itself is generated by combining or relating to each other multiple individual words, each representing a unitary referent, and (b) the individual words are combined in such a way (usually, in sentence form) that the resulting new idea is more than just the sum of the meanings of the component individual words. Obviously before one can learn the meanings of verbal propositions one must first know the ineanings of their component terms, or what the terms represent. Thus representational learning is basic to, or a prerequisite for, true propositional learning when propositions are expressed in verbal form.

In Verbal form A third type of meaningful learning that is promunent in the acquisition of subject matter consists of *concept learning*. Concepts (unitary generic or categorical ideas) are also represented by single symbols just as other unitary referents are Except in very young learners, as a matter of fact, the individ ual words that are commonly combined in sentence form to constitute propositions actually represent concepts rather than objects or events, and lience propositional learning largely involves learning the meaning of a composite idea generated by combining into a sentence single words each of which represents a concept

At this point, it is obviously necessary to indicate how concept learning is related to representational learning Since concepts, as well as objects and events are represented by words or names, learning what concept words, mean (learning which concept is represented by a given new concept word, or learning that the new concept word is equivalent in meaning to whatever the concept useff means) is self-evidently a major type of representational learning its typically blows concept learning itself, massined as it is very convenient to be able to represent a newly learning via the concept the different representational learning its self-evidently a major type of representational hearning its typically blows concept learning what its criterial (distinguishing) or identifying) airributes are, molicity a very different type of meaningful learning that, like propositional learning is ablasing with the concept itself missing discriming is used to the representational. These two types of mean ingful learning (inceptual and propositional) lifter in that in the former missing discriming over though to major its blow true and in representational (a new generic but unitar) meaning whereas in the latter instance a new proposition (or composite idea) is related to cognitive structure to yield a new generic but unitary meaning whereas in the latter instance a new proposition (or composite idea) is related to cognitive structure to yield a new composite meaning. They are both very different from representational learning even though concept learning is typically followed by a form of representational learning in which the newly learned concept is equated in meaning with the concept word that represents it

Logical and Psychological Meaning

In the previous discussion we have distinguished between the *potential* meaning inherent lor particular learners in certain symbolic expressions and in the statement of certain projositions on the one hand, and actual (phenomenological or psychological) meaning which is the product of a meaningful learning process on the other Actual meaning according to this view emerges when this potential meaning becomes converted into new differentiated and idosyncratic cognitive content within a painticular individual as a result of being nonarbitrarily and substantively related to, and interacting wide relevant ideas in his cognitive structure. Our task in this section is simply to make explicit the analogous distinction between logical and psychological meaning which learning material whereas logical meaning corresponde to the meaning which learning material exhibits if it meets the general or nondisoparciate requirements for poten of the meaning lutices. In short, logical meaning the a faiture is the reserving a store table in particular learner, the other prerequisite being the availability of the appropriate relevant content in this particular learner a cognitive structure.

Logical meaning therefore refers to the meaning that is inherent in certain kinds of symbolic material by virtue of its very nature. Such material manifests logical meaning if it can be related on a nonarbitrary and sub stantive basis to correspondingly relevant ideas that he within the realm of human learning capability. For example, if propositional material itself consists of generally nonarbitrary relationships, then it is also, almost by definition, nonarbitrary and substantively relatable to the aforementioned relevant ideas and thus logically meaningful. Obviously excluded, therefore, from the domain of logical meaning is the almost infinite number of possible relationships between concepts that can be formulated on the basis of purely random or arbitrary pairings. This does not necessarily mean that all propositions with logical meaning are empirically valid or even logically defensible. The questions of empirical and logical validity are issues that simply do not enter into the determination of logical meaning. Propositions based on unvalidated premises or on faulty logic may conceivably abound in logical meaning

in logical meaning Psychological (actual or phenomenological) meaning, on the other hand, is a wholly idiosyncratic cognitive experience Corresponding to the distinction between the logical and the psychological structure of knowl edge, there is an equally important distinction between logical and psychological meaning Subject matter content can, at best, have logical meaning It is the nonarbitrary and substantive relatability of logically meaningful propositions to a *particular* learner's cognitive structure that makes them potentially meaningful to lim and thereby creates the possibility of transforming logical into psychological meaning in the course of meaningful learning Thus the emergence of psychological meaning logical meaning, but also on the latter's actual possession of the necessary ideational background When an individual learns logically meaningful proposition, therefore, they automatically lose their nonidosyncratic flavor Psychological meaning therefore the production of psychological meaning in prossition, therefore, they automatically lose their nonidosyncratic flavor Psychological meaning the posterion of the nonicological meaning the posterion of the refore, they automatically lose their nonidosyncratic flavor Psychological meaning

When an individual learns logically meaningful propositions, therefore, they automatically lose their nonidosyncratic flavor Psychological meaning is always an inhosyncratic phenomenon. Its indisyncratic nature however, does not rule out the possibility of social or shared meanings. The various individual meanings which different members of a given culture have for the same concepts and propositions are ordinarily sufficiently similar to permit interpersonal communication and understanding. This homogeneity of shared meanings within a particular culture, and even between related cultures, reflects both the same logical meaning inherent in logically mean ingful concepts and propositions as well as many common aspects of idea tuonal background in different learners' cognitive structures

Meaningful Learning versus the Learning of Meaningful Material

Meaningful learning is not to be interpreted as the learning of mean ingful material. In meaningful learning, the materials are only potentially meaningful II they were already meaningful, the goal of meaningful learn ing that is, the acquisition of new meanings, would be already accomplished by definition before any learning was ever attempted II is true, of course, that in most potentially meaningful bearning tasks, the component parts of the material are already meaningful bot in discussion the task as a whole is only potentially meaningful. For example, in learning a new geometrical theorem each of the component words is already meaningful but the learning task as a whole (learning the meaning of the theorem) is yet to be accomplished. Thus already meaningful material, just hile is already meaningful, but it cannot be meaningful.

This brings us to the important distinction between the meaningful learning of potentially meaningful material and the rote learning of tasks that contain already meaningful components There are moumerable ex amples of rote or nonmeaningful learning In learning a list of paired ad jectives, for example, each adjective is already meaningful, but the learning task itself is not potentially meaningful because these wholly arbitrary associations between adjectives cannot be related to the learner's existing knowl edge in a nonarhitrary, nonverbatim fashion. In learning a geoinetrical theorem, on the other hand each component word is not only already mean ingful hut the learning task as a whole is also potentially meaningful. How ever, unless the learner manifests a meaningful learning set in this latter instance no meaning will emerge he will merely learn rotely a series of arbitrarily related words that cannot be nonarbitrarily and substantively related to his structure of knowledge Thus, it is important to distinguish between the meaningful learning of potentially meaningful material, on the one hand and on the other, the rote learning of already meaningful com ponent elements that, taken together, either do or do not constitute poten tsally meaningful learning tasks

Meaning versus Meaningfulness

What do investigators of rote verbal learning mean when they talk about the meaning/lifesis of the units (nonsense syllables, words) which they employ in their learning tasks. In using this term they do not refer to the substantive meaning of a given symbol (the differential cognitive content it evokes in the learner after being meaningfully learned), but rather to the relative degree of meaning it manifests as compared to that manifested by other symbols. The meaning the meaningful effective degree of meaning solutions whether it has a concretely identifiable referent (such as, 'book') or merely man 1960) and also on such factors as the frequency and variety of the contexts in which it is encountered (Bjorgen, 1964, Noble, 1953, Underwood and Schulz, 1960) A highly meaningful word, therefore, tends to be subject tricly more familiar (Noble, 1953) and to evoke more associations (Glaze, 1928, Noble, 1952) than a less meaningful word—but these are indices of its meaningfulness rather than explanations of how it becomes meaningful in the first place. One must be careful, in other words, not to confuse the mech anism whereby a word acquires meaning with the factors accounting for the relative degree of meaning it exhibits Reference has already been made to the reasons why meaningfulness facilitates rote learning

The Acquisition of Meanings

In this section we propose to discuss more systematically some of the problems involved in the acquisition of word and propositional meanings Thus far, the acquisition of these latter types of meanings has just been considered illustratively in clarifying the nature of meaning. The acquisit tion of concept meanings will be considered here only insofar as such learn ing must be distinguished from the learning of what concept words mean More definitive treatment of concept learning will be found in Chapter 15

Vocabulary or Representational Learning

We have already indicated that learning the meanings of single words or learning what single words represent, involves the meaningful learning of particular propositions of representational equivalence-learning that particular words represent and thereby signify psychologically the same things that their referents do I was also pointed out that as a result of such learning, words come to chicit approximately the same differentiated cogin tive content that their referents do Our task at this point is to relate more explicitly this particular type of meaningful learning, namely, representa tional learning to the previous discussion of the nature of meaningful learning process and to the previous discussion of the nature of meaningful itself In other words, how do human beings acquire vocabulary? How do they actually learni what single words mean, and how does such learning exemplify meaningful learning in general?

To begin with, there is the matter of genic endowment without which no amount of appropriate experience would suffice. Unlike subhuman species, human beings have a genetically determined potentiality for representational learning. As stated earlier, representational learning is learning that a given pattern of sumulation (such as the distinctive pattern of sounds in the symbol dog) represents and thereby signifies approximately the same thing (a dog image) that an entirely unrelated pattern of sumulation (such as the referent dog-object) signifies (When a given referent actually signifies something to a particular learner it is conventionally referred to as a significate.) The principal step in actualizing this potentiality for representational learning is typically taken near the end of the first year of life, when the child acquires the general might that it is possible to use a symbol to represent any significate. He acquires this insight by generalizing, subverbally and intuitively, from multiple exposures to the two complementary forms of the proposition of representational equivalence that more proficient users of his native language arrange for him—that different referents have different names and that different exemplars of the same referent have the same name.

One this insight is firmly established in cognitive structure, it lays the necessary foundation for all subsequent representational learning. There after when a particular new proposition of representational equivalence is presented to him (that "dog is representationally equivalent to different dogohjects and, hence, to their corresponding dog images) he is able non arbitrarily and substantically to relate such a proposition as an exemplar to the already established and more generalized version of the same proposition in his cognitive structure. The resulting product of the interaction be tween the two propositions is the differentized cognitive content that dog signifies, or is representationally equivalent to a composite dog image, and presentation of the word dog will subsequently elicit this image At this stage of the game a particular proposition of representational equivalence in a joint he learned and retained for a surprisingly long time, even though it is put to the child only once and in connection with a single exemplar of the significate in quession provided of course, that the latter is familiar in him.

TYPES OF VOCABLEARY LEARNING In the early stages of socabulary learning, words tend to represent actual and noncategorical objects and scents, and hence to be equated in meaning with the relatively concrete events, and hence to be equated in meaning with the relatively concrete of vocabulary learning in children, involves the establishment of representational equivalence between first-order symbols and concrete images. Later on as words begin to represent concepts or generic ideas, they become concept names and are equated in meaning with more abstract, generalized, and categoried cognitive content. The word dog? to a toddler may just signify a composite image of his own pet and of the particular dogs in his neighborhood to the older preschool dulid, however, it signifies the criterial attributes of a composite of generac experience with dogs. (This latter ducore process is called concept formation and is discussed in detail in Chapter 19. Correlated with the denotative meaning full learned, are when the criterial attributes of this concept are meaningfully learned, are various tukosyncratic affective and autualized in actual in a conterior and strates and autualized in the denotative meaning of dog that emerges when the criterial attributes of this concept are meaningfully learned, are in each child depending on his particular experience with the species. These reactions constitute the connotative meaning of dog. It should be noted however that in older children the connotations of most words for instance divorce alcohol communism are not acquired through first hand experience but are assimilated from prevailing evaluative attitudes in their immediate cultural environment.

After the preschool years the meanings of most new words are learned by definition or by being encountered in appropriate and relatively explicit contexts In this case representational equivalence is established in cogni tive structure between synonyms and already meaningful words or between new concept words and the meanings conveyed by their respective defini tions or contexts An adequate definition or context furnishes in turn the criterial attributes of the new concept expressed in already meaningful words or combinations of such words For example in learning the mean ing of the new concept word president (a form of representational learn ing typically following concept learning itself) a pupil equates the word in meaning to whatever chief of state or chief executive in a republic means to him He does so after he learns what these attributes presented in the definition1 mean (concept learning) However only the representational learning that follows concept learning namely the process of equating the concept word in meaning with what the concept itself means can be legit imately considered part of vocabulary learning since by any reasonable standard vocabulary learning is synonymous with representational learning According to the generally accepted meaning of the expression acquiring a vocabulary consists of learning a body of word meanings which by defini-tion refers to learning what the words mean and not to learning what their referents mean Thus using the term vocabulary learning to encompass concept learning as well as learning what concept words mean although very commonly done only generates conceptual confusion

Learning what concept words mean obviously demands more sophis treated prior knowledge about their corresponding referents than does other forms of representational learning since learning the meaning of concept words differs in one important respect from learning the meaning of words that do not represent concepts. Where the referent of a given word is an *actual* object or event learning that the word signifies the same thing as the referent does not really involve a prior substantive task of *learning* what the referent itself signifies Getting to know what an object or event signifies its a simple matter of perception. An object simply signifies the corresponding

¹ When the criterial attributes of a concept are presented to the learner by definition or context rather than discovered by him as in the case of concept forma tion concept learning is referred to as is concept assimilation. In both instances however whether the criterial attributes are discovered or presented they must be meaningfully related to cognitive structure before concept meanings emerge.

perceptual image it evokes when present, or the corresponding memory image that remains, and can be otherwise evoked when the object is no longer present However when the referent of a word is a concept (an abstraction or a generic idea that does not actually exist) learning that the concept word signifies the same thing as the referent, does involve a prior substantive task of learning what the referent signifies. One can get to know what the concept itself signifies only by *learning* what its criterial attributes are and what they mean. This, by definition, is a substantive form of mean ingful learning. Thus learning the meaning of a concept word always pre supposes that the learner first meaningfully learn what its referent (the concept) signifies, even though the actual representational learning involved is essentially no different in process than that involved in learning the mean ing of words that due to not represent concepts

The practical importance of distinguishing carefully between learning the meanings of concepts and learning the meanings of concept words can be illustrated by citing several everyday and educational examples First, it not infrequently happens, particularly in concept formation, that pupils acquire particular concepts meaningfully without learning for quite some ume what their names are, thus simply because they do not know what particular concept words mean, it cannot be assumed that they necessarily do not know the corresponding concept meanings. Second, it is very possible either to forget what 4 given concept word means but to remember its cor responding concept meaning, or to remember a concept word but to forget its meaning. Third, in teaching nature language synonyms or foreign language (quivalents of native language words, it is important to appreciate it is only necessary for lines to equate in meaning the old concept words and the corresponding new synonyms or foreign language equivalents, it is superfluous and wasteful of time for them to equate in meaning the new concept words and the referents of the old concept words

Finally if concepts are rotely learned as a result of relating their criternal aurihuites arbitrarily and verbainnely to cognitive structure, it necessanily follows that the corresponding concept words are also rotely learned The proposition that a given concept words are also rotely learned referem that need does not signify anything meaningful is so thoroughly arbitrary that it cannot possibly be notarisatherrily and substantively related to cognitive structure where fearned therefore, it is an example of rote hunds of rote learning involved firer helps one understand why rotely learned concept words that have been equated with rotely learned concepts have such hule unity and are forgotten so quickly.

VOCABLEARY LEARNING AS MEANINGELE LEARNING. It is clear from the foregoing that vocabulary learning or the acquisition of word meanings, is not regarded in this text as a manifestation of conditioning or rote verbal

١

tional learning in that new meanings emerge after a potentially meaningful learning task is related to and interacts with relevant ideas in cognitive structure on a nonarbitrary and substantive basis In this case however, the learning task or potentially meaningful proposition consists of a composite idea and is expressed verbally m a sentence containing both denotative and connotative word meanings and the syntactic functions? of and relations between words The differentiated cognitive content resulting from the meaningful learning process and constituting its meaning is an interactional product of the particular way in which the content of the new proposition is related to the content of relevant established ideas in cognitive structure The relationship in question may be either subordinate superordinate or a combination of these two

Since cognitive structure itself tends to be hierarchically organized with respect to level of abstraction generality and inclusiveness the emergence of new propositional meanings most typically reflects a subordinate relation ship of the new learning material to cognitive structure. This involves the subsumption of potentially meaningful propositions under more inclusive and general ideas in existing cognitive structure. The efficiency of subsumptive learning can probably he attributed to the fact that once subsuming ideas are themselves adequately established in cognitive structure they (a) have maximally specific and direct relevance for subsequent learning tasks (b) possess enough explanatory power to render otherwise arbitrary factual detail potentially meaningful (c) possess sufficient inherent stability to provide the firmest type of anchorage for newly learned detailed meanings 4 and (d) organize related new facts around a common theme thereby inte grating the component elements of new knowledge both with each other and with existing knowledge

Sometimes the new propositional material is merely supportive of or directly derivable from an already established and more inclusive proposi tion in cognitive structure (derivative subsumption) as when one encounters statements illustrative of familiar precepts of Christianity or democracy More typically however new propositional meanings are acquired through a process of correlative subsumption. The new learning material in this case a process or correlative substitutions. The new tearing material in this same is an extension elaboration modification or qualification of previously learned | ropositions This latter process is exemplified for example in sub suming unfamiliar Buddhist principles of virtue sin redemption and re incarnation under their Christian analogues

3 It e learn 1g of sys tax aself and the apprehension of syntactic relationships will be d scussed in later sections of this chapter

⁴ The superior inherent statility of superordinate or inclusive ideas in cog inities structure is demonstrate i by their greater resistance to forgetting over proiracted time intervals as shown by qualitative analysis of subject matter lorgetting

New learning material bears a superordinate relationship to cognitive structure when one learns an inclusive new proposition under which several established ideas may be subsumed. This type of propositional learning is relatively rare, since textbooks and teachers typically introduce more general and inclusive propositions first, and then present examples, corollaries, qual ifications, extensions, claborations and so forth. Superordinate learning takes place in the course of inductive reasoning or when presented material is organized inductively or involves the synthesis of component ideas (The acquisition of superordinate meanings occurs more commonly in *conceptual* than in propositional learning as, for example, when children learn that the familiar concepts of carroits, peas, beans, beets, and spinach may all be subsumed under the new term vegetable') Integrative reconciliation or synthesis of several apparently conflicting propositions under a more in clusive and unifying new principle is another example of superordinate learning

The meaningful learning of new propositions that bear neither a sub ordinate nor a superordinate relationship to *particular* relevant ideas in cognitive structure, (that cannot be subsumed under particular established interpropositions or cannot themselves subsume partirular established ideas), gives rise to *combinatorial* meanings. The learning of many new propositions as well as concepts yields this category of meaning. They are potentially meaningful because they consist of sensible combinations of previously learned ideas that can be nonarbitrarily related to a *broad background* of *generally* relevant content in cognitive structure by virtue of their general congruence with such content as a whole. Unlike subordinate or superordinate propositions, they are not relatable to *particular* relevant ideas within cognitive structure, and this availability of only generally makes combinatorial propositions less relatable or anchorable to previously acquired knowl edge and hence, at least initially, more difficult to learn and remember than from evidence undicating that the availability of appropriately relevant content in cognitive structure is a crucial variable in meaningful learning and from evidence undicating that the availability of and propriately relevant content in cognitive structure is a crucial variable in meaningful learning

Most of the inclusive and broadly explanatory new generalizations that students learn in science, mathematics social studies, and the humanities, for example, relationships between mass and energy, heat and volume, genic structure and variability, demand and price, are examples of combinatorial learnings. Although acquired with greater difficulty than subordinate or superordinate propositions, they manifest, once adequately established the same inherent stability as any inclusive or superordinate (subsiming) idea in cognitive structure (see above). Further elaboration of these ideas typically results in subordinate learning (generalization, synthesis). Since propositions can presumably be learned and retained most readily when they are subsumable under specifically relevant ideas in cognitive structure and since the hierarchical organization of cognitive structure is itself illustrative of the subsumptive principle it seems reasonable to suggest that the subsumptive mode of meaningful learning be utilized wherever possible. This is the theoretical rationale for progressive differentiation in the presentation of subject matter and for the use of organizers

Some school learning that is frequently labeled rote learning (and which under many circumstances is purely rote) is actually intended to be a simple form of meaningful propositional learning as for example certain aspects of the learning of addition and multiplication facts It is true that some rote learning may be encouraged as a means of accelerating speed of response and calculation but in most modern schools the multiplication table for instance is learned *after* a clear understanding of number ideas and relationships is acquired. Since this type of learning—relating pairs of numbers to their product—can be nonarbutrarily and substantively related to existing concepts of number relationships in cognitive structure it is fardly analogous to the rote learning of paired associates. It is much more comparable to an actor's verbatim memorization of his lines after he ac quires a meaningful grasp of their substance. Learning sets therefore need not be purely rote or purely meaningful Learners may simultaneously or successively choose to learn both meaningfully and rotely.

Discovery LEARNING Propositional learning as described above is typical of the situation prevailing in reception learning when substantive propositions are presented to the learner and he is required only to learn and remember their meaning it is important to realize however that proplearning The main difference between propositional learning as found in reception learning situations on the one hand and in discovery learning situations on the other inheres in whether the principal content of what is to be learned is discovered by the learner humself or is presented to him In reception learning this content is presented to the learner in the form of a substantive or non problem setting proposition that he need only under stand and remember In discovery learning proposition that represent either solutions to the problem state are set or successive steps in their solution

Actually the reception and discovery varieties of propositional learning are involved successively at different stages in the problem solving process To begin with problem solving propositions are not generated anew. Their generation involves rather a transformation (restructuring reorganization synthesis integration) of relevant and available substrate propositions (propositions undergoing transformation). Substrate propositions in turn are of two main types (a) problem setting propositions defining the nature and conditions of the current problem situation and (b) background propositions consisting of relevant aspects of previously acquired knowledge (in formation principles) that bear on the problem

The meaningful learning of problem setting propositions in school and similar learning environments typically involves only reception learning ⁶ That is the propositions are presented to the learner and he need only learn and remember what they mean by relating them nonarbitrarily and substantively to his cognitive structure. However, unlike substantive reception learning situations that *end* with the learning and retention of the propositions in question the meaningful internalization of *problem setting* propositions sets in motion a discovery learning process. A new problem solving proposition embodying a potentially meaningful means-end relationship is then generated through various transformation operations on the internalized problem setting and background propositions. The final step in this meaningful learning sequence namely learning and retaining the meaning of the newly generated problem solving proposition is again a matter of meaningful reception learning in fact the only real discovery aspect of this entire sequence of meaningful propositions into a potentially mean ingful problem solving proposition is not potentially mean ingful problem solving proposition into a potentially mean ingful problem solving propositions.

Inguin prootem sorving proposition Thus in meaningful discovery learning as opposed to the more typical (substantive) instances of meaningful reception learning the learner non arbitrarily and substantively relates problem setting propositions to his cog intive structure—not for the purpose of understanding and remembering what they mean as an end in itself but for the purpose of transforming them (in conjunction with and with the benefit of previously acquired relevant background knowledge) into new problem solving propositions that are potentially meaningful to him

Cognition versus Perception in Meaningful Verbal Learning

The distinction between perceptual and cognitive processes in mean ingful verbal learning is particularly difficult to define because both kinds of processes involve interaction between verbal stimulus input and cognitive structure. We both perceive verbal messages and cognitively learn their

⁵ In more informal learning situations and in research laboratories (where discovery is genumely autonomous) the learner himself formulates problem setting propositions through a preliminary type of discovery learning follot ed by mean ingful reception learning of the products of discovery

meaning as a result of interpreting them in the light of existing knowledge The difference between the two processes is one of immediacy and complex ity Perception involves an *immediate* content of awareness *before* the intervention of such complex cognitive processes as are even involved in reception learning. Cognition involves such processes as relating the new material to relevant aspects of existing cognitive structure ascertaining how the resulting new meaning can be reconciled with established knowledge and recoding it in more familiar and idiosyncratic language

Hence if verbal meaning results when potentially meaningful verbal materials are related to and incorporated within existing cognitive structure (thereby generating new and differentiated cognitive content) and if this process of learning (acquiring) meanings is conceded to be cognitive in na mre when and how in this sequence of events does perception play a role in meaningful verbal learning? Whether a given intellectual operation in volves an immediate content of awareness (perception) on the one hand or more complex intellectual processes (cognition) on the other depends to a great extent on the complexity of the learning task relative to the learner s cognitive maturity and on whether the new material is first being learned or is already meaningful (Ausubel 1965h) Learning that particular auditory symbols (words) represent particular objects is a cognitive problem to a child learning the meanings of words. Similarly understanding func tionally the distinctive syntactic properties of words in a sentence is also a cognitive problem to the same child it presupposes both minimal mastery of the syntactic code and of the ability to apply such knowledge in syntac ucilly decoding the sentence at hand Later on however when both the spoken words and the syntax are already thoroughly mastered the child is able to grasp their denotative meanings and syntactic functions on a purely ferceptual basis This sequence of events with regard to cognition and per certion is then repeated as he learns to read words and sentences in school In other words once the symbols spoken or written are encountered many umes and become meaningful they become immediately and effortlessly (dut is perceptually) appreliensible (meaningful) on subsequent encounters

The structure precisions apprecision of the complicated in understanding proponions cyrreved in sentence form in this case the proposition itself is alwys a new learning task whose meaning remains to be acquired even if the meanings and synactic functions of the component words are already a utiding of a sunkners is thus a two stage process travolving perception and cognition uncreasively. The first styg, modes the precision of the potennally meaning to matternal and the second styge involves relating precision profinal meanings to relevant existing propositions in cognitive structure to it efforts stage the learner precision gradient what he makes to learn in the second stage he understands what he precisis that he has acquires its meaning. This perception precedes cognition in the meaningful learning of new propositions. The product of the perceptual process is not propositional meaning itself, but the immediate content of awareness that follows from preliminary interpretation of the sensory input (visual or aural) furnished by the potentially meaningful learning task. This perceptual content of awareness is intermediate, both temporally and in terms of complex ity of process, between primitive sensation and the actual emergence of meanings. It consists of awareness both of the separate meanings of the component words and of the syntactuc relations among them, but stops short of apprehension of the meaning of the propositional message as a whole

Hence, in order to understund a sentence, one must first be able (a) to perceive the potential propositional meaning it communicates, (understand the denotative meanings and the syntactical functions of its component words) and then (b) to incorporate this perceived potential meaning within existing cognitive structure. The first step implies both adequate knowledge of vocabulary and a functional, if not a formal, grasp of syntax. The second step implies relating the perceived proposition to relevant anchoring ideas in cognitive structure.

It should be noted however, that repeated encounters with, or exposure to, the same potentially meaningful propositions change the above specified relationship between cognition and perception During the first encounter, the potentially meaningful message is first perceived, and the perceived content is then incorporated into cognitive structure to yield a corresponding meaning But once the message becomes meaningful, perhaps as carly as on the second presentation, the two processes cognition and perception be come telescoped into one. That is as a result of the initial emergence of meaning and the concomitant change in cognitive structure, the learner becomes sensitized to the potential meaning in the message on subsequent encounters with it its meaning having already been grasped the message no longer presents a cognitive processe) conveys actual rather than merely potential meaning when next perceived? Hence although the *aequisition* of meanings is a cognitive process it is proper to refer to the cognitive tomeral evoked by an *already* meaningful proposition as a product of perception rather than of learning

To summarize once the syntactical code and a basic vocabulary are mastered the only cognitive aspects of understanding a sentence are asso-

⁶ Partly because of this telescoping effect (the immediate or perpetual emergence of meaning) repetition as will be pointed out later has a particularly con solidating effect on learning and retention the learner does not have to grasp meanings on subsequent trails and can concentrate solely on trying to remember them



e rather than the original input events

Miller suggests that linguistic recoding is the most powerful device that human beings possess for extending the amount of information they can process and remember, and thus for acquiring farge bodies of knowledge

Miller and J A Selfridge argue against the importance of meaning in learning hy applying this type of information theory analysis to the problem of explaining why meaningless connected discourse is remembered better than strings of linguistically unconnected words and as well as meaning ful prose In this instance, chunking is accomplished by grouping a series of words that are sequentrally dependent on each other into larger units (plirases) and then remembering the phrases rather than individual words The recoding scheme under these circumstances is derived from the con textual constraints that characterize linguistically connected discourse and that are both built into the structure of language and implicitly learned by all those who use it These contextual constraints are defined in terms of dependent probabilities that is, the statistical dependency of the choice of a particular word upon the words that precede it, or the extent to which the clioice of a given word is determined by the preceding words. As degree of contextual constraint or order of approximation to English increases in a given sequence of words (see Table 2 for examples of such material), learn ing is progressively facilitated. This is so because the message ' preserves ilic slior range associations of the English language that are so familiar to us (Viller and Selfridge 1950 p 183) and hence permits chunking or phrasing In fact when short range contextual dependencies are preserved in non sense material the nonsense is as readily recalled as is meaningful material

From this it is argued that contextual dependencies extending over five or six words permit posume transfer, and that it is these familiar dependencies rather than the meaning that facthates learning (Viiller and Selfridge, 1950 p. 181)

It is evident from rareful analysis of these findings however, that compensators mechanisms such as chunking merely increase the learner's rote capacity for apprehending and retaining information. For example, all though Miller and Schrädige demonstrated unequivocally that nonvenue insternal manifesting the same contextual constraints as potentially mean ingful prove is recalled just as readily as meaningful prove, it is important to hear in mind that they demanded arefabetum recall of the prove maternal such verbatim or rote learning of potentially meaningful at isories and storing and stantages of meaningful evide the information processing and storing ad that the rote learning of connected moreign tables solely because the sequence to the learning of connected moreign tables solely because the sequence to the learning of linguistically unconnected words solely because the sequence isotice and and a sole superiory to the



Significance of Meaningful Learning in Acquiring Knowledge

TABLE 2

NONSENSE PROSE OF VARYING STATED DECREES OF APPROXIMATION TO ENGLISH (Adapted from Miller and Selfridge, 1950)

0-order approximation

byway consequence handsomely financier bent flux cavalry swiftness weather beaten extent

I-order approximation abilities with that beside I for waltz you the sewing -2-order approximation was he went to the newspaper is in deep red 3-order approximation tall and then boy is a biped is the beat 4-order approximation Saw the football game will end at midnight on January 5-order approximation they saw the play Saturday and sat down beside him

tial flow of the connected material conforms to the familiar contextual constraints of the language that make phrasing possible. True meaningful learn ing on the other hand, presupposes both that the learning task is potentially meaningful and that the learner exhibit a meaningful learning set. Thus, irrespective of how much potential meaning may inhere in a given passage of connected discourse, the material is still rotely learned as long as the learner's set is to assimilate it verbatim. In short, because of their rote learn ing set, the subjects of this experiment never had a fair opportunity to demonstrate that meaningful learning of prose inaterial is superior to the rote learning of linguistically connected nonsense.

Consequently, one cannot apply Miller's conclusion that "it is these familiar dependences rather than the meaning that facilitates learning to any situation other than the artificial one involved in verbatim or rote learning. The acquisition of large bodies of knowledge is simply impossible in the absence of meaningful learning. The connectedness of discourse, by making "chunking," pointer undfulfield facilitates learning and retention. but unless learning is also meaningful very little knowledge organized or otherwise can be assimilated

Neobehavioristic Theories of Meaning

The foregoing account of meaning as the differentiated cognitive content elicited by particular symbols or groups of symbols and acquired as the end product of a meaningful learning process is commonly regarded as mentalistic by individuals subscribing to a neobehavioristic theoretical orientation in psychology However the term mentalistic although used opprobrously does not detract in any way from the theoretical cogency of this view of meaning—unless one assumes in advance the axiomatic validity of the behavioristic position A psychological theory has no need to be apol ogetic about assuming the existence of differentiated states of consciousness Nevertheless since this particular view of meaning is connected so intimately with the broader theory of meaningful verbal learning that constitutes the theoretical substructure of the book as a whole there is obviously some ob ligation to indicate more explicitly what advantages it has over leading alternative verbs

As one might reasonably anucipate most neobeliaviorists do not iden uly meaning with a differentiated cognitive experience and the cognitive operations that give rise to such experience. They conceive of it instead as the implicit behavior affective and motor elicited by a sign (Osgood Suo and Tannenbaum 1957). According to C E Osgood s mediational hypothesis the acquisition of meanings involves a conditioning process in which signs as a result of multiple contiguous presentations with their significates eventually come to elicit an implicit fractional portion of the total *response* evoked by the significates. Signs in other words are said to sponse made to significates and the meaning of a sign is therefore held to be coextensive with this implicit fractional response which Osgood calls a represent signod are to report and the meaning of a sign is therefore.

Denotative versus Connotative Meaning

The principal difficulty with the mediational theory is its inability to account for the *denotative* aspects of meaning. The word dog for example elicits a sharply defined and precisely differentiated cognitive experience (meaning) embodying the distinctive or criterial attributes of dogs as distinguished from cats volves human beings and other creatures At the very most a representational mediation process reflective of the most conditionable aspects of the torth behavior instigated by dogs can identify the attitudinal and affective connotations of the word dog. It cannot possibly define its denotative meaning **T** Despite the elucitation of markedly different implicit behavior or dispositions in persons who respectively fear cherish and despise dogs the word dog has the *same* denotative meaning for all three individuals that is it instigates substantially the same differentiated cognitive content. These same implicit responses can also be elicited by many other signs (for instance cat wolf) which have very different implicit (motor affective) responses consistent with the same denotative meaning and the same implicit responses can be elicited by signs with quite different denotative meanings it is clear therefore that an adequate theory of mean ing must define the meaning of a symbol in terms of differentiated cognitive content and the psychological operations that determine such content even if this approach is opprobrously characterized as mentalistic by other theorists.

Cognitive theorists concede of course that the connotative aspects of meaning can be plausibly conceptualized as a fractional implicit response largely affective in nature In fact C k Statis and A W Statis (1957) were able through simple conditioning procedures to invest nonsense syllables with the connotative meanings of already meaningful words However by any standard the more crucial and distinctive aspect of the acquisition of meaning is denotative in nature and this aspect of the meaning phenom enon can hardly be explained by invoking the same mechanism that ac counts for the connotative attributes of words

Osgood's mediational theory of meaning has been broadened recently by both O H Mowrer (1960) and A W Sitais (1961) to include conditioned sensory responses. The word apple according to Mowrer notonly carries the implication of something liked or disliked but also of an object with certain purely sensory qualities. (Nowrer 1960 p 164) On the positive side this modified mediational wew approaches the cognitive position inasmuch as it maintains that words (conditioned stimuli) represent objects by virtue of eliciting part of the same cognitive posiconductoard, escusty responses) evoked by the objects. Once the carrier of meaning is thus identified with substantive conscious content (images) rather than with implicit behavior an adequate basis is established for the differentiated aspects of denotative meaning. Nevertheless significant theoretical

⁷ Under certain conditions of course allitudinal and affective elements may become part of denotative meaning For example in three different cultures where dogs are respectively sizeria abborrent and economically indispensable it is con certable that the associated relevant attitudes and feelings may become incorporated into conceptual content. Under these circumstances, however, they actually con stitute distinctive defining attributes of the concept rather than correct at auticated auticated.

difficulties still remain in the first place it strains credulity to conceive of the cognitive content evoked by a sign or a significate as a sensory response. If conscious experience, miss be equated phenomenologically with motor and glandulitr responses to fit cognitive events into the stimulitis-response paradigm only pseudo-tapprocliment is achieved between the neobelravior istic and cognitive positions. Second as we shall see shortly the mechanism whereby meanings are acquired is not really very analogous to conditioning

The Problem of Representation

A second related difficulty on which mediational theories of meaning tend to founder concerns the very nature of symbolic representation. The very essence of a representational symbolic stabat although it does not resemble its referent in any way it signifies the same thing diat the latter ides after representational learning occurs. According to the mediational view loweer words represent things because they produce in human beings some replica of the actual behavior toward these things as a mediation process. (Osgood Suci and Tannenbaum 19-7 p.7). But the fact that a given symbol evoks an implicit affective or motor response that its a fractional part of the total response that its referent checits does not imply in any way that the symbol actually signifies to the reacting individual what the referent does in the first place what anything significate or symbol primarily signifies to a person who knows it is theobjective explicit sharply defined and distinctive content of awareness it induces in him—in short the kind of awareness that enables lime to distinguish it (referent or symbol) awareness.

Implicit affective or motor behavior however is either not accompanied by any awareness whatoever or is accompanied by awareness that is typcally vague subjective poorly defined and nonlistimetive Further valuat something signifies to a person and what the does or feels about the thing respond to it all either affectively or selectally and if lie does respond the response can hardly be considered distinctive of what the particular and more explicit away both of saying that what representational symbols signify is essentially denotative in nature and of explaining whit is the

Second implicit in the very concept of a representational symbol is it e notion that the person who knows what it means appreciates its representational character and function that is appreciates that it signifies the same thing that the referent does but actually is not the same thing How ever if a symbol merely series as a conditioned stimulius that is it through conditioning it acquires the power to cheft part of the same response that the unconditioned or originally adequate stimulus (the significate) does thereby developing a capability it did not originally possess, it does not, as a result of this process, represent the significate but simply becomes an *ade* quate stimulus in its own right, the conditioned individual, in other words, responds to the symbol as if it *u* ere the significate and has no appreciation whatsoever of its representational character. Indispensable, therefore, to the concept of symbolic representation is some degree of appreciation on the part of the individual who knows what a particular symbol means, that the pattern of stimulation constituting its significate

Conditioning or Cognitive Process

Finally, it is evident that the use of the conditioning paradigm to explain the process whereby representational meaning is acquired constitutes an unwarranted extension of principles that are valid for certain simple kinds of learning to a more complex and qualitatively different kind of learning. This is particularly unfortunate when a more satisfactory explana tion is available.

There are at least three good empirically grounded reasons for believing that the previous description of representational learning as an active cognitive process, exemplifying the basic features of meaningful learning, is more tenable than the conditioning explanation favored by mediational theoretis (a) Human beings generally, apparently even in early childhood and un questionably afterward, understand that everything has a name and that any given name signifies the same things that the referent does It would certainly be remarkable if this general insight were not put to good use in learning the meanings of particular symbols—if the learning of all particular word meanings of this stable generalization in cognitive structure (b) In acquiring word meanings learners give every indication of consciously and actively equating word and referent in meaning. They are also well aware of the fact that although symbol and significate signify the same things, each consists of an enturely different pattern of stimulation (c) Even and often weeks at a time after only a single patting of word and significate These facts are wholly incompatible with either a conditioning or rote learning explanation of acquiring word meanings but are enturely consistent with the available evidence regarding meaningful learning.

with the available evidence regarding meaningful learning The cognitive interpretation of the acquisition of meanings conflicts in no way with the empirical fact that signs like any conditioned stimuli, may *automatically* clicit conditioned *responses* This latter phenomenon, as we have seen previously, probably accounts in part for the connotative mean ing of words Hence, in the acquisition of meanings, the same sign can become both a condutioned sum his for the mighter effective responses resonated with compositive meaning and a representational equivalent of concrete images or of more obstact co-mitive content (denotative meaning). Contiguity in time between symbol and significate is an essential condition for learning each type of meaning, but physica different rule in the acquirution of representational equivalence or denotative meaning (meaningful learning) that in the acquisition of connectative meaning (conditioning).

Other Neobehmioristic Views

Not all neobclassionists of course embrace a mediational concept of meaning B F Skinner (1953) for example handles verbal behavior as simply a linguistic variety of emitted regionse which can be brought unifer simulus control through differential reinforcement and explicitly denices that the concept of merining is necessary or iscili un explaining such behavior. He is completely unioncerned with the problem of whether an emitted verbal response represents the sumulus that conce to client it and hence with the problem of how verbal registerious is learned. The problelem of how meaning is learned is thus solved by denying that meaning exist at the same time kowever the most spinficant aspect of learning and cognition at the human betel is arbitrationly ruled out of existence.

W A Bousfield (1961) adopts a somewhat related position in main taining that the meaning of a world to a given individual is nothing more than his particular pattern of verbal associations with that term. This were lowerer is at the very leav vulnerable to the obvious entites that the verbal associations a word cookes do nor define its denotative meaning One of the commonest verbal associations with may term after all is its semantic opposite.

The view that the learning of word meanings is comparable to the cote learning of parted associates—the significate constituting one term of the part and its corresponding symbol constituting the other term—is widely held but does not warrant separate consideration. All of the difficulties ascribed to the conditioning explanation of the learning process also apply to this yew.

Propositional Meaning

It is in trying to explain how we acquire the meanings engendered by relating ideas to each other that neobeliaviorist encounter their greatest difficulty. The only explaination they can offer to account for the requisition of propositional meaning is that the meaning responses of subject and predicate are reciprocally conditioned to each other (Mower 103-1 1960) One obvious difficulty with this view is that the conditioning paradigm cannot possibly explain the semantic information conveyed by the syntactic functions of words in a sentence Most propositions are both logically and syntactically much more complicated with respect to the subject predicate relationship than O H Mowrers paradigm example of Tom is a thief would have us believe For example on the basis of simple contiguity and conditioning principles alone John hits Mary and Mary hits John should elicit identical meanings

Even more important is the fact that in understanding the meaning of Tom is a thief one is doing much more in a cognitive sense than merely conditioning the meaning response of thief to the subject Tom. We have already considered the shortcomings of the conditioning mechanism in explaining the acquisition of word meanings. In addition, it seems much more plausible to suppose that a specific proposition of this nature derives its meaning from the fact that it can be subsumed as an examplar under the more general existing proposition in cognitive structure that any particular individual may be a thief Further as already pointed out most propositions bear a much more complex relationship to established ideas in cognitive structure and also involve the perception of much more complex syntactic relationships between the component words of a sentence than does Mowrer s example

Acquiring Meanings Informal Learning of Syntax

Linguistically speaking grammar consists in large part of the particular set of syntactic rules that are generally accepted by the users of a language for inflecting words and combining them into sentences. It is in effect a syntactic code consisting among other things of (a) connecting words (prepositions conjunctions) (b) designative words (articles demonstrative adjectives) (c) inflections indicating number gender person case tense mode and mood and (d) word order rules adding relational menning to connected discourse From a psychological standpoint however syntactical rules primarily serve the transactional function of birnging verbally expressed ideas (images and concepts) into relationship with each other in a reliable fashion for the purpose of generating and understanding new ideas. Hence when a group of words are appropriately inflected and combined according to the designated rules the resulting sequence is not only grim matically correct but also communicities the idea that the speaker or writer initends to convey Typically therefore a given word in a sentence both conveys a distinctive denotative meaning and by virtue of its particular syntactical function in the sentence (subject object verb) furmishes additional *weanite* information that contributes to the understanding of propositional meaning As a matter of fact one often needs to know the syntactical function of a word before its denotative meaning can be apprehended as in the case of words of different meaning that sound alike or of certain words that can serve as both nouns and verbs

The principal psychological problems with respect to grammar, then are to specify the cognitive processes involved in generating and understand ing sentences and to discover how children fearn to identify and appropriately use different syntactic categories. Selected aspects of the first problem has already been discussed in some detail earlier in this chapter. The second problem will be considered briefly below.

The informal learning of syntax is a gradual and extended learning process that is comparable to other forms of meaningful learning and reten tion In this case however the structure of the language itself is the learning task or object of learning The grainniar used by young children is obviously different from that of adults but nevertheless manifests a distinctive struc tute of ity own at each particular stage-1 structure that is related in some "reduced fashion to the adult structure from which it is derived (Brown and Fraser, 1963) A complete psychological analysis of the successive syntac tic structures that evolve during early childhood would require specification of the countive processes involved of the relevant variables influencing these processes and of the role played by general characteristics of the prevailing stage of cognitive development. But since the informal acquist tion of syntax is generally completed about two years before children enter school detailed analysis along these fines is obviously beyond the scope of a textbook in educational psychology in any case, the various stoges in the argumention of sontax rise still not completely inderstood* It may be noted lowever that functional mastery of the syntactic code of ones initice lan guage is acquired inductively through extensive practice in decoding the meaning of sentences. Hence once the code is mastered at various levels of ond sucction there is really little further problem of applying such knowl ethe either in understanding (decoding) sentences or in generating (encod

potential meaning to cognitive structure so as to comprehend it. The begin ning reader who is already able to perceive the potential meaning in *spoken* messages must now acquire the same ability in relation to written messages Because the denotative meanings and syntactic functions of the component words he will encounter are already known to hum in their corresponding spoken forms learning to read obviously constitutes a less significant cogni-tive accomplishment than the original learning of the spoken language. In other words the beginning reader is not really learning a *completely new* symbolic code but rather a written equivalent of a familiar spoken code whose basic vocabulary and syntax lie has already mastered

symbolic code but rather a written equivalent of a familiar spoken code whose basic vocabulary and syntax lie has already mastered The most salient psychological characteristic of learning to read there fore is the dependence of the learning process on the previously acquired mastery of the spoken language and on the use of this mastery as a medium for perceiving the potential meaning in written messages. In fact the child learns to read his native language by reconstructing written into spoken messages. He tries to establish representational equivalence between new written words and their already meaningful spoken counterparts. In view of this important mediating function of the spoken language in learning to decipher the meaning of written messages it is theoretically indefensible to teach reading by seeking to establish *direct* equivalences between the new visual symbols and their significates (objects or pictures) Learning to reconstruct written mosspoken messages involves at least two major component steps. First there is the problem of converting written words are not just configurations of visual symbols that *arbitrarily* represent their auditory counterparts Rather there is a more or less lawful relationship between the combination of distinguishable sounds (phonemes) constituting the spoken word and the analogous combination of letters (graphemes) constituting the orresponding written word. The beginning reader must therefore learn how to convert graphemes and combinations of graphemes into their phonemic equivalents and then learn orginning reader must intereore learn now to convert graphemes and combinations of graphemes into their phonemic equivalents and then learn how to coalesce several graphemic combinations and reconstruct them into spoken words. In this latter process of word recognition he is aided by such cues as knowledge of commonly occurring graphemic combinations (prefixes and suffixes) and awareness of the wider context in which the written message is presented

The second step in reconstructing the written message is learning how to combine and convert groups of written words into spoken phrases and sentences By doing this knowledge of the syntactic code of the spoken language can be utilized in perceiving the potential meaning of the written message. The beginning reader in other words is unable to apprelend directly the syntactic functions of the words in the written message in order to perceive its potential propositional meaning therefore he recon

reading (that is prior emphasis on letter recognition and grapheme phoneme correspondences before actual reading practice) makes more psychological sense than teaching children to recognize words as wholes from the outset (the look say method) The phonetic approach makes the problem of word recognition less arbitrary by giving the child a lawful code with which to reconstruct currently meaningfes but potentially meaningful written words into their already meaningful spoken equivalents. Word recognition thus becomes more a matter of rational problem solving than of random guessing that is it becomes a process of lawfully decoding the unknown written word by applying existing knowledge of grapheme phoneme cor respondences with the aid of such additional cues as context. The look say method on the other hand renders written Eglish based for the most pirt on regular and learnable correspondences between graphemes and phonemes into a pictorial nonalphabetic written language like Chinese It is true of course that children who learn to rend by the look say method tend spontaneously to develop some impressions about grapheme plioneme correspondence and to use these impressions about grapheme plioneme correspondence and to use these impressions about grapheme plioneme correspondence and to use these impressions about grapheme plioneme correspondence and to use these impressions about grapheme plioneme correspondence and to use these impressions about grapheme plioneme correspondence and a systematic suitably programmed and guided reception basis hasis

basis The use of an augmented Roman alphabet in teaching reading (Pitman 1961) now in the experimental stage represents a further attempt to capitalize on grapheme phoneme correspondences in helping children to derive meaning from written messages This method seeks to overcome the ambiguites and inconsistencies inherent in the fact that some English graphemes particularly vowels have several phonemic equivalents. It ac complishes this aim by using instructional materials based on an alphabet of 46 graphemes one for each recognizable phoneme in the English Ian guage Yet once children acquire initial facility in reading materials written in the augmented alphabet they apparently experience fittle difficulty in veaking text employing the conventional adphabet (Sebestar 1964). This is not so surprising however when one considers that the use of supplementary ues to simplify the learning process during early stages of acquiring a new cognitive skill does not necessarily create dependence on these same cues after the skill is partially acquired. The beginning reader is much better equipped to cope with irregularities in grapheme phoneme correspondence after mastering the regularities and acquiring a basic vocabulary of written words words

The learning of grapheme ploneme correspondences does not imply that pupils must learn a set of formal rules This would hardly be practicable at the age of initial reading instruction Rather it means providing guided practice in responding plonneally to the more frequently encountered letter

combinitions in words so that the child acquires an intuitive grasp of grapheme phoneme correspondence. He thus eventually becomes capable of responding automatically with the correct phonemic equivalents of the different graphemes and grapheme combinations.

Wholistic methods of teaching reading are sometimes delended on the grounds that mature readers perceive whole words and even phrases at a time rather than individual letters or syllables. This of course is true but totally irrelevant to the point at issue. What applies to skilled readers does not necessarily apply to pupils who are first learning to read. The techniques employed by an expert in performing a complex skill can hardly be recom mended as suitable practice exercises for the novice. The beginning student of Morse code for example thinks in terms of letter units not in terms of larger word and phrase units characterizing the transmitting and receiving operations of the skilled telegraphist

Finally it is important to bear in mind that phonetie and wholistic approaches need not be mutually exclusive procedures either in theory or in practice Vidocates of the phonetic method ordinarily teach whole word recognition of some of the more common words as a means of making possible earlier reading of simple meaningful text and of thereby enhane ing it e beginning readers interest self-confidence and motivation and look say advocates typically introduce varying degrees of phone analysis after their pupils acquire some reading fluency. The difference between the two schools of thought today is largely one of uming and relative emphasis cally and although definitive empirical evidence is still lacking the arguments of the phonetic school in our opinion rest on theoretically more timable ground.

Acquiring Meanings Second Language Learning

Learning a foreign language consists fundamentally in the acquisition of an allitional set of symbols for old familiar meanings according to W learned Just as we learn to read by establishing representational equivalence between new written symbols and familiar already meaningful spoken symbols and by reconstructing written into spoken messages so we learn a new language for establishing representational equivaforeign language symbols (both spoken and written) and their already language using a messages it is evident therefore that the wrind language learner is in a much different pythological position from that of it e native language learner in the first place he has already mastered
the basic vocabulary and the syntactic code of one language Second, he is generally able to read this latter language Lastly, he is capable of com prehending and applying formally stated syntactical propositions. Thus 'the learner approaches the second language with the mechanism of a first language already fixed in his thought and speech, and he is by no means expected to discard or even neglect his native tongue" (W Bernard, 1951, p 89)

The Audiolingual Approach

The great popularity of audiolingual methods in second language learn ing today is more than just an over reaction to previous pedagogic techniques that concentrated almost exclusively on reading, translation, and composition skills and neglected oral comprehension and speaking ability. In part, it is also a reflection of the widespread cultural belief that, because children learn language the "natural" (audiolingual) way, and are apparently much more successful in this enterprise than are older learners who are subjected to more formal reading and grammatical instructional procedures, the audiolingual approach must obviously be the most effective method of ac quiring new languages, and should be used by older learners in second language learning

This line of argument, in our opinion, is vulnerable on two counts. In the first place, on either research or theoretical grounds, it is difficult to substantiate the thesis that children, in learning a native or second language, are, in fact, superior to adolescents and adults in learning second languages. Second even if this were the case, there would still be no good reason for believing that methods which yield satisfactory results with children must necessarily be appropriate for adults. These latter methods are used, after all, not because they are demonstrably more efficacious under all condutons, but because children's cognitive immaturity and lack of certain intellectual skills preclude many approaches that are feasible for older age groups.

Naturalness is a slippery argument because what is natural for one age group is not necessarily natural for another. The point about naturalness would be tenable only if the respective cognitive equipment of second language and native language learners were comparable. Since this is not the case, however, what is natural for one learner is quite unnatural for the other. Because of the aforementioned highly significant changes in cognitive readiness that take place as a result of the learner s mastery of lus native language, certain features of the audiolingual approach are psychologically incompatible with effective learning processes in adults. These features in clude (a) 'direct' learning of second language meanings and syntactical functions that is, avoidance of the mediational role of the native language. of grammaucal generalizations (d) presentation of the spoken form of the language before the written form and (e) insistence on exposing the be ginner to the natural speed rendition of the spoken language (Ausubel 1964a)

ANOTAVED OF THE NATIVE LANGLAGE The audiolingual method seeks in all possible ways to avoid the mediating role of the nature language in second language learning It attempts to accomplish this objective through the role learning of phrases and through the inductive learning of syntactic rules through direct association of second language words and phrases with objects pictures and autoatons rather than with nature language words by grung second language instruction in the target language isself and by proscribing translation practice

Actually it is both unrealistic and inefficient for the learner to try to circumvent the mediating role of his native language when learning a second language. In the first place after early childhood even the

greater part of our own language is learned not by the direct method ie not by the direct association of words and things but indirectly through old known symbols eg by way of symosymm, antonyms definition or context in speech or reading matter Hence it is clear that the direct association of [new] symbols is their respective objects is of necessity totally imadequate for the learn ing of a new language Indeed even where the possibility is offered for a dreat association between the new symbol and the object the old symbols at first always involuntanily intercence (N Bernard 19al pp 819)

In addition it is important to recognize that we learn the new syntactic code by using native language syntax as a model and then noting similarities and differences between the two codes This type of analysis is also best con ducted in the native language. Thus numerous aspects of first language knowledge--the meanings of most concepts the understanding of syntactical citegories and functions facility in using many structural patterns that are nearly identical in the two language-- are directly transferable to second language learning. It would therefore be not only impracticable but also guage

Avoidance of the mediating function of the student's native language in second language learning is customarily justified on two grounds. First it is argued that children do not learn their native language through the mediation of another language. This argument however is completely beside the point because the native language learner does not posses another est of meaningful symbols and hence could not avail himself of their mediat ing influence even if he wanted to When such a set is available however it is unrealistic not to make use of it irrespective of whether the new task is learning to read or learning a second language. Second it is pointed out that the truly bilingual individual thinks directly in the second language rather than translating from his native tongue. It must be realized however, that al though this latter state of affairs is generally true it is a reflection of a terminal state of second language proficiency and does not describe the learning situation when the bilingual individual is a beginning student

What is usually lost sight of by those who argue for the direct method and for immediate direct reading is that to grasp the thought directly from the written page without the intercention of the mother tongue presents already an advanced stage of achievement and that the fundamental thing is first to learn the meaning of the numerous individual words or phrases that constitute the page. There is the obvious confluxion here of the means with the end of the immediate with the ultimate objective. True we want our students to read the foreign language directly and fluently with the least possible interference from the mother tongue. But this ar, or ought to be the final result, the goal and and of our teaching and not necessarily the means of achieving it. How can we expect learners to read a foreign language directly and fluently when this is the very thing we expect them to acquire as a result of our teaching (W. Bernard 1951 p. 95)?

ROTE LEARNING OF PHRASES Because young children are explicitly un aware of syntactic functions and categories it is often assumed that their language capability consists of rote verbal habits Actually, however, the ability to understand and generate sentences implies even in children a meaningful learning process in which there is at least some implicit aware ness of the denotative and syntactucal contributions of component words to the total meaning of the sentence In older learners this awareness particul larly in second language learning, exists on a much more explicit and abstract basis and hence meaningful learning is an even more important considera tion in teaching them than in teaching children

The audiolingual approach, however, tends to assume that second lan guage learning both in children and adufts is largely a process of rote verbal learning Both in pattern practice drills and memorized dialogue practice¹⁰ there is either no awareness of plirase meaning whatsoever or, at the very best awareness of total plirase meaning. Thus the learner understands neither the syntactic functions of the *component* words nor the denotative and syntactical contributions of the *individual* words to the total meaning of the phrase. A purely arbitrary (rote) rather than lawful or meaningful relationship prevails between phrase meaning and component elements of the phrase.

¹⁰ Pattern practice dnlls consust of practice in repeating phrases illustratue of a particular grammatical construction and in making simple substitutions and transformations in such phrases that further exemplify the same construction with only slight changes in meaning ln memorized dialogue practice students rotely learn and practice the phrases they use in carsping on a consersation.

Under these circumstances it is hardly surprising that particular gram matical patterns can be emitted perfectly in a familiar and structurally limited context or that simple substitutions, transformations and elaborations can be made but that new words in a wider, unfamiliar context cannot be fitted into the learned pattern, or that the same words and syntactical categories cannot be recombined in different patterns to express different ideas. In contrast, the principal transferable objective which truly meaningful pattern practice should aim to achieve is precise knowledge of the syntactical func tion of each word and of its semantic contribution to total phrase meaning When the learner manifests this knowledge, it is possible for him (a) to construct a structurally comparable phrase expressive of an entirely differ ent idea in which each component word bears a syntactical relationship to total phrase meaning that is analogous to the set of relationships prevail ing between component words and total plurase meaning in the learned model phrase, and (b) to recombine familiar words and known syntactic functions in the learning of new grammatical patterns

The remedy, therefore is not to eliminate pattern practice drills but to make them more meaningful. Second language learning obviously requires overlearning of the basic and characteristic structural patterns of the lan guage But unless the learner appreciates the precise relationship between the virbal manipulations he practices and the changes in meaning that he induces hy such manipulation due practice is not very transferable.

INSULTINF LEARNING OF GRANNATICAL KLES. Pattern practice drills seek to duplicate in second language learning the process whereby children attain switzetical masters of their native language. What is primarily striven for is a functional initiutive grasp of syntax after inducing much manipula tive expense with the major structural patterns of the language. Gram matical generalizations are provided, if at all, only after the principles in question; are acquired on an inductive intuitive, basis and are rendered strutually automatic.

Young children of course, have to learn syntactucal rules through an inductive process of discovering various linguistic regularities in the multi form language patterns to which they are rejetitively exposed. Grammatical generalizations would make absolute in o sense shausoveer to them since they are manifestly incapable of understanding complex relationships be availed and innecessity when we deal with older learners who are perfectly capable of comparison gaburate syntactic propositions. It takes a long time to discover grammatical rules autonomously and inductively, and until long as these rules are hown ofly instance, and inductively, and until long as these rules are hown ofly instances propositions. It raises ability to comparable situations is restricted to what is analogically quite similar and obsours. Deductive use of grammatical generalizations, on the other hand, is decidedly more efficient in second language learning No time is wasted in discovery, and both the generalization and the experience of applying it to appropriate exemplars are transferable from the very beginning of practice As a precisely, explicitly, and abstractly stated proposition, a grammatical generalization also has wider transferablity to new situations

PRIOR PRESENTATION OF MATERIALS IN SPOKEN FORM A cardinal prin ciple of the audiolingual approach is that instructional materials should be presented in their spoken form before they are presented in their written form and that listening and speaking skills should be acquired before read ing and writing skills

The major rationale offered for this order of skill acquisition is that it is the 'natural' order in which children learn their native language. But because a child has to learn how to speak and understand his native tongue before he can read it, it does not necessarily follow that once he knows how to read he has to observe the same sequence of events in learning a second language. Once any new skill such as reading is learned it can obviously be used as a tool in acquiring new knowledge. It is unnatural to expect that after an individual becomes hierate he will learn in the same way as when he was illuerate.

A second reason for advocating this order of learning is the belief that it can lead to direct reading in the second language II is maintained that if various items of second language material can be understood and spoken they can also be read without any explicit practice in reading as such This would have the additional presumed advantage of avoiding any tendency to translate the material as it was being read. The available research evidence (Agard and Dunkel 1948) indicates however, that audiolngual and reading skills are separate and independently developed capabilities. Although practice in one is partly transferable to the other especially at higher levels of proficiency considerable specific transing in each skill is required for the acquisition of competence ¹¹

Still a third reason for advocating prior presentation of materials in spoken form is the possibility that the written form of the second language

¹¹ Evidence from the same investigation indicates that the audiolingual approach also fails to accomplish its other principal objective namely to enable students to acquire higher levels of speaking and oral comprehension skills than are customarily acquired in conventional foreign language courses. In a more recent and better controlled study however G A Scherer and M Vertheimer (1964) found that the audiolingual method does enhance ability to speak and think in German although traditionally trained students are superior in writing skills and in translating from German nuo English. No lasting differences were found in read ing and listeming skills on ability to translate from English into German.

will generate phonulogical interference from the native language because the same graphenics often have different phonemic values. On the other hand, it can be plausably argued that the individual soonic or later has to learn to associate graphenics in the second language with their phonemic equivalents, and that he may as well confirme this first language interference and learn to overcome it from the very beginning ¹²

Turning now to the other side of the argument, two defensible reasons can be advanced for preventing written and spoleri materials in the second language both alternately and concommandly. First, in our culture, adolercents and adults are liabituated to learning must new ideas and subjectmatter content by reashing rather than by interming. Thus a pure antiolongical approach deprives the older learner of his principal learning iteol and of the maruerized and the leels most comfortable and cunfident. This is particularly unfurturate during the early phases of matriction when learning strews tend to be greatest.

Second, prior familiarization with and simultaneous exposute to the written form of the material can serve as helphil puips to the early stages of acquiring oral comprehension skills. Because of unhamiliarity with new sounds, with atypical sequences of sounds, and with the characteristic word order and spinateneous factors of the second language, it is very difficult for the beginner to distinguish individual words, inflectional forms, and groups of words from listening alone. Hence, he often fails not only to grasp the meaning of the spoke material, but also to appreciate its syntactical structure well enough for purposes of transfer simultaneous reading can furnish the necessary cues for meaning in dgrasp of syntactic structure while hiering skills are being disculpted. As oral comprehensium increases, patieular passages can be omitted in the written lesson and eventually the written material will not be needed at all.

VATURAL SPLED REVIETION' OF THE SPOKEN LANCUAGE. In the autholin gual approach, beginners vie (spically exposed to the "natural specific rendstion of the spoken language—proximable to accusion them to the "natural rhythm of the language. It is posited out that children eventually learn to understand their native tongue under comparable circumsinners. In terms of gam per unit of learning time, however, it should be self-evident that practice un insering improves oral comprehension ability primarily insofat as what is heard is abounderstood. Thus, it the sample of speech to which the learner listens is too rapid for him to understand, it does hild to under the learner listens is too rapid for him to understand, it does hild to under the learner listens is too rapid for him to understand.

¹² The only direct evidence bearing on this issue is a study by Pyper (1964) indicating that oral pre practice with various phonemic sequences in beginning framits pro to the presentation of written materials, does not significantly enhance initial pronunciation ability.

tien to speaking and other listening stuations bale to distinguish the major structural patters well enough to transfer bale to distinguish the major structural patters well mough to transfer the second structural the spoken language. Furthermore, even the structural second structura second structural second structura second structura

Hence, since learning to comprehend the spoken language is a very gradual process, it should undoubtedly be assisted in the beginning by means of a slower rate of speech that is progressively accelerated as oral com prehension improves. Artificial simplification is always pusufiable during the presented to the beginner, he can, of course, be exposed to a slowed down presented to the beginner, he can, of course, be exposed to a slowed down presented to the beginner.

Language and Cognitrye Tunctioning

Although preverbal cognitive functioning does exist and characterizes the behavior and thought of infinituman organisms and of young children, it plays a relatively minor role in solvol learning. For all practical puposes, the acquisition of subject matter knowledge depends on verbal and other forms of symbolic learning. In fact, it is largely because of language and symbolization that most complex forms of cognitive functioning became symbolization that most complex forms of cognitive functioning become and the acquisition of a state of the set of the second symbolization that most complex forms of cognitive functioning become symbolization that most complex forms of a state of an event of a state of the set of the set of the second second symbolization that most complex forms of a state of a state of a symbolization that most complex forms of a state set of symbolization that most set of a state of the second symbolization that most complex forms of a state set of symbolization that most complex forms of a state set of symbolization that most set of the set of

Translation of experience into synthetic computation matter the computation of experience rule synthetic computation opens up realms of inside lectual possibility that are orders of magnutude leyond the most powerful inside lectual possibility that are orders of magnutude leyond the most powerful inside lectual possibility that are orders of magnutude leyond the most powerful inside lectual possibility that are orders of an are necessful an internalizing itangat a cognitive matematic the corner possible for turn to represent and systemateally transform the regulations of experience with greater power and flexibility than before (Bruner 1964 app 1314)

1955) and concept formation (Lacey, 1961, Weir and Stevenson, 1959) sug gest that the superiority of verbal learning to preverbal cognitive function ing is attributable to the fact that symbolic learnings can be identified, transformed and differentially responded to much more efficiently than can the stimuli or situations represented by the symbols ¹² Linally, by this age the child has also mastered the syntax of language sufficiently well to understand and generate fairly complex propositional statements

Parallel analysis of the development of language and thought (Inhelder and Praget, 1958 Vigotsky 1962) also suggests that growth in logical thinking is in large measure tied to growth in language capability. On purely theoretical grounds it would be difficult indeed to deny some degree of causal relationship between such linguistic developments as symbolical representation the mastery of syntax the internalization of language, and the acquisition of more abstract and relational terms on the one hand, and such developments in cognitive functioning as the internalization of logical oper ations emergence of the ability to understand and manipulate relationships between abstractions without lie benefit of current or recent concrete empirical experience and attainment of the capacity to think, in terms of hypothetical relations between variables on the other hand (see Chapter 5)

Much of the failure to appreciate the important facilitative role of language in cognitive functioning is of course a reflection of the view popularized by the progressive education movement that verbal learning necessarily consists of rotely memorized glib verbalisms. In large part how ever it also reflects confusion between the labeling and process functions of language G Hendrix for example argues that in the natural order of events the abstraction comes first and then a name for it is invented (Hen drix 1950 p 335) According to her the understanding and discovery of ideas is completely a subverbal internal process the entire substance of an idea purportedy inheres in subverbal insight Language only enters the picture because of the need to attach a symbol or label to the emerging subverbal insight so that it can be recorded verified classified and commu nicated to others Verbalization she asserts further (1947) is not only un necessary for the generation and transfer of ideas and understanding but is also positively harmful when used for these purposes The resulting problem then becomes one of how to plan and execute teaching so that language

¹⁰ As we shall see later (Chapters 4 and 14) in discussing the relevant research verbalization is an important factor in transferring learned principles to new problem solving situations even those of a motor or medianical nature. These finding schallenge the widely accepted tenet of progressive education that verbal earning is necessarily role in character and that only nonrepresentational experi ence is transferable from one problem solving situation to another

and precision—the level of abstraction that could be achieved if concepts were unnamed. The naming of ideas therefore is a significant prerequisite for their later use in conceptualization and other forms of thinking except of course in the case of generating new concepts and propositions at a very low level of abstraction.

Second language plays an important role in verhalizing or encoding into sentences the new intuitive or subserbal product (concept or proposition) that emerges from the transformational operations involved in thinking Verbalizing subverbal ideas (*expressing* them verhally in propositional form as opposed to the later act of naming them) is a refining process that results in their becoming much clearer more explicit more precise and more sharply delineated. It is therefore a serious mistake to believe that the *entire* substance of an idea as well as all of its transfer power inliers in its subverbal form as Hendrix asserts (1950). The old philosophical notion that verbalization merely mirrors thought or clothes it in outer garments is charmingly poetic but has little psychological utility or explanatory value today. By means of its significant refining functions verbalization adds a great deal both to the meaning and transferability of the products of thought and thus must be considered an integral part of the process of thniking.

In conclusion therefore it can be stated that language contributes in two important ways to concept formation and problem solving First the representational properties of words facilitate the transformational processes involved in thought Second, verbalization of the emerging subverbal prod ucts of these operations prior to naming them refines and enhances their meanings and thereby increases their transfer power. In a larger sense how ever acquisition of language also enables developing liuman beings to ac quire through reception learning and to use in discovery learning a vast repertoire of concepts and principles they could never discover by themselves in their own lifetimes. This is the case because the human capacity for representational symbolism and verbalization make possible both (a) the original generation (discovery) of ideas at a uniquely high level of abstraction gen erality and precision and (b) the cumulation and transmission of these ideas during the course of cultural history The scope and complexity of the ideas acquired through reception learning make possible and foster in turn a level of individual cognitive development that would be utterly incon ceivable in the absence of language

Chapter 3

MEANINGFUL RECEPTION LEARNING AND RETENTION

Now THAT THE NATURE OF MEANING and the process of ac quiring meanings have been described we shall want to consider the psychological mechanisms whereby large quirinities of subject matter knowledge are retained in cognitive structure over extended periods of time. How is such knowledge assimilated and organized in cognitive structure and why is it subsequently forgotten? Is there more than one valid explanation for the discrepancy between learned and remembered content that is are there different kinds of forgetting? And lastly how does meaningful learning as a process differ from rote learning and why does it yield superior learning and retention? Before turning to these latter problems however it will be useful to reeximine some of the more saltent properties of meaningful re ception learning insmitch as this type of learning underlies the acquisition of most subject matter knowledge

The Nature of Meaningful Reception Learning

We have already indicated that the acquisition of subject matter knowl edge is primarily a manifestation of reception learning. That is the prin cipal content of what is to be learned is typically presented to the learner in more or less final form. Under these circumstruces the learner is simply required to comprehend the material and to incorporate it into his cognitive structure so that it is available for either reproduction related learning or problem solving at some future date.

Yet few pedagogic devices in our time have been repudiated more in equivocally by educational theorists than the method of expository verbal instruction It is fashionable in many quarters to characterize verbal learn ing as parrot like recitation and rote memorization of isolated facts and to dismiss it disdainfully as an archaic remnant of discredited educational tra dition In fact quite apart from whatever intrinsic value they may possess many educational innovations and movements of the past three decadesactivity programs project and discussion methods various ways of maxi mizing nonverbal and manipulative experience in the classroom emphasis on self discovery and on learning for and by problem solving-owe their origins and popularity to widespread dissatisfaction with the techniques of verbal instruction. It is commonly accepted today for example (at least in the realm of educational theory) that (a) meaningful generalizations cannot be presented or given to the learner but can be acquired only as a product of problem solving activity (Brownell and Hendrickson 1950) and (b) all attempts to master verbal concepts and propositions are forms of empty verbalism unless the learner has recent prior experience with the realities to which these verbal constructs refer (Brownell and Hendrickson 1950 Brownell and Sims 1946)

Adequate reasons of course exist for some of the disenchantment with expository teaching and reception learning. The most obvious of these is that notwithstanding repeated policy declarations of educational organization tions to the contrary potentially meaningful subject matter is frequently presented to pupils in such a way that they can only learn it rotely Another less obvious but equally important reason why meaning is perceived as an exclusive product of problem-solving and discovery techniques of learning stems from two serious shortcomings of prevailing learning theory First psychologists have tended to subsume many qualitatively different kinds of learning processes under a single explanatory model As a result widespread confusion exists regarding basic distinctions between reception and discovery learning and between rote and meaningful learning. It has not al ways been sufficiently clear for example that such categorically different types of learning as problem solving and the understanding of presented verbal material have different objectives and that conditions and instructional techniques facilitating one of these learning processes are not necessarily relevant or maximally efficient for the other Second in the absence of an appropriate theory of meaningful verbal learning many educational psychologists have tended to interpret long term subject matter learning and forgetting in terms of the same conrepts (stimulus generalization retroactive interference) used to explain laboratory forms of rote learning. It is hardly surprising therefore that reception learning has been widely perceived as without prior discovery experience or problem solving activity and that the invariable rote outcomes attributed to the method of expository verbal in struction do not inlicer in the method itself but are derived from various misapplications

Is Reception Learning Meaningful?

How valid is the contention that abstract concepts and generalizations are forms of empty meaningless verbalism unless the learner discovers them autonomously from his own concrete empirical problem solving experi ence? Careful analysis of this proposition reveals that it rests on three logical fallacies (a) the prevailing tendency to confuse the reception discovery dimension of the learning process with the rote meaningful dimension (b) a straw man representation of the method of reception learning and (c) un warranted generalization of the distinctive developmental conditions of learning and thinking during childhood to adolescence and adult life. The first of these fallacies has already been considered in some detail in Chapter 2

The use of the straw man technique was of course the simplest and most effective way of discreding the method of verbal exposition Instead of describing this pedagogic procedure in terms of its essential character sitics it became fashionable to picture it in terms of its worst abuses. Examples of such abuses were naturally not difficult to find since an appreciable number of teachers sull rely on rote verbal learning in teaching potentially meaningful subject matter. Some of the more flagrantly inept practices include premature use of pure verbal techniques with cognitively immature pupils arbitrary presentation of unrelated facts without any organizing or explanatory principles failure to integrate new learning tasks with previously presented materials and the use of evaluation procedures that merely measure ability to recognize discrete facts or to reproduce ideas in the same words or in the identical context as originally encountered

Although it is entirely proper to caution teachers against these frequent misuses of expository teaching it is not legitimate to represent them as in literent in the method itself. An approach to instruction which on logical and psychological grounds appears appropriate and efficient should not be discarded as unworkable simply because like all pedagogic techniques in the hands of incompetent or unintelligent teachers it is subject to misuse It would seem more reasonable to guard against the more common mis applications and to relate the expository method to relevant theoretical principles and research findings that actually deal with the long term learn ing and retention of large bodies of potentially meaningful verbally presented materials

Some representatives of the progressive education movement speak with

disdain about the school's role of imparting knowledge contrasting it with the allegedly more desirable role of helping children learn by themselves They assert that the former role ts a paltry one and that it invariably re sults in the learning of glib and meaningless verbalisms. This of course is not necessarily true provided that the obvious abuses of expository instruction are avoided Verbal exposition is actually the most efficient way of teaching subject matter and leads to sounder and less trivial knowledge than when pupils serve as their own pedagogues Thus the art and science of presenting ideas and information effectively-so that clear stable and unambiguous meanings emerge and are retained over a long period of time as an organized body of knowledge-is really one of the principal functions of pedagogy This is a demanding and creative rather than a routine or mechanical task. The job of selecting organizing presenting and translating subject matter content in a developmentally appropriate manner re quires more than a rote listing of facts If it is done properly it is the work of a master teacher and is hardly a task to be disdained

Finally it is important to appreciate that various developmental con siderations limiting the meaningfulness of reception learning during child hood do not apply during adolescence and adult life It is true of course that learners who have not yet developed beyond the concrete operational stage of cognitive development are unable meaningfully to incorporate within their cognitive structures a relationship between two or more sec ondary abstractions unless they have the benefit or some current or recent concrete-empirical props (Inhelder and Piaget 1958) Thus during the concrete operational stage roughly covering the elementary school period chill dren are restricted by this degree of dependence on concrete-empirical experience to a semi abstract intuitive understanding of abstract propositions Such learners cannot comprehend or meaningfully manipulate in problem solving verhally or symbolically expressed abstract propositions without the aid of concrete-empirical props and even then their understanding tends to be intuitive and somewhat particularistic rather than precise explicit and truly abstract Reception learning at this stage is also limited by the lack of higher-order abstract concepts in cognitive structure to which large amounts of information may be related and by the lack of transactional terms for relating ideas to each other

These limitations obviously curtail rather drastically the scope of expository teaching and reception learning Nevertheless even during the elementary school years autonomous discovery is not indispensable for intunite understanding and need not constitute a routine part of pedagogic technique k every elementary school teacher knows meaningful verbal reception learning—without any problem solving or discovery experience whatsoever—is pethap the commonset form of classroom learning provided that it e necessary concretenemental prop size available During the obstract strge of cognitive development however beginning in the junior high school period students can acquire most new concepts and leram most new propositions by directly grasping higher-order relation slips between abstractions (Inhelder and Praget 1958). To do so meaning fully they need no longer depend on current or recent concrete empirical props and hence are able to bypass completely the initiative type of under standing reflective of such dependence. In large measure this development reflects the availability of an adequate body of higher-order abstractions and transactional terms. Expository instruction thus becomes much more feasible Through reception learning students can proceed directly to a level of *abstract* understanding that is qualitatively superior to the initiative level in terms of generality clarity precision and explicitness. At this stage of development therefore properly arranged verbal reception learning is highly meaningful and lence it is unnecessary routinely to introduce concrete empirical props or time consuming discovery techniques in order to make possible or to enhance *initiative* understanding of abstract propositions.

This is the point at which some of the more zetilous proponents of progressive education took a disastrously false turn John Dewey had cor rectly recognized that understanding of abstract concepts and principles in childhood must be built on a foundation of direct concrete empirical ex perience and for this reason advocated the use of project and activity meth ods in the elementary school But he also appreciated that once a firmly grounded first story of abstract understandings was established it is possible to organize secondary and higher education along more abstract and verbal lines Unfortunately however although Dewey himself never claborated or implemented this latter conception some of his disciples took precisely the opposite position. They blindly generalized childhood limiting condutions with respect to meaningful abstract reception learning broadly enough to encompass learning over the entire life span. And this unvarianted extrapolation frequently but erroneously attributed to Dewey himself provided an apparent rationale for and thus helped perpetuate the seemingly inde structible myth that under any and all circumstances abstractions cannot possibly be meaningful unless preceded by direct empirical experience

Is Meaningful Reception Learning Passive?

The acquisition of meanings through meaningful reception learning is far from being a passive kind of cognitive process. Much activity is obviously involved but not the kind of activity characterizing discovery Activity and discovery are not spionymous in the realm of cognitive functioning. Merely because potential meanings are presented we cannot assume that they are necessarily acquired and that all subsequent loss is reflective of forgetting Before meanings can be retained they must first be acquired and the process of acquisition is typically active Neither can we assume that reception learn ing is necessarily more passive and mechanical than independent data gath ering and interpretation. The unmotivated student who gathers and inter prets data manifests no greater intellectual activity than the unmotivated student who receives expository instruction. The motivated student on the other hand, reflectuel) considers teworks and integrates new material into his cognitive structure irrespective of how he obtains it.

Thus meaningful reception learning involves more than the simple cataloguing of ready made concepts within existing cognitive structure. In the first place at least an implicit judgment of relevance is usually required in deciding which established ideas in cognitive structure are most relatable to a new learning task. Second some degree of reconciliation between new ideas and similar established ideas is often necessary to differentiate between them particularly if there are discrepancies or conflicts Third new propositions are customarily reformulated to blend into a personal frame of reference consonant with the learner's experiential background vocabulary and structure of ideas Lastly if the learner in the course of meaningful reception learning cannot find an acceptable basis for reconciling appar ently or genuinely contradictory ideas he is sometimes inspired to attempt a degree of synthesis or reorganization of his existing knowledge under more inclusive and broadly explanatory principles. He may either seek such propositions in more recent or sophisticated expositions of a given topic or under certain circumstances may try to discover them himself

All of this activity (except for the last mentioned) however stops short of actual discovery or problem solving. Since the substance of the learning task is essentially presented the activity involved is limited to that required for effectively assimilating new meanings and integrating them into existing cognitive structure. This is naturally of a qualitatively different order than that involved in independently discovering solutions to new problems—in autonomously reorganizing new information and existing ideas in cognitive structure in such a way as to satisfy the requirements of a given problem situation

The extent to which meaningful reception learning is active depends in part on the fearners need for integrative meaning and on the vigorousness of his selfcritical factually. He may suftler alternpt to integrate a new proposition with all of his existing relevant knowledge or remain content with establishing its relatedness to a single idea Similarly he may endeavor to trandate the new proposition mito terminology consistent with his own vocabulary and ideational background or remain satisfied with incorporat ing it a presented. Finally he may struce for the acquisition of precise and unambiguous meanings or may be completely satisfied with side diffuse diffuse origons. The mun danger in meaningful reception learning is not so much that the learner will frankly adopt a rote approach bur rather that he will de lunde limiself into believing that he has really grasped precise intended mean ings when he has grasped only a vague and confused set of empty verbalisms It is not so much that he does not want to understand but that he lacks the necessary self critical ability and is unwilling to put forth the necessary active effort in struggling with the material in looking at it from different angles in reconciling and integrating it with related or contradictory knowledge and in reformulating it from the standpoint of his own frame of reference He finds it casy enough to manipulate words glibly so as to create a spurious impression of knowledgeability and thereby to delude himself and others into linking that he truly understands when he really does not

A central task of pedagogy therefore is to develop ways of facilitating an active variety of reception learning characterized by an independent and critical approach to the understanding of subject matter. This involves in part the encouragement of motivations for and self critical attitudes toward acquiring precise and integrated meanings as well as the use of other tech inques directed toward the same end Precise and integrated understandings are presumably more likely to develop if the central unifying ideas of a discipline are learned before more peripheral concepts and information are introduced if the limiting condutions of general developmental readiness are observed if precise and accurate definition is stressed and emphasis is placed on delineating similarities und differences between related concepts and if learnets are required to reformulate new propositions in their own words All of these latter devices come under the heading of pedagogic tech inques that promote an active type of meaningful reception learning Teach ess can help foster the related objective of assimilating subject matter crit ically by encouraging students to recognize and challenge the assumptions underlying new propositions and to distinguish between facts and hypoth ess and so be made of Socratic questioning in exposing pseudo understanding in transmitting precise meanings in reconciling contradictions and in en *couraging a centeed to twowed knowledge*

The Assimilation Process in the Acquisition Retention and Organization of Knowledge

To account more completely for the acquisition retention and orga nization of meanings in cognitive structure it is necessary at this point to introduce the further principle of assimilation. This can be done more clearly by making parallel reference to a diagrammatic representation of it (Table 3) that uses formal symbols and depicts successive stages in the ac quivition and retention of a subordurite meaning. His is the type of mean ing that results when a potentially meaningful concept or proportion a cati be subsumed under i nore, indiantic extablished idea. I in cognitive structure as an example extension elaboration, modification or qualification of the established idea is for example when students in our culture are first introduced to the out unaits? Buddhats concept of son they presumably tend to subsume it as a wordfield variant under its estiblished Judeo-Cliristian counterpart in their cognitive structures. As suggested in Chapter 2 the new meaning a' illust emerges when a is related to and inter icts with A in this fashion is the product of this interaction between them and its itself a differentiated cognitive content.

Stating the case more piecisely however, the actual or total interac tional product of the new idea and the established idea as shown in Table 3 is hypothesized as being creater and more complex than is originally described. This is where the concept of assimilation enters the picture-an aspect of the meaningful learning process that was deliberately not introduced earlier to avoid overcomplicating the issue univeressarily at that point In the first place not only the new potentially meaningful idea a, but the established idea A to which it is related is changed as well by the interac tional process this is indicated in Table 5 by the use of the prime sign in each case Second and more important both interactional products a' and A' remain in relationship to each other as linked comembers of a new composite ideational unit or ideational complex, A a' In the more complete sense of the term therefore the actual interactional product of the meaning ful learning process is not just the new meaning a', which is really only a partial product of the interaction but the entire new ideational complex The additional term assimilation is thus needed to refer to the further hypothesis that even after the new meaning emerges it continues to remain in linked relationship to the slightly modified form of the established idea in cognitive structure-as the less stable co-member of the new identional unit so formed-and hence to remain within the ideational orbit of the estabhshed idea A later aspect of the assimilation process, to be discussed below, that provides further justification for the use of the term assimilation as it is commonly understood is the hypothesized tendency for the new mean ing to be reduced to the meaning of the more established idea

The Explanatory Value of Assimilation

The assimilation hypothesis has explanatory value at this point be cause it helps account both for the memorial longevity of meaningfully learned ideas and for the way in which knowledge is organized in cognitive structure Assimilation could concervably enhance retention in iltree differ

| | | Stages in the of a Subor to its D | e Learning and Retention dimate life in Relation issociability Strength |
|------|---|---|--|
| - | MEANINGFUL LEARNING OR ACQUISITION OF SUBORDINATE MLANING a' | New, Potentially Meaningful Idea a | related to Established Interactional and Idea A Froduct assimilated by Structure $A' a'$ |
| п | POST LEARNING AND EARLY RETENTION OF MEANING a' | New meaning a' is dissociable from A' a' | (lugh dissociability strengih) |
| E | LATLR RETENTION OF MEANING a' | Gradual loss of dissociability of a' from A' a' | d' a' ≠ A' + a' (low dissociability strength) |
| N IV | FORGLTTING OF MLANING a' | a is no longer effectively dissociable from A a' | Dissocability of a' from A' a' is below the threshold of avarlability a' is reduced to A' |

ent ways First by becoming anchored so to speak to a modified form of a highly stable existing idea in cognitive structure. the new meaning vicar iously shares the stability of the litter's Second this type of anchoring, by continuing during storage the original nonarburary relationship between the new idea and the established idea also protects the new meaning from the interference exerted by previously learned concurrently experienced and subsequently encountered similar ideas. This interference is what is so damaging when learning material is a biturarily related to cognitive structure Lastly the fact that the new meaningful idea is stored in linked relationship to the particular idea(s) in cognitive structure to which it is most relevant (that is to the idea(s) to which it was originally related in acquiring its meaning) presumably makes retrieval a less arbitrary and more systematic process

The assimilation hypothesis can also help explain how knowledge is organized in cognitive structure. If new ideas are stored in linked relation into correspondingly relevant existing ideas in cognitive structure and if it is also true both that one member of the linked pair is typically super ordinate to or more inclusive than the other and that the superordinate member (at least once it is established) is the more stable member of the pair then it necessarily follows that the cumulative residue of what is learned retained and forgotten (the psychological structure of knowledge or cognitive structure as a whole) conforms to the organizational principle of progressive differentiation. Thus if the principle of assimilation were actually operative in the storage of meaningful ideas it would then be quite understandable why an individuals organization of the content of a particular subject matter discipline in liss own mind exemplifies a hterar chically ordered pyramid in which the most inclusive and broadly explana tory ideas occupy a position at the apex of the pyramid and subsume

It should also be noted that the term assumblation has been used here in the narrow sense of the term to apply to the lankage of the emergent new meaning with the anchoring died for storage and to the later reduction process. It would also be legumate to include the earlier aspects of the meaningful learning process (in which the new idea is related to and mitrati with the established idea) as part of assumlation too in the broader sense of the term. This broader usage is not only consistent with has a submitted of the term that also consistent with the fact that the linkage of the new meaning with the anthoning idea necessarily implies that the potentially meaningful idea is *first* related to and interacts with the established idea

¹ It will be convenient henceforth to refer to the established relevant idea A in cognitive structure to which the new potentially meaningful idea a is related as the anchoring idea Suricity spealing however the actual anchoring idea is and A are not every different from each other. It is important to bear in mind that it is not a that is anchored to A but rather a (the meaning of a)

progressively less inclusive, or more highly differentiated, ideas, each linked to the next higher step in the hierarchy through assimilative bonds

As suggested above, the assimulation or anchoring process probably has a generally facilitating effect on retention. However, to explain how newly assimilated meanings actually become available during the retention period, it is necessary to assume that for a variable period of time they are dissociable from their anchoring ideas, and hence are reproducible as in dividually identifiable entities. Thus, as shown in Table 3, the newly learned and assimilated meaning a' is initially dissociable from its linked relation ship to anchoring idea A', the interactional product A'a', in other words, dissociability or dissociability strength is at a maximum immediately after learning, and therefore that new meanings, in the absence of direct or in direct practice, are maximally available at that time

Memorial Reduction

The attractiveness of the assimilation process inheres not only in its ability to account for the superior retention of meaningfully learned ideas. but also in the fact that it implies a plausible mechanism for the subsequent forgetting of these ideas, namely, the gradual reduction of their meanings to the meanings of the corresponding anchoring ideas to which they are hnked Thus, although the retention of newly learned meanings is enhanced by anchorage to relevant established ideas in the learner's cognitive struc ture, such knowledge is still subject to the erosive influence of the general reductionist trend in cognitive organization Because it is more economical and less burdensome merely to retain the more stable and established anchoring concepts and propositions than to remember the new ideas that are assimilated in relation to them, the meaning of the new ideas tends to be assimilated or reduced, over the course of time to the more stable mean ings of the established anchoring ideas Immediately after learning, therefore, when this second or obliterative stage of assimilation begins, the new ideas become spontaneously and progressively less dissociable from their anchoring ideas as entities in their own right, until they are no longer available and are said to be forgotten When the dissociability strength of a' falls below a certain critical level (the threshold of availability), it is no longer effectively dissociable from A'a' (in other words is no longer retrievable) Eventually zero dissociability is reached, and A'a' is further reduced to A' itself, the original anchoring idea

The concept of a variable threshold of availability is useful because it can explain transitory fluctuations in availability that are attributable to general cognitive or motivational variables (attention, anxiety, change of set or context, release of repression) without any change in dissociability strength (the intrinsic strength of the item in inemory) itself. By the same token it explains why items of low dissociability strength, that are ordinarily not available under typical conditions of consciousness, are available under hypnosis, and why such items can be recognized but not recalled

Forgetting is thus a continuation or later temporal phase of the same assimilative process underlying the availability of newly learned ideas. And the same nonarbitrary relatability to a relevant established idea in cogni tive structure that is necessary for the meaningful learning of a new idea and that leads to its enhanced retention through the process of anchoring the emergent meaning to that of the established idea, provides the mecha nism for most later forgeting

This process of memorial reduction to the least common denominator capable of representing cumulative prior ideational experience (to the relevant established ideas) is very similar to the reduction process characterizing concept formation A single abstract concept is more manipulable for cognitive purposes than the dozen diverse instances from which its commonality is abstracted, and, similarly, stable and established ideas in cognitive structure are also more functional for future learning and problem solving operations when stripped of the less stable meanings they have assimilated Hence, barring repetition or some other special reason (for example, primacy, uniqueness enhanced discriminability, or the availability of a specially relevant clear, and stable anchoring idea) for the perpetuation of their dissociability, newly learned ideas that are related to established ideational systems tend gradually and spontaneously to become undissociable from their anchoring ideas-to undergo obliterative assimilation, or to be forgotten Forgetting thus represents a progressive loss in the dissociability of newly assimilated ideas from the ideational matrix in which they are im bedded and in relation to which their meaning emerges

Unfortunately however the advantages of obliterative assimilation for cognitive functioning are gamed at the expense of losing the differentiated body of detailed propositions and specific information that constitute the flesh, if not the skeleton of any body of knowledge. The main problem of acquiring the content of an academic discipline therefore, is continerating ingful learning.

In the case of subordinate and combinatorial learning the process of obliterative assimilation, as a reduction phenomenon, seems straightforward enough the less stable (and more specific) meaning of a subordinate idea, is gradually incorporated within or reduced to the more stable (and more inclusive) meaning of the specifically relevant idea in cognitive structure that assimilates it, and the less stable (and more specific) meaning of a combinatorial idea is similarly incorporated within or reduced to the more stable (and more generalized) meanings of the wider, less specifically relevant

body of ideas in cognitive structure to which it is related. But what about the forgetting of superordunate learnings which it's related but what about generalized and inclusive from the very beginning than the established subordinate ideas in cognitive structure that assimilate them? Here the process of obliterative assimilation must obviously conform to a somewhat different paradigm, since the more stable anchoring ideas in this case are less inclusive than the new superordinate meanings they assimilate At least in the beginning, therefore, while a new superordinate meaning is relatively unstable, it is reduced to its less inclusive (subordinate) anchoring ideas during the process of obliterative assimilation Later, however, if and when the new superordinate idea is overlearned, it tends to become more stable than the subordinate ideas that originally assimilated it, inasmuch as the stability of an idea in memory, everything else being equal, tends to increase with its level of generality and inclusiveness. Thus, at this point, the direc tion of obliterative assimilation is reversed the less inclusive, and now less stable, meanings of earlier learned subordinate ideas tend to be incorporated within or reduced to the more generalized meaning of the laterlearned and now more stable meanings of the superordinate idea (see Table 4)

The dynamics underlying the meaningful learning, retention, and for getting of ideas can be appreciated more fully by considering certain detailed aspects of the interactional and asymilation processes that have not been mentioned as yet Referring again to Table 3 consider, for example, the natural history of a potentially meaningful correlative concept or proposition a which between the action relates to (subsumes under) a specifically relevant and more inclusive and stable established proposition A in his cognitive structure As a result of the subsumption process, an interactional product, $A'a'_A$, is formed on which both original components are modified as a consequence of the interaction. It is obviously an over simplification, however, to state that a new learning item, a_i forms only a single interactional product with A. To a lesser extent it forms addinonal interactional products which other ideas which could be called B, C, D, E_a and so forth, the amount of assimilation in each case being roughly proportional to the latter's place along a gradient of relevance In this interaction, also the subsuming idea is ord in analy modified much less than is the subsumed item because of its greater inclusiveness and stability A recently learned abstract concept. Individually may be broadened to include new features that were formerly excluded, or may be made less mclusive by excluding features that were formerly wealted.

Now, in this new interactional product, A'a', a' does not lose its identity completely, since a dissociation equilibrium, $A'a' \Rightarrow A' + a'$, is set up in which a', depending on prevailing conditions, has a given degree of dis-

| SPACES IN THE LEARNING AND MALLENE SPACES IN THE LEARNING AND MALLENE IN RELATION TO DISOCLAMILIA | lancractional Product ۵' ۵' A | | | Interactional Product A' a' a' | | |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| | I | | | T | | |
| | Established life.is a and a | a' α' Λ' ττ α' + α' + Λ' | d' 13 reduced to a' + a' | More Stable and Established Ide1 d' | ausminicit and Earlichticit A' under A' ide A' . $A' a' a' \frac{1}{27}A' + a' + a'$ | a and a' are reduced to A' |
| | related to and assumlated Ly | | | aubsumicif under | | |
| | New Potenially Meaningful Idea A | New meaning d is dissocrible from a' a' d' | A 13 no louger effectively dissocrable from a' a' A' | a' and a' | a' aud a' are dissociable from A' a' a' | a' and a' are no louger effectively dissocrable frou A a a' |
| | MLANINGFUL LLARNING OR ACQUSITION OF SUPLRORDINATE MLANING A | POST LEARMING AND EARLÀ RLTENTION OF A | FORGETTING OF A | OVERLEARNING 01 A | LATER RETLNTION OF a' and a' | FORGETTING OF r' and u' |
| | - | = | 1 | 2 | > | 17 17 |

TABLE 4 I STREND AND REFENTION

96

Meaningful Reception Learning and Retention

sociability as an identifiable entity As will be explained in greater detail later (Chapter 4), the original degree of dissociability strength of a', after measungful learning occurs, virues with such factors as the relevance of the anchoring idea A, the stability and clarity of A, and the extent to which Ais discriminable from the learning maternal (duat is, from a)

Actually, of course, assimilated items become unavailable (forgotten) long before the point of zero dissociability is reached, since they are no longer available below the prevailing threshold of availability (the critical level of strength a given item must manifest in order to be retrievable) Much residual dissociability strength exists between this below threshold level and the point of zero dissociability, but not enough to make the item available under ordinary conditions of recognition or recall The existence of below threshold dissociability may be demonstrated by the use of hypnosis (Nagge, 1935, Rosenthal, 1944) which greatly lowers the threshold of avail ability for all items, with the result that many items which are below the level of availability become available under hypnosis Relearning also dem onstrates subthreshold dissociability strength (Burtt, 1941) The fact that forgotten materials can be relearned more effectively and in less time than that required for original learning is ample proof of the existence of sub threshold dissociability strength, because its presence, less new learning is required to reach any given threshold level

This concept of a dissociation equilibrium, in which an assimilated idea gradually and spontaneously becomes less dissociable from the established ideational system to which it is anchored and from which it derives its meaning has considerable heuristic value. It accounts both for the original availability of the newly learned meaning and for the subsequent gradual decline in its availability during the retention interval until forget ting ensues. As will be pointed out shortly, assimilation theory differs markedly in principle from the Gestalt theory of forgetting in this respect Gestalt theory holds that the assimilative process induced by interaction between traces is a matter of all or none replacement of a given trace by another stronger trace, on the basis of the similarity existing between them

The familiar Gestalt phenomena of leveling and of sharpening in which forgetting is manifested by reduction to a familiar idea by accentua tion of a salient characteristic can be easily reinterpreted in terms of assimilation theory. In the process of leveling (Allport and Postman, 1947, Wulf, 1922) for example, a, which is a specific derivative or illustration of A or a slightly asymmetrical or incomplete variant of A, becomes a' after it is learned and is simply reduced to A' in the course of forgetting, whereas in the process of sharpening, a more striking aspect of a becomes its criterial feature and is remembered in accentuated form because it is subsumed under and eventually reduced to a pre existing representation of this feature in cognitive structure. Continuous and inverse principles, and principles and greater in discovery than in reception learning. In discovery learning, re peated encounters with the learning task give rise to successive stages in an autonomous problem solving process, whereas in reception learning, repetition (ipart from some possible changes in degree and precision of meaning) primarily increases the future availability of the material. Thus the forget ting aspect of discovery learning hardly constitutes just a later continued phase of an original learning process that merely requires the learner to internalize and make presented material more available. Forgetting in this instance, therefore, has little in common with most of discovery learning in which meaning must first be discovered by problem solving before it can be made available and retained

Derivative versus Correlative Subsumption

It is necessary to distinguish between two basically different kinds of subsumption that occur in the course of meaningful learning and retention Derivative subsumption takes place when learning material is understood as a specific example of an established concept in cognitive structure or is supportive or illustrative of a previously learned general proposition. In either case the new material to be learned is directly and self-exidently derivable from or implicit in an already established and more inclusive con cept or proposition in cognitive structure. Under these circumstances, the meaning of the derivative material emerges quickly and relatively effortlessly, and unless greadly overlearned tends to undergo obliterative subsumption is simply that the meaning of the new material can be very adequately represented by the more general and inclusive meaning of the established subsumer and that this latter proress of memorial representation is more efficient and less burdensome than the actual retention of supportive or relusive structed by appropriately manipulating specific elements of past and present experience so that they exemplify the desired concept or proposition For example, in recounting a long past incident one ordinarily retains only the ideational substance of the experient and from this reconstructs or invents plausible details that are consistent with its general import and setting

plausible details that are consistent with its general import and setting More typically, however new subject matter is learned by a process of correlative subsumption. The new learning matterial in this case is an extension elaboration modification, or qualification of previously learned propositions. It is incorporated by and interacts with relevant and more inclusive subsumers in cognitive structure, but its meaning is not implicit in, and cannot be adequately represented by, these latter subsumers. Neverthe less in the interests of economy of cognitive organization and of reducing the burden on memory the same terned toward obliterative subsumption occurs. This trend is particularly evident if the subsumers are unstable, unclear, or insufficiently relevant, or if the learning material is lacking in discriminability or is not overlearned. But in this instance, the consequences of obliterative subsumption are not as innocuous as in the case of derivative subsumption. When correlative propositions lose their identifiability and can no longer be dissociated from their subsumers, a genuine loss of knowl edge occurs. The subsumers cannot adequately represent the meaning of the new correlative propositions, and hence the mere availability of the subsumers in memory does not make possible a reconstruction of the substance of the forgotten material. The same situation exists when new superordinate and combinatornal meanings are forgotten. The accuration of a body of Longeleign therefore is learning a meaning.

The acquisition of a body of knowledge, therefore, is largely a matter of counteracting the trend toward obliterative assimilation in retaining correlative, superordinate, and combinatorial learnings Thus J S Bruner's exclusive emphasis on 'generic learning or on acquiring generic coding systems,' as a means of facilitating school learning (1957, 1959, 1960) is unrealistic because it focuses on derivative aspects of subsumption which are atypical both of the assimilation process in general and of most instances of assimilating new subject matter I is strue, as he asserts, that most specific content aspects of subject matter can be forgotten with impunity as long as they are derivable, or can be reconstructed when needed, from those generic concepts or formulae which are remembered But the analogous forgetting of correlative, superordinate, or combinatorial content results in a loss of knowledge that cannot be regenerated from residual generic concepts The reductionist trend in memory (that is obliterative assimilation), which is functional or, at the very worst, innocuous in the case of derivative material, constitutes the principal difficulty in acquiring a body of knowledge in the more typical context of learning correlative, superordinate, or combinatorial propositions

Hence, the problem of meaningful learning and retention cannot ordinarily be solved by incorporating a representation of the criterial character istics of [a] situation [or] a contentless depiction of the ideal case (Bruner, 1960) and then ignoring the loss of specific content that occurs The main purpose of learning generic concepts and propositions is not so much to make possible the reconstruction of forgotten derivature instances as to provide stable anchorage for the learning of correlative superordinate, or combinatorial material, and it is the inhibition of the rate of obliterative assimilation in relation to this material that is the major problem confront ing teachers in transmitting subject matter

Assimilation of Abstract versus Factual Materials

The extent to which learning material is either abstract or factual in nature has an important bearing on its longevity or on the rate at which obliterative assimilation takes place Comparison of the relative retention spans of substance and verbatim items invariably shows that the longevity of different components of the learning material, all other factors being equal, varies directly with degree of abstractness. The principal distinction between abstract and factual items, of course, is in terms of level of particularity or proximity to concrete-empirical experience. Typically, however, abstract material is also characterized by greater connectedness or less dis creteness than is factual material

All factual material, furthermore, is not of one piece Some factual material can be learned meaningfully, whereas other factual data cannot be related to cognitive structure in nonarbitrary, nonverbatim fashion, and hence must be rotely learned But even if factual matter is potentially meaningful, it is more likely to be rotely learned than is abstract material because it is more difficult to relate to existing ideational systems in cognitive structure

The previously made distinction between derivative and correlative subsumption is also important in accounting for the relative susceptibility to obliterative subsumption of different kinds of potentially meaningful factual material Derivative facts undergo obliterative subsumption more rapidly because, unlike correlative matter their meaning can be adequately represented by the ideational systems that subsume them thereby making possible a degree of factual reconstruction that is satisfactory enough for most purposes of communication

The greater longevity of abstract than of factual material, therefore, can undoubtedly be partly accounted for in terms of the superiority of meaning ful over rote learning and retention. Another credible explanation is that abstractions tend more often than factual material to be correlative rather than derivative in nature. Hence, because they are from the very beginning much less close than factual matters to the end point of obliterative subsumption they can be retained for longer periods of time.

Assimilation Inductive or Deductive Process?

At first glance, one might suppose that assumilation in accordance with the principle of progressive differentiation conforms to a deductive approach to cognitive organization and functioning Actually, however, this supposition is correct only with respect to the relatively rare instance of derivative subsumption. Correlative, combinatorial, and superordinate maternals quite obviously do not bear a deductive relationship to their established anchoring ideas in cognitive structure. Hence, simply because assimilation is not an inductive process we cannot consider it to be neces sirily deductive in nature. The inductive deductive issue is mostly relevant in considering the order in which generalizations and supportive data are handled, either in presenting knowledge or in problem solving—not in characterizing the nature of the assimilation process

Irrespective of whether new propositions are acquired inductively or deductively, however, their incorporation into cognitive structure still follows, if at all possible, the principle of progressive differentiation At all age levels and at all levels of cognitive sophistication, new subordinate propositions—even when acquired inductively—are invariably subsumed under more inclusive established ideational systems in cognitive structure. New super ordinate propositions, in turn subsume less inclusive existing ideational systems² Moreover, it is questionable whether a pure inductive approach ever exists as such in problem solving Human beings rarely start out from scratch in approaching new problems. They either employ explicit explana tory principles (hypotheses) on a provisional basis and try to fit the data to these hypotheses, or at the very least are implicitly guided from the outset by a set of general assumptions derived from past experience. In fullis sense, therefore, inductive problem solving itself may be considered a subsidiary phase within a generally deductive approach

Cognitive Organization in Children

Does the same hierarchical organization of knowledge based on the principle of progressive differentiation hold true for elementary school children, as well as for adolescents and adults, despite the fact that such children are dependent on concrete empirical experience in learning un familiar new abstractions and relationships between abstractions? It would appear that an afiirmative answer to this question is warranted Even though the initial emergence of abstract meanings must be preceded by an ade quate background of concrete empirical experience, abstract concepts and propositions, once satisfactorily established enjoy a very stable existence particular experience in order to munitant their meaning but they also serve as subsumers in the assimilative process, at or near the apex in the hierarchical organization of cognitive structure

Thus, the cognitive organization of conditional differs mainly from that of adults in containing fewer abstract concepts fewer lighter-order abstractions, and more inituitive nonverbal rather than abstract verbal understandings of

² New combinatorial propositions at the moment of incorporation are neither subordinate or superordinate to particular established ideas in cognitive structure almost inevitably however they either subsume or are subsumed by later learnings Originally they are coordinate in level of abstraction and inclusiveness with existing higher order concepts or propositions

many propositions Children s learning of new verbal material can therefore proceed in much the same manner as in adults—as long as proper allowance is made for the smaller number of higher-order abstract concepts and truly abstract propositions in cognitive structure and for the need for concrete empirical experience in acquiring abstract concepts and propositions

The Threshold of Availability Reminiscence

We have already observed that in order for assimilated materials to be reproducible at some future date their dissociability strength must exceed a certain minimal value namely the threshold of availability. The most important cause of the unavailability of meaningfully learned materials therefore is a fall in dissociability strength below the level required to reach this threshold Whether or not dissociability strength is sufficient to exceed threshold value however is partly a function of the method used in measur ing retention Recognition and recall for example make quite different demands on the availability of a given item In the case of recognition the originally learned material is presented with other alternatives and the subject need only identify it in the case of recall the subject must spontane ously reproduce the substance of the original material Obviously therefore recognition can be successful at a much lower level of dissociability strength than can recall Items on the up of ones tongue that cannot be recalled spontaneously can be both recalled with the aid of a lint (providing the first letter of the correct answer) and recognized correctly on a multiple his fetter of the correct answer and recognized correct, on a measure cloce test (Freedman and Landauer 1966) Subjects can even predict recognition successes and failures for items they cannot recall (J J Hart 1969) The threshold of availability in other words is higher for recall than for recognition if dissociability strength is held constant

Sull another independent although secondary source of variability in the availability of subsumed materials inheres in fluctuations in the *thresh* old of availability ittelf Hence a particular item of knowledge may manifest more than sufficient dissociability strength to exceed the *typically* prevail ing threshold value but may sull be unavailable because of some temporary elevation of the threshold of availability. The most common reasons for such an elevation of the threshold value are (a) initial learning shock (see below) (b) the competition of alternative memories and (c) negative attitudinal bas or motivation not to remember (repression). Removal of these intreshold raising or memory inhibiting factors (that is disinhibition) results in an apparent facilitation of memory. The most extreme example of disinhibition reduces the competing effect of alternative memory systems to a bare minimum (Rosenthal, 1944)

Reminisence (the Ballard Williams phenomenon) refers to an apparent increment in the retention of meaningfully learned material over a period of two or more days without any intervening practice ³ Since retention cannot possibly exceed original learning under these conditions, this phe nomenon is probably reflective of spontaneous recovery from the threshold elevating effects of initial learning shock. It is postulated, in other words, that a certain amount of resistance and generalized cognitive confusion occur when unfamiliar new ideas are first introduced into cognitive struc ture, that this confusion and resistance are gradually dissipated as the new ideas become more familiar and less threatening, and that the existence of the initial resistance and confusion and their gradual dissipation are paral lelled, respectively, by a corresponding initial elevation and a subsequent by the fact that reminiscence occurs only when material is partially learned or not overlearned, and when practice trails are massed, that is when opportunity for immediate confusion and later clarification exists

The fact that reminiscence has been convincingly demonstrated only in elementary school children (Slarpe, 1952, Stevenson and Langford, 1957, O Williams, 1926) and declines (Sharpe, 1952, Stevenson and Langford, 1957, O Williams, 1926) in older subjects, suggests that initial 'learning shock tends to decrease with increasing age as cognitive structure becomes more stable and better organized Reminiscence also cannot be demonstrated for verbatim (Edwards and English 1939, English, Welborn, and Kilian, 1934) and rotely learned (L. B. Ward 1937) materials unless measured within initiate relearning, inasmuch as the retention span for such materials is exceedingly brief Later increments in retention (increments other than a gain between an immediate and a subsequent test of memory) are, by definition not indicative of reminiscence, they probably reflect the later removal of competing memories (or of negative motivational factors) temporarily railing the threshold of availability during the preceding retenuon test, rather than the dissipation of initial learning shock

The genuneness of remniscence was organizly in doubt because early studies (Ballard 1913, Edwards and English 1939, English, Welborn and Kilian 1934) used the same group of subjects in making both initial and subsequent tests of retention It was possible, therefore to explain remniscence either in terms of the practice effect exerted by the immediate test of

³ Short term reminiscence manifested 2 to 6 minutes after learning (the Ward Hovland phenomenon) will not be considered here since it is concerned with role memorization

recall, or in terms of voluntary or involuntary rehearsal between immediate and later tests of retention. Since the remainscence effect still shows up, however, when separate groups are used in determining immediate and later tests of availability (Sharpe, 1952, Stevenson and Langford, 1957) its in all probability more than a mere artifact of method of measurement

Sources of Forgetting

Temporally, three distinct phases may be distinguished during mean ingful reception learning and retention Each phase, in turn, contributes in distinctive ways to measured discrepancies between presented learning material and reproduced memories of this material During the first phase, learning, meanings are acquired Potentially meaningful ideas and information are related to relevant ideational systems in cognitive structure, thereby giving rise to idiosyncratic phenomenological meanings with a given degree of dissociability strength. The second phase is concerned with the retention of acquired meanings or with the gradual loss of dissociability strength through a process of obliterative assimilation.

The third and final phase involves the *reproduction* of the retained maternal It depends not only on the residual degree of availability (dissociability strength) in relation to the threshold of availability, but also on cognitive and motivational factors influencing both this threshold and the actual process of reconstructing or reformulating the retained meanings into a verbal statement

It is important to bear in mind these various temporal phases of mean ingful reception learning and retention in accounting for the various sources of error in memory During the *learning* phase vague, diffuse, ambiguous, or erroreous meanings may emerge from the very beginning of the learning process because of the unavailability of relevant anchoring ideas in cognitive structure, because of the instability or unclarity of these anchoring ideas, or because of the lack of discriminability between the learning material and the anchoring ideas. This unfavorable outcome is particularly likely if the learners need for and self-critical attitude about, acquiring adequate mean ings is deficient.

Another source of discrepancy between presented and remembered content that is attributable to this first phase reflects the selective empliasis omission, and distortion that takes place as a result of initial interpretation of the presented material. As will be pointed out shortly in the discussion of F C Barilett's theory of forgetting these phenomena are manifestations of the selective emergence of meaning (a cognitive process) rather than of selective perception. The emerging new meanings of learners are consonant with their cultural frames of reference (Barilett, 1932), aututulnal bases (Mckullop, 1952), and experimentally manipulated advance sets (Jones and de Charms, 1958), because each individual possesses an *idiosyncratic* array of established and relevant anchoring ideas (including biases) in his cognitive structure which assimilate the new material, and the resulting mean ings in each case are a function both of the *particular* assimilations that occur and of the selective distortion, discounting, dismussal, and reversal of intended meanings that are induced by his particular set of biases ⁴ In all of these instances, the relative weight of idiosyncratic cognitive structure in determining the content of meanings was greater than that of the learning material itself, because the investigators used prose material later ways

Contrary to common belief, the fast learner remembers more than the slow learner. This is so not because he forgets at a slower rate but because he learns more in a given unit of time and this starts out with a greater mass of knowledge. If initial level of mastery is held constant, there is no difference in retention between fast and slow learners (Underwood, 1954)

During the second phase the retention period itself, newly learned meanings tend to be reduced to the established ideas in cognitive structure that assimilate them. That is, they tend to become more unqualified and similar in import to the anchoring ideas. The same cognitive structure, practice, and task variables that influence the original dissociability strength and vendicality of the emerging meanings determine their subsequent dissociability strength and resistance to obliterative assimilation during the retention interval

Lastly, during the *reproductive* phase, factors raising the threshold of availability may inhibit the recall of ordinarily available meanings or available meanings may be altered in the very process of being reconstructed in accordance with the requirements of the current reproductive situation. This phase is more important in cultural settings where students are expected and trained to demonstrate retention by reconstructing their knowl edge, as in essay tests rather than by recognizing the correct alternative among multiple choices (Harari and McDavid 1966)

Meaningful versus Rote Learning

Meaningfully and rotely learned materials are learned and retained in qualitatively different ways because potentially meaningful learning tasks are, by definition relatable and anchorable to relevant established ideas in

⁴ For a more complete account of how attitudinal bias influences the learning of controversial material see Chapter 10

cognitive structure They can be related to existing ideas in ways making possible the understanding of various kinds of significant (derivative, cor relative, superordinate, combinatorial) relationships Most new ideational materials that pupils encounter in a school setting are nonarbitrarily and substantively relatable to a previously learned background of meaningful ideas and information. In fact, the curriculum is deliberately organized in this fashion to provide for the untraumatic introduction of new facts con cepts, and propositions. Rotely learned materials on the other hand are discrete and relatively isolated entities that are relatable to cognitive structure only in an arbitrary, verbaum fashion, not permitting the establishment of the above mentioned relationships.

This crucial difference between rote and meaningful learning categories has important implications for the kind of learning and retention processes underlying each category. Since rotely learned materials do not interact with cognitive structure in a substantive organic fashion they are learned and retained in conformity with the laws of association, and their retention is influenced primarily by the interfering effects of similar rote materials learned immediately before or after the learning insk. Learning and reten toon outcomes in the case of meaningful learning on the other hand are influenced primarily by the properties of those relevant and cumulatively established ideational systems in cognitive structure with which the learning task interacts and which determine its dissociability strength. Compared to this kind of extended interaction concurrent interfering effects have rela tively little influence on and explanatory value for meaningful learning

Meaningful Learning Processes

Substantive and nonarbitrary incorporation of a potentially meaningful learning task into relevant portions of cognitive structure so that a new meaning emerges implies that the newly learned meaning becomes an integral part of a particular ideational system. The possibility of this type of relatability to and incorporability into cognitive structure has two principal consequences for learning and retention processes. First learning and reten ton are no longer dependent on the rather frail human capacity for retain ing arbitrary and verbaum associations as discrete and isolated entities in their own right. As a result, the temporal span of retention is greatly ex-

Second the newly learned maternal becomes subject to the organiza tional principles governing the learning and retention of the system in which it is incorporated To begin with the very act of incorporation requires appropriate (relevant) placement within a hierarchically organized system of knowledge Later ifter incorporation occurs the new maternal initially relians its substantive identity by writing of being dissociable from its anchoring ideas, and then gradually loses its identifiability as it becomes reduced to and undissociable from these ideas

In this type of learning retention process, the formation and strength ening of arbitrary associative bonds between discrete, verbatim elements, isolated in an organizational sense from established ideational systems, play little if any role. The important mechanisms involved in this process are (a) achievement of appropriate relational anchorage within a relevant idea using system, and (b) retention of the identifiability (dissociability) of the newly learned material. Such retention involves resistance to the progressively increasing inroads of obliterative assimilation or loss of dissociability, and characterizes the organization and long term memorial integrity of meaningfully learned materials in cognitive structure

Rote Learning Processes

It has already been pointed out that rote learning tasks ore relatable to cognitive structure in an arbitrary, verbaum fashion and that it is by virtue of this relatability that (a) already meaningful components of these tasks are perceived as such and thereby facilitate rote learning and (b) con current interference with rote learning arises from within cognitive structure However, the extreme arbitrarness of the learning tasks a relatability to ideational systems within cognitive structure (as well as the necessity for verbotim internalization and reproducibility) precludes the relational and substantive type of incorporation described above for meaningful learning and makes for a basically different kind of learning retention process Rote learning tasks can be incorporated into cognitive structure only in the form of arbitrary associations that is as discrete, self contained entities organiza tionally isolated for all practical purposes from the learner s established ideational systems. The requirement that these arbitrary associations be constituted on a verbaum rather than substantive basis (ance anything less than complete verbaum fidelity is valueless in the case of purely arbitrary associations) further enhances the discreteness and isolated nature of rotely incorporated entities.

One important implication of the discrete and isolated incorporation of rote learning tasks within cognitive structure is that quite unlike the situation in meaningful learning anchorage to established ideational sy tems is not achieved. Hence since the human mind is not efficiently designed for long term verbatim storage of arbitrary associations the retention span for rote learnings is relatively brief. The much steeper gradient of forgetting in the case of rote as compared to meaningful learning requires that we examine the rote retention process and the factors that influence it within a highly abbreviated time span Delay beyond this brief time span leaves us with notling to study A second important implication of the arbitrary verbatim incorpora tion of learning material within cognitive structure is that association necessarily constitutes the basic learning retention mechanism and the laws of association constitute by definition the basic explanatory principles governing rote learning and retention. The major goals of rote learning and retention therefore are to increase and maintain associative strength—not to achieve appropriate anchoringe and to preserve dissociability strength Such variables as contiguity frequency and reinforcement are accordingly crucial for learning and retention is influenced primarily by concurrent interference (of both internal and external origin) on the basis of intra and inter task similarity response competition and stimulus and response generalization.

Evidence of Meaningful Learning

It is not always easy to demonstrate that meaningful learning has oc curred Genuine understanding implies the possession of clear precise differentiated and transferable meanings. But if one attempts to test for such knowledge by asking students to state the criterial attributes of a concept or the essential elements of a proposition one may merely tap rotely memorized verbalizations. At the very least therefore tests of comprehension must be phrased in different language and must be presented in a somewhat difrerent context than the originally encountered learning material Perhaps the simplex way of doing this is to require students to differentiate between related (similar) but not identical ideas or to choose the identifying elements of a concept or proposition from a list containing those of related concepts and propositions as well

Independent problem solving is often the only feasible way of testing whether students *really* comprehend meaningfully the ideas they are able to verbalize But here we have to be careful not to fall into a trap. To say that problem solving is a valid practical method of measuring the mean ingful comprehension of ideas is not the same as saying that the learner who is inable to solve a representative set of problems necessarily does not understand but has merely rotely memorized the principles exemplified by these problems Successful problem solving demands many other abilities and qualities such as reasoning power perseverance flexibility improvisa tion problem sensitivity and taticical issuences in addition to comprehen sion of the underlying principles. Hence failure to solve the problems surquestion may reflect deficiencies in these latter factors rather than lack of genuine understanding or at the very worst it may reflect a lower order of understanding than that mainfested in ability successfully to apply the principles in problem solving Another feasible method of testing the occur rence of meaningful learning which does not involve this difficulty of interpretation is to present the learner with a new, sequentially dependent learn ing passage that cannot possibly be mastered in the absence of genuine understanding of the prior learning task. This technique will be discussed in more detail later

in more detail later In seeking evidence of meaningful learning, whether through verbal questioning or problem solving tasks, the possibility of rote memorization should always be borne in mind Long experience in taking examinations makes students adept at memorizing not only key propositions and formulas, but also causes, examples, reasons, explanations, and ways of recognizing and solving 'type problems'' The danger of rote simulation of meaningful i comprehension may be best avoided by asking questions and posing problems that are both novel and unfamiliar in form and require maximal transformation of existing knowledge

The Superiority of Meaningful Learning and Retention

Several lines of evidence point to the conclusion that meaningful learn ing and retention are more effective than their rote counterparts First, M G Jones and H B English (1926) and L J Briggs and H B Reed (1943) demonstrated that it is much easier meaningfully to learn and remember the substance of potentially meaningful material than it is to memorize the same connected material in rote, verbaum fashion Second, material which can be learned meaningfully (poetry, prose, and observations of pictorial matter) is learned much more rapidly than are arbitrary series of digits or nonsense syllables (Claze 1928 Lyon, 1914, H B Reed, 1938). The same difference holds true for gradauons of meaningful learning simple narrative material is learned more quickly and remembered better than are more complex philosophical ideas that are difficult to understand (H B Reed, 1938) An increase in the amount of material to be learned also adds rela tively less learning time to meaningful lian to rote learning tasks (Cofer 1941, Lyon, 1914) A thurd type of experimental evidence is derived from studies demonstrating that various problem solving tasks (card tricks, match stude problems) are retained longer and are more transferable when subjects learn underlying principles rather than rotely memorize solutions (Hilgard, Irvine, and Winpple, 1953 Katona, 1940)

Irvine, and Whipple, 1953 Katona, 1940) A related line of evidence showing that substance items are learned (Coler, 1941) and retained (Edwards and Englishi 1939, English, Welborn, and Kilian, 1934, E B Newman 1939) more effectively than are "verbatim ' items is more inferential than direct Presumably although verbatim items can be learned meaningfully, they are more likely to be memorized rotely than are concepts and generalizations. In this connection, an ingeniously designed study by E B Newman (1939) comparing retention during periods
of sleep and waking throws light on the relative retention spans and respec tive forgetting processes of rotely and meaningfully learned materials. Un essential details of a narratine were remembered much better affer a period of sleep than after a period of normal daily activity, whereas there was no corresponding difference in the case of substance items. A warranted infer ence here is that immediate retroactive interference, which is obviously greater during daily activity than during sleep, is an important factor in rote memory, but does not significantly affect the retenuon of meaningfully learned materials.

Many classroom studies support the findings of this last mentioned ex perimental approach. In general, they show that principles, generalizations, and applications of principles studied in such courses as biology, clemistry, geometry, and physics are remembered much better over periods of months and even years than are more factual items such as symbols formulas, and terminology (Eikenberry, 1923, Fruelies, 1937, R. W. Tyler, 1930, 1931b). Ward and Davis 1938) A second type of classroom evidence demonstrates that knowledge of number facts (addition, subtraction, multiplication and division) flearned with understandings retained more effectively and is more transferable than when learned in mechanical, rote fashion (G L. Anderson 1949, Thiele, 1938).

Both types of evidence encourage one to believe that the discouraging picture of forgetting the vast majority of subject matter learnings, which certainly characterizes most students today, is not necessarily inevitable Much of thus loss is reflective of rote learning of poorly organized and programmed subject matter, of correctable ambiguity and confusion in the presentation of ideas and of inadequate paring and review of material (cram / ming) If subject matter were adequately organized and programmed, if material were presented lucidly if misconceptions were corrected promptly, and if suitably motivated students learned meaningfully and paid attention to such considerations as optimal review and pacing there is good reason to believe that they would retain over a lifetime most of the important ideas they learned in school At the very least one would expect them to be able to relearn, in short order and with relatively little effort, most of what they had forgotten In subsequent chapters we shall examine the important cog nitive structure practice instructional material and motivational variables that affect the longevity of meaningfully learned subject matter

Many different kinds of explanations have been offered for the superiority of meaningful over rore learning and retention. One explanation iden ufies meaningful rearring with the learning of meaningful material, and advances all of the arguments referred to above in explaining why mean ingfulness factitates rote verbal learning. Our definition of meaningful learning however, implies that it is a characteristic process in which mean ing is a product or outcome of learning rather than primarily an attitute of the content of what is to be learned it is this process rather than the meaningfulness of the contents which is learned that characterizes mean ingful learning. Thus the same reasons that explain why more meaningful materials are isoled learned and retained more readily than less meaningful materials are, do not necessarily explain why meaningful learning and retention outcomes are superior to their rote counterparts

Gestalt theorists (Katona, 1940, Koffka, 1935), on the other hand, iden tify insight and the understanding of relationships with the establishment of stable' structural traces, which are contrasted, in turn, with the relatively "rigid and unstable discrete traces established by rotely memorized materials. This explanation, lowever, really begs the question, because it accounts for the superiority of meaningful learning processes simply by endowing the neural representation of these processes with superior potency. In effect, then, it is claimed that meaningful learning processes yield superior learning outcomes because they give rise to more stable traces. This obviously adds little to our understanding because the real problem is to understand why such processes are associated with more stable traces.

In accounting for G Katona's research findings that meaningfully learned solutions to problems are retained more effectively than rotely learned solutions C E Osgood (1953) offers a typical neobehavioristic explanation. He states that the understanding of relationships reduces the sheer volume of what has to be remembered by rendering the details of the learning task reconstructable from memory of the principle itself. It is un demiable, of course, that the burden on memory is substantially less if one

⁵ It was also pointed out earlier that in meaningful learning the matenals are not already meaningful but only potentially meaningful learning to the very object of meaningful learning is to convert potential meaning into actual (psychological) meaning Both rotely and meaningful learning is to actual to so a solution of the size of the second instances it is. The presence of the already meaningful ownponents therefore is at most an indirect factor accounting for the superior learning for discours therefore is at most an indirect factor accounting for the superior learning (indirect) has a course of the superior learning for the superior learning for the superior learning for the superiority of meaningful) over rote learning with respect to the task as a whole. The more important reason for the superiority of meaningful accurs whole whole The more important reason for the superiority and substantively related to cognitive stinctive.

That meaningful learning primarily refers to a distinctive process of learning rather than to the meaningfulness of the content that is learned is further high lighted by the fact that both the meaningful learning process and its outcome can be rote—even when the learning sat, as a whole is potentially meaningful—if the learner does not manifest a meaningful learning or need only remember the substance of a connected and potentially meaning ful proposition than if one must remember the verbatim content of a series of discrete, arbitrarily related verbal items or of connected nonsense mate rial. This is undoubtedly one of the factors accounting for the superiority of meaningful over rote learning. A more important reason for the greater subility of meaningful learning, lowever, inheres in the nonarbitrary and substantistic relatability and anchorability of meaningfully learned maternal to relevant established ideas in cognitive structure. Hence, not only is there less content to learn and remember, but there is also a more tenable and stable basis for learning and retaining that content which has to be assimulated. The two explanations are by no means mutually exclusive

It should be noted, however, that although rote learning is more difficult than meaningful learning in most circumstances, it may actually be easier for the individual who lacks the necessary ideational background for a particular learning task. In addition to the anxiety ridden person who lacks confidence in his ability to understand difficult and unfamiliar new propositions rote learning often appears easier than meaningful learning

MEANINGFUL VERUS ROTE RETENTION Does the superiority of meaning ful over rote retention reflect an actual difference in the efficacy of the re spectre retention processes or does this superiority merely reflect the greater efficacy of meaningful *learning*? Obviously, if meaningfully learned material is mastered better to begin with more incorporated meanings are available at any subsequent time when retention is tested—even if rote and meaning ful retention processes themselves are equally effications. In the case of rote learning of materials varying in degree of meaningfulness at thas been demi onstared that *learning* is the only important variable. When more and less meaningful materials are learned to the same criterion of mastery (b) allow ing a greater number of trials for the less meaningful material), they do not differ in retention outcomes (Postman and Rau, 1957, Underwood and Richardson 1956)

It however our theory regarding the existence of fundamental differ ences between rote and meaningful retention processes is correct, we would not expect that it rotely and meaningfully learned maternals were mastered equally well they would also be remembered with equal effectiveness. According to assimilation theory the same variables influencing the outcome of meaningful learning and the same leators accounting for the superiority of meaningful over rote learning processes continue to operate during the retention interval and to affect retention outcomes. Hence even if rotely and meaningfully learned materials were learned to the same criterion of mastery, the superiority of the meaningful retention process would be reflected in higher retention sources Unlorumately, however, research evidence in not presently available to test the validity of this proposition Comparative studies of rote and meaningful retention are needed that are analogous to the research described above on the rote retention of materials varying in degree of meaningfulness

Alternative Theories of Retention and Forgetting

Interference Theory

From a neobeliavioristic standpoint

a memory is nothing more than a response produced by a stimulus [11] is merely the maintained association of a response with a simulus over an interval of time [Hence] the question of why we forget comes down to this What are the conditions under which stimuli lose their capacity to evoke previously associated responses. In other words the problem of forgetting is identical with the causes of response decrement. Forgetting is a direct function of the degree to which substitute responses are associated with the original stimuli duning the retention interval This [is really] a definition of retinactive interference. Identity between ence between responses yields interference (forgetting) and the magnitude of either facilitation or interference is a function of the stimulus similanties between original and interpolated activities yield's pp 550-51).

The following kinds of behavioristic mechanisms have been proposed to account for retroactive interference (a) response competition the same simulus associated with a given response during original learning becomes associated during the retention interval with a stronger competing response, (b) stimulus generalization a response associated with a given response, (c) stimulus generalization a sumulus associated with a given response during original learning generalizes to other simulu during the retention interval, (c) response generalization a sumulus associated with a given response during original learning generalizes to similar responses during the retention interval, (d) unlearning the failure of the learner to make the initially learned responses when confronted by the relevant simulu during the interval between learning and recall; (c) changed cure either some of the stimulu present during original learning are absent during recall, or new stimuli evoking competing responses are present and (l) changed set alteration during recall of the set established during learning

Evidence favoring the interference theory of forgetting comes from studies of rote learning showing that the degree of forgetting is directly related to the amount and similarity of activities interpolated during the interval between original learning and recall When interpolated activity is reduced by such conditions as sleep [Jenkins and Dallenbach, 1924, E B Newman, 1939, Van Ormer, 1952), hypnosis (Nagge, 1935, Rosenthal, 1914), anesthesia (Summerfield and Steinberg 1937), and ismobilization (Minami and Dallenbach, 1946) retroactive interference decreases, and when the amount and similarity of interpolated activity increases, retroactive interference correspondingly increases (McGeoch, 1936 McGeoch and McGeoch, 1937, Melton and Irwin, 1940, Twiming 1940, Underwood, 1951 However, B J Underwood s reinterpretation of the relevant data of many studies in dicates that most forgetting of rotely learned material is 'produced by in terference—not from tasks learned outside the laboratory but from tasks learned preuously in the laboratory. [and] that when interference from laboratory tasks is removed, the amount of forgetting is relatively quite small (Underwood, 1957, p 51) But identifying the source of the inter ference with rote retenuon as being principally proactive rather than retroactive does not alter in any fundamental way either the basic premises or the validity of interference theory

Interference theory has little difficulty in explaining role verbal learn ing and forgeting. The learning of discrete verbal units isolated from cognitive structure can be conceived of quite plaushily in terms of habit (associanty) strength, and forgeting can be similarly conceptualized in stimulusresponse terms as reflective of interference with established habit strength through such mechanisms as response competition and stimulus or response generalization. Specific responses purportedly become unavailable because they are superseded by competing associative tendencies with greater relative strength. Hence, the principal variable in tote forgetting is exposure, shortly before (proactive interference) or after (retroactive interference) the learning session to materials similar to but not identical with the learning task

But when material is meaningfully learned (that is, interacts substan tively with established ideas in cognitive structure instead of forming a series of arbitrary and discrete associative iendencies), it seems more credible to define learning and retention in terms of the dissociability of the material from its anchoring ideas at successive stages in an interactional process In relation to this theoretical frame of reference, the major variables affecting learning and retention are the availability of relevant anchoring ideas in cognitive structure (Ausubel 1960, Ausubel and Fitzgerald, 1961) the sta bility and clarity of iliese ideas (Ausubel and Fitzgerald, 1962), and the discriminability of the learning material from its anchoring ideas (Ausubel and Fitzgerald 1961 Ausubel and Youssef 1963) Thus the resistance of mean ingfully learned material to forgetting is not a simple function of the rela tive strength of specific associative tendencies compared to other similar tendencies but is a lunction of its dissociability from the ideational system to which it is related Instead of mechanical interference from a similar trace there is substantive assimilation within an ideational common de nominator

The inapplicability of behavioristic principles of proactive and retroactive interference to meaningfully learned verbal materials becomes evident when we study retention after meaningful learning has occurred As already pointed out verbatim but not substance items are forgotten after a period of normal waking activity (E B Newman, 1939), and explicit study of a long passage about Christianity, immediately before or after the learning of a comparable passage about Buddhism, does not significantly impair the immediate or delayed Buddhism retention scores of college students in comparison with those of matched control subjects not exposed to the Christianity material (Ausubel and Blake, 1958, Ausubel, Robbins, and Blake, 1957a) Similar findings were obtained by J F Hall (1955) with meaning fully learned material Retroactive interference is generally found only when verbatim recall of the potentially meaningful material is demanded (Jenkins and Sparks, 1940, King and Cofer, 1960, Slamecka, 1955b, 1960, 1962) The short term interference of similar elements, so crucial in rote for

The short term interference of similar elements, so crucial in rote for getting becomes relatively insignificant when potentially meaningful mate rulas are related to established anchoring concepts and progressively interact with them to the point of obliterative assimilation. Under these conditions the discriminability of the Buddhism from the Cliristianity material and the clarity and stability of the learners knowledge of Christianity are the significant determining variables (Ausubel and Blake 1958, Ausubel and Fitz gerald 1961 Ausubel and Youssef 1963) The same studies also showed that retroactive learning of material with the same ideational import as the learning passage but differing in specific content, sequence, and mode of presentation not only lias no inhibitory effect on retention but is just as facilitating as repetition of the learning passage (Ausubel, Robbins, and Blake, 1957a) Meaningfully—(unlikely rotel)—) learned materials obviously have a general substative content that is transferable or independent of specific verbatim form and sequence

have a general substantive content that is transterate or independent of specific verbatim form and sequence. A recent study by Entwisle and Huggins (1964) using principles of elec tricial circuit theory as learning material suggested that when *both* the orig andly learned material *and* the material interpolated between the learning and retention of the original material are unfamiliar or relatively unstable, and when the two sets of material are also sufficiently similar to each other to engender conflict and confusion, retrocarics interference may occur even under conditions of *apparently meaningful* learning Repetition of this study with verbal materials less susceptible to rote menorization of formulas however, failed to confirm their findings. In fact, the interpolation of con flicting material actually facilitated the retention of the original material (Ausubel and others in press) presumably by increasing the clarity and discriminability of the original material. The retroactive merference found by D R. Entwisle and W H Huggins could conceivably be attributed to the fact that much of the learning of the electrical circuit material was rote in character.

To summarize, the assimilation theory of retention differs from the

Interference theory in defining retention in terms of the dissociability of an ideational element from its anchoring ideas rather than in terms of the freedom of discrete and arbitrary associations from the interfering effects of concurrently active rote elements. Assimilation theory takes into account the existing hierarchical organization of meaningfully learned materials in cognitive structure the incorporation of new potentially meaningful mate rail within that structure and the tendency for the new material to be reduced to a least common denominator of relevant established meanings. Unlike behavioristic concepts of interference which postulate an association that is modified only at those points in time when members of the association are utilized (Osgood 1953 p 547) the assimilation process once initiated occurs continuously until the point of zero dissociability is reached. In view of these differences and of the marked disparity between the respective retenion spans of rotely and meaningfully learned materials is hardly seems likely that the same type of retenion process could character ize rote and meaningful learning.

Gestalt Theory

According to Gestalt theory (Koffka 1935) forgetting is brought about by two principal mechanisms each of which has relatively little in common with the other The first mechanism assimilation is conceptualized as a process whereby memory traces are obliterated or replaced by similar traces in cognitive structure that are refatively more stable. Although this phe nomenon is superficially similar to the assimilative process described above in that it seems to imply fusion of or interaction between related ideas rather than the substitution of new stimulus or response members in a previously learned stimulus-response association it is actually more congruent with the interference theory of forgetting. The behavioristic mechanisms of response competition and stimulus or response generalization could quite adequately account for the occurrence of Gestation.

The second more distinctively Gestalt mechanism of forgetting is conceptualized as a process of autonomous disintegration within traces. In the case of unstructured or poorly organized material (for example where figure and ground are poorly differentiated) unstable chaouc traces are formed which rapidly undergo a type of spomaneous decay. In other instances how ever dynamic stresses derived from the organal perception persist in the trace and are gradually resolved by such progressive changes as leveling and sharpening in the direction of closure symmetry and good form. Thus both its aspect of Cestial theory and our assimilation theory of for getting differ from the unterference theory in regarding the processes under hjung forgetting as occurring continuously rather than only during those times when the stimulus or response members of an association are exercised. The Gestalt theory, however, is less parsimonious since it ignores the role of previously learned and more stable ideas both in the learning process and in determining the direction of forgetting. It postulates instead that (a) new ideas do not interact with relevant established ideas in cognitive structure

in determining the direction of forgetting It postulates instead that (a) new ideas do not interact with relevant established ideas in cognitive structure but, rather, are incorporated as independent traces, and (b) these separate traces spontaneously undergo change in the direction of "more perfect" or 'less stressful form' Also as pointed out above, the hypothesis that "poorly organized materials are forgotten quickly because they form "chaotic traces' which undergo rapid "spontaneous decay" really begs the question Our assimilation theory differs from Gestali theory in the following important ways (a) It attributes all forgetting to interaction between the learning material and existing cognitive structure and denies that autono-mous disuntegration of traces occurs as a result of the resolution of per cepitually derived intra trace tensions. Asymmetrical figures for example, would sometimes be remembered as more symmetrical than originally per ceived ('leveling), not because of any autonomous changes within the trace, but because they are subsumed by and eventually reduced to a memorial residue of familiar geometrical concepts in cognitive structure (b) It con ceives of assimilation (loss of identifiability or decreased dissociability of instantaneously. The obliterative or reductionistic aspect of assimilation is also regarded as only the mechanism accounting for forgetting, the net effect of the anchoring process uself *facilitates* retention (c) Thus the forgetting attributable to assimilation is not concerved of as simple and abrupt *zeplace* ment of one trace by another more stable trace (as in interference theory), but as the outcome of a gradual trend toward memorial reduction As as result of this trend a highly inclusive and exablished ideational system comes to represent the import of less generalized ideas, the identifiability of explave the accurrent to the second distructure of the second result of a significant of the index of the second resultin the second distructure of the second system. comes to represent the import of less generalized ideas, the identifiability of which is correspondingly obliterated (d) Learning material is believed to be assimilated by a more established ideational system not because of to be assimilated by a more established ideational system not because of similarity between them, but because it is not sufficiently discriminable from that system Hence its import can be adequately represented by the general ity of the more established ideas Similarity, of course, helps determine which potentially anchoring ideas in cognitive structure actually play principal and subsidiary anchoring roles and is also one of the determinants of dis-criminability. A high degree of similarity, however, can facilitate initial anchorage without necessarily leading rapidly to obliterative assimilation, provided that differences are also clearly and explicitly understood (e) For getting is regarded as a continuation of the same interactional process estab-lished at the moment of learning According to Gestalt assimilation theory.

on the other hand, a given trace is first established at the time of learning and then interacts with and is later replaced by *another* similar and separately established trace

Bartlett's Theory of Memory

Assimilation theory also has elements in common with F C Bartlett s (1932) views of cognitive functioning generally and of remembering in particular. He conceptualizes a schema as an organizing and orienting attituted or affect resulting from the abstraction and articulation of past experience Although somewhat vague with respect to both nature and mode of operation it is structurally and functionally comparable to that of an anclioring idea. In general however, Bartlett's position on retention differs in two fundamental respects from assimilation theory First, the schema itself is largely attitudinal and affective in nature rather than basically cognitive, in this sense it is similar to the connotative aspects of meaning. This differ ence probably reflects in part the fact that Bartlett's learning tasks consist of stories, pictures and figures instead of the impersonal substance of subject matter content. Second, Bartlett is primarily concerned with the inter pretive and reproductive plazes of meaningful learning and retention, and pays hardly any attention at all to the retention interval tiself and its under lying processes.

Thus in accounting for the discrepancy between presented and remem Thus in accounting for the discrepancy between presented and remem bered content he emphasizes both (a) the influence of idiosyncratic and culturally biased schemata on the original perception of the material, and (b) a process of imaginative reconstruction at the time of recall, as a result of which particular content is selected and invented in accordance with the nature and requirements of the current situation Assimilation theory, on the other hand attributes most forgetting to an intervening interactional process involving anchoring ideas and assimilated content. Thus, although the individual in remembering undoubtedly selects from what is available in memory and also invents some new material suitable for the occision, he is a cutally reproducing, for the most part, materials that have undergone memorial reduction tather than *reconstructing* the retained residue of orig in all memory.

According to Eartlett the first opportunity for schemata to influence memory occurs when they interact with incoming stimulus content. The subject attempts to make the content meaningful in terms of a relevant schema as well as contextually consonant with it. Hence, schemata signiicauity determine the initial interpretation of the nessage, which in turn persistently influences the nature of what is retained Contrary to Barblett's contention however, this interpretive process which results in the emergence of meaning is cognitive rather than perceptual in nature Newly acquired meanings are not reflective of a perceptual process that yields an immediate content of awareness, but rather are products of a more complex cognitive process of assimilation Meanings are idosyncratic, therefore, not because an attitudinal schema selectively influences the perception of learn ing material, but because such material is nonarbitrarily and substantively selectively related to the idosyncratic content of individual cognitive struc tures (a learning process)

tures (a *learning* process) The importance of initial interpretation (acquisition of meaning) for the later reproductive content of memory has been demonstrated for both verbal (Jones and de Charms 1958, Kay, 1955, McKillop, 1952) and pic torial (Carmichael, Hogan and Walter, 1932) material Subjects are prone to acquire meanings that are compatible with their own attitudinal biases in reading ambiguous controversal materials (McKillop, 1952), and tend to interpret the hypothetical beliaviors of people in accordance with the selective emphases embodied in experimentally manipulated advance setis (Jones and de Charms 1958) Children are generally unable to remember a figure unless it reminds them of a familiar object (Granut, 1921), and in reproducing unfamiliar and meaningfulness (Hildreth, 1944) The same tendency is also evident in problem solving Learners consistently tend to reduce problems to a level of difficulty which they can understand and to induce the inignation to use the immediate reproduction rather than the learning material uself as the baseline Bartiett largely ignores the next phase of the learning retention se

Bartlett largely ignores the next phase of the learning retention se quence during which acquired meanings are retained. He states that the schema s principal impact on memory occurs during the *reproductive* phase At this time the subject differentially selects those elements that are both most consistent with his own attitudes interests and cultural milieu and also most appropriate in terms of the requirements of the current situation. To this he adds some invented detail (to fill in gaps and to enhance colier ence, meaningfulness, and fit) and combines and reformulates both kinds of elements into a new, self consistent whole. The reconstructed product, therefore, when compared to the original learning material, manifests such tendencies as simplification condensation rationalization, conventionaliza tion and importation R M Dawse (1966) A S McKillop (1952). M L Northway (1936) I H Paul (1959) R Taft (1954) and M E Tresselt and S O S Spragg (1941) report similar findings in the recall of value laden matrative material. The weakness of Bardett's position therefore, does not inhere in postulating the existence of imaginative reconstruction, but rather in the fact that many of the memorial changes he attributes to such reconstruction actually reflect changes in availability due to assimilation

Psychoanalytic Theory

Psychoanalytic theory maintains that all forgetting is motivated or in other words is a product of repression Ideas or impulses that would gen erate anxiety if permitted to enter consciousness are said to be repressed into the unconscious and thereby forgotten

The chief difficulty with this theory of course is that it accounts at best for a relatively rare type of forgetting Only a very small percentage of the ideas that are forgotten are in any sense productive of anxiety and in these instances it is more parsimonious to hypothesize that their threshold of availability is elevated rather than that they are banished into a reified topographical area of the mind It is also true that many anxiety producing ideas remain painfully and obsessively at the forefront of consciousness

Computer Models of Cognitive Functioning

An increasingly popular theoretical position in recent years has been a variant of the cybernetic or information theory approach based on a computer model of cognitive organization and functioning. It combines various possulated mechanisms of computer based information processing and stor age with the cybernetic principle of a control system. This control system is regarded both as sensitive to feedback, indicative of behavioral error (or of discrepancy between existing and desired states of affairs) and as differentially responsive to such feedback in ways that correct the existing error or discrepancy. The particular model of human thinking proposed by A. New ell J C Shaw and H A. Sumon (19-8) for example assumes the existence of receptors capable of miterpreting coded information and of a control system constisting of a store of memories a variety of processes which operate on the information contained in the memories and a st of rules for combining these latter processes into programs of processing

The theoretical value of the computer model view depends of course on the tenability of the particular theories of information processing proposed by theorists of this persuasion to account for humin cognitive functioning. Computer programs certainly seem capable of performing many of the same kinds of cognitive operations performed by lumans-memorizing generalizing categorizing problem solving and logical decision making. The crucial question is whether luman beings perform these operations by means of the same underlying processes imputed to computer models. The processes underlying, the operations involved in most computer

The processes underlying the operations involved in most computer models of cognitive functioning are incredibly simple when compared to the avesome complexities of the actual processes implied by relevant pychological considerations. Hence the postulated parallelism between the two sets of processes breaks down at innumerable points of comparison. In the first place, computers are able to process and store vast quantities of discrete units of information that are simultaneously or sequentially presented. Hu man beings can assimilate and remember only a few discrete items at a time They compensate for this limitation by churking' (G A Miller, 1956), by processing larger units composed of sequentially dependent items, by learn ing generic codes that subsume specific derivative instances (derivative sub sumption), and by cataloguing new information under more inclusive sub sumers (correlative subsumption)

Second, computers have no forgetting problem There is no possibility of obliterative assimilation or of proactive or retroactive interference In formation stored in a computer maintains its availability indefinitely, the entire notion of dissociability strength, of progressive loss of such strength, and of the dependence of rate of loss on such factors as discriminability and the clarity and stability of anchoring ideas, makes luttle sense in the context of computer memory Third, there is no problem of developmental change in connection with computers They do not change with age in capacity for assimilating and storing information or in the kinds of in formation processing or problem solving processes they employ Lastly, as presently engineered computers lack the human being's capacity for imaginative improvisation, for creative inspiration, and for independent thinking

COGNITIVE FACTORS IN LEARNING

Chapter 4

COGNITIVE STRUCTURE AND TRANSFER

HAVING CONSIDERED THE NATURE OF MEANING and meaning ful learning as well as the nature of reception learning and retention we are now in a position to discuss cognitive factors in classroom learning Among these factors the existing structure of knowledge at the time of learning (cognitive structure variables) is perhaps the most important con sideration Since this involves by definition the impact of prior experience on current learning processes it is synonymous with the problem of trans fer How can the influence of this factor be distinguished from that of de velopmental readmess which will be discussed in Chapter 57 What are the principal cognitive structure variables and how do they affect meaningful learning and retention? What pedagogic measures can the teacher take to maximize the influence of transfer or the effect of cognitive structure variables on current classroom learning? What about individual differences in cognitive functioning (cognitive style)? What is the reliationship be tween language and transfer?

The Role of Cognitive Structure in Meaningful Learning and Retention

It follows from the very nature of accretion to the psychological structure of knowledge through the assimilation process that existing cognitive structure itself—both the substantive content of an individual structure of knowledge and its major organizational properties in a particular subject matter field at any given time—is the principal factor influencing meaning ful learning and retention in this same field Since logically meaningful material is always, and can only be, learned in relation to a previously learned background of relevant concepts, principles and information which make possible the emergence of new meanings and enhance their retention, it is evident that the substantive and organizational properties of this back ground crucially affect both the accuracy and die clarity of these emerging new meanings and their immediate and long term retrievability. If cognitive structure is clear, stable, and suitably organized, accurate and unambrguous meanings emerge and tend to retain their dissociability strength or avail ability. If, on the other hand, cognitive structure is unstable, ambrguous, dissoganized, or chaotically organized, it tends to inhibit meaningful learn ing and retention. Thus, it is largely by strengthening relevant aspects of cognitive structure that new learning and retenuon can be facilitated. It is, therefore, a commonplace that the details of a given discipline

It is, therefore, a commonplace that the details of a given discipline are learned as rapidly as they can be fitted mito a contextual framework con sisting of a stable and appropriate body of general concepts and principles. When we deliberately attempt to influence cognitive structure so as to maximize meaningful learning and retenuon, we come to the heart of the edu cative process

In our opinion the most significant advances that have occurred in recent years in the teaching of such subjects as mathematics, chemistry, physics, and biology have been predicated on the assumption that efficient learning and functional retention of ideas and information are largely dependent upon the adequacy of cognitive structure. And since existing cognitive structure reflects the outcome of all previous assimilation processes it, in turn, can be influenced, subistantively, by the inclusiveness and integrative properties of the particular unifying and explanatory principles used in a given discipline, and, programmatically, by methods of presenting arranging, and ordering learning materials and practice trails

Cognitive Structure and Transfer

We have just hypothesized that past experience influences, or has positive or negative effects on, new meaningful learning and retention by virtue of its impact on relevant properties of cognitive structure. If this is true, all meaningful learning necessarily involves transfer because it is impossible to conceive of any instance of such learning that is not affected in some way by existing cognitive structure, and this learning experience, in turn, results in new transfer by modifying cognitive structure. In meaningful learning therefore cognitive structure is always a relevant and crucial variable, even if it is not deliberately influenced or manipulated so as to ascertain its effect on new learning. just a single unit of material is learned and transfer to new learning units is not measured, the effects of even a single practice trial both reflect the influence of existing cognitive structure and induce modification of that structure, thereby affecting subsequent practice trials

School learning requires, much more saliently than do laboratory types of learning situations, the incorporation of new concepts and information into an existing and established cognitive framework with particular orga nizational properties The transfer paradigm still applies here, and transfer nizational properties The transfer paradigm still applies here, and transfer still refers to the impact of prior experience upon current learning. But prior experience in this case is conceptualized as a cumulatively acquired, hierarchically organized, and established body of knowledge which is organ ically relatable to the new learning task, rather than as a recently experi enced constellation of stimulus response connections influencing the learn ing of another discrete set of such connections

Furthermore, the relevant aspects of past experience in this type of transfer paradigm are such organizational properties of the learner s subject matter knowledge as clarity, stability, generalizability, inclusiveness, cohe

matter knowledge as clarity, stability, generalizability, inclusiveness, cohe siveness, and discriminability—rather than degree of similarity between stimuli and responses in the two learning tasks, and recent experience is regarded as influencing current learning task, and recent experience is regarded as influencing current learning to by interacting directly with the stimulus response components of the new learning task, but only insofar as it modifies significant relevant attributes of cognitive structure. Because training and criterion tasks in laboratory studies of transfer have usually been separate and discrete, we have tended to think in terms of how prior task A influences performance on criterion task B If performance has been facilitated in comparison with that of a control group which had not been exposed to task A, we say that positive transfer has occurred Actually, however, in typical classroom situations, A and B are not discreted but continuous A is a preparatory stage of B and a precursive aspect of the same learning process B is not learned discretely but in relation to A Hence, in school learning we deal not so much with transfer in the Iteral sense of the term as with the influence of prior knowledge on new learning in a continuous sequential context This latter learning context also typically involves correlative superordinate, or combinatorial assimilation Thus, as pointed out above, the relevant transfer effect with which we are usually pointed out above, the relevant tanket enect with which we are usually concerned is not the ability to reconstruct forgotten details from generic principles, or to recognize new phenomena as specific variants of these principles (derivative subsumption), but rather the enhanced ability to learn and retain correlative, superordinate or combinational material

learn and retain correlative, supersonance or combinatorial material Moreover, unlike J S Bruners (1960) nonspecific transfer," the kind of transfer just described is not restricted to those instances in which 'a general idea can be used as a basis for recognizing subsequent problems

as special cases of the ideas originally mastered "a Actually, the principal effect of existing cognitive structure on new cognitive performance is on the learning and retention of newly *presented* materials where potential mean ings are given—not on the solution of problems requiring the application and reorganization of cognitive structure to new ends. Thus a transfer situation exists whenever existing cognitive structure influences new cog nitive functioning, irrespective of whether it is in regard to reception learn ing or problem solving.

Principal Cognitive Structure Variables

The learner's acquisition of a clear, stable, and organized body of knowledge constitutes more than just the major long term objective of classroom learning activity or the principal dependent variable (or criterion) to be used in evaluating the impact of all factors impinging on learning and retention This knowledge (cognitive structure) once acquired, is also in its own right the most significant independent variable influencing the learner s capacity for acquiring more new knowledge in the same field The importance of cognitive structure variables, liowever, has been generally underestimated in the past because preoccupation with noncognitive, rote, and motor kinds of learning has tended to focus attention on such situational and intrapersonal factors as practice, drive incentive, and reinforcement variables But in searching for knowledge about the processes underlying meaningful reception learning and retention, it is not enough to stress the importance of relevant antecedent experience that is represented in existing cognitive structure Before fruitful experimentation can be attempted, it is necessary to specify and conceptualize those properties (variables) of cognitive structure that influence new learning and retention

R. M. Gagné puts it this way

The presence of [a] performance does not make it possible to conclude that learning has occurred 1 is necessary to show that there has been a change in per formance. The uncapability for exhabings the performance before learning must be taken into account as well as the capability that exists after learning. It is in fact, the existence of prior capabilities that is slighted or even ignored by most of the tradiuonal learning prototypes. And it is these prior capabilities that are of crucial are of carries of the store prior capabilities that are of crucial

¹ Gagné (1962a) also views knowledge as the capability of performing different classes of problem solving tasks once a subordinate set of capabilities in the hierardty are mattered in contrait we have viewed knowledge as a substantive (dicational) phenomenon rather than as a problem solving capability and have regarded the transfer functions of cognitive structure as applying more significantly to reception learning than to problem solving an discrytical classroom vitoation importance in determining the conditions required for subsequent learning (Gagné 1965 pp 20.21)

In the more general and long term sense cognitive structure variables refer to significant substantive and organizational properties of the learner's total knowledge in a given subject matter field that influence his future general academic performance in the same area of knowledge. In the more specific and short term sense cognitive structure variables refer to the substantive and organizational properties of just the *immediately* or proximately relevant concepts and propositions within cognitive structure that affect the learning and retention of relatively small units of related new subject matter

For two kinds of cognitive structure variables Gagné (1965) makes a distinction between *lateral* and *vertical* transfer which is partly analogous to that (general and long term versus specific and short term) presented above in the first instance existing learning capabilities are applied somewhat indirectly and in a general sense to the solution of related problems or to the understanding of subject matter material in other disciplines. This in volves the generalizability of one set of existing learnings to the solution of tangenically related problems in a somewhat different area of knowledge. This he says is lateral transfer Vertical transfer on the other hand applies to the situation where the mastery of a rather specific set of subordinate capabilities is prerequisite to the acquisition of higher-order capabilities within a rather limited sub area of knowledge.

within a rather limited sub area of knowledge One obviously important variable affecting the learning and retention of new logically meaningful material is the availability in cognitive structure of specifically relevant anchoring ideas at a level of inclusiveness appropriate to provide optimal relatability and anchorage (derivative or correlative subsumption superordination). Now what happens if such specifically relevant ideas are not available in cognitive structure when new potentially meaningful material is presented to a learner? If some existing though not entirely or specifically relevant set of ideas cannot be utilized for assimilative purposes the only alternative is rote learning. More typically however tangentially or less specifically relevant ideas are pressed into service. The outcome is thus enther a form of combinatorial assimilation or less relevant correlative subsumption. In either case less efficient anchorage of the new material to cognitive structure occurs giving rise to relatively unstable or ambiguous meanings with little longevity. The same outcome may also result when appropriately or specifically relevant subsumers are available if their relevance is not recognized For both reasons therefore in meaningful verbal learning situations it is preferable to introduce suitable organizers (introduciory materials at a high level of generality and inclusiveness presented in advance of the learning material) whose relevance to the learning task is made explicit to serve an assimilative role rather than to rely on the spontaneous availability or use of appropriate anchoring ideas in cognitive structure

If the new learning material (for example, the Darwinian theory of evolution) is entirely unfamiliar to the learner, the organizer might include whatever established and relevant knowledge presumably exists in his cognitive structure that would make Darwinian theory more plausible, cogent, or comprehensible The organizer uself (a highly general and inclu sive statement of Darwinian theory) would thus be learned by combinatorial assimilation, making explicit both its relatedness to generally relevant knowledge already present in cognitive structure and its own relevance for the more detailed aspects of or supportive evidence for Darwinian theory, and these latter detailed aspects (the learning task itself) would then be subsumed under the organizer (derivative and correlative subsumption) If the new learning material is not completely novel (for instance, later presentation of Lamarck's theory of evolution), the organizer might point out explicitly in what ways the two theories are similar and different Thus whether already established anchoring ideas are nonspecifically or specifically relevant to the learning material the organizer both makes this relevance more explicit, and is itself explicitly related to the more differentiated con tent of the learning task

A second important factor presumably affecting the learning retention of a potentially meaningful learning task is the extent to which it is ducriminable from the established ideational systems that assimilate it and vice versa A reasonable assumption here borne out by preliminary investi gation is that if the new ideas to be learned (for example, the tenets of Bud dhism) are not clearly discriminable from established ideas in cognitive structure (in this case the tenets of Christianity), the Buddhism meanings both manifest initially low dissociability strength and lose it rapidly because they can be adequately represented by the latter (the tenets of Christianity) for memoral purposes. For both reasons they would tend not to persist as dissociable entities in their own right In other words, only discriminable categorical variants of more inclusive established meanings have long term retention potentiallues

Lastly, the learning and longevity in memory of new meaningful ma terial are functions of the stability and clarity of its anchoring ideas. If they are ambiguous and unstable, they not only provide inadequate relatability and weak anchorage for potentially meaningful new materials but also cannot be easily discriminated from them

The influence of cognitive structure variables has thus far been investigated only in short term studies in which the organizational properties of just the immediately or proximately relevant ideas within a particular subject matter field were experimentally varied in order to ascertain the effects of such manipulation on the learning and retention of small units of related subject matter It is even more important, perhaps, to discover how significant organizational properties of the learner's total knowledge in a given discipline influences his future academic performance in the same area of knowledge In both kinds of research, programmed learning tech niques can be advantageously employed to vary particular cognitive struc ture variables while holding others constant

Cognitive Structure Variables versus Readiness

Cognitive structure variables refer to the substantive and organizational properties of the learners existing knowledge in a particular subject matter field Readiness," as the term is generally understood implies, on the other hand that his developmental level of cognitive functioning is such as to make a given learning task possible with reasonable economy of time and effort Thus, in contradistinction to cognitive structure variables, readiness, in the developmental sense of the term, is not determined by the existing state of the learner s subject matter knowledge in a given field, but rather by his cognitive maturity or level of intellectual functioning. The latter factor will be considered in Chapter 5

In both instances we are actually dealing with a type of *readiness* for new learning But in one case the readiness is a function of previously acquired *subject matter knowledge*, that is of its organizational and substantive properties In the other case, it is a function of the *maturity of his cognitive capacities* irrespective of his *particular* subject matter background

Learning and the Availability of Relevant Anchoring Ideas

Whether or not relevant anchoring ideas at an appropriate level of abstraction, generality, and inclusiveness are available in cognitive structure is an obviously important antecedent variable in meaningful learning and retention In this section we propose to review various short term studies of meaningful learning retention and problem solving in which this variable is implicated. Where studies of infra luman, nonverbal, or rote learning are particularly relevant, they are also included. Studies such as these exemplify the transfer paradigm providing that the cognitive structure variable is manipulated during a preliminary or training period so that the effect of this manipulation on a *new* learning task can be ascertained. For example, a study indicating that the overlearning of a given passage results in increased retention would *not* constitute relevant evidence—from the standpoint of transfer—about the influence of cognitive structure on reten tion, it would merely reflect the influence of amount of practice on retention, inasmuch as practice rather than altered cognitive structure is the only measizable independent variable that is relevant under these circumstances On the other hand, evidence that the overlearning of passage A by an experimental group (as compared to a control group which does not over learn passage A) leads to superior retention of related passage B, would be relevant evidence for the influence of cognitive structure on retention

Short Term Studies

SPONTANEOUS ANTECEDENT ORGANIZATION Many different kinds of cog nitive functioning are facilitated by the spontaneous (uncontrived) presence and use of organizing concepts within cognitive structure E. C Poulton (1957) showed that memory for short meaningful statements varies directly with the subjects' degree of certainty regarding their truth, which in turn reflects relative degree of subject matter sophistication in the area covered by the statements in question. Thus the more background knowledge an individual has in a particular discipline, and the more stable this knowledge is, the more successful he is in learning related materials. Memory for a body of items was also found to vary directly with the number of categories subjects were required to use in classifying the items (Mathews, 1954). Associative elustering in the recall of words is a somewhat more spontaneous manifestation of the same tendency to maximize rote retention by organizing discrete items around existing categorical subsumers. The possibility of such clustering is obviously greater if the words themselves are relatively familiar (Bousfield, Cohen, and Whitemarzh, 1958). The facilitation of word sequence learning by grammatical structure (Osgood, 1953) is still another example of the influence of cumulatively learned antecedent organization on cognitive functioning. Ability to learn unfamiliar word meanings in ones mother tongue from their use in context illustrates the application of a highly established general coding system to the solution of a specific problem (Bruner 1957, Marks and Vuller, 1954, Schwariz and Lappman 1962)

VEDIATIONAL ORCANIZATION Various kinds of verbal pre training facil itate learning and problem solving by providing an organizing subsumer or general coding principle Reversal learning is facilitated when the first of two principles or discrimination problems is overlearned and thereby serves as a paradigm for the second problem (Bruner, 1957, Pubols, 1957, L. S. Reed, 1955 Sassentath, 1959). Bilateral transfer effects (Viunn, 1932) similarly depend on the acquisition of a generally applicable pattern of neuromuscular co-ordinations at the disposal of any bodily member Verbal prefamiliarization with the comment of films by means of a pretest (Stein, 1952) or by exposure to Ley work (Werss and Fine, 1955), also facilitates learning and retention in concept formation, the facilitating effect of verbal pre-training is relative to subjects' mastery of discriminative verbal cues during pre-training (Rasmussen and Archer, 1961, Coss and Moylan 1958, Yarcozower, 1959) Discrimination pretraining with the same words used in the criterion task proved superior in textual learning to no dis-crimination pre training whatsoever, or to discrimination pre training with the letters composing the words used in the criterion task (Staats, Staats, and Schutz 1962)

The relevance of the antecedent elements of cognitive structure for the oncepts are more easily acquired if the specific instances from which they are abstracted are frequently rather than rarely associated with their defining (criterial) attributes and if subjects have more rather than less relevant information about the nature of this attribute (Underwood and

relevant information about the nature of this attribute (Underwood and Ruchardson, 1956) Relevant and meaningful antecedent context similarly facilitates the perception of connected verbal material when subthreshold tachistoscopic exposure times are used (Haselrud, 1959) P Saugstad (1955) has shown that the solution of problems, such as Maters two pendulum problem, is largely dependent on the availability of relevant concepts Evidence continues to accumulate regarding the mediating function of implicit verbal processes in concept formation "A A Lublinskaya (1957) H H Kendler and A D Karask (1958), and J E Carey and A E Goss (1957) have demonstrated that the availability of distinctive verbal responses facilitates concept formation and conceptual transfer, and, confirming earlier findings in this area. M W Werr and H W Stevenson (1959) re ported that explicit instructions to verbalize enhances transposition learn ing in children and that this effect is unrelated to chronological age within the aree ranse of 3 to 9 Mere ability to verbalize however, may constitute the age range of 3 to 9 Mere ability to verbalize however, may constitute no advantage in simple transposition problems,³ preverbal preschool children seem to do as well as 'verbal preschool children (Gonzalez and Ross 1958, Rudel 1958)

The interposition of a time delay between training and test problems enhances transposition behavior (Stevenson and Langford, 1957) presumably by de-emphasizing the importance of *absolute* differences and by making

² The organizing function of Bartlett's schemata in the perceptual and repro-ductive phases of meaningful learning and retention has been considered elsewhere Goss (1961) offers an elaborate theoretical discussion of the acquisition of conceptual schemes and of their mediating and organizing uses

³ These are problems in which the subject having learned a given relation ship (for instance to choose the larger of a pair of blocks) displays understanding of (transposes) this relationship to another pair of blocks of different absolute size

relational principles more salient Even when the transfer task requires reversal of the training principle further training on the original form of the principle accompanied by mediating symbolic processes has facilitating rather than inhibitory effects. The use of verbal (secondary) cues (knowl edge that each French noun has an initial la or le term) produces greater transfer to new instances of the class than does a primary cue [the French names of 12 common stimuli] or a tertiary cue (knowledge that la and le are articles) (Wittrock and Keislar 1965)

Recognition of the role of cognitive structure in symbolic learning and even in rote and simple discrimination learning—is implicit in such neobehavioristic mediational hypothesise as those offered by C E Osgood (1953 1957) and O H Mowrer (1960) The formation of such mediating cognitive structures as response produced cues and covert verbal responses has been postulated to explain the facilitating influence of verbal pretraining on concept formation (Carey and Coss 1957 K-indler and Karasik 1958) paired associate learning (Norcross and Spiker 1958) and reversal learning (Bensberg 1958 K-endler and D Amato 1955 Sassenarth 1959) A E Goss and M G Moylan (1958) and M Yarcozower (1959) have shown that this facilitating effect is relative to the extent to which subjects have mastered discriminative verbal cues during pre training

THE EFFECT OF ADVANCE ORGANIZERS ON LEARNING AND RETENTION L PORMAN S(1954) study of the effect of learned rules of organization on rote learning and retention is an interesting precursor of the use of advance organizers in the meaningful learning of connected verbal discourse. This investigator found that explicit training in the derivation of figural patterns from code models facilitates the retention of the figural mattern from code models facilitates the retention of the figural mattern rom tore models facilitates the retention of the figural mattern to initerval and that the training reduces the susceptibility of the memory maternal to retroactive inhibition In essence then this experiment involved the facilitation of rote retention by meaningful rules of organization the learning task was relatively arbitrary verbatim and unrelatable to cognitive structure but each component was relatable to an explicitly learned code which in this instance was analogous to a subsuming principle J H Rey nolds (1966) similarly demonstrated that an organized perceptual structure can facilitate rote verbal learning

In addition to their practical usefulness as a pedagogic device organizers can also be used to study programmatically the effects of cognitive structure variables. By systematically manipulating the properties of organizers it is possible to influence vanous attributes of cognitive structure (the availability to the learner of relevant and proximately inclusive subsimers it is clarity stability discriminability collesiveness and integrativeness of these subsimers) and then to ascertain the influence of time manipulation on new learning, retention, and problem solving Such studies follow the transfer paradigm providing that they employ control subjects who are exposed to similar but non-organizing introductory materials

The use of expository organizers to facilitate the learning and retention of meaningful verbal learning is based on the premise that logically mean ingful material becomes incorporated most readily and stably in cognitive structure insofar as it is subsumable under specifically relevant existing ideas It follows, therefore, that increasing the availability in cognitive structure of specifically relevant subsumers---by implanting suitable orga nizers-should enhance the meaningful learning of such material Research evidence (Ausubel, 1960, Ausubel and Fitzgerald, 1961, 1962, Ausubel and Youssef 1963, Merrill and Stolurow, 1966, Newton and Hickey, 1965), in fact, confirms this supposition The facilitating effect of purely expository organizers, however, typically seems to be limited to learners who have low verbal (Ausubel and Fitzgerald, 1962) and analytic (Schulz, 1966) ability, and hence presumably less ability to develop an adequate scheme of their own for organizing new material in relation to existing cognitive structure 4 And the same availability of a relevant superordinate proposition in cogni twe structure also enhances meaningful retention by decreasing the rate at which the original dissociability strength of the material declines (by decelerating the rate of obliterative assimilation) (Ausubel and Fitzgerald, 1961)

Advance organizers probably facilitate the incorporability and lon gevity of meaningfully learned material in three different ways First they explicitly draw upon and mobilize whatever relevant anchoring concepts are already established in the learner's cognitive structure and make them part of the subsuming entity. Thus not only is the new material rendered more familiar and potentially meaningful but the most relevant ideational antecedents in cognitive structure are also selected and utilized in integrated fashion Second advance organizers at an appropriate level of inclusiveness by making subsumption under specifically relevant propositions possible (and drawing on other advantages of subsumptive learning) provide optimal anchorage. This promotes both initial learning and later resistance to obliterative subsumption. Third the use of advance organizers renders unnecessary much of the rote memorization to which students often resort because they are required to learn the details of an unfamiliar discipline before having available a sufficient number of key anchoring ideas. Because

⁴ When the learning lask is particularly difficult however organizers may differentially benefit high ability students (Grotelovether 1967) and those with more background knowledge (Ausubel and Fitzgerald 1952) by making it possible for linen to learn material that would in any case be beyond the capacity of less able and less sophisticated students

of the unavailability of such ideas in cognitive structure to which the details can be nonarbitrarily and substantively related the material, although logically meaninglul, lacks potential meaningfulness

TRANSFER OF GENERAL PRINCIPLES IN PROBLEM SOLVING Much positive transfer in problem solving and other kinds of learning is attributable to the carryover of general elements of strategy, orientation and adaptation to the problem Systematic instruction in approach to a given task has been shown to lacilitate both motor learning (Dinnean, 1953) and memorization (Woodrow, 1927) Overlearning of the training task tends to reduce negative transfer in serial rote learning (Atwater, 1953, Bruce, 1933, Mandler, 1954, Underwood 1949, Varcozower, 1959) because it establishes the particular relevance of specific elements for specific instances, while at the same time permitting the positive transfer of general factors. The same basic phe nomenon can also be observed in rat marz learning (T A Jackson 1932

More explicit lacilitation of the learning of skills by deliberately making a transferable general principle (the nature of refraction) available, is seen in C H Judd s classical experiment on learning how to shoot submerged targets (Hendrickson and Schroeder, 1941, Judd, 1902, Overing and Travers 1966) Prior learning of principles similarly enhances problem solving ability in mathematics (Scandura 1966a b) S VI Ervin (1960c) also found that verbal instruction in the relevant physical principles underlying a given motor performance increases transfer to an analogous motor performance However this effect does not occur unless subjects are able to perceive both the similarity between the two motor tasks and the link between verbal prin (1940) and E R. Hilgard and his co-workers (1953–1954) have demonstrated that understanding of a general principle is more transferable to a guicen class of problems than is rote memorization of the solution R S French (1954) obtained similar findings in a study which required subjects to learn sequentially dependent concepts

TRANSFERAND LEARNING SET The learning set phenomenon, learn ing to learn successive transfer, or progressive intra problem improve ment in performance (Harlow, 1949, Keppel and Postman 1966) also illustrates the gradual acquisition of a general coding punciple which facilitates the solution of a given class of problems Bolic C P Duncan (1953) and L-Morrisett and C I Hovland (1959) have demonstrated that transfer in learning set problems is a function of mastery (practice) willin a given type of problem as well as of experience with a large number of specific variants of this problem type. These experiments therefore further substantiate the value of overlearning and multicontextual experience in learning generic coding systems. Many complex learning tasks particularly those which are sequential in nature can be analyzed into a hierarchy of component learning sets or units R M Gagné and N E Paradise (1961) define the latter us a set of subordinate capabilities consisting of knowledge relevant to any given final task to be learned. The rate of learning these units and the extent to which they can be recalled are more highly related to final achievement on the learning task than are general learning ability or previous mathe matrics grades (Gagné and Paradise 1961) Gagné Mayor Gartens and Para dise 1962) Serious breakdowns in learning can often be attributed to inad vertent omission of a logically essential component unit from the total task or to its inadequate integration with other components

Long Term Studies

Despite their self evident significance for school learning long term studies of cognitive structure vanables involving subject matter achievement are extremely sparse Very little research in this area conforms to the min mally necessary research design (the transfer paradigm) which requires that a single attribute of cognitive structure first be deliberately manipulated using adequate experimental and/or statistical control procedures and that this altered cognitive structure the be related to long term achievement outcomes in an extended program of *new* studies in the same field

INFLUENCE OF EXISTING DEGREE OF KNOWLEDGE ON ACADEMIG ACHIEVE MENT Studies in which degree of existing knowledge of subject matter at one level of educational attainment is related to performance at subsequent clucational levels conform to the long term transfer paradigm Constancy of academic attainment is of course partly attributable to constancy of academic aptitude and motivation But especially when these latter factors are controlled it is reasonable to attribute some of the obtained relation ship between earlier and latter educational levels to the cumulative effects of cognitive structure variables (Gauside 1957 G H Swenson 1957) C H Swenson for example reported that holding academic aptitude constant students from the upper two fifths of their graduating classes make signif (rantly higher quality point averages in college courses than do students from the lower three fifths " But T L Engle (1957) found that university grades in psychology for students who had psychology in high school This lack of relationship reflected in part significant differences in content and emphasis between high school and college psychology courses

⁵ Smilar findings were reported by Sommerfield and Tracy (1961) using Algebra I grades as a predictor for success in Algebra II in high school

From the standpoint of rational principles of curriculum development, however, introductory courses in a given field of knowledge might normally be expected to establish the type of cognitive structure that would facilitate the later assimilation of more advanced and highly differentiated material in the same field

IMPROVEMENT OF INSTRUCTION Many of the curriculum reform more ments attempt to enhance long term learning and retention by influencing cognitive structure vanables. The University of Illinois Committee on School Mathematus (Beberman, 1958) for example, stresses initial self discovery of generalizations by students, followed by precise, consistent, and unambiguous verbalization of modern concepts. The Secondary School Physics Program of the Physical Science Study Committee (Finlay, 1959) places great emphasis on the more integrative and widely generalizable concepts in modern physics, on inquiry in depth rather than on broad, superficial coverage of the field, on careful, sequential programming of principles, and on conveying to the student something of the spirit and methods of physics as a developing experimential science Implicit in each program is the assumption that whatever ulumate superiority in academic attainment is adueved by following these pedagogic principles is attribut able to cumulative changes in the organizational and substantive proper ues of cogniture structure

Achievement test data provided by evaluative studies of such programs offer presumptive evidence regarding the long term effects of cognitus structure variables Netertheless this type of research does not adequately conform to our transfer paradigm since the learning of *new* material or later academic performance in the same subject matter field is not studied as a function of earlier substantive or organizational changes in cognitive structure that can be plausibly attributed to *specifiable* characteristics of the curriculum, it tells us only that *cumulative* achievement at some designated point in time is presumably superior because of the *cumulative* effects of the program. Furthermore not only is it impossible in such programs to isolate the effects of the individual independent variables almostement data from control groups or to control for the. Hawthorne effect ⁶ Measurement is also a difficult problem because standardized achievement tests cover various traditional subject matter umits deblexed achievement by functional programs to isolate of the program function of the standardized achievement tests evidence achievement tests evidence also a difficult problem because standardized achievement by these new curricula-

⁴ The Hawdorne effect refers to the improvement in criterial task per formance induced by some novel but superficial aspects of the treatment given the experimental group (or simply by the fact that ithis group is singled out for special treatment) rather than by the postulated experimental variable. It can be avoided by using a control group that it given an overly similar but intrinsically different treatment than bit given to the experimental group.

as well as fail to measure knowledge of the more modern content which the latter emphasize All of these difficulties point up the unfeasibility of using curriculum-development research as a source of rigorous experimental evi dence bearing on a single cognitive structure variable

INTROVENENT OF THINKING Promising attempts to enhance critical thinking ability by influencing cognitive structure in particular subject matter areas have been made by M L Abercrombic (1960) J R Suchman (1999) 1960) and B O Smith (1960) Abercrombic tred to improve medical students ability to reason more effectively by providing them with oppor turnities for therapeutic group discussion in an unstructured nonauthor turana atmosphere Analysis of X rays was used as the criterion measure for assessing the effects of this training Abercrombics findings were gen erally in the predicted direction but are vulnerable on the grounds of failute to control for the so-called. Hawthorne effect

Suchman (1960) has been experimenting with the teaching of strategies and tactics of scientific inquiry to children who learn to apply them in question and answer investigations. Preliminary findings (1959) indicate that although such training increases the number of valid questions children ask in the test (criterion) situation it does not significantly enhance the quality of the questions or facilitate grasp of concepts. Hence more defini tive evidence of the transfer value of such training to new situations is being sought and the new criteria of transfer being employed are not only more independent of the particular training procedures used but are also more reflective of the ultimate purpose of such training greater knowledge of the content and/or the method of science.

B O Smith and Henderson developed instructional materials designed to develop cruical thinking abilities and belged the teachers learn how (They] found wild differences among teachers with respect to improvement of their students in critical thinking (B O Smith 1960) but refrained from drawing definitive con clusions because they had not as yet devised a technique for describing and measuring what teachers were actually doing in this situation. There next step therefore was to devise a method of categorizing the logical operations involved in teaching. The great promise of this approach is twofold. First the attempt to influence critical linking is based on the simultaneous teach ing of the logic of a particular subject maiter field along with its content rather than on instruction in general principles of logic. Second by quantify ing cruically important but clusive teaching variables this category system can do much to place long term classroom sudies of cognitive structure variables on a sound experimential basis M J Aschner (1961) has developed another useful category system for clarifying thought processes that are reflected in verbal behavior structure of intellect AUTOMATED TEACHING Similar kinds of presumptive evidence regard ing the long term effects of cognitive structure variables come from studies of automated teaching S L. Pressey (1960) systematically used a self instructional (punchboard) device as an integral part of a course in educational psychology This device both provides immediate feedback and guides the student to the correct answer if he is wrong Students using the punchboard make higher midterm and final examination scores than do students in the control group J K Lattle (1960) and A L Stephens (1960) reported similar findings

Long term experimental evidence derived from more modern teaching machine procedures is equally sparse but generally substantiates the con clusion that such procedures are approximately as effective as conventional teaching methods. The study conducted by B F Skinner and J G. Holland (1960) on the effectiveness of programmed instruction in introductory psy chology for example is subject to the methodological criticism that control groups were not used. Better-controlled studies in the same subject matter area (W F Oakes 1960). The keinst 1965) give conflicting findings D. Porters (1959) research on programmed instruction in spelling (1959) and S R. Meyers (1960b) vocabulary study are notable for the use of matched control groups and demonstrate a consistent advantage in favor of the auto mated techniques A well controlled experiment on the automated teaching of fourth grade antihmetic suggests no superiority for this approach except in the case of low 1Q pupils (Joos 1961). But despite the paucity of ngorous experimental work in this area it is evident that with proper controls manipulation of single variables and the use of the transfer paradigm automatic teaching devices could provide much valuable evidence on the long item effect of cognitive structure variables.

The Role of Discriminability in Meaningful Learning and Retention

The discriminability of new learning material from previously learned concepts in cognitive structure is a major variable in meaningful learning and retention. In the effort to simplify the task of apprehending the environment and representing it in cognitive structure new learning material that resembles existing knowledge often tends to be interpreted as identical to the latter despite the fact that objective identity does not exist. Existing knowledge in other words tends to preempt the cognitive field and to superimpose itself on similar potential meanings. Under these critomistances the resulting meanings obviously cannot conform to the objective content of the learning material. In other instances the learner may be cognitant of the fact that new propositions differ somehow from established principles. in cognitive structure, but is unable to specify wherein the difference hes When this situation exists ambiguous meanings emerge permeated by doubt confusion and alternitive or competing meanings. In either case however the newlylerined meanings enjoy relatively little initial dissoci oblity strength. In addition if new meanings cannot be readily distin guished from established meanings they can certainly be adequately repre-sented by them for memorial purposes and thus tend to lose their initial dissociability strength or become reduced more rapidly, than initially dis-criminable meanings. This is especially true for longer retention periods Over short retention intervils nondiscriminable material can be retained on a purplement here. on a purely rote basis

Lack of discriminability between new ideas and previously learned propositions in cognitive structure may account for some negative transfer (proactive interference) in school learning This is particularly the case when the two sets of ideas are confusably similar and when the previously learned ideas are neither clear nor well established. Under these conditions retaries near and infer clear nor well established. Under these conditions the learner may possibly encounter greater difficulty in learning the new ideas than if he had not been previously exposed to a confusably similar set of propositions P Suppes and R Ginsberg (1963) for example found evidence of negative transfer when first graders learned the concept of iden uty of ordered sets after previously learning the concept of identity of un ordered sets

ordered sets The discriminability of a new learning task is in large measure a func-tion of the clarity and stability of the existing ideas to which it is relatable in the learner s cognitive structure. In learning an infamiliar passage about Buddhism for example subjects with greater knowledge of Christianity make significantly higher scores on the Buddhism test than do subjects with less knowledge of Christianity (Ausubel and Blake 1958 Ausubel and Fitz gerald 1961 Ausubel and Youssef 1963) This significantly positive relation ship between Christianity and Buddhism test scores holds up even when the effect of verbal ability organized paragraphic party on the party of the structure of the paragraphic party on the party 1961). When a parallelly organized paragraphic party on party on the party of the paragraphic paragraphic party on the party of the party of the party of the paragraphic party of the party 1961). When a parallelly organized paragraphic party on party of the party the effect of verbal ability is statistically controlled (Ausubel and Fitzgerald 1961) When a parallelly organized passage about Zen Buddhism is intro duced after the Buddhism passage superior knowledge of the latter similarly facilitates the learning of the Zen Buddhism material when verbal ability is held constant (Ausubel and Youssef 1963) Thus much of the effect of overlearning—both on retaining a given unit of material and on learning related new material—is probably a reflection of the enhanced discrimtin ability it induces and this effect can be accomplished by overlearning either the learning material itself or its anchoring ideas When discriminability between new learning material and established ideas in cognitive structure is inadequate because of the instability of am hereit for the organize comparative organizer that explicit delivaor

biguity of prior knowledge comparative organizers that explicitly delineate similarities and differences between the two sets of ideas can significantly

increase discriminability and hence facilitate learning and retention (Ausu bel and Fitzgerald 1961) This method of ficilitating learning and retention is probably more effective than overlearning of the new material since sich overlearning does not in any way strengthen or clarify the established ideas in cognitive structure are afready clear and stable however organizes do not have a facilitating effect (Ausubel and Fitzgerald 1961) Under these latter circumstances overlearning of the new material is the only feasible way of further enhancing discriminability. In conceptual learning present ing sequences of stimuli that provide successive contrasts between relevant and irrelevant criterial attributes tends to facilitate concept formation (De tambel and Stolirow 1956)

Attempts to increase the discriminibility of verbal learning materials through techniques other than overlearning of new material or the use of advance organizers hive not been strikingly successful. Merely establishing a set to perceive differences between two related passages does not in and of itself enhance retention although the learning and retention of *differ* ences alone is enhanced by the use of explicit directions to notice the differ ences (Wittrock 1963a) and the inclusion of explicit comparisons within the learning passage itself produces somewhat equivocal results (Ausubel and Blake 1958)

For several plausible reasons advince comparative organizers are more effective than intra material comparisons. In the first place they provide ad vance ideational scaffolding Second they provide the learner with a generalized overview of all of the major similarities and differences between the two bodies of ideas before he encounters the new concepts individuilly in more detailed and particularized form. Finally they create an advance set in the learner to perceive similarities and differences and by involving overly explicit specification encourage lim actively to make his own differentiations in terms of his own particular sources of confusion (Ausubel and Fittgerald 1961) M. C. Wittrock (1963b) for example showed that pirt of the facilitating effect of a comparative organizer on learning and retenion is attributable to the effects of a learning set He demonstrated that merely a set to contrast or to compare and contrast Buddhism with Clinistianity in the absence of a comparative organizer enhances the imme diate and dehyed Buddhism retenitor scores of indiregraduate students

Sometimes in meaningful learning and retention new learning material may be idequately discriminable from existing ideas in cognitive structure but may be in reil or seeming contradiction to these ideas. When this hippens the learner may peremptonly dismiss the new propositions as invalid may iry to set them apart from previously learned knowledge (retain them on a rote basis) or hopefully may try to reconcile and integrate the two sets of ideas in relation to a more melawise subsimier. The function of an advance organizer in this type of learning situation would be to provide just such a subsumer

Stability and Clarity of Anchoring Ideas

Little reliable evidence is available regarding the effect of overlearning on the relative shibity of anchoring ideas in cognitive structure, and hence on their relative ability to enhance meaningful verbal learning and reten tion D. Ausubel and D. Fitzgerald (1962) found that degree of knowledge of antecedent learning material is positively related to the learning of a sequentially dependent passage, but the number of times that the first passage is read bears no relationship to the learning of an otherwise sequentially dependent passage if the latter includes all of the essential points of the first passage as introductory material (Ausubel and Youssef 1966). In other words the positive transfer effect that increased stability of previously learned material has on the latter *learning* of sequentially dependent material is no longer demonstrable if the essential elements of the antecedent material (the elements that make for the sequential dependence) are incorporated as introductory aspects of the second task. This, of course, does not imply that the stability of antecedent material in cognitive structure has no posi tive transfer effect on the *long term retention* of otherwise sequentially dependent material when a summary of it into the second task—are by no means mutually preclusus and can be used to complement each other in learning sequentially organized material. The previously cited work of R M Gagne and N E. Paradise (1961) and Gagné, J R. Mayor H Gar

Presentation of heterogeneous stimulus material that does not provide sufficient repetition to allow for mastery is not only less effective than homogeneous presentation in learning a principle, but also does not facilitate the learning of a reversal principle during the transfer period (Sasenrath 1959) Reversal learning in rats and nursery school children is similarly facilitated when the first of two discrimination problems is overlearned (Bruner, Mandler, O Dowd and Wallach 1958, March, 1964, Publols 1957, L S Reed 1953) According to J S Bruner learning often cannot be translated into a generic form until there has been enough mastery of the specifics of the situation to permit the discovery of low-roder regularities which can then be recombined into higher-order more generic coding systems (Bruner, 1957, p 60) In serial and paired associate rote learning under conditions comparable to stimulus generalization increased practice on the transmit task tends to increase positive transfer (Bruce, 1933, Bruner, 1961), and under conditions typically associated with negative transfer, increased prior training tends to reduce and even reverse the direction of negative transfer (Atwater, 1953 Mandler, 1954, Sinpola 1933, Underwood, 1919, Young and Underwood, 1954)

Reference has already been made to short term research evidence on the relationship between existing degree of knowledge and the learning of unfamiliar material in the same subject matter field Students with a more extensive knowledge of Christianity are better able to learn principles of Buddhism than are students of equal academic aptitude who have less knowledge of Christianity (Ausubel and Fitzgerald, 1961) Similarly, sub verts who have more general background knowledge in endocrinology learn and retain more unfamiliar material about the endocrinology of pubescence than do a matched control group with less general background knowledge of endocrinology (Ausubel and Fitzgerald, 1962) In the first instance, where the new learning material (Buddhism) is specifically relatable to existing knowledge (Christianity) the facilitating effect of increased knowledge about Christianity can be attributed both to the availability of more specifically relevant anchoring ideas and to greater discriminability between the two sets of analogous ideas In the second instance, where the new learning mate rial (endocrinology of pubescence) is not specifically relatable to previously learned principles, general background knowledge in endocrinology prob ably facilitates learning and retention both by providing at least a non specific background basis for relating the new material to cognitive structure (combinatorial learning), and by increasing the familiarity of the pubescence material (and lience the learner's confidence in coping with it) The back ground knowledge here also seems to enhance the effect of an organizer

Perhaps the most important feature of automated teaching devices, insofar as the facilitation of meaningful learning and retention is concerned, is not the incentive and dirive reducing effects of immediate feedback.¹ but the extent to which these devices influence learning by enhancing the stability and clarity of cognitive structure. By deferring the introduction of new maierial until prior material in the learning sequence is consolidated they maximize the effect of stability of cognitive structure on new learning and by supplying immediate feedback, these devices rule out and correct alternative wrong meanings, misinterpretations, ambiguities and mission

¹ The reinforcement value of feedback as conceived by B F Skinner is discounted by the fact that subjects who make no spontaneous overt response which can be reinforced (who respond coverily or merely read the correct response) generally learn and retain programmed serbal material just as well as subjects who independently and overily construct their own responses (Della Piana 1961 Exant, Glaver Homme 1960a Krumboliz 1961).

ceptions before they have an opportunity to impair the clarity of cognitive structure and thereby infiniting the learning of new material. Because of the rigor with which such variables as degree of consolidation and amount and immediacy of feedback can be controlled programmed instruction can be very useful in studying the effects of the stability and clarity of cognitive structure on sequential learning

Many investigators as a matter of fact have used automated teaching devices in short term studies of learning and retention but have generally restricted their attention to the relative effectiveness of these devices as com pared to conventional classroom instruction. It has been reported for example that university students using simulated teaching machines (Coul son and Silberman 1960b) and programmed textbooks (Evans Glaser and Homme 1960b) are better able to learn small units of meruingful maternal than are control groups employing comparable conventional methods. These studies also isolated the effects of such variables as size of step and mode and overtness of response But until the transfer paradigm is followed (that is until the effect of prior exposure to such factors is related to the learning of new maternal) the rich potentialities of these devices for increasing our knowledge of cognitive structure variables will not be realized

Pedagogic Facilitation of Transfer

What are some of the pedagogic implications both of the foregoing model of the psychological structure of knowledge and of the factors that influence its accretion and organization? The major implication for teach ing perhaps is that inasmuch as existing cognitive structure reflects the out come of *all* previous meaningful learning control over the accuracy clarity longevity in memory and transferability of a given body of knowledge can be most effectively exercised by attempting to influence the crucial variables of cognitive structure. This is particularly important in view of the geometrical successe in new knowledge.

In principle deliberate manipulation of the relevant attributes of cog intro structure for pedagogic purposes should not meet with undue difficulty As pointed out above it can be accomplished (a) substantively by using for organizational and integrative purposes those unifying concepts and propositions in a given discipline that have the widest explanatory power inclusiveness generalizability and relatability to the subject matter content of that discipline and (b) programmatically by employing suitable programmatic principles of ordering the sequence of subject matter con structing its internal logic and organization and arranging practice trials Hence transfer in school learning consists primarily of so shaping the learn ers cognitive structure by manipulating the content and arrangement of his antecedent learning experiences in a particular subject matter area, that subsequent learning experiences are maximally facilitated

The Use of Organizers

The principal strategy advocated in this book for deliberately manipulating cognitive structure so as to enhance proactive facilitation or to mini mize proactive inhibition involves the use of appropriately relevant and inclusive inhibition involves the use of appropriately relevant and stable. These organizers are introduced in advance of the learning maternal itself and are also presented at a higher level of abstraction, generality, and inclusives and since the substantive content of a given organizer of senses of organizers is selected on the basis of their appropriateness for explaining integrating and interrelating the maternal they precede this strategy smult tancously susfies the substantive as well as the programming criteria specified above for enhancing the organizational strength of cognitive structure. Summatues and overviews on the other hand, are outinarily presented at the same level of abstration, generality, and inclusiveness as the learning maternal tuelf. They simply emphasize the salient points of the maternal by omitting less important information and largely achieve their effect by repetition and simplification.

As pointed out earlier the rationale for using organizers is based primanly on (a) the importance of having relevant and otherwise appropriate established ideas already available in cognitive structure to make logically meaningful new ideas potentially meaningful and to give them stable an chorage, (b) the advantages of using the more general and inclusive ideas of a discipline as the anchoring ideas or subsumers (namely the aprices and specificity of their relevance their greater inherent stablish, their greater explanatory power and their integrative capacity), and (c) the fact that they themselves attempt both to identify already existing relevant content in cognitive structure (and to be explicitly related to it) and to indicate explicitly both the relevance of the latter content and their own relevance for the new learning maierial. In short, the principal function of the organizer is to bridge the gap between what the learner already knows and what he needs to how before here on successfully learn the task at hand

The function of the organizer is to provide ideational scaffolding for the stable incorporation and retention of the more detailed and differenti ared material that follows in the learning passage, as well as to increase discriminability between the latter material and similar or ostensibly con flicting ideas in cognitive structure. In the case of completely unfamiliar material an expository organizer is used to provide relevant proximate subsumers. These subsumers which bear a superordinate relationship to the new learning material, primarily furnish ideational anchorage in terms that are already familiar to the learner. In the case of relatively familiar learning material, a "comparative" organizer is used both to integrate new ideas with basically similar concepts in cognitive structure, as well as to increase discriminability between new and existing ideas which are essentially different but confusably similar.

The advantage of deliberately constructing a special organizer for each new unit of material is that only in this way can the learner enjoy the ad vantages of a subsumer which both (a) gives him a general overview of the more detailed material in *advance* of his actual confrontation with it, and (b) also provides organizing elements that are inclusive of and take into account most relevance) and efficiently the *farticular content* contained in thus material. Any existing subsumer in the learner's cognitive structure which he could independently employ for this purpose typically lacks *farticularized* relevance and inclusiveness for the new material and would hardly be available in advance of initial contact with it. And although stu dents might possibly be able to improvise a suitable subsumer for future learning efforts *after* they become familiar with the material, it is unlikely that they would be able to do so as efficiently as a person sophisticated in both subject matter content and pedagogy Organizers also undoubtedly facilitate the learning of factual material

Organizers also undoubtedly facilitate the learning of factual material more than they do the learning of abstract material, since abstractions, in a sense, contain their own built in organizers—both for themselves and for related detailed items D S Northrop (1952) showed that internal structur ing enhances the learning of factual falms, but actually inhibits the learning of ideational films. It would, therefore, seem advisable to restrict the use of organizers to the learning of material that embraces a substantial body of differentiated or factual content, and hence offers adequate scope for the ideational scaffolding provided by abstract organizers

The pedagogic value of advance organizers obviously depends, in part, upon how well organized the learning material itself is fit it already contains built in organizers and proceeds from regions of lesser to greater differentiat tom (higher to lower inclusiveness) rather than in the manner of the typical textbook or lecture presentation, much of the potential benefit derivable from advance organizers will not be actualized Regardless of how well organized learning material is however, it seems reasonable to expect that learning and retention can still be facilitated by the use of advance orga nizers at an appropriate level of inclusiveness. Such organizers are available from the very beginning of the learning task, and their integrative properties are also much more salient than when introduced concurrently with the learning material. To be useful however, organizers themselves must ob viously be learnable and must be stated in familiar terms
Substantive Factors Influencing Cognitive Structure

The task of identifying the particular organizing and explanatory prin ciples in the various disciplines that manifest widest generality and inte grative properties is obviously a formulable and long range problem. How ever, experience with various curriculum reform movements indicates that it yields to sustained and resourceful inquiry, especially when it is possible to enlist the cooperative efforts of outstanding subject matter specialists talented teachers, and imaginative educational psychologists "Correct and illuminating explanations are no more difficult and are often easier to grasp than ones that are partly correct and, therefore, too complicated and too restricted. Making material interesting is in no way incompatible with presenting of all "Rourier, 1960, p. 23).

The substantive objectives underlying the choice of subject matter content in the Physical Science Study Commutee Secondary School Physics Program are relevant for most disciplines '(1) to plan a course of study in which the major developments of physics up to the present time are presented in a logical and integrated whole, (2) to present times as an intellectual pursuit which is part of present-day human activity and achievement' (Finlay, 1959, p. 574) 'The primary problem in implementing these object tives is

how to construct currecula that can be taught by ordinary teachers to ordinary students and that at the same time reflect clearly the basic or underlying principles of various fields of inquiry. The problem is two-fold first, how to have the basic tubjects rewritten and their teaching materials revamped in such a way that the periading and powerful ideas and attutudes relating to them are given a central role second how to match the levels of these materials to the capacities of students of different abilities at different grades una knool (Bruner 1960 p. 18).

The rationale of the Physical Science Study Committee for its particular choice of subject matter is clearly defensible in terms of providing a stable and widely transferable basis for the assimilation and integration of knowl edge

The Committee has chosen to select subject matter and organize it with the intent of providing as broad and powerful a base as possible for further learning –further learning both in and beyond the classroom Through its materials the Committee selss to convey those aspects of science which have the deepest meaning the widet applicability

The explanatory systems of physics and how they are made have much more forward thrust as educational tools than the individual application and the discrete, unconnected explanation Thus the PSSC has chosen for its subject matter the big over arching ideas of physics—those that construct most to the contemporary physicists views of the nature of the physical world. The power of the big ideas is in their wide applicability and in the unity they bring to an understanding of what may appear superficially to be unrelated phenomena. Pedagogically this choice has urtues. Principal among them is the acquisition of criteria by which subject matter can be selected and organized toward the coherence the subject itself strikes for (Finlay 1960).

According to J S Bruner

optimal structure refers to the set of propositions from which a larger body of knowledge can be generated and it is characteristic that the formulation of such structure depends upon the state of advance in a particular field of knowledge Since the goodness of a structure depends upon its power for simplifying information for generating new propositions and for increasing the manipulability of a body of knowledge structure must always be related to the status and grifs of the learner Viewed in this way the optimal structure of a body of knowledge is not absolute but relative. The major requirement is that no two sets of generating structures for the same field of knowledge be in contradiction (Bruner, 1964b pp 308-309).

Appropriate structure of course, takes into account the developmental level of the pupil's cognitive functioning and his degree of subject matter sophistication Structure that is too elaborate in these terms constitutes more of a handicap than a facilitating device (S E Newman, 1957, Munro, 1959, Bunter, 1963) Similarly structure that is appropriate for the teacher is not always appropriate for the pupil Premature acquisition of inappropriate structures may result in closure that inhibits the acquisition of more appropriate structures (Smedslund 1961)

The great expansion in knowledge that is currently taking place de mands special care in the selection of the big tdeas As S C Ericksen puts it

teachers at all levels must begin to take more active measures to reduce the curricular lag between what is mice to know in contrast to what the present student generation needs to know. The slow to change teacher might unknowingly actually hinder the student's educational efforts to protect humself from informational obsolences. From the Medical School faculty for example I have heard expressions like. Half of what we teach will be outdated ten years from now and half of what the physician will need to know in ten years has not yet been discovered (Ericksen 1967) pp 145-146.

Coordination and integration of subject matter at the different grade levels will also become more important

As the high school comes closer to doing the job professors imagine for in professors will be forced to imagine an appropriate new job for the college. If so we must first learn that the American educational system is sequential that changes in one level of education requires changes in others that the task is shared by all teachers in all schools We shall find oursches engaged in re-evantimation and revi sion of our own programs undergraduate and graduate Staring late the university must as usual scramble to catch up to keep up and finally to get far enough ahead to exercise its function of leadership by example as well as by precept (Diekhoff 1964 p 188)

Once the substantive organizational problem (identifying the basic organizing concepts in a given discipline) is solved, attention can be directed to the programmatic organizational problems involved in the presentation and sequential arrangement of component units. Here it is hypothesized, various principles concerned with the efficient programming of content are applicable inrespective of the subject matter field. These principles naturally include and reflect the influence of the previously listed cognitive structure variables—the availability of a relevant anchoring idea its stability and clanity, and its discriminability from the learning material

Progressive Differentiation

When subject matter is programmed in accordance with the principles of progressive differentiation the most general and inclusive ideas of the discipline are presented first and are then progressively differentiated in terms of detail and specificity. This order of presentation presumably cor sophistication when human being are spontaneously exposed either to an entirely unfamiliar field of knowledge or to an unfamiliar branch of a familiar body of knowledge It also corresponds to the postulated way in which this knowledge is represented organized and stored in the human cognitive system The two assumptions we are making here, in other words are that (a) It is less difficult for human beings to grasp the differentiated aspects of a previously learned more inclusive whole than to formulate the inclusive whole from its previously learned differentiated parts # and (b) An individual s organization of the content of a particular subject matter discipline in his own mind consists of a hierarchical structure in which the most inclusive ideas occupy a position at the apex of the structure and subsume progressively less inclusive and more highly differentiated proposi tions concepts and factual data

⁸ This proposition simply restates the principle that subsumptive learning is caute than superordinate learning. The argument for using organizers resis on like same principle 1 is appreciated however that the learning of certain propositions requires the synthesis of previously argument subordinate concepts or propositions (superordinate learning) (*logica*) 1962). The need for periode superordinate learnin (superordinate learning) (*logica*) 1962). The need for periode superordinate learning index of needed the proposition that both the psychological organization of knowledge and the optimal organization of subject matter generally exemplify the principle of progressies differentiation.

Now if the human nervous system as a data processing and storing mechanism is so constructed that both the acquisition of new knowledge and its organization in cognitive structure conform *naturally* to the prin ciple of progressive differentiation, it seems reasonable to suppose that optimal learning and retention occur when teachers deliberately order the organization and sequential arrangement of subject matter along similar lines A more explicit way of stating the same proposition is to say that new ideas and information are learned and retained most efficiently when more inclusive and specifically relevant ideas are already available in cognitive structure to serve a subsuming role or to furnish ideational andhorage Organizers, of course, exemplify the principle of progressive differentiation and serve this function in relation to any given topic or subtopic where they are used. In addition, however, it is desirable that both the arrangement of the learning material itself, within each topic or subtopic and the sequencing of the various subtopics and topics in a given course of study also generally conform to the same principle

But even though this principle seems rather self-evident it is rarely followed in actual teaching procedures or in the organization of most textbooks The more typical practice is to segregate topically homogeneous materials into separate chapters and subchapters, and to order the arrange ment of topics and subtopics (and the material within each) solely on the basis of topical relatedness without regard to their relative level of abstract tion generality, and inclusiveness. This practice is both incompatible with the actual structure of most disciplines and incongruous with the postulated process whereby meaningful learning occurs, with the hierachical organiza tion of cognitive structure in terms of progressive gradations of inclusiveness, and with the mechanism of accretion through a process of progressive differ required to learn the details of new and unfamiliar disciplines before they have acquired an adequate body of relevant subsumers at an appropriate level of inclusiveness (Ausubel, 1960)

As a result of this latter practice, students and teachers are coerced into treating potentially meaningful materials as if they were role in character and consequently experience unnecessary difficulty and little success in both learning and retention. The teaching of mathematics and science, for example, still relies heavily on role learning of formulas and procedural steps, on role recognition of stereotyped type problems, and on mechanical manipulation of symbols. In the absence of clear and stable ideas which can estre as ancloring points and organizing foci for the incorporation of new logically meaningful material, students are trapped in a moras of confu sion and have little choice but rotely to memorize learning tasks for examina tion purposes.

One outstanding example of a textbook which is organized in accor

dance with the principle of progressive differentiation is W Boyd's (1961) famous Textbook of Pathology In this book Boyd parts company with most traditional treatises on pathology which typically consist of about twenty chapters each devoted to describing senally the major kinds of pathological processes occurring within a particular organ or organ system. Boyd in contrast reserves senal consideration of the pathology of separate organ systems to the second half of his text and devotes the entire first half to such general organizing and integrative topics as the different categories of pathological process (inflammation allergy degeneration neoplasm) and their principal causes and characteristics the various kinds of etiological agents in disease types of humoral and tissue resistance to disease the inter pathological processes and general relationships between pathological lesions and chincal symptoms

Progressive differentiation in the programming of subject matter is accomplished by using a lucrarchical series of organizers (in descending order of inclusiveness) each organizer preceding its corresponding unit of detailed differentiated material and by sequencing the material within each unit in descending order of inclusiveness. In this way not only is an appropriately relevant and inclusive subsumer made available to provide ideational scalfolding for each component unit of differentiated subject matter but the ideas willin each unit as well as the various innits in relation to each other are also progressively differentiated—organized in descending order of inclusiveness. The initial organizers therefore furnish anchorage at a global level before the lettmer is confronted with any of the new ma ternal. Thus for example a generalized model of class relationships is first provided as a general subsumer for all new classes subclasses and species before more limited subsumers are provided for the particular subclasses or species they encompasi.

Hence when undergraduates are first exposed to organizers presenting Hence when undergraduates are first exposed to organizers presenting relevant and appropriately inclusive subsuming principles, they are better able to learn and retain completely undiamliar ideational material (Ausuble 1960). Differential analysis in another similar study showed that the facilitating effect of organizers is greatest for those individuals who have relatively poor verbal ability and who therefore tend spontaneously to structure such material less effectively (Ausuble and Fatzgerald 1962). The greater reten ton by pro-Southern than by pro-Northern students of a controversial passage presenting the Southern point of view on the Cavil War can also be explained in terms of the relative availability of appropriate subsuming ideas (Fitzgerald and Ausuble) 1965). The pro-Southern than lack relevant subsumers to which the pro-Sout ern passage cin be functionally related. The material therefore comon be dearly and securely anchored to cognitive structure competes with existing meanings and is consequently ambiguous and subject to rapid forgetting The pro-Southern students, on the other hand, possess relevant subsuming concepts, thus the material can be readily anchored to cognitive structure and is less ambiguous and subject to forgetting

Integrative Reconcultation

Integrative Reconculation The principle of integrative reconciliation in programming instruc-tional material can be best described as antithetical in spirit and approach in the ubiquitous practice among textbook writers of compartmentalizing and segregating particular ideas or topics within their respective chapters or subchapters. Implicit in this latter practice is the assumption (perhaps logically valid, but certainly psychologically untenable) that pedagogic considerations are adequately served if overlapping topics are handled in self contained fashion, so that each topic is presented in only one of the several possible places where treatment is relevant and warranted, the as-sumption that all necessary cross referencing of related ideas can be satu-factorily performed, and customarily is, by students Hence, little serious effort is made *explicitly* to explore relationships between these ideas, to approach are that multiple terms are used to represent concepts that are intrinsically equivalent except for contextual reference, thereby generating includible cognitive strain and confusion as well as encouraging rote learning, that artificial barriers are exceted between related topics, obstirring important common features, and thus rendering impossible the acquisition of usights dependent upon recognition of these commonalities, that adde quate use is not made of relevant, previously learned ideas as a basis for subsuming and incorporating related new information, and that since sign and explicit, these concepts are not made clear and explicit, these concepts are not material and ender and explicit

presented in serial fashion but there is no *intransic* sequential dependence from one topic to the next Unlike the case in sequentially dependent sub-ject matter, successive learning tasks are inherently independent of each other in the sense that understanding of Part II material does not presup-pose understanding of Part I material Each set of material is logically self contained and can be adequately learned by itself without any reference to the other order of presentiation is therefore immaterial. This situation for example prevails in presenting alternative theoretical positions in ethics, religion, and epistemology, opposing theories of biological evolution and different systems of learning and personality theory

Nevertheless although successive learning tasks of parallelly organized material are not intrinsically dependent on each other much cognitive interaction obviously occurs between them Earlier learned elements of a parallel sequence serve an orienting and subsuming role in relation to later presented elements. The latter are comprehended and interpreted in terms of existing understandings and paradigms provided by analogous, familiar, previously learned, and already established ideas in cognitive structure. Hence for learning of the unfamiliar new ideas to take place, they must be adequately discriminable from the established familiar ideas, otherwise the new meanings are so permeated with ambiguities misconceptions and con fusions as to be partially or completely nonexistent in their own right lf, for example the learner cannot discriminate between new idea A' and old idea A, A' does not really exist for lum, it is phenomenologically the same as A Furthermore even if the learner can discriminate between A and A' at the moment of learning unless the discrimination is sharp and free from ambiguity and confusion there will be a tendency over time for A' to be reduced to A (as the two ideas interact during the retention interval) more rapidly than is usually the case

In some instances of meaningful learning and retention the principal difficulty is not one of discriminability but of apparent contradiction be tween established ideas in cognitive structure and new propositions in the learning material Under these conditions the learner may summarily dismiss the new propositions as invalid may try to compartmentalize them as isolated entities apart from previously learned knowledge or hopefully may attempt integrative reconciliation under a more inclusive subsumer Com partmentalization may be considered a common defense against forgetting in many school learning situations. By arbitrarily isolating concepts and information one prevents confusing interaction with and rapid obliterative assimilation by more established contradictory ideas in cognitive structure But this of course is merely a special case of rote learning Through much overlearning relatively stable incorporation may be achieved at least for examination purposes but the fabric of knowledge learned in this fashion remains unintegrated and full of contradictions and is therefore not very viable on a long term basis

A If Ward and R. A Davis (1939) report a study of meaningful reten toon in which general scrence was taught to jumor high school pupils by means of a textbook that made a special point of reconciling and integrating new ideas with previously learned content Periodic examinations were also given which tested knowledge of earlier as well as of recently presented material. They found that students retained material as well after 16 weeks as on tests of immediate retention

Organizers may also be expressly designed to further the principle of

integrative reconciliation They do this by explicitly pointing out in what ways previously learned, related ideas in cognitive structure are either basically similar to, or essentially different from, new ideas and information in the learning task. Hence, for one thing, organizers explicitly draw upon and mobilize all available concepts in cognitive structure that are relevant for and can play a subsiming role in relation to the new learning material. This maneuver effects great economy of learning effort, avoids the isolation of essentially similar concepts in separate, noncommunicable compartments, and discourages the confusing prohferation of multiple terms to represent ostensibly different but essentially equivalent ideas. In addition, organizers increase the discriminability of genume differences between the new learn ing materials and seemingly analogous but often conflicting ideas in the learner's cognitive structure. This second way in which organizers purport edly promote integrative reconciliation is predicated on the assumption that if the distinguishing features of the new learning task are not organily salient or readily discriminable from established ideas in cognitive structure, they not only manifest initially low dissociability strength, but also lose it very rapidly because they can be adequately represented by the latter for memorial purposes. It is assumed, in other words, that only discriminable categorical variants of previously learned concepts have long term retention potentialities.

Thus if an organizer can first delineate clearly, precisely, and explicitly the principal similarities and differences between the new subsuming concepts and principles to be learned on the one hand and similar established ideas in cognitive structure, on the other, it seems reasonable to postulate that the enhanced discriminability of the new anchoring ideas would enable the learner to grasp later the more detailed ideas and information in the learning passage itself with fewer ambiguities fewer competing meanings and fewer misconceptions suggested by the established ideas than would otherwise be possible, and that as these clearer more discriminable, and less confused differentiated new meanings interact with their subsumers and with analogous established meanings during the retention interval, and differentiated material is learned in a clearer, more stable, and because the differentiated material is learned in a clearer, more stable, and more discriminable fashion in the first place, by virtue of the greater discriminabibity of the new anchoring ideas under which it is subsumed and because more discriminable subsumers are themselves more stable and hence better example, have been successfully used in facilituting the meaningful and retention of an unfamiliar passage dealing with Buddhism (Ausubel and Fuggerald 1961 Ausubel and Yousset, 1963)

More recently organizers have been used to facilitate the learning of

controversial ideational material contrary to the established beliefs of the learner. The underlying hypothesis of this approach is that selective for getting under these conditions is not so much a manifestation of selective perception and repression as an indication of the lack of adequate sub sumers in cognitive structure for the stable incorporation of such conflicting material. In support of this hypothesis and experimental group of illinois high school students who studied a comparative ideational organizer prior to learning the Southern point of view about the Civil Var remembered more of this material than did a control group of students who studied a purely descriptive introductory passage (Fitzgeräld and Anisubel 1963)

Sequential Organization

The availability of relevant anchoring ideas for use in meaningful verbal learning and recention may obviously be maximized by taking ad vantage of natural sequencinal dependencies among the component divisions of a discipline—of the fact that the understanding of a given topic often logically presupposes the prior understanding of some related topic Typi cally the necessary antecedent knowledge is more inclusive and general tian the sequentially dependent material bit this is not always true (for example superordinate learning) In any case by arranging the order of topics in a given subject matter field as far as possible in accordance with these sequential dependencies the learning of each unit in turn not only becomes an achievement in its own right but also constitutes specifically relevant ideational scaffolding for the next ture in the sequence

In sequential school learning knowledge of earlier apperring material in the sequence plays much the same role as an organizer in relation to later appearing material in the sequence It constitutes a relevant ideational foundation and hence a crucial limiting condition for learning the latter material when the influence of both verbal ability and general background knowledge is held constant (Ausubel and Traggrad 1962) For miximally effective learning however a separate organizer should be provided for each unit of material Thus sequential organization of subject matter can be very effective since eich new increment of knowledge serves as an anchor ing post for subsequent learning This presupposes of course that the antecedent step is always thoroughly consolidated Perlaps the chief peda gogic relvantage of the teaching machine hes in its ability to control this crucial variable in sequential examing

Another ulvaninge of programmed instruction is its careful sequential arrangement and gradation of diff culty which insure that cach attained increment in learning serves is an appropriate foundation and anchoring post for the learning and retention of subsequent items in the ordered se quence Adequate programming of materials also presupposes maximum attention to such matters as lucidity organization and the explanatory and integrative power of substantive content

Sequential arrangement of learning tasks relies in part on the general facilitating effect of the viailability of relevant anchoring ideas in cognitive structure on meaningful learning and retention. For any given topic how ever there is the problem of ascertaining what the particular most effective sequence is. This involves considerations of logical task analysis progressive differentiation developmental level of cognitive functioning integritive re conciliation and learning lucrarchies. Further in superordinate learning it is essential to insure that both subordinate concepts and propositions and the component conceptual elements of each proposition are previously mastered R. M. Gagné states the problem very well by saying that

the planning that precedes effective design for learning is a matter of specifying with some care what may be called the *learning structure* of any subject to be acquired. In order to determine what comes before what it is subject must be analyzed in terms of the types of learning involved in it. The acquisition of knowl edge is a process in which every new capability builts on a foundation established by previously learned capabilities. The importance of mapping the sequence of learnings is mainly just this. That it enables one to avoid the mistakes that arise from shipping essential steps in the acquisition of knowledge of a content area (Gagné 1965 pp. 25 173).

Consolidation

By institug on consolidation or mastery of ongoing lessons before new material is introduced we make sure of continued subject matter readiness and success in sequentially organized learning. This kind of learning pre supposes of course that the preceding step is always clear stable and well organized. If it is not the learning of all subsequent steps is jeopardized. Thus new material in the sequence should never be introduced until all previous steps are thoroughly materied. This principle also applies to those kinds of mitra task learning in which each component task (as well as entire bodies of subject matter) tends to be compound in content and to manifest an internal organization of its own. Consolidation of course is achieved through confitmation correction clarification differential practice and review in the course of repeated exposure with feedback, to learning maternal

Abundant experimental research (C P Duncan 1959 Morrisett and Hovland 1959) has confirmed the proposition that prior learnings are not transferable to new learning tasks until they are first overlearned Over learning in turn requires an adequate number of adequately spaced repet tuons and reviews sufficient intra task repetitiveness prior to intra and inter task diversification and opportunity for differential practice of the more difficult components of a task. Frequent testing and provision of feed back especially with test items demanding fine discrimination among alter natives varying in degree of correctness also enhance consolidation by confirming clarifying and correcting previous learnings

In directly sequential tasks where the learning of Part II materials pre supposes understanding of Part I materials (where Part II is sequentially dependent on Part I) the stability and clarity of the antecedent material crucially affect the learning and retention of the later appearing material (Ausubel and Futgerald 1962)*

The stability and clarity of existing cognitive structure are important both for the depth of anchorage they provide for related new learning tasks as well as for their effects on the discriminability of these new tasks The discriminability of new learning material as shown by several of the experi ments reported above is in large measure a function of the clarity and stability of existing concepts in the learner's cognitive structure. Even in the learning of controversial ideas contrary to prevailing belief (for instance the learning by Illinois students of the Southern point of view about the Civil War) the more knowledgeable students namely those who know more about the Civil War period are better able to learn and remember the other side arguments (Fitzgerald and Ausubel 1963) presumably because they find them more discriminable from established ideas than do less knowledgeable subjects Thus much of the effect of overlearning-both on retaining a given unit of material and on learning related new material-is probably a reflection of the enhanced discriminability that can be induced by increasing the clarity and stability of either the learning material itself or of us subsumers.

Much additional research is needed to establish both the most economic cal degree of consolidation and the most efficient ways of effecting it (repetition distribution of practice feedback, use of organizers internal logic of the maternal) that will optimally fichtate the learning and retention of sequentically and parallelly organized subject matter Such knowledge will obviously have greater pedagogic utility if the effects of these latter vinables are differentiated with respect to pupils feed of cognitive maturity academic ability and degree of subject matter solutions

Consolidat on (through correction and recuew) of each successive part of a hierarchically organized task does not facilitate the *learning* of later segments of the task when a summary and correction review of if e entities the task and the part of the tenninal test on the material (V D Merrill 1965) TI e results of this experiment are therefore consistent with those of Vassibel and Joursés (1960) study in which a summary of Part I was presented as an introduction to Part II thereby making Part I no forger sequentially dependent upon Part I

Other Pedagogic Means of Facilitating Transfer

We have presented above some of the principal pedagogic means of facilitating transfer through the manipulation of cognitive structure vari ables According to this view, the incorporation of clear, stable, and integra tive subsumers in cognitive structure is the most efficacious way of promoting transfer Although we have been primarily concerned with meaningful discovery learning. Transferability, in other words, is largely a function of the relevance, meaningfulness, clarity, stability, integrativeness, and explana tory power of the originally learned subsumers. Rote learnings have little transfer value. But generalizations manifest transferability only when they are thoroughly grasped and overlearned (Mandler, 1954), and take into account the pupil's level of cognitive functioning. In elementary school children, this requires the use of concrete-empirical props

Even so, transfer does not take place automatically and without de liberate effort to appreciate and practice the opportunities that are present for transfer in a given learning situation. The learner must also perceive the relationship of the training to the criterial task (Ervin, 1960b). Geometry, for example, can increase ability to think logically in other subject matter areas only if awareness of this applicability is deliberately induced (Faweett, 1935, Hartung, 1942. Ulmer, 1939). The same is true of the teaching of genetics to reduce superstituous thinking and racial prejudice ¹⁰ and of the transferability of Latin to English and second language learning. In all probability, however, the same investment of time in direct study of the target languages, as opposed to prior study of Latin would yield more satisfactory learning results. Merely telling learners that previous learnings might be useful in other situations increases transfer (Dorsey and Hopkins, 1930).

Transferability also depends upon the application of a principle, during original learning, to as many specific contexts as possible (Hull 1920) C L Hull showed that familiarity with a concept in a large number of different specific contexts and illustrative forms is more efficacious for gen

¹⁰ This does not necessarily imply that a grand heunstic strategy which can be applied to all disciplines is discoverable or that critical lunking ability can be enhanced by teaching general principles of logic apart from specific subject matter toottent It simply means that in certain instances specific models or analogies may have interduceplinary heuristic value on a metryhorical basis and that certain substances or methodological principles have applicability to more than one disciplinary provided that their interdisciplinary neurance and implications are made explicit.

eralization than is intensive experience with a few illustrations providing of course that mastery occurs within each context. Thus transfer can be facilitated by providing opportunity for learning principles in as wide a variety of situations as possible, by explicitly emphasizing the similarity hetween training and criterial tasks and by presenting the latter tasks con innuously or in close succession. In the case of vocational learning knowl edge and skill become more transferable when they are learned originally in realistic and real life situations that are similar to the settings in which final utilization of the training will take place.

Some tasks are so complex that they cannot be learned directly The learner must be trained first on a simplified version of the task and then transfer this training to an attempt at mastering the task instel (Baker and Osgood 1954) For example in learning a complex tracking task (Lawrence and Goodwin 1954) or oral comprehension of a foreign language mitual slowing of the learning task is desirable. In some instances the separate components of a very complex performance must be mastered separately before the task as a whole can be attempted with any hope of success (Eckstrand and Wirkens 1954)

Other Theories of Transfer

The cognitive structure theory of transfer we have presented in this chapter is most closely related to C H Judd's (1902) classical generaliza tion theory it differs from the latter manhy in being concerned with the reception learning of subject matter rather than with the application of generic principles to specific instances of problem solving and in being more specific about the nature and condutions of generalization that is in specifying various significant cognitive structure variables. It is also some what related to the so-called transposition through of Gestalt and field theorists which empliasues perception of the relationship between prin ciples and specific unstances in the training situation rather than the process of generalization. Two other theories of trainfer lowerer, formal disc pline⁶ and identical elements are markedly different and deserve special scruting.

"Formal Discipline"

The formal discipline theory of transfer first emerged as a formalization of the belief widespread prior to 1930 that training in such abstract or difficult subjects as Latin Greek natural science and mathematics improves generally such hypothetically distinct mental faculties as reasoning memory and concentration E. L. Thorndike (1921) discreduted this theory by demonstrating that these abstract subjects have no significantly greater facilitating effect than do shopwork or bookkeeping on tests of selecture and relational thinking Similar results were reported by J B Carroll (1940) A Rapp (1945) A G Wesman (1915) and Strom (1960) in testing the effect of prior learning of one school subject on the learning of another

The doctrine of formal discipline is still very much alive as evidenced by the stubborn persistence of studies purporting to improve critical thinking ability or general academic performance by means of instruction in general principles of logic or the study of foreign linguiges G H Hyrum (1957) for example concluded that upper grade elementary school pupils could be taught to think critically and therefore logically through the use of instructional procedures emphasizing principles of logic. His find ings however provided no evidence of gain in critical thinking ability beyond the actual area of training since it was to be expected that pupils instructed in general principles of logic voild make significantly higher scores than a matched control group on a test of reasoning based on these same principles R B. Skelton (1957) presented data showing that entering college freshman who had studied foreign languages in high school sur passed a comparable control group matched for 1Q on English mathemat its and history entrance examinations as well as on first year college grades Although these differences between the two groups could not be attributed to the fact that the students electing foreign languages in high school sur more intelligent to begin with it does not necessarily follow that foreign language study facilitates general academic achievement by improving ability to comprehend and use English more effectively Much more would have to be known about the relative academic motivations of the two groups before this conclusion were warranted

Although the theory of formal discipline was demonstrably fallacious in its major premise it is neveriheless true that special training in efficient methods of memorization (Woodrow 1927) in work study habits (Leggitt 1934) and in general techniques of efficient work (Cox 1933) are transfer able

Furthermore as L J Cronbach points out

there are many disciplines each of them a way of coming to graps with certain types of problems. There is obvious sense in the contention that a mathe matican is more competent to solve a new mathematical problem than a bright and educated nonmathematican and not just because the mathematican knows more theorems. He has an ability to construct models sense connections within the model and test the internal consistency among premises and conclusions. He has a wealth of apparatus at his command—notational systems conceptual distinctions opera tions. These are used not as the computer uses a formula but as an architect uses all that has been learned from past build ng. To solve a new problem he draws from his store this and that device that might work, juggles them in the are begins to see a coherence discards some misfit parts and designs some replacements and finds more or less suddenly the shape of his mathematical system (Cronbach 1960 p 12?)

Identical Elements

E L. Thorndikes (1913) view that transfer takes place to the extent that identical elements occur in both training and criterial situations is obriously much too narrow. In addition to transfer of identical elements there is also transfer of principles problem solving techniques (Birch and Rabinowitz 1951) work study habits (Leggitt 1934 Ruediger 1908) affectively toned autitudes toward particular subjects skills and learning tasks and such personality related attitudes toward novel tasks as willingness to improvise yenturesomeress sell-confidence level of aspiration and rigidity

The Role of Transler in Education

It is obviously impossible lor classroom learning to prepare students to cope will every situation they will face in real life contexts Further even if this were possible the primary goal of function of education still would not be to provide students with knowledge that is applicable to the everyday problems of ising This social utility objective of education has long since been discarded as impracticable in most instances of non vocational classroom learning the goal of transfer is considered accom plistical if prior learning experience lacitizates the learning of subsequent classroom learning task—even if the knowledge so acquired is neither applicable nor even applied to problems of living, so much the betterbut this is not the primary objective of transfer in general education

Another relevant issue here as pointed out earlier is that inability to apply knowledge in problem-solving situations is not necessarily proof of lack of understanding of the material in question. The ability to apply knowledge successfully in problem-solving situations depends also on many other variables completely unrelated to understanding

In training students for particular professions general theoretical principles are taught in the behef that they have considerable transfer value for the solution of 1 ratical professional problems In addition students are trained in specialized problem-solving skills and methods of inquiry. How well a particular trainee will be able to uthize his theoretical knowledge in practice loweter depends on his able to uthize his theoretical knowledge in problem solving situations. Informal long observation of consistently good and poor prol lem solvers in the professions suggests that the application component of problem solving ability is less translike than the knowledge component. It may thus be more feasible to enhance problem solving ability by improving the student's grasp and functional retention of theoretical knowledge than by training him directly in problem solving skills. The role of transfer in professional education will be illustrated in the following discussion of medical training which offers many obvious parallels to the training of teachers.

Medical Education

Perhaps the most distinctive feature of medicine as a profession is the unusually large volume of relevant background knowledge which a medical trainee must first acquire in usable form before he can hope to engage in the actual practice of medicine A central problem of medical education, therefore, is to discover efficacious ways of transmitting this knowledge to students so that it can be retained over long periods of time in the viable and functional condition necessary for successful application to problems of clinical practice

THE FROBLEM OF TRANSFER IN MEDICAL EDUCATION Since a minimal fund of preclinical and clinical background knowledge must be acquired before any serious exposure to clinical problem solving experimence is feasible, and since this background knowledge is acquired largely during the two preclinical years and the first clinical year of medical school the educational problem may be conceptualized as one of long term transfer of training How, for example, can the subject matter of the preclinical years be best taught so that it can be retained in usable form and hence be available both as a foundation for learning new bodies of clinical subject matter, and as a basis for clinical problem solving? Obviously preclinical knowledge which is not retained at all or which is retained in a disorganized unclear, nonmeaningful (rote) unstable, or isolated fashion cannot be used effec tively for these latter purposes

twely for these latter purposes Yet it is a well known fact that many medical students, especially those with high levels of anxiety cope with the problem of digesting the large volume of material with which they are confronted by memorizing it rotely for examination purposes and by relying compulsively on their lecture notes which often as not are grossly inaccurate. Other students narrowly restrict their intellectual horizons to the prescribed textbooks phobically avoiding supplementary readings special lectures and extra curricular activities be cause they fear that additional information or other points of view will add to the already unmanageable burdlen of knowledge. Both adjustive tech inques obviously tend to foster a closed minded uncritical attitude toward prevailing doctrines and practices in medicine.

TWO TYPES OF TRANSFER Historically speaking the major problem of transfer with which medical educators have grappled has been that of discovering the best way of teaching prechotcal subjects so that an adequate residue of relevant and viable knowledge will be retained for later use in learning clinical subject matter and in solving clinical problems The most widely used approach to this problem of maximizing the transferability of preclinical medical koowledge both to clinical subject matter and to problems of clinical practice involves the use of explicit transitional mate nals and devices During the first preclinical year, for example, some anatomy is presented in the context of its explicit relevance for surgery, physical diagnosis, and clinical neurology, and some aspects of physiology and biochemistry are considered in the context of pathological aberrations encountered in medical practice. During the second preclinical year, such courses as pathology, pharmacology, laboratory diagnosis and physical diagnosis are partly designed so as to constitute a theoretical link between the first year study of normal structure and function, on the one hand, and the clinical understanding and treatment of pathological conditions, on the other Some time is also devoted in the preclinical years to the demon stration and discussion of patients and to clinical pathological conferences

During the clinical years, the articulation of preclinical and clinical background knowledge is approached from the opposite direction. Preceding the discussion of the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of clinical entities some attempt is usually made to summarize the relevant anatomy, physiology, and pathology. In our opinion, efforts to achieve this type of articulaution—in both directions—are extremely worthwhile, have demonstrably efficancies results, and should be extended.

Another important problem of transfer in medical education is concerned with the transfer of clinical background knowledge (third year lete ture course) to the particular diagnosite and therapeute issues posed by individual patients in clinic and hospital practice (senior year clinical clerk ships and fifth year internships) However, the problem of transfer here is somewhai different from that of making optimal use of abirate preclinical knowledge to the learning of abitrart chinical subject matter. It involves, rather, the optimal utilization of general clinical knowledge in particular problem solving situations.

This second problem of transfer, although no less real and important than the first, is, unfortunately, somewhat less susceptible to educational manpulation Transfer from one body of abstract knowledge to another is wholly a cognitise problem. That is the only things that are insolved in considering the relationship between success in mastering the preclinical curriculum and success in learning clinical subject matter, are the actual content relationships between the disciplines in question, and the relation slups between the quite analogous intellectual abilities needed in the two learning tasks. But the relationships between knowledge of clinical subject matter and success in clinical practice is much more complicated. In this instance, the two kinds of learning tasks (learning an abstract body of clinical knowledge and learning to solve the particular clinical problems of individual patients) are less closely related than in the case of the first transfer situation, and the abilities influencing success in the first task are similarly less related to the abilities influencing success in the second task than is true of the earlier described transfer situation.

Another way of contrasting these two types of transfer is to say that knowledge of clinical and prechnical subject matter is a necessary bit not a sufficient condition for successful clinical problem solving To solve problems of clinical practice successfully, one not only requires a sound background of relevant background knowledge, but also (a) an adequate amount of appropriately organized and supervised clinical experience, and (b) such problem solving traits as resourcefulness problem sensitivity, organality, perseverance, flexibility, improvising ability, and venturesome ness And these latter traits are undoubtedly both more dependent on genic endowment and less teachable than are either background knowledge or strategies of clinical problem solving

It is apparent, therefore, that the problem of transfer from preclinical to clinical knowledge is simpler in terms of the vanables involved than is the corresponding problem of transfer from relevant background knowledge (clinical and preclinical) to clinical problem solving Furthermore transfer is more predictable and educationally manipulable in the first situation than in the second in the second situation we can enhance ultimate problem solving ability (diagnostic and therapeutic ability) both by increasing the stability and usability of relevant background and by providing more adequate and better organized and supervised clinical experience. However, we have hitle control over and cannot materially influence by educational techniques those problem solving traits that are so crucially important for success in any kind of problem solving This same situation obviously previals in the training of lawyers, teachers engineers and so forth

APPROACH TO THE ENHANCEMENT OF TRANSFER To summarize three kinds of approaches seem feasible in attacking the transfer problem in medical education. First we can try to incorporate unit to the metical curriculum explicit transitional materials and experiences that bridge the gap between the structural and the functional, between the normal and the pathological between basic science knowledge (both normal and pathological) and bodies of chinical knowledge, and between abstract subject matter (both basic science and chinical problem solving This use of transitional devices is currently the most widely used approach in medical schools Second we can attempt to enhance the clarity, stability and usability of (a) predimical subject matter that is retained as a foundation for learning chinical subject matter and (b) both preclinical and clinical knowledge that is available for application to clinical problem solving. This second approach is the one that is principally advocated in this book. Third we can endeavor to improve the experiential content, organization and supervision of the student is hospital and outpatient training and to teach more effective strategies of diagnosis and therapeutic decision making. This type of supervised clinical problem solving experience can be considered a special vanant of the student is as a protocated considered a special vanant of the first approach that is as a protocated concentrated bui nestertheless transitional training device bindging the gap or facilitating transfer between abstract clinical knowledge on the one hand and independent clinical

Clinical demonstrations beside apprenticeship case study review and diagnostic problem-solving exercises are representative of the last menuoned approach. When used intelligently and with full awareness of the realistic imutations involved such techniques are very effective and enjoy an established place among the more promising methods of enhancing the clinical skills of medical trainees One important limitation that must be accepted from the outset is the already emphasized fact that much of the ultimate variability among physicians in clinical problem solving performance re flects genically determined variability in problem-solving traits that are not very susceptible to training measures An equally important limitation in volves recognition of the fact that clinical problem-solving techniques can be used only in conjunction with rather than as a substitute for didactic exposition of clinical subject matter Training in particular problem solving situations is much too time-consuming to constitute a feasible method of transmitting the vast array of abstract clinical knowledge medical students are required to know is a matter of fact if problem-solving techniques were misused for this latter purpose they would ultimately prove to be self-defeating because in the absence of adequate background knowledge students could not possibly hope to solve clinical problems successfully irrespective of the adequacy of their practical training Enhanced ability to solve clinical problems in other words can be regarded as both the major objective of expository clinical teaching and as a principal criterion of its adequacy but problem solving exercises cannot be considered a practical primary means of teaching the abstract content of clinical subject matter

Despite these limitations however much scope obviously remains for methods to improve the adequacy of clinical training. Our advocacy of the second of the three approaches outlined above is largely a reflection hoth of personal preference and of the judgment that this approach although exceedingly promising is the most neglected of the three. It does not in any way imply a derogation of the other two approaches.

From the standpoint of the approach adopted herein to the transfer problem in medical education the structure of a student's medical knowl edge is regarded as the crucial variable influencing new learning retention and problem solving Only insofar as it is possible to enhance the organiza tion clarity and stability of this structure is it possible to increase the functional retention of medical knowledge so that both more preclinical subject matter is transferable to the learning of clinical subject matter and more abstract clinical knowledge is available for particular clinical problem solving situations. Cognituse structure itself as indicated above can be in fluenced substanticely by the generality and integrative properties of the particular organizing and explanatory principles used in a given branch of medicine and programmatically by methods of presenting arranging and ordering units of medical knowledge that impinge on the clarity stability and cohesinenses of this structure

The Facilitating Effect of Verbalization in Transfer

We have already considered the general facilitating role of language in cognitive functioning as well as the mediating function of implicit verbal responses in concept formation R L R Overing and R M W Travers (1966) found that verbalization of general principles prior to application (1966) found that verbalization of general principles prior to application facilitates problem solving Building on the earlier work of P H Ewert and J F Lambert (1932) R M Gagné and E C Smith (1962) also demonstrated the positive effect of verbalization on the discovery of general principles and on their transferability to problem solving especially in relation to more difficult problems. Their study deals with the verbal nonverbal dimension of learning rather than with the reception discovery or rote meaningful dimensions. It has important implications for pedagogic practice because the findings challenge the widely accepted tenet of progressive education that verbal learning is necessarily role in character and that only nonrep-resentational experience is transferable from one problem solving situation resentational experience is detailed able from one problem solving situation to another Although it is true that expository teaching and reception learning are typically verbal discovery learning as Gagne and Smith (1962) point out may be either verbal or nonverbal. Their isolation of the verbal nonverbal variable from the reception discovery and rote meaningful vari nonverbal variable from the reception discovery and rote meaningful vari-ables represents an important methodological advance in the study of problem solving L E Thune and S C Ericksen (1960) report basically similar findings When their subjects had sufficient concrete experience with the learning task (operating a calculator) generally to understand it abstract instruction ibout the mechanism of a calculator was more efficacious in a transfer situation than specific operating experience on a single calcu lator

S M Ervin (1960c) also found that verbal instruction in the relevant physical principles underlying a motor task increases transfer to an analo widely known information are related aspects of cognitive style. Although preference for cognitive complexity cannot be reduced to any simple dimension of cognitive functioning it does exhibit considerable generality (Vannoy 1965) As one might reasonably anticipate it increases in direct proportion to an individual's ability to process or code variability (Minn singer and Kessen 1964). Individuals preferring cognitive simplicity are differentially more sensitive to new information and are thus more hkely to change initial impressions (Leventhal and Singer 1964). Elementary school pupils who prefer hitle known to more widely known information are more attracted to novelty and challenge and are also more likely to choose intellectual vocations (Teeter Rouzer and Rosen 1964).

According to J S Bruner and H Tajfel (1961) learners seem to manifest a consistent preference for broad or narrow categorization Narrow categorizers show greater preference than broad categorizers for taking the risk of being wrong as stimulus situations change J Kagan H A Moss and I E Sigel (1963) describe self consistent analytic descriptive, inferen tal descriptive and relational tendencies among children in grouping pictures The first named tendency increases with age and is more character tsite of boys than of girls Children with analytic tendencies tend to be more reflective with respect to alternative classification possibilities and to analyze visually presented material more into their component parts (Kagan Rosman Kay Albert and Philitps 1964) They also tend to be less hyperaetic and distractible Children who are conceptually reflective tend to make fewer errors in rending and inductive reasoning than do children who are conceptually impulsive (Kagan 1965 Kagan Pearson and Welch 1966)

Although it is probably true that human beings tend to orginize the world of tdeas people and authority basically along lines of behef congrience [ind that] what is not congruent is further organized in terms of similarity to what is congruent (Rokeach 1960 p 39.0) intermilvidual differences obviously exist with respect to the need for internal consistency within behef systems. Some individuals are undoubtedly more content than others to internalize contradictory propositions in logic tight compariments rather than to subject them to integrative reconclusion However direct research evidence regarding the stability and generality of such individual differences to presently inmavalable

Inother way of muntaining ideational self consistency is pereinptorily to reject all new propositions that <u>uppear incongruent with existing beliefs</u> VI Rokeach (1960) obtained exilence of a generalized open-closed dimension of belief systems mesured by the Doguritism and Opinionation Scales with respective reliability coefficients of approximitely 80 and 70 in validating these scales he found that Catholics make high Dogmitism and Right Opinionation scores whereas Communitis and religious disbelievers make high Dogmatism and Left Opinionation scores Only the Right Opin ionation groups however tend to score high on the Berkeley Authoritanan ism Scales Closed and rigid individuals experience difficulty in synthetic and analytic thinking respectively Open minded individuals tend to score higher than closed minded individuals on tests of verbal ability school achievement and ability to form remote verbal associations (S R Baker 1964 Zagona and Zurcher 1965) J McV Hunt (1961) in a review of the literature suggested that a large amount of prior experience in a given area promotes a positive orientation to novel situations whereas little prior experience in the same area is more hKely to lead to withdrawal Along the same line individuals tend to differ consistently in their tolerance for unrealistic experiences (Gardner and Schlesinger 1962)

Along the same line individuals tend to differ consistently in their tolerance for unrealistic experiences (Gardner and Schlesinger 1962) Intolerance of ambiguity is a characteristic manifestation of the rela ively closed mind and is symptomatic of high anxiety level (Ausubel 1949) Smock 1957) The anxious individual who requires immediate and clear cut answers and is impatient with conflicting evidence and tentative conclusions tends to exhibit either excessive impulsiveness or excessive cau tousness in decision making (Smock 1957) Both early and late decision makers manifested significantly more response perseveration and shorter latency of response on an object recognition test than did a middle group (Smock 1957 p 35) High causally oriented children are more tolerant of such perceptually ambiguous materials as are presented in the Decision Location Test and have less of a tendency to a prive at premature closure than low causally oriented subjects (Muus 1960 p 554) The latter tend to make more guesses and their guesses are more hikely to be rigid and judgmental in character

Individuals also differ consistently with respect to their tendency to use affect labels in categorizing stimuli. In an investigation of intra individual consistency in the use of affect labels in describing and categorizing social and ink blot stimuli. J Kagan H A Moss and I E Sigel (1960) were able to demonstrate significantly positive intercorrelations among their four measures.

Gardner (1959) employing a factor analytic approach isolated a limited number of control principles reflective of individual consistences in cog nitive behavior. This study was later broadened to include tests of intel lectual ability and personality variables (Gardner Jackson and Messick 1960) On the basis of their findings these investigators conclude that intel lectual abilities and cognitive controls are not isolated aspects of cognitive organization but are mutually interrelated. The arbitrary distinction that has sometimes been maintained between intelligence and the broad scale organization of cognition thus seems inappropriate. (Gardner Jackson and Messick 1960 p. 123)

Retention style was studied by I H Paul who found general and

consistent individual differences with respect to importation amount of material retained and the use and retenuon of imagery

Importing sometimes was clearly explicatory in function (assimilating and con necting) at other times merely decoraive and extraneous (iharpening). Interest ingly enough for the nonimporters it rarely scened to be the latter most of the decoraive importations were contributed by the importers (Paul 1959 p. 144).

The reproductions of nonimporters and importers were stylistically different the former were generally leaver in structure more disconnected and more abbre viated than those of if e importers the latter seemed more continuous and coherent (Paul 1939 p 155)

It is quite probable that consistent individual differences exist with regard to strategy of and general approach to problem solving Although a particular strategy of concept acquisition (simultaneous scanning succes sive scanning conservation focusing or focus gambling) is generally more likely to occur under some experimental conditions than under others (Bruner 1956) it is also possible that self consistent and generalized individual preferences are concomitantly operative

The issue of flexibility rigidity in problem solving has also received considerable attention A S Lucliuns and E H Luchins (1959) in review ing the literature on rigidity of behavior and the effect of Einstellung (ad vance cognitive sets) assert that no conclusions are possible at this time as to whether a general and self consistent factor of rigidity exists. The intratask generality of individual differences in the Water Jar Einstellung (Test has not yet been determined and the validity of this measure as well as its relationship both to other measures of rigidity and to other personality traits are highly equivocal.

COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT AND READINESS

WE HAVE HAD OCCASION TO NOTE previously that, unlike a computer, the information processing and storing capacities of the human being change as a function of age and experience. In this chapter we pro pose to consider cognitive development and developmental readiness as factors in meaningful learning and thinking. Emphasis will be placed on the changes in intellectual development that take place from kindegarten through high school and on their implications for school learning and pedagogy, intellectual development during infancy and the preschool period is not germane to educational psychology except where it pertains to school learning issues. In Chapter 4, readiness, as a developmential mode of cog intive functioning, was differentiated from the readiness that reflects posses sion of particular subject matter. Knowledge, or adequate subject matter sophistication, for particular learning tasks. In this chapter the term readiness' will be used only in a developmental sense

Readness is a cumulative developmental product reflecting the influ ence of all genic effects all prior incidental experience, and all prior learn ing on cognitive patterning and the growth of cognitive capacities. Thus it reflects the effects of subject matter learning as well, but only its general effects on cognitive capacities or mode of cognitive functioning, as distin guished from the acquisition of the particular learnings that constitute the basis of subject matter readiness. In any particular instance of readness, any one or all of these factors may be involved. Readiness may be general in the sense that an individual manifests a certain level of cognitive functioning required for a wide range of intellectual activities. On the other hand, it may be limited to the highly particularized cognitive capacities necessary for the learning of a narrow segment of new subject matter, and even to the particular teaching method employed in acquiring that knowl edge

The Nature of Readiness

Cognitive readiness refers to the adequacy of existing cognitive processing equipment or capacity for coping with the demands of a specified cognitive learning task. Empirically readiness is indicated by ability to profit from practice or learning experience. An individual manifests readiness when the outcomes of his learning activity in terms of increased knowl edge or academic achievement, are reasonably commensurate with the amount of effort and practice involved. Readiness in the developmental sense of the term is a function of general cognitive maturity. General cognitive maturity in turn largely reflects agelevel differences in intellectual capacity or stage of intellectual development. In any particular individual of course it also reflects individual differences in gene potentiality incidental experience intellectual sumulation and educational background.

The particular kind of subject matter that an individual studies in duces two main classes of effects. On the one hand it determines his specific readmess for particular other kinds of subject matter learnings that is the type of subject matter sophistication we considered in Chapter 4 under cognitive structure variables. On the other hand it also contributes to gen eral changes in cognitive readiness that are at least in part independent of the kind of subject matter studied. For example, the study of elementary school science prepares a pupil for high school science and the study of elementary school grammar prepares a pupil for high school grammar. In addition however experience with each subject contributes to his general cognitive divelopment and helps determine the general level of his cognitive functioning. In the present chapter we shall be concerned with these general developmental changes in readness

Thus in appraising cognitive readiness we would consider all relevant age letel changes in ability to cope with different kinds and levels of subject matter that are reflective of growth in cognitive capacity or mode of cognitive functioning. Examples of such changes in cognitive capacity that in fluence learning retention and thinking processes and hence influence developmental readiness for learning different kinds and levels of subject matter include the following increased widening and complexity of the cognitive field increased familiarity of the psychological world greater differentiation of cognitive structure greater precision and specificity of mean ings the powersion of more abstract higher-order concepts and transactional terms greater ability to comprehend and manipulate abstractions and relationship between abstractions—without recent or current reference to con crete-empirical exprenence greater ability to deal with general propositions apart from particularized contexts decreased subjectivity in approach to experience increased itemition spin and increased differentiation of intel lectual ability Some of these changes in cognitive sophistication (increased differentiation of cognitive content, structure, and intellectual ability, greater precision and specificity of meanings) have self-evident implications for general developmental readiness insofar as it bears on the breadth depth issue in curriculum

Issue in curriculum There is little disagreement about the fact that cognitive readiness al ways crucially influences the efficiency of the learning process, and olten determines whether a given intellectual skill or type of school material is learnible at all at a particular stage of development. Most educators also implicitly accept the proposition that an age of readiness exists for every kind of learning Postponement of learning experience beyond this age of readiness wastes valuable and often unsuspected learning opportunities, thereby unnecessarily reducing the amount and complexity of subject matter content that can be mastered in a designated period of schooling. On the other hand when a puilt is prematurely exproved to a learning task before other hand when a pupil is prematurely exposed to a learning task before he is adequately ready for it, he not only fails to learn the task in question (or learns it with undue difficulty) but also learns from this experience to fear, dislike, and avoid the task

fear, disluke, and avoid the task Up to this point the principle of readiness—the idea that attained developmental capacity limits and influences an individual's ability to profit from current experience or practice—is empirically demonstrable and con-ceptually unambiguous Difficulty first arises when it is confused with the concept of maturation, and increases when the latter concept, in turn, is equated with a process of internal ripening. The concept of readness simply refers to the adequacy of existing cognitive capacity or level of cog nitive functioning (not knowledge) in relation to the demands of a given learning task. No specification is made as to how this capacity is achieved "whether through prior learning activities through uncidental experience through genically regulated changes or through various combinations of these factors. Maturation on the other hand has a different and much more restricted meaning. It is a conception of the sendity that take these lactors Maturation on the other hand has a different and much more restricted meaning II encompasses those increments in capacity that take place in the demonstrable absence of specific practice experience that is those increments that are attributable to genic influences and/or incidental experience Maturation therefore, is not the same as readness but is merely one of the two principal factors (the other being learning) that contribute to or determine the organism s developmental readness for coping with new learning tasks. Whether or not readness exists in other words does not learning tasks whether or not readiness exists in other words does not necessarily depend on maturation alone in many instances it is solely a function of cumulative prior learning experience and most typically it de pends on varying proportions of maturation and learning To equate the principles of readiness and maturation not only mud dies the conceptual waters but also makes it difficult for the school to appre-ciate that insufficient readiness may often reflect cognitive immaturity on

the part of pupils that is attributable to a generally unstimulating inappro prate or inefficient educational environment. Lack of maturation can thus become a conveniently available scapegoat whenever children manifest insufficient developmental readiness to learn and the school which is there by automatically absolved of all responsibility in the matter, consequently fails to subject its instructional practices to the degree of self-critical scrutupy necessary for continued educational progress. In short, while it is important to appreciate that the current readiness of pupils determines the school s current choice of instructional methods and materials it is equally important to bear in mind that this readiness its is partly determined by the general appropriateness and efficiency of the previous instructional practices to which pupils have been subjected. The quality of education a pupil receives in other words is a significant determinant of his developmental readiness a well as of his subject matter readiness for further learning

The conceptual confusion is further compounded when maturation is interpreted as a process of internal ripening essentially independent of all environmental influences that is of incidential experience as well as of learning Readiness then becomes a matter of simple genic regulation un folding in accordance with a predetermined and immutable timetable and the school by definition becomes powerless to influence developmental readiness—even through a preschool or kindergarten program of providing incidential background experience preparatory to the introduction of more formal academic activities

Actually A Gesell's embryological model of development implicit in the internal ripening thesis fits quite well when applied to the sensori motor and neuromuscular sequences taking place during the prenatal period and early infancy in the acquisition of simple behavioral functions (for instance locomotion or prehension) that more or less uniformly characterize all members of the human species irrespective of cultural or other environ mental differences the evidence indicates that for all practical purposes genic factors largely determine the direction of development. Environmental factors influence developmental outcome only if they are extremely deviant and then serve more to durant or arrest the ongoing course of desclopment than to generate distinctive developmental progressions of their own Titus the only truly objectionable aspect of the embryological model is its iin warranted extrapolation to those more complex and variable components of later cognitive and behavioral deselopment where environmental factors make important contributions to the direction patterning and sequential order of all developmental changes

It is hardly surprising therefore in view of the tremendous influence on professional and lay opinion weldled by Gewell and his colleagues that many educators conceive of readiness in absolute and immutable terms and thus fail to appreciate that except for such traits as walking and grasping the mean age of readiness can never be specified spart from relevant environmental conditions Although the model child in contemporary America may first be ready to read at the age of 6½ (Morphett and Washburne 1931) the age of reading readiness is always influenced by cultural sub cultural and individual differences in background experience and in any case varies with the method of instruction employed and the child's IQ Middle class children for example are ready to read at an earlier age than lower class children because of the greater availability of books in the home and because they are read to and taken places more frequently (Milner 1951) Exposure to television has undoubtedly decreased the age of readmess for reading in recent years but even so the typical child of average intel ligence is not ready for formal instruction in reading prior to entering kin dergarten (Kinsella 1965)

Pedagogic Applications of the Readiness Principle

By virtue of his distinctive degree of cognitive sophisticition at every age level the child his a characteristic way of approaching learning material and viewing the world (Bruner 1960) The pedagogic problem in readiness is to manipulate the learning stuation in such a way that one takes account and optimal advantage of existing cognitive capacities and modes of assimilating ideas and information as for example the learners objectivity subjectivity his level of generality or particularity and the abstract ness and precision of his conceptualizations. The task of teaching a subject to a child at any particularity age is one of representing the structure of ihrt subject in terms of the child s way of viewing things. The task can be thought of as one of translation (Bruner 1960). The objection has been offered that we can have no direct heaveleden

The objection has been offered that we can have no *direct* knowledge of an individual state of developmental readiness and ihat we would there fore be better advised to ignore these factors and manipulate other learning variables about which we have more direct knowledge and over which we have more direct control for instance situational and interpersonal variables reinforcement attributes and organization of the learning task and the conditions of practice. All of these latter variables can be manipulated independently of any reference to the existing cognitive capacities of the learner But although in is true that we can have no *direct* knowledge of and control over his state of readmess we should not be unduly discouraged. We can still make some fairly shrewd and accurate inferences about existing cognitive readmess from detailed knowledge of the learner. Batur, although it as social class and educational background and from the use of diagnostic testing procedures Firthermore we can also exercise some control over the readmess factor by providing a pertinent background for the direct state of the specific specif ence or special preparatory learning activities at the desired level of sophistication

Much more significant in terms of pedagogic applications is the serious dearth of research on the cognitive aspects of readiness. We desperately need studies indicating that certain linds components and levels of subject matter which cannot be learned efficiently at one age level can be learned efficiently at another age level studies which achieve superior learning by taking general or particularized readiness factors into account and studies showing that more difficult kinds and levels of subject matter—ordinarily not learnable at younger ages—can be learned successfully and without in ordinate effort if appropriate changes in teaching method are made. Until the principle of readiness is particularized in each academic discipline with respect to the various sub areas levels of difficulty and methods of teaching that can be most advantageously employed at each level of development the principle will have little pedagogic utility

What light can the field of human growth and development throw on the issue of What shall the schools teach? We earnestly wish that it were possible to list and discuss a dozen or more instances in which developmental principles have been validly utilized in providing definitive answers to questions dealing with the content and organization of the curriculum Unfor tunately however it must be admitted that at the present time this diseipline can only offer a limited number of very crude generalizations and highly tentative suggestions bearing on this issue. In a very general sense of course it is undeniable that concern with child development has had a silu tary effect on the educational enterprise. It alerted school administrators to the fact that certain minimal levels of intellectual maturity are necessary be fore various subjects can be taught with a reasonable degree of efficiency and hope of success and it encouraged teachers in presenting subject matter to make use of the existing interests of pupils to consider their point of view and to take into account prevailing limitations in command of language and grasp of concepts On the other hand premature and wholesale extrapolation of developmental principles to educational theory and practice has also caused incalculable from It will take at least a generation for teachers just to unlearn some of the more fallacious and dangerous of these over generalized and innvarranted applications

Much of the aforementioned difficulty proceeds from failure to appreciate that human growth and development is a pure-rather than an applied science. Vs 7 pure science in is concerned with the discovery of general laws about the nume and regulation of human development as an end in titleff Ultimately of course such laws have self-sident implications for the renhranon of ractual gorls in such felds as clucation child ferring and guidance. In a very general sense for example, they indicate the effects of different interpretonal rund social climates on periorality development and the kinds of teaching methods and subject matter content that are most compatible with developmental capacity and mode of cognitive functioning at a given stage of growth Thus becruse it offers important insights about the changing intellectual and emotional cipacities of children as developing human beings child development may legitimately be considered one of the basic sciences underlying education and guidance and as part of the necessary professional preparation of teachers—in much the same sense that anatomy and bacteriology are basic sciences for medicine and surgery

And surgery Highly detrimental in their effects on pupils and teachers however have been the consequences of far fetched and uncritical extrapolation to educational practice of developmental generalizations that either have not been adequately validated or which apply only to a very restricted age segment of the total span of children's development. Two illustrations of the latter category of unwarranted extrapolation of highly limited general izations—the internal ripening theory of maturation and the principle of self selection—have already been discussed. An example of a widely accepted but inadequately validated developmental principle frequently cited to justify general or overall ability grouping of pupils is the proposi tion that a child's growth and achievement show a going togetheredness (Olson and Hughes 1943). Actually except for a spuriously high correlation during infancy the relationship between physical status and motor ability on the one hand and intelligence and annotic the uniferent areas of intell lectual achievement the weight of the evidence indicates that as a child grows older his component rates of growth in these various functions in creasingly tend to diverge from each other

POSTFONEMENT AND FREMATURE LEARNING Intellectual training should not be postponed merely on the theory that an older child can invanably learn anything more efficiently than a younger child Instruction in typing (Wood and Freeman 1932) for example is more successful at age 7 than at age 5 but this is insufficient reason in and of itself to postpone this activity for two years Adequate readiness rather than age by itself is the relevant criterion Waiting beyond the point of adequate readiness means that certain specific learnings (as well as the accompanying more general gains in capacity) that could easily have been accuired in the interim if attempted unnecessarily fail to take place.

The acquisition of many intellectual adjuvements that lie within the capability of children but for which they are not adequately ready can be accelerated by providing suitable contraved experience specially geared to their cognitive capacity and mode of functioning. The age at which children can learn a given intellectual task. (like the age of adequate readiness itself) is, after all, not an absolute, but is always relative, in part, io the method of instruction employed (Gates, 1937) By taking advantage of the preschool child's curvosity and urge to explore, by placing extensive reliance on overt manipulative activity in understanding and using symbols, and by program ming stimulation at appropriate rates and in suitable forms, M Montesson (Rambusch, 1962), O K Moore (Pines, 1963) and W Fowler (1962) have been able to advance considerably the typical age of reading and writing.

Similarly, by using an intuitive approach, it is possible successfully to teach the elementary school thild many ideas in science and mathematics (Arnsioder, 1961, Brownell, 1960, Brumer, 1960, O. L. Davis, 1958, Dienes, 1964) that were previously thought much too difficult However, one must balance against the possible advantages of early intuitive learning the high risk of failure and excessive time and effort cost involved in many premalure instances of such learning. Where genuine readiness is lacking it is more feasible in the long run to postpone entirely the introduction of particular subject matter fields until children are cognitively more mature. The decision regarding readiness must be based, in each case, upon the findings of particularized research. In one progressive school, for example, children who learned no formal arithmetic unuil the fifth grade equalled matched con trois in computation by the seventh grade and surpassed them in arithmetic reasoning (Sax and Ottina, 1958).

A good case can be made for the proposition that modern numery schools and kindergartens fail to provide children with sufficient intellectual sumulation or that preschool children are adequately ready for more than they are taught (Pines, 1963, Wann, Dorn, and Liddle 1962) Enrichment of the preschool curriculum so that it is more commensurate with existing levels of readiness is therefore quite defensible But

even if u be demonstrated that young dublien can learn this or that advanced process we should will need to decide whether it is desirable and appropriate for them to do so. Sociologically we may ask whether it is is the best way for children to apend their time and energy Intellectually we may ask whether this is the most suitable progration for fourient enclectual activities Emotionally we may ask whether early systematic instruction in reading mathematics or what have you will have a harmful effect upoin motivation or upon personal and social behav or The point we are trying to make here is simply this. Just the fact that dublient earls learn this or that does not by strieff mean that we therefore, must require them to do so at some young age or in some early grade (F T Tyler, 1954 pp 223 221).

¹ That preschool children are able to learn to read is not so surprising when one considers that they do after all learn spontaneously to understand and use representational auditory sinsuli (the denotative and sintactical meanings converted by words and sentences)

The crucial issues in other words are whether such early learning is reason ably economical in terms of the time and effort involved and whether it helps children *developmentally* in terms of their total educational careres. The concept of readiness does stipulate a reasonable economy in learning time and effort and warns against the risk and consequences of failure in instances of premature learning As will be pointed out later, however, in struction in reading for culturally deprived children probably does *prevent* later retardation in reading

The Effects of Environmental Deprivation on Cognitive Development

What theoretical grounds and relevant evidence do we have for be lieving that prolonged environmental deprivation induces retardation in intellectual development? It is reasonable to assume in the first place that whatever the individual's genic potentialities are cognitive development occurs largely in response to a variable range of stimulation requiring in corporation accommodation adjustment and reconciliation. The more variable the environment to which individuals are exposed the higher is the resulting level of effective stimulation D O Hebb (1949) stresses the importance of early sensory and perceptual experience for later problem solving and J Piaget (1952a) similarly empliasizes the importance of such experience for the early stages of intellectual development Charactenstic of the culturally deprived environment however is a restricted range and a less adequate and systematic ordering of stimulation sequences (Deutisch 1963). The effects of this restricted environment include poor perceptual discrimination skills inability to use adults as sources of information cor rection and reality testing and as instruments for satisfying curiosity an impoverished language symbolic system and a paucity of information con cepts and relational propositions (Deutsch 1963). Both the animal and human evidence indicates that early environ

Both the animal and human evidence indicates that early environ mental deprivation stunts intellectual development. Cage reared rats (For gus 1954 Gibson and Walk 1956 Hebb 1949) and dogs (Thompson and Heron 1954) who are deprived of visual and exploratory experience are significantly inferior to pet reared control animals in 1-ter problem solving ability. When monkeys are deprived of stimulation during infancy they tend to become inactive to avoid exploration of the environment and to prefer visual and manipulatory simuli of low complexity (Sackett 1965) and when kittens are placed in a complex free (simplified) environment they exhibit inferior maze learning ability and less activity (Wilson Warren and Abbott 1965). The longer children remain in substandard environmental conditions—in foundling homes (Freud and Burlingham 1944. Spitz 1945 1949) in orphanages (Dennis and Najarian 1957, Skeels and Fillmore, 1937, Skeels, and others 1938), or with mentally retarded mothers (Speer, 1940) the progressively lower their IQ s become in comparison with the 10 s of control children placed in more favorable environments

These findings are consistent with the reports of progressive decline in the intelligence test scores of isolated mointain and canalboat children who also grow up in unstimulating and nondemanding intellectual environ ments (Asher, 1935, H Gordon 1923 Sherman and Key, 1952, Wheeler, 1942), with the lower IQ's of rural than of urban children (Asher, 1955, Ausuhel 1965g), Chapanis and Williams, 1945, Wheeler, 1942), with the social class differential in IQ (Bayley and Jones 1937, Terman and Merrill, 1957), with the upgrading effect of urban residence on Negro children is IQ's (Klineberg 1935), and with the high correlation between the intra pair discrepancies in the IQ's of separated monozygouc twins and the discrepancies in their educational advantages (Newman, Freeman and Holzinger, 1937). Evidence of depressed IQ of special retardation in language skills and conceptualization and of unability to concentrate is found as late as adolescence among children who spend varying periods of their early years in founding homes (Goldarb 1945, Provence and Lapton, 1962)

It is one thing however to appreciate that lack of adequate intellectual stimulation in the preschool years may stunt later intellectual ability, and quite another to assert that critical periods exist for the learning of particular intellectual skills that young children are invariably better able than adolescents or adults to learn any subject matter material, or, accord ing to O K Moore, that the human being is extraordinarily open and re ceptive to learning between the ages of 2 and 5 (Pines, 1965)

Language Retardation

It is in the area of language development and particularly with respect to the abstract dimension of verbal functioning that the culturally deprived child manifests the greatest degree of intellectual retardation. Many fretors contribute to this unfortunate developmental outcome. The culturally deprived home to begin with licks the large viriety of objects utensils, toys, neutries and so forth that require labeling and serve as referents for language requisition in the middlectash home. The culturally deprived child is also not spoken to or read to very much by adhity? Both for this reason

² In this connection it is interesting to note that A Anatian and C de Jeuri (1953) attribute the relative language supernosity of Poerto-Rican numery school dulaten over comparable while and Negro children in New York. City itimi areavin the face of more severe socioeconomic landicaps—to the fact that they enjoy more consist with addis in the loome.

and because of the high noise level of his home his auditory discrimination tends to be poor Unlike the middle class child he receives little corrective feedback regarding his enunciation pronunciation and grammar (Deutsch 1963 John and Goldstein 1964) and the vocabulary and syntactical model provided him by his parents is typically impoverished and faulty Various interpersonal aspects of adult child communication and social control in the lower class home also contribute to language retardation (Hess and Shipman 1965) The lower-class motier's verbal behavior style in communicating with her offspring is typically restricted that is her speech tends to be abbreviated lacking in precision and explicitness and undifferentiated with respect to person topic and circumstances This ten dency toward constriction is further compounded by a style of social control in which parental decisions are arbitrary and are justified by an appeal to authority and status differences rather than explained and justified by an appeal to reason and equity In a social environment that offers a very nar row range of alternatives of thought and action there is little opportunity for learning precise and differentiated linguistic expression But although the social use of language is constricted in lower class families it is at least more adequate than the virtually nonexistent cognitive use of language Lower class parents unlike their middle class counterparts use language pri marily as a means of expressing their feelings and controlling the behavior of their children and not as a means of communicating ideas (naming identifying comparing explaining clarifying differentiating) (Bereter and Engelmann 1966) Engelmann 1966)

Later on when new concepts and transactional terms are largely ac quired verbally by definition and context from speech and reading rather than by abstraction from direct concrete experience the culturally deprived child suffers from the paucity of abstractions in the everyday vocabulary of his elders from the rarity of stimulating conversation in the home from ins cluers from the rarty of simulating conversation in the nome from the relative absence of books magazines and newspapers in his surround ings and from the lack of example of a reading adult in the family setting it is small wonder therefore that the abstract vocabulary of the cub

It is small wonder therefore that the abstract vocabulary of the col-turally deprived child is deficient in range and precision (Deutsch 1963 McCarthy 1930 Schulman and Havighurst 1947 M E Smith 1933) that his representational functioning is deficient (Sigel and McBane 1966) that his grammar and language usage are shoddy that his attentivity and mem ory are poorly developed and that he is impoverished in such language related knowledge as the number concepts self-identity information and understanding of the physical geometric and geographical environments (Deutsch 1963 Sigel and McBane 1966) Social class differences in language and conceptual measures also tend to mcrease with increasing age (Deutsch 1963) this demonstrating the cumulative effects of both continued environ-mental deprivation and of early deficit in language development

The culturally deprived child's entire orientation to language is also different from that of the middle-class child He responds more to the concrete, tangible, immediate, and particularized properties of objects and situations rather than to their abstract, categorical, and relational properties (Bernstein, 1958, 1960, Siller, 1957) His speech is instigated more by the objects and actions he sees than by abstract (dease emanating from within, and he makes more ancillary use of such nonverbal forms of communication as gestures and facial expressions (Bernstein, 1958, Riessman, 1962). In short, the language of the culturally deprived child is more concrete, expressive, and informal than that of the middle-class child, showing signs of impover ishment mainly in its formal, abstract, and syntactical aspects (Bernstein, 1960, Deutsch, 1963). His sentences are short, staccato-like, and heavily interlaced with slang and cliches, they are rarely compound or complex in structure (Bernstein 1960 Deutsch, 1963). He uses few conjunctions, adjectives, adverbs, and qualifying plarases or clauses

The most important consequence of the culturally deprived childs language retardation, however, is his slower and less complete transition from concrete to abstract modes of thought and understanding This transi tion takes place more slowly and less completely for two reasons First, the culturally deprived child lacks the necessary reperiore of clear and stable abstractions and transactional terms (conditional conjunctives, qualifying adjectives) that is obstously prerequisite for the direct manipulation and understanding of relationships between abstractions Second, for lack of adequate practice he has not acquired sufficient facility in relating abstrac tions to each other with the benefit of concrete-empirical props, so that he can later dispense with their assistance at the same age of his environmen tally more favored contemporaries Because concrete thought operations are necessarily more time-consuming than their abstract verbal counterparts and also because of his distractibility, unfamiliarity with formal language, impaired self-confidence and unresponsiveness to time pressure, the cul turally deprived child typically works more slowly than the middle-class child in an academic setting (Chapanis and Williams 1945)

Schooling and Intellectual Development

We still lack firm evidence concerning the influence of an optimal learning environment on the intellectual development of culturally deprived elementary school and adolescent children, especially those who have been subjected for many sens to the frustration and demoralization of inappropriate school experience.³ This is an extremely urgent research problem

² Some tangential evidence concerning the ameliorative effect of school experience on intellectual development comes from studies showing that the resump-

that should engage our immediate attention. We need to investigate the effects of an optimal learning environment on both IQ scores and on the acquisition of school knowledge, making special efforts to eliminate errors of measurement associated with test content bias, test taking skills, test rapport, and test motivation. Generalizing deductively, one might anticipate that school knowledge would be more ameliorable than intelligence level to the influence of environmental stimulation.

Mechanisms Mediating Irreversibility

THE CRITICAL PERIODS HYPOTHESIS An increasingly more popular explanation that has been advanced in recent years to account for the apparent irreversibility of certain kinds of behavioral development and develop mental retardation is the "critical periods' hypothesis According to this hypothesis, irreversibility of behavioral development is a function of exhypotnesis, irreversibility of behavioral development is a function of ex-treme susceptibility to particular types of stumulation during those brief periods in individual development when certain types of behavior are shaped and molded for life By the same token, if the individual is deprived of the necessary sumulation during the critical period, when he is maximally susceptible to it in terms of actualizing particular potential capacities or developing in new directions, it is held that some degree of permanent retardation is inevitable (that lie never or only partly can attain the capaci retartation is inevitable (that lie never or only party can attain the capaci-ties in question) Numerous examples of the existence of critical periods can be found in the perceptual, motor, and social development of infrahuman mammals. Infant chimpanzees isolated from normal tactual simulation exhibit defective kinesthetic learning and cutaneous localization (Nissen, Chow, and Semmes 1951), and if reared in darkness, they fail to fixate or recognize familiar objects or to blink in response to a threatening object (Riesen, 1947) Newly born domestic lambs, reared on a bottle and isolated from sleep for ten days, experience difficulty later in adjusting to the flock and tend to graze by themselves (Scott, Fredericson, and Fuller, 1951) Similarly, pupples isolated for mine weeks or more are unable to adapt socially to other dogs, and if they are not removed from the litter by three months of age, they are extremely difficult to tame at a later date (Scott and

tion of regular schooling in Holland after World War II raised the mean IQ of children (de Groot, 1948 1951) and that long term improvement in substandard school conditions raised the mean IQ among Hawauian (S Smith 1942) and Last Tennessee mountain children (Wheeler 1942) Current remedial programs for culturally deprived children attending school undertaken as part of the anti poverty movement tend to be global action programs raiher than controlled research studies that can yield valid evidence regarding the efficiency of any particular cognitive or motivational aspect of remediation

.....
Marston 19:00) Imprinting in animals is also a manifestation of the critical periods phenomenon An isolated new born duck for example will slavishly follow the first object or creature that moves (R. D. Heiss 1959). High susceptibility to stimulation during this period accounts both for the nonspecific nature of the imprinted response and for its canaliza tion (its pre-emption of the response category in question) the latter fea ture of course also reflects the animal s isolation from competing stimula to an example the subscription of the response category in generating stimula time of course also reflects the animal subscription from competing stimula the subscription of the response category in generating stimula the subscription of the response category in generating stimula the subscription of the response category in generating stimula ture of course also reflects the animal subscription from competing stimula the subscription of the response category in generating stimula the subscription of the response category in generating stimula ture of course also reflects the animal subscription from competing stimula the subscription of the response category in generating stimula ture of course also reflects the animal subscription from competing stimula ture of course also reflects the animal subscription from competing stimula ture of course also reflects the animal subscription from competing stimula ture of course also reflects the animal subscription from competing stimula ture of course states reflects the animal subscription from competing stimula ture states and the stat

An implicit form of the critical periods bypothesis was applied to intellectual development many years ago by M Montessori and her followers to justify the particular graded scries of learning tasks which children are set in Montessori schools (Rambusch 1962). More recently it has been invoked by advocates of the proposition that young children can fearn many intellectual skills and kinds or subject matter more efficiently than adults can. The argument in both instances is that since there are allegedly optimal (i.e. crincal) periods of readiness for all kinds of cognitive arquisi inons children who fail to learn the age appropriate skills at the appropriate ume are forever handicapped in acquiring them later. Thus both Montesson (Rambusch 1962) and O.K. Moore (Pines 1963) place particular emphasis in their preschool educational programs on the concept of explosive periods of intellectual growth when unique susceptibility to particular kinds of cognitive sumulation supposedly exists and when optimal readiness for particular kinds of intellectual argumistions is allegedly present. Size the opportunity for such learnings at these penols they implore us or be reconciled to the fact that they will be much more difficult or even imporsible at some future date

Senous difficulties however he in the path of extrapolating the critical periods hypothesis to human cognitive development (Ausubel 1955e) In the first place it has been validated only for infant individuals in infra human species and in relation to those kinds of rapidly developing perceptual motor and social traits that are largely regulated by genic factors in human individuals especially beyond the prenatal period and first year of life environmental determinants of development are more important and the rate of maturation is significantly slover. Second it has never been empirically demonstrated that optimal readiness exists at particular age periods for specified kinds of intellectual activities and that it adequate conditions for growth are not present during those periods no future time is ever as advantageous thereby causing irreparable develop-

Hence if specific mitellectual skills or subject matter content are not acquired at the earliest appearance of readiness thus does not mean that they cannot be acquired later just as well or even better. The same degree of cognitive capacity that establishes tradiness at an earlier age would still be present at least in equal degree at some future date the problem

therefore, is not that this degree of maturity disappears or dechnes in some mysterious fashion, but rather that it fails to grow at a normal rate in the mysterious fashion, but rather that it fails to grow at a normal rate in the interim because it is not appropriately exercised. The disadvantage of un necessarily postponing such learning tasks this inheres in the irreparable loss of precious years of opportunity when reasonably economical learning (and the concomitant growth in cognitive capacity) fail to occur simply because these kinds of tasks are not attempted. When this happens, the individual, in comparison with equally endowed peers, incurs a deficit in cognitive capacity which limits his current and future rate of intellectual development

THE CUMULATIVE NATURE OF DEVELOPMENTAL DEFICIT This brings us to A second, somewhat more credible, explanation of the possible irreversibility in cognitive development that results from prolonged cultural deprivation (Ausubel, 1965e) We refer to the tendency for existing developmental deficies to become cumulative in nature, since current and future rates of

(Ausuble) 1965e) We refer to the fendency for existing developmental deficits to become cumulative in nature, since current and future rates of intellectual growth are always conditioned or limited by the attained level of development. The child who has an existing deficit in growth incurred from past deprivation is less able to profit developmentally from new and more advanced levels of environmental stimulation. Thus, irrespective of the adequacy of all other factors—both internal and external—his deficit tends to increase cumulatively and to lead to permanent retardation New growth, in other words always proceeds from the existing pheno type, that is, from already actualized capacity rather than from potentialities inherent in the genotype (genic structure) It makes no difference in terms of this limiting influence whether the attained deficiency is attributable to inferior genic endowment or to inadequate environment. If, as a result of a consistently deprived environment during the early formative years, potential intellectual endowment is not actualized the attained deficit in functional capacity significantly limits the extent to which later environ mental stimulation even if normal in quantity and quality, can increase the rate of cognitive growth Hence an individuals prior success of failure in developing his intellectual capacities tends to keep his future rate of growth relatively constant. Initial failure to acquire adequate language, information processing and problem solving abilities, for example, limits the later growth of cognitive capacities and of cognitive functioning. DEFERENTIATION or COGNITIVE EUNCIDIONE. In addition to the limit

DIFFERENTIATION OF COCNITIVE FUNCTIONING In addition to the limit ing conductor of attained level of development or of existing degree of deficiency, we must consider the further limiting factor of the organism s degree of plasticity or freedom to respond developmentally in a given direction in response to appropriate environmental simulation (Ausubel, 1965e)

Generally speaking the plasticity of intelligence tends to decrease with increasing age At first intelligence is a relatively undifferentiated capacity

that can develop in several different directions. But as children grow older, particularly during preadolescence and adolescence, it becomes increasingly more differentiated as shown by the decreasing intercorrelations among the sub-tests of a given intelligence scale (Garrett, Bryan, and Perl, 1935) An other indication of the trend toward the progressive differentiation of abilities is the fact that 10 year-old boys of high socioeconomic status make higher scores than 10 year-old boys of low socioeconomie status on tests of both verbal and mechanical ability, but at age 16 are superior only on the verbal tests (Havighurst and Janke, 1944, Janke and Havighurst, 1945) Furthermore the verbal ability scores of boys who drop out of school at the age of 17 tend to decline, whereas their scores on tests of mechanical aptitude continue to improve (Vernon 1948) Thus by the time an individual reaches adolescence differential factors of interest, relative ability, speciali zation of training ' motivation success and failure experience, and cultural expectation operate selectively to develop certain potential abilities and to leave others relatively undeveloped Children with particular intellectual disabilities tend to avoid activities involving these disabilities thereby increasing the original deficit (Kirk, 1958)

Once intelligence undergoes definite relative commitment in the various aforementioned channels therefore, the individual manifests less poten tiality for growing in areas of minimal development than was the case in the original undifferentiated state. Thus for example if because of inadequate stimulation during early and middle childhood genic potenti alities for verbal intelligence fail to be adequately actualized other facets of intelligence (quantitative) which are more satisfactorily stimulated become differentially more highly developed. At this point therefore, the development of the individual's verbal intelligence is not only limited by his existing deficiency in the verbal area but also by the fact that much of his once undifferentiated potentiality for growth in intelligence has already been definitely committed in other directions and hence is no longer available to respond to an enriched verbal environment. Thus it is evident that the possibility for complete reversibility of environmentally induced retardation in verbal intelligence decreases as children advance in age This is not to say, of course that later enrichment is entirely to no avail but in our opinion some of this failure in developmental actualization is irreversible and cannot be compensated for later, irrespective of the amount of hyperstimulation that is applied

⁴ Additional evidence of the effect of experience on the differentiation of intelligence comes from studies showing that the intelligence test scores of boys who continue longer in school tend to exceed even itsenty years later the test scores of matched controls with less schooling (Lorge 1915) and that gains in 1Q scores are much more common in college than in non-college populations (R L. Thorndike 1916)

General Stages of Intellectual Development

General theories of intellectual development, such as those advanced by J Piaget and his collaborators (Inhelder and Piaget, 1958, Piaget, 1950, 1954a), include age level changes in at least four major areas of cognitive functioning, namely, perception, objectivity subjectivity, the structure of ideas or knowledge, and the nature of thinking or problem solving The major focus of our concern in this chapter, however, will be on those devel opmental changes in the individual's cognitive capacities or cognitive processing equipment that affect his learning and retention of meaningful verbal material For example, as children increase in age, they tend to perceive the stimulus world more in general abstract, and categorical terms and less in tangible, time bound, and particularized contexts (Gollin, 1958, Piaget, 1950, 1954a, Serra, 1953), they demonstrate increasing ability to comprehend and manipulate abstract verbal symbols and relationships, and to employ abstract classificatory schemata (Inhelder and Piaget 1958, Piaget, 1950, 1954a, Wallon, 1952), they are better able to understand ideational relationships without the benefit of direct, tangible experience, of concrete imagery, and of empirical exposure to numerous particular instances of a given concept or proposition (Goldman and Levine, 1963, Inhelder and Plaget, 1958, Szuman, 1951, Werner, 1948), they tend more to infer the properties of objects from their class membership rather than from the direct experience of proximate, sensory data (Gollin 1958, Reichard, Schneider, and Rapaport, 1944, Sigel, 1953, Wallon 1952, Wohlwill, 1960b) they are more disposed to use remote and abstract rather than immediate and concrete criterial attributes in classifying phenomena, and to use abstract symbols rather than concrete imagery to represent emerging concepts (M Annett, 1959, Inhelder and Plaget, 1958, Plaget, 1950, 1954a, Werner, 1948), and they acquire an ever increasing repertoire of more inclusive and higher order abstractions (Inhelder and Piaget, 1958 Serra 1953, Welch, 1940 Werner, 1948)

In addition, with increasing age, the cognitive field of children tends to widen both spatially and temporally (H V Baker, 1942, D S Hill, 1930, Probst 1931) Children become more capable of making both broader and more subtle inferences from empirical data (of 'going beyond the informa uon given) (Bruner, 1964a, Gollin 1958 Kendler and Kendler, 1956), and their cognitive products tend to become both selectively more schematic (Gibson 1953) and less subjective and egocentric in nature (H V Baker, 1942, Praget, 1928 1929) The older child is more capable of viewing situations from a hypothetical (as if) basis or from the standpoint of others (H V Baker, 1942, Praget, 1928 1929) Finally his attention span interease mathedus (*Curvander*, 1985) Van Altivine, 1952) The most important of the aforementioned changes in intellectual development for educational practice is the gradual shift from concrete to abstract cognitive functioning. It defines the principal differences between the respective learning and thinking processes of elementary and secondary school pupils as well as the corresponding differences in pedagogic strategy that they imply This dimension of cognitive development will be considered in detail in a subsequent section and will be related to Praget s designated stages of intellectual functioning. At this point it will be more profitable to consider in general terms both what is meant by a stage of cognitive devel opment and whether the very concept of stage is tenable and useful in understanding agelevel changes in cognitive capacity and their implica tions for education

The Meaning of Stages

Praget s delineation of qualitatively distinct stages of intellectual devel opment has been a powerful stimulus to research in this area as well as a perennial source of theoretical controversy. Despite the general cogency and heuristic promise of his formulations however the issue of stages remains unresolved for a number of reasons Some of these reasons unfortunately, inhere in Plaget's unsistematic and faulty methods of conducting his research and reporting his findings 5 In the first place he is almost totally indifferent to problems of sampling reliability and statistical significance He fails to present adequate normative data on age level sex and 1Q differences to use uniform experimental procedures for all subjects to designate unambiguous criteria for classifying the responses of his subjects and to determine inter rater reliability In place of statistical analysis of data and customary tests of statistical significance he offers confirmatory illustrations selectively culled from his protocols Second he tends to ignore such obvious and crucial considerations as extent of intersituational gen erality and relative degree of intra and inter-stage variability in delineating

- In the part fees years the foodings of other investigators (Braine 1959 Case and Collinson 1962 Dodwell 1960 Ekind 1961 Ervin 1960b R J Goldman 1965 Hood 1972 S Jackson 1975 Lovell and Ogilive 1966 Luurer 1960 Vannux 1966 Ieel 1959 Smedulund 1960 1961 Violibail 1966 I Violibail and Aatei 1966) have on the whole been in general agreement with Plagets more recent formulations regarding stages of intellectual development. They differ from Plagets findings less in terms of the developmental tages in exhibiting greater mita stage variability and in manifering less international international internation developmental data if an have been presented to date expectably of a longitudinal nature are required to ubustnitate I agret somet works.

stages of development Third, the cross-sectional observations he uses to measure developmental change (observations on *different* age groups of children) are particularly ill adapted for his purposes. The transitional stages and qualitative discontinuities he purports to find can be convincingly demonstrated only by longitudinally extended studies of the *same* children Logical inference is not an adequate substitute for empirical data in natural istic investigation Finally, he refines, elaborates, and rationalizes the subdivision of his stages to a degree that goes far beyond his data. Hence, the psychological plausibility and freshness of the general outlines of his theory tend to become engulfed by a welter of logical gymnastics and abstruse, disorganized speculation

CRITERIA OF DEVELOPMENTAL STAGES The resolution of disagreement with respect to stages of mtellectual development is prevented even more by the unwarranted and gratuitous assumptions made by his critics regard ing the criteria that any designated stage of development must meet, than it is by Praget's methodological shortcomings Many American psychologists and educators, for example, have been sharply critical of Pragets designa tion of stages for the concrete abstract dimension of cognitive development They argue that the transition between these stages occurs gradually rather than abrupily, that vanability exists both between different cultures and within a given culture with respect to the age at which the transition takes place, that fluctuations occur over time in the level of cognitive functioning manifested by a given child, that the transition to the formal stage occurs at different ages both for different subject matter fields and for component sub areas within a particular field, and that environmental as well as endogenous factors have demonstrable influence on the rate of cognitive development For all of these reasons, therefore, they deny the validity of Praget s designated stages

Actually, developmental stages imply nothing more than identifiable sequential phases in an orderly progression of development that are qualitatively discriminable from adjacent phases and generally character istic of most members of a broadly defined age range. As long as a given stage occupes the same sequential position in all individuals and cultures when ever it occurs it is perfectly compatible with the existence of initia individual, inter individual, and intercultural differences in age level of incidence and in subject matter field. It reflects the influence of both genic and environ mental determinants, and can occur either gradually or abruptly. Hence, all of the aforementioned arguments disputing the legitimacy of Piaget's stages of intellectual development accem quite irrelevant. Although stages of development are qualitatively discontinuous in

Although stages of development are qualitatively discontinuous in process from one to another, there is no reason why their manner of achieve ment must necessarily be abrupt or salitatory This is particularly true when the factors that bring them into being are operative over many years and are cumulative in their impact Unfike the situation in physical, emotional, and personality development, cognitive development is not marked by the sudden, dramatic appearance of discontinuously new determinants

It is also unreasonable to insist that a given stage must always occur at the same age in every culture Since rate of development is at least in part, a function of environmental stimulation, the age range in which a stage occurs tends to vary from one culture to another Thus, considering the marked differences between the Swiss and American school systems, it would be remarkable indeed if comparable stages of development took place at the same ages Similarly, within a given culture, a particular stage cannot be expected to occur at the same age for all individuals When a particular age level is designated for a given stage, it obviously refers to a mean value and implies that a normal range of variability prevails around the mean This variability (Case and Collinson, 1962, R. J. Goldman, 1965, S Tackson, 1965, Lovell, 1951a) reflects differences in intellectual endow ment, experiential background, education, and personality. It is hardly surprising therefore that about half of one population of African Bush children never acquired conservation of volume (Greenfield, 1966), that bright children exhibit conservation and combinatorial reasoning earlier than dull children (Goodnow and Bethon 1966), that bright adolescents enter the stage of abstract logical relations earlier than do dull adolescents, that some retarded children never reach the formal stage of logical opera tions (Jackson 1965), that mental age correlates more highly than does chronological age with attained stage of cognitive development (Goldman, 1965) and that characteristic sex differences (for instance, in mathematical thinking) reflective of differences in cultural expectations and experiential background, are found in degree of cognitive development in different subject matter areas (Elkind 1962)

Thus a certain amount of overlapping among age groups is inevitable A particular stage may be generally characteristic of 5 and 6 jear-olds but also typically includes some 4 and 7 jear-olds and even some 5 and 8-par-olds Pragets age tevels fike Geeffs, are nothing more than average approximations set for purposes of convenience. Hence, to attack the concept of developmental stages on the grounds that a given stage includes children of varying ages, instead of taking place at the precise age design nated by Praget is simply to demolstic a straw man

One also cannot expect complete consistency and generality of stage behavor within an individual from one week or month to another, and from one subject maiter or fevel of difficulty to another. Some overlapping and specificity are inestiable whenever development is determined by multiple, variable factors A particular 12 year-old may use formal logical operations in his science course in October but may revert for no apparent reason to a concrete level of cognitive functioning in November or even several years later when confronted with an extremely difficult and un familiar problem in the same field.

Furthermore he may characteristically continue to function at a concrete level for mother year or two in social studies and literature Since transitions to new stages do not occur instantaneously but over a period of time fluctuations between stages are common until the newly emerging stage is consolidated. In addition because of intrinsic differences in level of subject matter difficulty and because of intrinsic differences in level of in ability profiles and experiential background it is hardly surprising that transitions from one stage to another do not occur simultaneously in all subject matter areas and sub areas. Abstrict thinking for example generally emerges earlier in science than in social studies because children have more experience manipulating ideas about mass time and space than about government social institutions and historical events. However in some children depending on their special abilities and experience the reverse may be true. In any developmental process where experiential factors are crucial age by itself or degree of brightness is generally less important than degree of relevant experience (Deutsche 1937. Dodwell 1960. 1961. Elkind 1961. Vinacke 1951). Finally singes of development are always referable to a given range of difficulty and familiarity of the problem area. Beyond this range individuals commonly revert (regress) to a former stage of devel opment (Case and Collinson 1962).

Verther is the concept of developmental stages invalidated by the dem onstration that they are susceptible to environmental influence. It is errone ous to believe that stages of intellectual development are exclusively the products of internal ripering and hence that they primarily reflect the influence of endogenous factors Gesell's embryological model of development has little applicability to human development beyond the first year of life when environmental factors become increasingly more important determinants of variability in developmental outcomes. In fact as the educational system improves we can confidently look forward to the earlier mean emergence of the various stages of cognitive development. This much is clearly evident from data indicating that schooled African Bush children acquire conservation of volume earlier and give fewer perceptual (as op posed to conceptual) reasons for conservation or nonconservation than do their unschooled counterparts (Greenfield 1966). Urban living scems to schooled Hong Kong children do as well as schooled Hong Kong children on conservation tasks but not as well on a task of combinational reasoning (Goodnow and Bethon 1966).

Quantitative and Qualitative Changes in Intellectual Development

Still another reason for confusion and conflict about the problem of stages in intellectual development is the tendency to adopt an all-or none position regarding the existence of such stages. Actually, the evidence sug gests that some aspects or dimensions of intellectual development are characterized by qualitative or continuous change, whereas others are characterized by qualitative or discontinuous change. Hence, if the issue is no longer approached from the standpoint of an all-or none proposition, much truth can be found on both sides

Some types of logical operations (equivalence, eliminative) and approaches to problem solving (trial and error versus insightful) appear to differ in degree rather than in kind from one age level to another " The evidence indicates that these kinds of logical operations and problem solving approaches are employed at all age levels, and differ principally in degree or complexity at different ages (Burt, 1919, Long and Welch, 1941a, Welch and Long 1913) As N L Munn (1954) points out, the age differences are partly attributable to disparity in previous experience, motivation, and neuromuscular coordination Perhaps an even more important source of these age level differences, however, is the child's growing ability to gen eralize and use abstract symbols Both trial and error and insightful problem solving for example, are found in preschool children, elementary school children adolescents and adults the choice between these two approaches at all ages depends on the inherent difficulty of the problem on the individ ual s prior background of experience, and on the problem's amenability to logical analysis It is true that insightful approaches tend to increase with age but only because increasing ability to generalize and use abstract symbols permits a more hypothesis-oriented approach

Synthös perints a noise injourness-strence approach Two dimensions of intellectual development characterized by gradually occurring qualitative change on the other hand, are the transition from subjective to objective thought and the transition from concrete to abstract

^{• 11} is important not to confuse quantitative changes in lates simple logical operations from one age level to another with those changes in logical operations that are reflective of qualitative dy different stages along the concrete abstract dimension of cognitive development. Thus the more significant logical operations (numarice revealubits) implicative to understand and meaningfully manipulate relationships letveen secondary abstractions—a capability that is not prevent in the preoperational (logically nonoperational) child Similarly whether or not a given individual is dependent on concrete-compared propin preforming logical operations determines whether he is in the concrete or abstract stage of logical operations.

cognitive operations Acquisition of the ability to separate objective reality from subjective needs and preferences results in the gradual disappearance of utissue animistic anthropomorpluc magical absolutistic and nominal site thinking (Priget 1928 1929 1923). Reference has already been made to studies supporting Prigets findings (Inhelder and Piaget 1958 Piaget 1956 1954b 1957b) regarding the transition from concrete to abstract thought These findings will be discussed in greater detail below and in Chapter 16

General Implications of Developmental Stages for Education

Knowledge of the timetable of intellectual development makes possible for the first time the scientific as opposed to the arbitrary or traditional grade placement of subject matter. Detailed knowledge of the development for example of number and spatial concepts of ideas regarding causality and of appreciation of scientific method would be helpful indeed in the grade placement of such subjects as mathemates and science. Even more specifically K. Lovell (1961b) suggests a parallelism between basic principles of number theory (associativity commutativity) and the particular cognitive operations (groupings) elementary school children use in intellectual functioning Insight into the course of intellectual development according to H. Aebli (1951) could also enable teachers both (a) to guard against (and hence discourage) certain kinds of congitive immaturity (subjectivity egocentricity animism anthropomorphism nominalism teleological reason ing ideas of single causality focusing on just a single aspect of a problem) and (b) to provide experience facilitating the trunsition from lower to higher stages of intellectual functioning (concrete to abstract logical operations)

Reference has already been made to the possibility of the earlier intuitive introduction into the curriculum of more advanced subject matter such as algebra geometry set theory quadratic equations physics and so forth In certain selected instances where genuine readiness actually exists it may be desirable for children to acquire prior intuitive under standing of such material—if for no other reason than to reduce the un familiarity of the ideas in question when they are introduced later and to discourage the possibility of rote verbal learning in high school and college Such intuitively learned content may serve as anchoring ideas or as general background for the later learning of the same content at a higher level of abstraction thereby increasing its potential meaningfulness J S Bruner (1960) and G C Finlay (1960) refer to this philosophy of curriculum organi cation as the spiral curriculum R Karplus (1962a) argues that unless children are taught scientific principles and methodology on an intuitive basis in elementary school they spontaneously acquire and later must un learn various misconceptions derived from spontaneous or folk lore models of physical and biological causality In any case many considerations are involved in deciding which particular kinds of intuitively oriented subject matter lying within elementary school children s scope of adequate readiness are suitable for such a curriculum

The Concrete Abstract Dimension of Cognitive Development[†]

The concrete abstract dimension of intellectual development may be divided into three qualitatively distinct developmental stages—the pre operational stage the stage of concrete logical operations and the stage of abstract logical operations—which cover respectively the preschool elementary school and adolescent adult periods of development

Pre operational Stage

During the pre-operational stage the child is capable of acquiring primary abstractions (concepts) and of understanding using and mean ingfully manipulating for problem solving purposes both primary abstractions and the relations between them Primary concepts are those concepts whose meanings a given individual originally learns in relation to genuine concrete empirical experience that is those of his concepts whose criterial attributes whether discovered or presented yield generic meanings during learning when they (the attributes) are first explicitly related to the examplars from which they are derived before being related alone to his cognitive structure. Once acquired of course, the pre-operational child crit understand and use concept meanings apart from their particular exemplars and he can also understand and manipulate in problem solving operations relationships between these primary abstrictions namely propositions composed of such abstractions

But the fact that he is limited to the acquisition of primitry abstractions and to the understanding and manipulation of such abstractions and the relationships between them the fact that he cannot similarly handle secon dary abstractions and relationships between secondary abstractions obviously imposes severe constraints on the level of obstraction at which he

¹ The following description of this aspect of cognitive development uses the same stage names but is really quite different from the account given by Piaget and Inhelder (Inhelder and Piaget, 1958 1 iaget 1950 1957b) The term abstrat is used spinonymously with larget sterm formal

operates Secondary concepts are those concepts whose meanings a given in dividual does not learn in relation to genuine concrete-empirical experience, that is those of his concepts whose criterial attributes yield generic meanings during learning when they (the attributes) are related to his cognitive structure without being first explicitly related to the particular exemplars from which they are derived. The pre-operational childs understanding and manipulation of abstract concepts and propositions take place at a level of abstraction that is only slightly removed from the intumate participation of concrete-empirical experience in the acquisition of his primary concepts themselves.

One important manifestation of this constraint is that many significant logical operations (in fact all those, such as reversibility that revilly make him logically operational) imply a capability to understand and manipu late relationships between secondary abstractions Thus because he cannot perform the logical operation of reversibility he cannot (unlike the concrete or abstract operational child) grasp the idea of conservation for example he does not conserve mass—appreciate that mass remains con stant even though its shape changes because he does not realize that defor mations of shape are reversible or that a loss in one dimension is com pensated for by a gain in another * Another consequence of his inability to perform true logical operations and of the related fact that the meanings of many of lus primary concepts (particularly those of familiar perceptible objects and events) are little more than idealized images embodying appropriate criterial attributes is that problem solving at this stage involves much overt manipulation of objects and internal manipulation of near images

Concrete Operational Stage

During the concrete operational stage the child is capable of acquiring secondary abstractions and of understanding using and meaningfully ma inpulating both secondary abstractions and the relations between them. But both in acquiring secondary abstractions and in understanding and manipulating relations between them he differs from the abstract operational individual in using concrete empirical props. In conformity with the definition of a secondary concept given above he does not learn the meaning of a concept by first relating its criterial attributes to the particular exemplars

^{*} Puggets explanation of conservation is not that logical operations such as reversibility imply a capability to understand or manipulate relationships between secondary abstractions (a capability whose existence he denies at the stage of concrete logical operations) but rather that logical operations (which he defines as internalized actions) first exist by definition at the concrete operational stage

from which they are derived before refating them to his cognitive structure be learns its meaning rather by relating the criterial attributes directly to his cognitive structure—but typically with the benefit of concrete-empirical props namely exemplars of the various attributes. The use of such props in concept acquisition implies a more abstract process of learning than the actual use of genuine concrete-empirical experiment iself because (a) The exemplars of attributes are examples of the abstracted properties of a concept—not particular instances of the concept (b) A single example of an attribute suffices as a prop as opposed to the multiple exemplars of the concept that are given in concrete-empirical experimence and (c) The prop serves mainly as a crutch in refating the criterial attribute to cognitive structure attribute uself is derived or in refation to which it derives its potential meaninglues

For example while the concept of work is being learned as a primary concept the pre-operational child may eventually hypothesize such attributes as activity necessary and useful as criterial by abstracting them from farming fixing cars keeping house nursing and so forth or he may be given these attributes In either case however he tests each of the attributes against each of the exemplars before relating them to his cognitive structure If in elementary school he learns the concept of work as a secondary concept he is given its attributes in relating them to his cognitive structure Finally as a high school student in the abstract operational stage he relates the criterial attributes directly to his cognitive structure without prop and if he does not know the meaning of a given attribute it too need only be defined

Once secondary concepts are acquired the concrete operational child is no longer dependent on props in understanding or using their meanings fully manipulating relationships between secondary abitractions (or meaning fully manipulating these relationships for problem solving purpose) how ever is quite another matter. In this land of fearing task he is dependent injon recent or concurrent concrete-empirical props consisting of a particular exemplar for each of the abstractions in the relationship when such props are not available lie finds abstract propositions unrelatable to cognitive structure and hence devoid of meaning. This dependence upon concrete-empirical props self-evidently himits the generality and abstractness of lis attempts meaningfully to gravp and manipulate relationships levicenabstractions he can acquire only those relational understandings and perform only lines relational problem solving operations are involved the somewhat particularized representation of reality implicit in his use of it explays the another propositions are involved he is largely level that falls far short of the clarity, precision, explicitness, and generality associated with the more advanced abstract stage of intellectual development

During the elementary school years therefore, abstract verbal propositions (propositions consisting of relationships between secondary abstractions) that are presented on a purely expository basis are too remotely removed from concrete-empirical experience to be relatable to cognitive structure. This does not mean, however, that autonomous discovery is required before such propositions can be meaningfully learned, as long as concrete empirical props are made an integral part of the learning situation, they (the propositions) are eminently learnable Concrete-empirical props also need not necessarily be nonverbal or tangible (objects pictures). Concrete and 'nonrepresentational are not synonymous, words that represent particular exemplars or attributes of a concept are very adequate concrete empirical props in learning abstract propositions and secondary concepts respectively

With the advent of logical operations and particularly of the operation of reversibility, the concrete operational child exhibits conservation (Effer mann and Etzion 1964 Piaget, 1950 1952a Smedslund, 1962) in his think ing and understanding This phenomenon, however, does not emerge in unitary fashion over all kinds of problem solving tasks and materials. In order of emergence conservation of mass weight number, and volume are acquired (Piaget, 1950 Urgaris 1964) Because he can perform these opera tions and because the meanings of his concepts are more abstract in nature, problem solving involves less overt manipulation of objects and internal manipulation of images

It is important to realize that just because the concrete operational child uses concrete empirical props in understanding and thinking about relationships between abstractions this stage of intellectual development is not really concrete in the sense that objects or concrete images of objects are relationally manipulated in meaningful reception or discovery learning Contrary to Pragets contention that the child at this stage conducts logical operations on concrete objects and that his sthought processes are closely understands and manipulates relations between the verbal representations of secondary abstractions The concreteness of this stage inheres rather, in the fact that secondary abstractions and the relationships between them can be understanded and meaningfully manipulated only with the aid of cur rent or recent concrete empirical props Logical operations are therefore constrained in the generality and abstractions of their implications by the particularity of the props in question, unlike the situation in the later stage of abstract logical operations they do not involve logical transformations of all possible and hypothetical relationships between general abstract vari ables* Nevertheless they are more closely related in level of abstraction to the following than to the preceding stage of cognitive development and represent a very significant advance over the latter I talso appears that Plaget overstates his case and gives children too little credit when he does not differentiate between primary and secondary abstractions in asserting that only in the final stage can children understand and manipulate relationships between abstractions as far as relationships between primary abstractions are concerned this capability is endent without props in the concrete operational and even in the pre-operational stage

Abstract Logical Stage

Beginning in the junior high school period the pupil becomes increasingly less dependent upon the availability of concrete-empirical props in meaningfully relating abstract relationships to cognitive structure. Even itually he no longer needs them at all in understanding and meaningfully manipulating relationships between abstractions. He then assimilates abstract propositions and solves abstract problems in terms of all inclusive hypothetical possibilities rather than in terms of these possibilities as constrained by their reference to the here and now. In other words he attains full conceptual and propositional generality. Instead of just coordinating facts about the actual world hypothetico-deductive reasoning draws out their inplications of possible statements and thus gives rate to a unique syn thesis of the possible and the necessary. (Fraget 19:7a p 19)

B Inhelder and J Piaget (1938) present considerable evidence indicating that formal (abstract) operations appear slightly before the onset of adolescence On the whole their findings are corroborated by other investugators (R J Goldman 1965 S Jackson 1965 Lovell 1961a Judin, 1965 Judin and Aates 1963) Lovells subjects attained this stage of development somewhat later than Inhelder and Pragets and D Case and J VI Collin sons (1962) somewhat earlier Both R J Goldman and S Jackson reported greater age variability and Jackson less intertask generity than did In

[•] R Brown (1958b) argues that the cognine process of adults are more abstract than those of children only in the same that they mainfest more distriministic generalization—that children actually exhibit more simple stimulus generalization than ido adults (e.g. generalization on or requiring prior discriminations generalization) is a adulty if lence it claims that adults do not really use a wider range of alstract concepts in their hinking but meetly employ a more linghly differentiated appertioner of ubicargories within existing categories. Simple stimulus glower can hardly be considered a form of abstract thinking that reflects the use of alstract oncepts in their simes measure plausable to believe that alufts about of alstract outcepts. Thus is seems more plausable to believe that alufts alor distance outcepts in the stream source plausable to believe that alufts alor distance outcepts. Thus is distances on the believe that alufts alor and theremand using a prease to apprese than do children at well as more differentiated using results.

helder and Plaget in the development of formal thinking None of these findings, however, detract from the essential validity of Plaget's conclusion that for the first time the child entering this stage of cognitive development thinks in terms of all inclusive hypothetical possibilities (instead of the 'here and now)

Eventually, after sufficient gradual change in this direction, a qualita tively new capacity emerges the intellectually mature individual becomes capable of understanding and manupulating relationships between abstrations without any reference whatsover to concrete-empirical reality. Instead of reasoning directly from a particular set of data, he uses indirect, second order logical operations for structuring the data, instead of merely grouping data into classes or arranging them senally in terms of a given variable, he formulates and tests hypotheses based on all *possible* combinations of variables (see also Grodskaya, 1962). Since his logical operations are performed without props on abstract verbal prepositions, he can go beyond the opera tions that follow immediately from concrete-empirical reality and deal with all possible or hypothetical relations between ideas. He can now transcend the previously achieved level of intuitive thought and understanding and formulate general laws relating, to each other, general variables that are divorced from the concrete empirical data at hand. His concepts and generalizations, therefore, tend increasingly to be second-order constructs de from dependence on nonabstract contact with empirical data in indepen dently discovering meaningful new concepts and generalizations, he is obviously also liberated from this same dependence in the much less rigorous task of merely *apprehending* luese constructs meaningfully when they are verbally presented to him.

Careful analysis of the experiments performed by Inhelder and Piaget, and by the other investigators cited above as well as Lunzer's (1965), does not substantiate their view that the distincture feature of formal or abstract (as opposed to concrete) operations is that the older child is able to deal internally with ideas about ideas or to perform second order operations. The younger (concrete operational) child can also do these things, as shown by the studies of Case and Collinson (1962) and S A Hill (1961) The latter demonstrated for example, that most children aged 6 to 8 can easily draw correct inferences from hypothetical premises involving abstract relationships It is rather the preadolescent s and adolescent s ability verbally to manipulate relationships between ideas in the absence of recently prior or concurrently available concrete empirical props that is the distinctive attribute of formal operations (Hill subjects after all, were given logical problems that were invanably stated in terms of *particular* instances) This with a genuinely abstract and nominutive quality. Ideas about ideas now achiese a trialy general status that is freed from any dependence whatsoever on particular instances and concrete experience. It is for this reason that thinking becomes hypothetico-deductive in nature that is refers to all possible relationships between variables rather than to relationships constrained by reference to particular instances

Determinants of Change

It is evident from the foregoing account of developmental stages along the concrete abstract dimension of cognitive functioning that there is a developmental aspect to meaningful learning At successive stages along this dimension the individual is able meaningfully to relate increasingly more abstract materials to his cognitive structure. In part this is attributable to developmental changes in the content of cognitive structure itself changes that either make the same logically meaningful material which is not potentially meaningful at an earlier stage potentially meaningful at a later stage or else enable hum to generate more abstract and complex problem-solving propositions. In part also this is attributable to growth in what ever cognitive processes are involved in nonarbitrantly and substantively relating learning tasks to established ideas in cognitive structure and in generating new problem-solving propositions.

Thus it is hypothesized that the combined influence of three concom stant and mutually supportive developmental trends accounts for the transi tion from concrete to abstract cognitive functioning. In the first place the developing individual gradually acquires a working vocabulary of transactional or mediating terms (for example conditional conjunctions qual ifying adjectives) that makes possible the more efficient juxtaposition and combination of different relatable abstractions into potentially meaningful propositions and their subsequent relationship to established ideas in cog nitise structure Second he can relate these latter propositions more readily to cognitive structure and hence render them more meaningful because of his growing fund of stable higher-order concepts and principles encom passed by and made available within that structure D H Russell and 1 Q Saadeh (1962) for example found that between the sixth and ninth grades children's use of concrete definitions decreases and their use of abstract and functional definitions correspondingly increases A sufficient body of abstract concepts that are clear and stable is obviously necessary before one can hope efficiently to manipulate relationships between them so as to generate meaningful general propositions. The possession of a working body of inclusive concepts also makes possible the formulation of more general statements of relationship iliai are less tied to specific instances greater inte gration of related ideas and different aspects of the same problem the elaboration of more precise distinctions and finer differentiations and less depen

oration of more precise distinctions and finer differentiations and less depen-dence on complete concrete-empirical data in reaching warranted inferences Finally it seems reasonable to suppose that after many years of practice in understanding and meaningfully manipulating relationships between abstractions with the aid of concrete-empirical props the older child grad ually develops greater facility in performing these operations so that even tually (after acquiring the necessary transactional and higher-order concepts) he can perform the same operations just as effectively without relying on these props. The same sequence of events is seen in acquiring many other neuromuscular and cognitive skills—walking without holding on birty cling without hands speaking a foreign language without internal trans-lation from one's mother tongue transmitting Morse code in sentences rather than in word or letter units

Praget and Inhelder (Inhelder and Praget 1958 Praget 1950 1953 1957b) largely embrace a maturational position in explaining how develop-mental transition is effected during the various stages of intellectual development Their view of maturation however which they call equilibration is inclusive of both internal (genic) factors and incidental learning. It is is inclusive of both internal (genic) factors and incidential learning. It is therefore closer to the empirical concept of maturation than it is to A Gesell's notion of maturation as a process of internal ripening According to J Smedslund conservation of weight is acquired by a process of internal equilibration independently of external reinforcement By equilibration is mean ta change in the direction of increasing stability consistency and com pleteness of behavioral structures. Conflicts are eliminated and gaps are closed [Equilibration] is heavily dependent on activity and experience [but such experience] is not assumed to act through external reinforcements but by a process of mutual influence of the child's activities on each other (Second user 1902) (Smedslund 1961)

Thus according to Piaget maturation (genic factors and general aspects of incidental experience) accounts for the universality of the sequential stages and the order in which they occur whereas variability in the kind of incidental learning experience accounts for interindividual intraindividual and intercultural differences in the age at which stages occur and in the content area in which they are manifested Piaget and his followers (for instance Smedslund 1961) deny that specific learning experience or training (practice) particularly of a verbal nature or for that matter education generally has any significant influence on the emergence of striges of intel lectual development. We shall return to this problem later in another con text in considering whether training can accelerate stages in cognitive de velopment

Both general and specific motivational explanations (Inhelder and Piaget 1958) have been advanced to account for the transition from the concrete operational to the abstract operational stage Desire to obtain

greater meaning out of experience is not a convincing explanation since this desire does not arise suddenly or uniquely at adolescence. Furthermore although motivation may energize and facilitate cognitive change it cannot convincingly explain either its occurrence or direction. Desire to identify with and participate in the adult world has more specific relevance for this age period but again no amount of motivation would suffice to effect the change in question in the absence of the necessary genic potentialities and supportive experience.

General and Specific Aspects of the Transition

We have already rejected complete generality over subject matter areas and levels of difficulty as a legumate criterion of a developmental stage. Too much unevenness exists in any individuals experiential background and pattern of abilities for the transition from concrete to abstract fune tioning to occur simultaneously in all areas. A stage of development also is always referable to a typical range of difficulty and lamiliarity of the problem at hand beyond this range regression to an earlier stage of development commonly occurs It is apparent therefore that the transition from concrete to abstract cogniture functioning takes place specifically in each subject matter area and presupposes a certain necessary amount of sophistication in each of the treas molised. This specificity however does not invalidate the existence of qualitatively distinct stages of development It is still possible to designate an individuals over all developmental status as concrete or abstract on the basis of an estimate of his characteristic or predominant mode of cognitive functioning. M A Stone (1966) found that beginning with jumor high school age the generality of abstract cognitive lunctioning micreases with age (thit is gradually encompasses more subject matter fields in older pupils). This trend was evidenced by successively higher intercor relations with increasing age among learning scores on tests of tability to learn obstract verbal material in different disciplings.

This distinction between specific and general aspects of developmental status is important for two reasons. First, the individual necessarily continues to undergo the same transition from concrete to abstract cognitive functioning in each new subject matter area he encounters—even after he reaches the abstract stage of development on an over all basis. Second once he attruns this latter general stage however, the transition to abstract cognitive functioning in unfirmiliar new subject matter fields tacks place nucli more readily than is the case at earlier places of the transition. For example a cognitively mature adult who has never studied astronomy is not completely in the same developmental powertion as an 11 or 12 year-old with respect to the concrete abstract dimension when both begin an introductory course in astronomy

Thus even though an adolescent or adult characteristically functions in the abstract level of cognitive development he tends *initially* to function at a concrete intuitive level when he is first introduced to a wholly un familiar subject matter field. But since he is able to draw on various trans ferable elements of his more general ability to function abstractly he passes through the concrete stage of functioning in this particular subject matter area much more rapidly than would be the case were he first emerging from the stage of concrete logical operations. These facilitating transferable elements presumably include transactional terms higher order concepts and ability directly to understand and manipulate relationships between abstractions (without the benefit of concrete empirical props) which although acquired in other specific subject matter contexts are generally applicable to new learning situations (see below).

to new learning siturations (see oclow) In other words growth in cognitive development always proceeds at two levels concomitantly—specific and general Experience in learning any subject matter produces general as well as specific developmental changes in cognitive capacity in addition to specific changes in subject matter readiness As a result of experience in studying a given discipline pupils not only learn particular ideas that facilitate the later learning of other particular ideas but also acquire greater capacity meaningfully to process more abstrict material of any nature in that particular discipline and other disciplines as well. General cognitive development in any given dimension therefore occurs with increasing age and education and is independent of particular kinds of subject matter experience. It is these general and transferable aspects of changed cognitive capacity occurring in the transition from concrete to abstract intellectual functioning in any particular discipline that facilitate the same transition in any new subject matter area. Thus the cognitively mature adolescent confronted with a learning or problem solving task in an unfamiliar discipline does not have the benefit of *specific* cognitive changes along the concrete abstract dimension resulting from pist experience with that subject matter area. In this sense he is no better off thruthe immature child who has not undergone the over all transition from the unfamiliar area. But he makes the transition name in the unfamiliar area. But he makes the transition and in the general cognitive changes that have occurred along this dimension and which are transferable to the particular new subject matter field

unfamiliar area But he makes the transition more easily because or the general cognitive changes that have occurred along this dimension and which are transferable to the particular new subject matter field Hence in contrast to the cognitively immature child who continues to use concrete empirical props in relating abstractions to each other as long as he is in the concrete string the radolescent uses the props only initially to develop the necessary higher order abstractions in the new disciplineand then proceeds to dispense with props entirely in acquiring additional abstractions. His dependence on concrete-empirical props, in other words is temporary and reflective of circumscribed cognitive immaturity in particular subject matter fields rather than reflective of an overall concrete level of cognitive functioning

Educational Implications of the Concrete, Intuitive Level of Cognitive Functioning

Dependence on Concrete Empirical Props

The elementary school child is completely dependent upon current or recent concrete-empirical props in understanding or meaningfully manpulating relational propositions consisting of secondary abstractions. He tends to appreciate relationships between such abstractions intuitively—as rather immediate logical extensions of his own personal experience—rather than in the truly abstract sense of relationships between general variables. Hence general laws and methodological canons of science in their own right have little meaning and intellectual appeal for him, they make sense only insofar as they are relatable to more tangible types of experience. Utility is a mijor example of this type of experience but is certainly not the only possible example.

As far as elementary school chuldren are concerned therefore, one can not hope to reduce science to first principles and basic abstract laws ¹⁰ At the very best one can strive for a semi abstract initiative graps of these laws on a descriptive or perhaps semi analytic level that is somewhat used to particularized expension. On the methodological side abstract principles of scientific inquiry and strategy also have much less meaning for children than a purely concrete-empirical explanation of how it is possible for man kind to know the facts rail generalizions under thickision ¹¹

The developmental christeristics of the elementary school child's cog nuive functioning do not require however that we restrict the pedagogic use of these years to teaching the fundamental intellectual skills. His cognit twe equipment is certainly adequate enough for acquiring an intuitive grayp of many concepts in the basic disciplines. Thus for example, the psycho-

11 J. M. Mkin and S. P. Wyati (1961) emphasize the liow we know aspects of astronomy using didactic exposition and simple exercises and demonstrations.

¹⁹ Both K karpins (1972a) and M H Shamos (1961) iteplore the emphasis in elementary stence education upon the practical utilitarian aspects of science and the attempt to telate science primarily to everyday experience. They advocate to steal stress upon the soncepts and methods of science.

logical argument for teaching science in the elementary school is extremely convincing (Karplus 1962a) First it is well known that young children spontaneously acquire many animistic and subjectivistic conceptions about the physical and biological universe (Praget 1932) These notions also tend to persist and often compete with more mature conceptions especially when not counteracted by early scientific training Second without early and satis factory instruction in science it is difficult for children both to assimilate positive interests in and attitudes toward the scientific enterprise and to avoid being negatively conditioned to scientific subject matter. Third since elementary school pupils can easily acquire an intuitive grasp of many sci entific concepts failure to provide suitable opportunities for them to do so not only wastes available readiness for such learning but also wastes valu able time in junior and senior high school that could be used for more advanced instruction in science Finally as pointed out above these in tuitive ideas constitute a foundation for the later assimilation of more abstrate general and precise versions of the same content thereby increasing their potential meaningfulness and preventing rote learning

their potential meaningfulness and preventing rote learning Thus the concept of a spiral curriculum mentioned earlier is eminently sound provided that an attempt is nor made to teach at an intuitive level reduced versions of *anything* or *everything* that is presented later at a more abstract level the use of concrete empirical props after all does not make *every* secondary abstraction and *every* proposition composed of secondary abstractions intuitively understandable irrespective of their inherent complexity and degree of abstractions and *every* proposition composed of secondary abstractions intuitively understandable irrespective of the learner s ante cedent subject matter experience. The content of an appropriate intuitively oriented curriculum should therefore include only such intuitively based materials for which the elementary school pupil exhibits adequate developmental 'nd subject matter readiness and even with respect to these materials much selectuvity is required in choosing the *particular* intuitive con tent that will be most useful for later subject matter learning In any case the suggestion that sciences be studied in the order of their

In any case the suggestion that sciences be studied in the order of their phenomenological complexity—that one start with the basic concepts of playsics and chemistry before tackling the complex phenomena of biology and geology (Shamos 1961) although logically sound is psychologically unfeasible. More important pedagogically than the logical structure of knowledge is the pupil's intellectual readmess to handle different kinds of subject matter and from the standpoint of relevant experience and readiness the phenomenologically simple laws of playsics are far more abstract and difficult than the phenomenologically complex laws of biology and geology which are so much closer to everyday experience. This is not to deny the possibility that some aspects of physics might be profitably introduced in the elementary school curriculum However before this could be done in the ngrous fashion [physics] deserves the teaching of elementary school mathematics would first have to be sufficiently improved to make possible a more functional initiative understanding of the quantitative relationships that figure so prominently in the physical sciences (Shamos 1961) The teacher's task of translating ideas into language that is compatible

The teacher s task of translating ideas into language that is compatible with the elementary school child's cognitive capacities and level of cognitive functioning is difficult indeed. First in teaching others his natural tendency is to adopt the same level of discourse he finnself characteristically uses in learning new ideas. Scool once he has acquired difficult concepts he tends to regard them as self-evident and to forget both the limiting developmental factors involved in the learning process as well as the numerous misconceptions and ambiguites field to discipline he tends to think of its structure only in terms of the logical relationships between component ideas forget ting the psychological process of progressive differentiation involved in acquiring any new body of knowledge Lastly because of his more sophisticated and highly differentiated cognitive structure le is very avaire of the various subfleties connotations ramifications and qualifications connected with even simple ideas and often fails to realize that the introduction of such complexities to not such as pupils.

Although the preschool child is restricted to relatively nonabstract (primary) concepts in the fearming of most propositions it is not necessary that all relational learning during this period take place on a non-rehal problem solving or completely autonomous self-discovery basis in order to be meaningful Simple derivative propositions involving primary concepts can certainly be directly appreliended without the use of particular exem plars and simple correlative superordinate and combinatorial propositions can also be learned on a reception biass—particularly if specific verbal exemplars of the concepts involved or an opportunity for manipulation of objects or concrete images is provided Autonomous self-discovery of the proposition to be learned might concertably enhance current learning and provide additional moustation for future learning but is certainly no indispensable for meaningful reception learning.

Neither does the elementary school child's dependence on concrete empirical props for the understanding of more abstract propositions require that all or even most teaching be conducted on an inductive problem solving (discovery) and nonserbal basis. The only essential condution during this period for the reception learning of propositions embodying secondary concepts is the astablishity of specific exemplars of the concepts in question and such exemplars may be purely verbal in nature. Didactic exposition and such exemplars may be purely verbal in nature. Didactic exposition in the form of demonstrations and usually suffices for the presentation of most subject matter that is mether excessively complex not excessively unfamiliar. In these latter instances, it may be descrable to enlance the understanding achieved through verbal exposition by subjecting the pupil to Socratic questioning or by providing lim with a semi-autonomous type of problem solving experience (guided discovery) in which discovery itself is accelerated by the arrangement of materials and by the use of prompts, hints, and Socratic questioning

It is a serious mistake, therefore, to believe that meaningful intuitive learning during the stage of concrete logical operations must necessarily be restricted to nonverbal problem solving. Verbally expressed relationships between abstract ideas can be adequately comprehended when presented didatically—although in a somewhat particularized sense—as long as concrete-empirical props (verbal or nonverbal) are available. Hence, con currently with providing elementary school children with 'particularly informative and suggestive experience as a base for their [more difficul] abstractions, one must provide them "with a conceptual framework that permits them to perceive the phenomena in a meaningful way and to integrate their inferences into generalizations of lasting value" (Karplus, 1962a, pp 243 244)

Specificity or Generality of Intuitive Learnings

In accordance with their conception of intuitive learning during the concrete stage of logical operations, J S Bruner and B Inhelder (Bruner, 1960) propose an intuitive elementary school curriculum that is character ized by extreme generality and separation from the actual content of the various disciplines. It is ornented toward certain universal and recurrent principles of science which when learned once in general form, are supposedly applicable to the more specific problems of the particular sciences—categorization and its uses the unit of measure and its development, the indirectness of information in science and the need for operational definition of ideas, the attitude that thungs are connected and not isolated

the idea of multiple determination of events in the physical and social world (Bruner, 1960, pp 26 27) Inhelder suggests that we

devote the first two years of school to a sense of exercises in manipulating classifying and ordering objects in ways that highlight basic operations of logical addition multiplication inclusion serial ordering and the like For surely likes logical operations are the basis of more specific operations and concepts of all mathematics and science it may indeed be the case that such an early science and mathematics precurriculum might go a long way toward building up in the child the kind of intuitive and more inductive understanding that could be given embodiment later in formal courses in mathematics and science. The effects of such an approach would be we think to put more **continuity** into science and mathematics and also to give the child a much better and firmer comprehension of the concepts which until he has this early foundation he will mouth later without being able to use them in any effective sense (Bruner, 1960, p 46)

In the first place, it is questionable whether general content free logical operations and principles of science have any applicability to the understanding of ideas in a particular science. The philosophy and funda mental concepts of a given discipline are largely shaped by its unique con tent, history, and methodology Scientific method and theory are not readily transferable across different disciplines Hence, principles that hold true for a wide range of sciences are more likely to constitute basic postulates of a general philosophy of science than to have relevance for the substantive content or methodology of any particular science. Second, general principles of scientific inquiry cannot, by definition be learned on a purely abstract and general basis at this stage of development. They also consist of complex, higher-order abstractions that the elementary school child would find ex ceedingly difficult, if not impossible, to relate meaningfully to his cognitive structure, even on an intuitive basis, with the aid of concrete-empirical props, and even if he could do so, it is questionable what value such in tuitive understandings could have since it is precisely because of their gen erality and nonintuitive properties that interdisciplinary principles of sei entific inquiry are presumed to be transferable to other disciplines and heuristically valuable. Finally, as we shall see later in another context, al though the content organization objectives and methods of the elementary school curriculum must obviously be adapted to the cognitive capacities of pupils, the curriculum must still systematically come to grips with the actual substantive content and specific methodology of each of the various disciplines

Can Any Subject Be Taught Intuitively at Any Age Level?

By suitably adapting methods of teaching to the child's level of cog nuive functioning J S Bruner believes that it is possible to teach preschool and elementary school children any subject that can be taught to adolescent and adult students

It each stage of development the child has a characteristic way of steering the workl and explaining it to formself. The task of teaching a subject to a child at any particular age is one of representing the structure of that subject in terms of the chill is way of stewing things. The task can be thought of as one of translation (p 33). If one respects the ways of thought of the growing child if one is countrous enough to translate material rate his logical forms, and chillenging crouds to temp him to advance then it is possible to introduce him at any early age to the i least any term is possible to introduce him at any early are not the i least any term of children to the structure of children. of school age and these first representations can later be made more powerful and precise the more easily by urtue of this carly learning (p 33) [Actually] any subject can be taught effectively in some intellectually honest form to any child at any stage of development (Bruner 1960 p 33)

It is quite possible, of course, that prior intuitive understanding of certain concepts and principles during childhood can facilitate their learn ing and stabilize their retention when they are taught at a more formal, abstract level during adolescence—even if the child's readmess for the earlier learnings is not adequate. However, confirmatory empirical evidence is still unavailable. Further, as pointed out above, one music consider the greater risk of failure and the excessive time and effort cost involved in premature instances of intuitive learning, and hence the greater feasibility of postpon ing entirely the introduction of certain subject matter fields until children are cognitively more mature (adequately ready for them). In general, there fore, it is preferable to restrict the mutuively-oriented content of the ele mentary school curriculum to materials for which the child exhibits adequate developmental readmess—even if he can intuitively learn more difficult, ingeniously presented material beyond his intrinsic level of readmess. In addition, it undoubtedly overstates the case to claim that any subject

In addition, it undoubtedly overstates the case to claim that any subject can be taught to children in the pre-operational stage, or in the stage of connecte logical operations, provided that the material is presented in an informal, intuitive fashion with the aid of overt manipulation or concrete empirical props It is readily concervable that some topics, such as 'set theory, algebra, and quadratic equations in mathematics, can be success fully learned by fourth grade pupils when recast in accordance with their characteristic ways of thinking and conceptualizing experiences (Dienes 1959, 1964). Through such kinds of teaching many more abstract and 'dhfi cult' concepts can undoubtedly be made intuitively comprehensible to ele mentary school children than was believed possible in the past, and even brought within the category of learnings for which they are adequately ready. This hardly rules out the possibility, however, that (a) The compre hension of many other ideas presupposes certain specific antecedent learnings in a given subject matter area or a certain minimal level of general subject matter sophistication, (b) Some abstractions are so inherently difficult or complex that they cannot be made intuitively understandable to children below a certain level of cognitive matterity understandable to children the case of certain highly abstract concepts to find particular exemplars that are meaningful to cognitively immature children. These latter kinds of ideas would be *intrinsically* too difficult for preschool or elementary school child dren urrespective of the method of presentations

Thus, even assuming that all abstract concepts could be restructured

on an intuitive basis it would still be unreasonable to expect that they on an infuture oasts it would sup to unreasonable to expect that they could all be made comprehensible to children at any grade level. Although the intuitive comprehensibility of any given intuitively restructured idea is best determined empirically it would surely be plausible deductively to expect that a certain proportion of these ideas could not be rendered com prehensible to typical pupils in some of the preschool and elementary grades As F T Tyler points out

difficult to understand how [Bruner] can maintain "that any subject Tr 14 can be taught effectively in some intellectually honest form to any child at any stage of development," and at the same time say first that the "pre-operational child cannot grasp the idea of reversibility and second, because of this funda mental lack the child cannot understand certain fundamental ideas that he at the Is goes without saying that teachers are basis of mathematics and physics severely brited in transmitting concepts to a child at this age even in a highly Grasping the idea of imariance is beset with difficulties for intuitive manner the child often unsuspected by teachers Do common experience and observa tion not convince us of the impossibility of teaching such a class of responses as solving linear equations to a neonate (Tyler 1964 pp 220 223)

Accelerating Stages of Intellectual Development

Is it possible to accelerate children's progress through the pre-opera-tional stage or the stage of concrete logical operations by taking account of their characteristic cognitive lumitations and by providing suitably con trived experience geared to their cognitive capacity and mode of function ing Can we for example train them as Inhelder (Bruner 1960 pp 15-13) suggests to focus on more than one aspect of a problem at a time or to acquire genuine appreciation of the concept of conservation of mass. If stages of development liase any true meaning the answer to this question can only be that although some acceleration is certainly possible it is necessarily limited in extent.

Developmental considerations inevitably impose a limit on the extent of acceleration that is possible masmuch as transition to the next higher of atternation in a spontone manufacture as training to the next en-sizer is invariably an organic outgrowth of and hence presupposes, the attainment of a certain level of consolidation or proficiency at the preceding stage Such convolidation in turn implies gradual and cumulative change over an extended period of time. In accounting for the transition from the pre-operational stage to the stage of concrete logical operations Plaget (19.7b) for example emphasizes such mechanisms as successive and con trasting decentration" (less exclusise preoccupation with a particular aspect of a phenomenon) and gradual appreciation of the theory of probability

In our opinion flowever Psager unwarrantedly excludes the role of

training and education, particularly the role of verbal instruction, in bringing about transition from one stage of intellectual development to another As L. S. Vygotsky (1962) points out, the relationship between intellectual development and education is reciprocal. On theoretical grounds there is no reason why only incidental (spontaneous, undirected, unexplained) experience must effect the gradual, cumulative change in intellectual capacity that makes transition to a higher stage possible, and since guided practice is demonstrably more efficient than incidental learning, it should be quite possible for suitable training to accelerate the rate at which the various stages of intellectual development succeed each other. In fact, evidence was presented earlier which indicates that schooling and urban living accelerate the acquisition of conservation and of combinatorial reasoning But it was also pointed out above that the mere fact that a given type of learning task can be mastered before the age of readiness or that the age of readiness itself can be accelerated or that maximum acceleration is desirable

Generally speaking, simple drill or training, in which the pre-operational child is exposed to contrived conservation experience and given reinforcement for correct responses, does not suffice to bring about stable acquisition of conservation concepts Such training merely leads to the acquisition of an 'empirical rule, which, unlike the stable and organized concept in the 'natural conserver,' cannot withistand (is easily extinguished by) the influence of such spurious disconfirmation experience as counter suggestion and perceptually deceptive appearances (Smedslund, 1961). Sim ilarly, in another area of antellectual functioning, kindergarien children who receive laboratory training in learning the principle of a teeter totter (that the longer side of the fulcrum falls when both sides are equally weighted), fail to exhibit resistance to the later learning of a spurious causal relationship about the operation of a teeter totter (that the color of the blocks placed at either end of the teeter totter is the determining factor) (Ausubel and Schiff, 1954) H Beilin and I Franklin (1962) also report that no first grader achieves operational area measurement even with training , and J F Wohlwill and R C Lowe (1962) found improvement in conserva tion behavior on a nonverbal post test after three kinds of training, but no transfer of this conservation learning to a verbal post test

Considerable evidence, however, indicates that the use of various verbal didatic procedures (prior verbalization of principles, the use of verbal rules, filmed verbal explanations, confronting the child verbally with his own contradictions) in conjunction with concrete empirical props can accelerate the acquisition of conservation and probability theory (Frank, in Bruner, 1964, Kohnstamm, 1966, Ojemann and Pritchett, 1963, Ojemann, Maxey, and Smider, 1966, Sullivan, 1966) Such didactic teaching combined with the use of concrete empirical props, also induces generalization of conserva on an intuitive basis it would still be unreasonable to expect that they could all be made comprehensible to children at any grade level. Although the intuitive comprehensibility of any given intuitively restructured idea is best determined empirically it would surely be plausible deductivel to expect that a certain proportion of these ideas could not be rendered com prehensible to typical pupils in some of the preschool and elementary grades

As F T Tyler points out

It is difficult to understand how [Bruner] can maintain that any subject can be taught effectively in some intellectually honest form so any child at any stage of de-dopment, and at the same time isa first that the "pre-operational child cannot grasp the idea of "reversibility" and second "because of this funda mental lack the child cannot understand certain fundamental ideas that he at the basis of mathematics and physics. It goes without saying that leaders are severely limited in transmitting concepts to a child at this age even in a highly intuitive manner. Grasping the idea of invanance is beers twich difficulties for the child often unsuspected by teachers. Do common experience and observa tion not convince us of the impossibility of teaching such a class of responses as solving linear equations" to a neonate (Tyler 1964 pp 220 °22)

Accelerating Stages of Intellectual Development

Is it possible to accelerate children's progress through the pre-operational stage or the stage of concrete logical operations by taking account of their characteristic cognitive limitations and by providing suitably contrived experience geared to their cognitive capacity and mode of function ing? Can we for example train them as Inhelder (Bruner 1960 pp 45.45) suggests to focus on more than one aspect of a problem at a time or to acquire genuine appreciation of the concept of conservation of mass. If stages of development have any true meaning the answer to this question can only be that alchough some acceleration is certainly possible it is necessarily limited in extent.

Developmental considerations inevitably impose a limit on the extent of acceleration that is possible massnuch as transition to the next bigher stage is invariably an organic outgrowth of and hence presupposes the attainment of a certain level of consolidation or proficiency at the preceding stage Such consolidation in turn implies gradual and cumulative change over an extended penod of time. In accounting for the transition from the pre-operational stage to the stage of concrete logical operations. Plaget (1937b) for example emphasizes such mechanisms as successive and contrasting decentration (less exclusive preoccupation with a particular aspect of a phenomenon) and gradual appreciation of the cheory of probability

In our opinion however Plaget unwarrantedly excludes the role of

training and education, particufarly the rofe of verbal instruction, in bringing about transition from one stage of intellectual development to another As L S Vygotsky (1962) points out, the relationship between intellectual development and education is reciprocaf. On theoretical grounds there is no reason why only incidental (spontaneous, undirected, unexplained) experience must effect the gradual, cumulative change in intellectual capacity that makes transition to a ligher stage possible, and since guided practice is demonstrably more efficient than incidental learning, it should be quite possible for suitable training to accelerate the rate at which the various stages of intellectual development succed each other. In fact, evidence was presented earlier which indicates that schooling and urban living accelerate the acquisition of conservation and of combinatorial reasoning. But it was also pointed out above that the mere fact that a given type of learning task *can* be mastered before the age of readiness or that the age of readiness itself *can* be accelerated or that maximum acceleration is desirable

should be accelerated or that maximum acceleration is desirable Generally speaking, simple driff or training, in which the pre-opera tional child is exposed to contrived conservation experience and given re inforcement for correct responses, does not suffice to bring about stable acquisition of conservation concepts Such training merely leads to the ac quisition of an 'empirical rule," which, unlike the stable and organized concept in the 'natural conserver, cannot withstand (is easily extinguished by the influence of such spurtous disconfirmation experience as countersuggestion and perceptually deceptive appearances (Smedslund, 1961). Sim ilarly, in another area of intellectual functioning, kindergarten children who receive laboratory training in fearming the principle of a tecter totter (that the longer side of the fulcrum falls when both sides are equally weighted), fail to exhibit resistance to the later learning of a spurtous causal relationship about the operation of a tecter totter (that the color of the blocks placed at either end of the tecter totter is the determining factor) (Ausubel and Schiff, 1954) H Betin and I Franklin (1962) also report that 'no first grader achieves operational area measurement even with training , and J F Wohlwill and R C Lowe (1962) found improvement in conserva tion behavior on a nonverbal post test after three kinds of training, but no transfer of this conservation learning to a verbal post test

Considerable evidence, however, indicates that the use of various verbal didatic procedures (prior verbalization of principles, the use of verbal rules, filmed verbal explanations confronting the child verbally with his own contradictions), in conjunction with concrete empirical props, can accelerate the acquisition of conservation and probability theory (Frank, in Bruner, 1964, Kohnstamm, 1966, Ojemann and Pritchett, 1963, Ojemann, Maxey, and Snuder, 1966, Sullivan, 1966) such didactic teaching, combined with the use of concrete empirical props, also induces generalization of conservation responses to other materials (Kohnstämm, 1966, Sullivan, 1966), promotes retention of these responses over periods as long as six months (Kohn stamm, 1966), and makes them resistant to extinction after an interval of seven days (Sullivan, 1966). All of these findings strongly suggest that since even short term verbal training can bring about a limited degree of stable sustained and generalized transitional change from the pre-operational stage to the stage of concrete logical operations long term training along similar lines would be even more effective

Thus it appears that after a certain degree of consolidation of the preoperational stage occurs, one can anticipate, and thereby accelerate, the attainment of the next higher (concrete operational) stage by training the child under the learning conditions that apply to the latter strge by requir ing him to relate secondary abstractions and abstract verbal propositions to cognitive structure with the aid of concrete-empirical props In a similar way, the transition from concrete to abstract logical operations can be facil stated by gradually withdrawing concrete-empirical props as the prior stage becomes consolidated, that is by withdrawing the props well in advance of the actual attainment of abstract cognitive functioning 12 Thus in L S Vigotsky's (1962) terms didactic instruction can and normally does play a role in facilitating (accelerating) transition from one stage of cognitive de velopment to another-both by providing suitably contrived directed, and explained learning experience, and by making intellectual demands on pupils that go beyond their current capabilities, that is that anticipate or are pointed toward the conditions of cognitive functioning at the next higher stage

Can Children Learn Anything More Efficiently than Adults?

Related to the proposition that children can learn anything that adults can-provided that it is suitably presented—is the contention that they can also do so more efficiently David Page for example, makes the following assertion

In teaching from kindergarten to graduate school I have been amared at the intellectual similarity of human beings at all ages although children are perhaps more spontaneous creative and energiete than adults As far as I an concerned young children can learn almost anything faster than adults do if is can be given to them in term they understand (Branner 1965 pp 3240).

I- P Ya Galperin (19-7) describes a method of teaching arithmetic to slow learning pupils in which concrete-empirical props are eliminated very gradually ind are replaced by abstract verbal representations.

In our opinion although this proposition is generally untrue and un supportable it is nevertheless valid in a very limited sense of the term. Even more important however it is in many instances partially true for reasons that are very different from those offered by its advocates. Many reasons exits for believing that under certain conditions young children can learn more efficiently than older and intellectually more mature persons. In the first place older individuals particularly if miseducated must often unlearn what they have previously been taught before they are ready for new learning. This is frequently the case when a student's knowl edge is unclear instable or disorganized because of a prior history of rote or nonmeaningful learning. Second older individuals are more likely to have emotional blocks with respect to particular subject matter areas. Third their is a marked falling off of intellectual enthusiasm venture someness and flexibility as children move up the academic ladder Generally speaking however adolescents and adults have a tremendous advanting in learning any new subject matter—even if they are just as un

Generally speaking however adolescents and adults have a tremendous advantige in learning any new subject matter—even if they are just as un sophisticated as young children in that particular discipline. This advantage inheres in the fact that they are able to draw on various transferable ele-ments of their overall ability to function at the abstract level of logical operations. Hence in their initial contact with a new discipline they are soon able to move through the concrete intuitive phase of intellectual function ing very rapidly and unlike the comparably unsophisticated child who functions generally at the level of concrete logical operations they are soon able to dispense entirely with concrete-empirical props and with intuitive understandings. These facilitating transferable elements as indicated above well as successful past experience in directly manipulating relationships be tween abstractions (without the benefit of concrete-empirical props). The advocates of the child superporting proposition manipulation the concession of the child superporting proposition manipulations and the operations and the conception and proper-ing the child superporting proposition manipulations and the conception and the conception and properior proposition manipulations and the concession of the child superporting proposition manipulations and the proposition manipulation and the proposition manipulations and the child superporting proposition manipulations and the child superporting proposition manipulations and the proposition manipulatio

The advocates of the child superiority proposition maintain however that this rapid shift on the part of older learners from a concrete intuitive to truly abstract and verbal level of intellectual functioning in the unfamil to trilly district and verbal is certain measurement constrained on the second more efficient and yields a more precise general and transferable form of knowledge than its concrete intuitive and verbal counterparts To argue that a more primitive type of learning is more natural because it occurs before a more advanced type of learning and that it is also more efficient because it is more natural is a circular type of reasoning that overlooks the ob vious facts that (a) The earlier learning process is used first not because it is more natural is a circular type of reasoning that overlooks the ob vious facts that (a) The earlier learning process is used first not because it is more natural only for this reason and (b) When a more advanced learning process is available at a later stage of development it is both less natural and less efficient to use its more primitive precursor

A final argument sometimes advanced for the child superiority proposition is that since there are allegedly optimal (critical) periods of readiness for all kinds of developmental acquisitions many intellectual skills can be acquired more easily by jounger than by older pupils. But although this argument is supported by some aspects of motor physical and perceptual development it has still to be validated in the field of intellectual development.

RELATIVE LANGUAGE LEARNING ABILITY OF CHILDREN AND ADULTS To begin with we must appreciate the fact that the child does not learn his native language with phenomenal case and rapidity Quie the contrary! His acquisition of his mother tongue is a long slow and arduous processdespite prolonged and continuous exposure, and despite exceedingly strong motivation to learn so that he can communicate with adults and peers Typically he is 4 years old before his use of syntax even begins to approx imate the conventional standards of his language (Ervin and Miller 1963)

In natural settings (home neighborhood school) where children are completely or partially immersed in a second language environment it is true that they appear to learn the language more readily than adults do under similar circumstances. Actually however the two situations are hardly comparable Children receive much more practice in the new language since they are less able to maintain contact with spoken and written sources of their native language. Their motivation is also usually higher because mastery of the second language is more essential for communication peer relationshops than adults in attempting to speak the new language.

Objective research evidence regarding the relative learning ability of children and adults is sparse but offers hille comfort to those who mantain the child superiority thesis Although children are probably superior to adults in acquiring an acceptable accent in a new language E L. Thorn dike and others (1925) found many years ago that they make less rapid progress than adults do in other aspects of foreign language learning when learning time is held constant for the two age proups ¹³

¹³ Elementary school children on the average learn less French in three years than do college freshmen in a single semester (Dunkel and Piller 1957) However there is a low but consistent negative relationship between chronological age and the Spansh achievement test scores of elementary school pupils (Johnson Ellison and Flores 1960) Johnson in a personal communication attributes this relationship to the younger learners greater facility in pronunciation histening comprehension and to linguistic expression despite less mastery of the grammatical structure of the language.

In addition to the pronunciation or mimicry factor, children probably have some other intrinsic advantages over adults in foreign language learn ing. Their intellectual capacities are less differentiated along particular lines, and they are more venturesome and less rigid in undertaking new learning tasks. As a result of fewer past frustrating experiences in academic work, they are also less likely to manifest strong emotional blocks in par ticular subject matter areas.

The disadvantages of adults in these latter respects, however, are more than counterbalanced by three overwhelming advantages which they enjoy First, they have a much larger native language vocabulary than children do, particularly with regard to abstract concepts Hence, in learning a foreign language, unlike children, they need not acquire thousands of new concepts but merely the new verbal symbols representing these concepts Second, in learning the structure of a new language—both in comprehending oral and written materials and in speaking—they can make conscious and deliberate use of grammatical generalizations and can explicitly apply them to suitable exemplars Young children, on the other hand, are limited to the much less efficient approach of discovering syntactical rules through repetitions exposure to models and corrective feedback. Largely because of these two factors, certain characteristic features of the audiolingual method are peda gogically inappropriate for adults Finally, adolescents and adults possess a larger store of propositions in cognitive structure under which new phrases and sentences can be subsumed. This enhances the comprehension of for eign language material

Educational Implications of the Transition from Concrete to Abstract Cognitive Functioning

From the standpoint of the secondary school teacher, the most significant development in cognitive functioning that occurs during the preadolescent and early adolescent years is the gradual transition from a predominantly concrete to a predominantly abstract mode of understanding and manipulating complex abstract propositions. This developmental shift has far reaching implications for teaching methods and curricular practices in the secondary school.

Once the developing individual reaches the abstract stage of cognitive functioning he becomes in large measure an abstract verbal learner. He now acquires most new concepts and learns most new propositions by di rectly (without the mediating and constraining influence of concrete-empiriical props) apprehending verbally or symbolically stated relationship be tween previously learned abstractions. To do so meaningfully, he need no longer refer to first hand, concrete, or nonrepresentational experience, nor actually perform any of the abstracting or generalizing operations on the underlying empirical drit. With his developmental dependence on concrete empirical props removed the only condition necessrip for the understanding and meaningful minipulation of higher-order concepts and abstract propositions is that their substitutive import be nonributirally relatable to lus particular cognitive structure and that he adopt a set to learn them in this fashion. Hence on developmental grounds he is ready at the secondary school level for a new type of verbal expository teaching that uses priticular examples primarily for illustrative purposes that is to clarify or dramatize truly abstract meanings rather than to make possible the emergence of in lutitor meanings.

It would be very misleading however to assert that secondary school and even older students can never profit either from the use of concrete empirical props to generate initiative meanings or from the use of inductive discovery and deductive problem solving techniques to enhance such mean ings As previously suggested generally mature students tend to function at a relitively concrete or initiative level when confronted with a particularly new subject matter area in which they are as yet totally unsophisticated But since bestract cognitive functioning in this new area is rapidly achieved with the attainment of a minimal degree of subject matter sophistication concrete empirical props and discovery methods should be employed to gen erate and enhance initiative learnings only during the *early* stages of instruction Continued use of discovery techniques for other purposes however (to improve problem solving skills to foster appreciation of scientific method or to test verbal understanding) is thoroughly defensible. And once students function abstractly in a given discipline it is one thing for teachers to use examples and analogies occasionally to clarify the *early* stages of instrucanother for teachers to use them *routinely* either as invariably necessary props for transmuting all abstract meanings or pair to students are *still* (lunctioning or would be *better off* still functioning on an intuitive level

Since a largely abstract and verbal type of expository teaching is both more economical in terms of time cost and also leads to abstract verbal understandings that are quahatturely synerroi to and more transferable than intuitive understandings one might reasonably ask why the secondary school has not placed greater emphasis on more abstract and verbal techniques of effecting meaningful verbal learning In the first place by unwarantedly extrapolating childhood learning conditions to adolescence and adult life the progressive education movement fostered widespread acceptance of the proposition that all verbal concepts and generalizations are necessarily nothing more than notely memorized glib verbalisms unless they both reflect problem solving or discovery. This belief led in turn to the summary reection of verbal exposition and to the paradoxical acceptance of such in herently rote problem solving and discovery practices as the teaching of type problems the wholly mechanical manipulation of mathematical sym bols and the performance of cookbook laboratory experiments

oots and the performance of cookbook laboratory experiments Second the tendency among educational psychologists uncritically to extrapolate findings from laboratory studies of nonverbal or rote verbal learnings to meaningful verbal learning an the classroom reinforced the educators perception of verbal learning an eccessarily rote in character and further encouraged him to repudiate expository verbal teaching Lastly the failure of educational psychologists to investigate the nature and con ditions of meaningful verbal learning and retention delayed the discovery of more effective techniques of verbal exposition as well as helped per petuate the use of traditional rote techniques. Only within the last lew years have curriculum specialists and educational psychologists concerned them selves with substantive and programmatic aspects of the problem of facil itating the meaningful acquisition and retention of viable bodies of knowl

edge The fact that children become less empirical and more hypothetical in their approach to scientific problems with increasing age does not necessarily mean that they accordingly rely more blindly on authority and show less appreciation of scientific method J Praget (1928–1932) has shown that quite the opposite holds true The decreased emphasis on an empirical approach with increasing age is simply a function of cognitive maturation that is of greater ability to grasp concepts and generalizations on a purely abstract basis without prior need for experience with multiple particular instances of a concrete nature

Developmental Considerations Regarding Breadth of Curriculum

One of the chief complaints of the critics of public education in the United States is that contemporary children fail to learn the fundamentals because of the broadening of the elementary school curriculum to include such subjects as social studies art science music and manual arts in addition to the traditional three R's This of course would be a very serious charge if it were true because the wisdom of expanding a child is intellectual horizons at the expense of making him a cripple in the basic intellectual skills is highly questionable to say the least Fortunately however the bene fits of an expanded curriculum have thus far not been accompanied by a corresponding detenoration in the standard of the three R's Evidendy the decreased amount of time spent on the latter subjects has been more than compensated for both by the development of more efficient methods of
teaching and by the incidental learning of 'fundamentals' in the course of studying these other subjects Nevertheless, the issue of breadth versus depth still remains because there is obviously a point beyond which increased breadth could be attained only by sacrificing mastery of the fundamental skills, and even if we agreed to maintain or improve the present standard of the three R's, we would still have to choose between breadth and depth in relation to other components of the curriculum, particularly at the junior and senior high school levels. It is at these points of choice that developmental entering can be profitably applied.

Concrete-Intuitive Stage

Generally speaking, maximal breadth of the curriculum, consistent with adequate mastery of its constituent parts, is developmentally desirable at all ages because of the tremendously wide scope of human abilities. The wider the range of intellectual stimulation to which pupils are exposed, the greater are the chances that all of the diverse potentialities both within a group of children and within a single child will be brought to fruition. By the same token, a broad curriculum makes it possible for more pupils to experience success in the performance of school activities, and thus to develop the neces sary self confidence and motivation for continued academic striving and achievement

The very fact that elementary school children are able to make sig inficant progress in science and social studies also indicates that myopic concentration on the three K's would waste much available readiness for these other types of learnings and thus compel junior and senior high schools to devote much of their instructional time to materials that are easily learnable in the lower grades. In fact, one of the major failings of the secondary school curriculum today is that because it still has not adequately adjusted to the expansion of the elementary school syllabus, entering pupils are not only subjected to much studifying repetition but also fail to break the new ground for which they are obviously ready

Other factors similarly counsel a choice of breadth over depth in the content of the primary school curriculum First, from a logistical standpoint, the young child is not prepared for depth of subject matter coverage His limited attention span and his dependence on concrete empirical props greatly limit the rate at which he can learn new material, thereby making it difficult for limit on assumable a wide array of information about a given topic, and the limited number of abstractions in his cognitive structure, as well as the particularized, semabstract, and relatively unprecise nature of his concepts and principles likewise detract from his ability to assimilate and integrate large quantities of subject matter knowledge

Second, the relationship between breadth and depth must also take

into recount the progressive differentiation of intelligence interests and personality structure with increasing age. The elementary school child is a generalist because both his intellect and personality are still relatively unstable and incrystallized and lack impressive internal consistency. Thus many different varieties of subject matter are equally compatible with his interest and ability patterns. Furthermore unless lie has experience with many different fields of knowledge and gives each a provisional try. he is in no position to judge which kinds of intellectual pursuits are most congruent with his major ability and value systems. Hence quite apart from the future life adjustment values of a broad educational background it is appropriate on developmental grounds for elementary and early high school curricula to stress breadth ruther than depth

the future life adjustment values of a broad educational background it is appropriate on developmental grounds for elementary and early ligh school curricula to stress breadth ruther than depth Breadth of course inevitably implies a certain amount of superficiality This superficiality however is not necessarily opprobrous. Whether it is desirable or undesirable cannot be judged in absolute terms but only in relation to the students intellectual readiness for depth. It should also be pointed out in this connection that superficiality itself is always a relative state of affairs the gradinate school curriculum is superficial to the post doctoral scholt as the elementary school curriculum is to the college undergraduate. The spiral curriculum—the reintroduction of the same topics in progressively greater depth as intellectual reidiness and maturity interase—is predicated on this assumption.

Superficiality is also not synonymous with triviality or with slipshod unsystematic or outdated teaching Good teaching implies precise presenta tion of significant organized lucid and valid content at any level of breadth and even at the elementary school level it allows for the occasional introduction of a typical depth bodi substantively and methodologically to give the student a taste of scholarship and of research inquiry But as will be pointed out later the probing in depth of isolated areas apart from hancing inquiry skills or methodological sophistication—is indefensible at any age level and particularly in the elementary school. It is a type of activity suitable for the scholar and research school. It is a a sequired substantive and methodological sophistication in his field

Abstract Verbal Stage

Toward the latter portion of the junior high school period however precisely the opposite kind of developmental situation begins to emerge Interests have crystallized and abilities have undergone differentiation to the point where greater depth and specialization are possible and desirable Many students at this stage of intellectual development the ready to sink their teeth into more serious and solid academic fare but unfortunately suitable instructional programs geared at an intermediate level of systematic presentation of the fundamental principles of a discipline are all too rarely available. The changes that have taken place in secondary school curricula since the academy days have been primarily characterized by the belated and half hearted addition of more up-to-date and topical information. Very hitle has been done in the way of providing the student with a meaningful, integrated, and systematic view of the major ideas in a given field of knowl edge.

The transition from concrete to abstract cognitive functioning enables the secondary school student to master a much greater volume of subject matter knowledge To begin with, the logistics of the learning situation become more favorable. His ability to understand abstract propositions directly (to dispense with the time consuming operations of using both concrete-empirical props and discovery and problem solving experience to generate and enhance initiative insights) permits the teacher to present much more subject matter in the same period of time. In addition, both the much larger body of abstract concepts and principles in his cognitive structure, and his qualitatively higher level of abstract understanding, make possible a more efficient means of assimilating organizing, and integrating the materials that are presented. Because the established higher-order concepts and relational propositions in his loginitive ating there are in truly abstract and general terms they are clearer, more stable, and more precise than they were in childhood, and a subficiently inclusive to subsume a wider array of differentiated facts and subconcepts.

In view of these latter developments and of the greater differentiation of his abilities and interests the secondary school student is prepared to cope with greater depth as well as with greater breadth, of subject matter He is ready for more intensive and differentiated coverage of smaller areas of knowledge as opposed to more global and superficial coverage of larger areas Depth in this context liowever, primarily implies greater substan tive density of knowledge rather ilian greater degree of autonomy in discovering the principles and obtaining the information to be learned If the secondary school student is required to discover most principles auton omously, 10 obtain most subject matter content from primary sources, and to design his own experiments he has time to acquire only methodological sophistication in terms of substantive depth, he simply moves from a previ ously superficial coverage of broad areas to a comparably superficial coverage of more circumscribed areas The real aim of secondary school and undergraduate education is not to produce substantisely ignorant junior scholars and scientists but to produce students who are knowledgeable both in breadth and depth of subject matter

Chapter 6

INTELLECTUAL ABILITY

IN THIS CHAPTER we propose to discuss the nature and growth of intelligence considered as a measurement construct designating general level of cognitive functioning Developmental changes in the actual psycho logical capacities and processes involved in cognitive functioning, namely, symbolization, language use, concept formation, and problem solving are considered in Chapters 2, 5, 15, and 16 When level of ability in performing these functions is measured by a graded series of tasks and regarded as representative of a general capacity for processing information and for utilizing abstract symbols in the solution of abstract problems, the construct designat ing this measured capacity may be referred to as intelligence. An intellectual ability, in other words, is really nothing more or less than a functional manifestation of a distinct and identifiable cognitive process as expressed in a range of individual performance or capacity differences. Since the nature of cognitive processes varies in accordance with stage of development. tests of intellectual ability should take account of and try to reflect stagerelated, qualitative changes in cognitive functioning (Flavell, 1963, Lauren deau and Pinard, 1962, Smedslund, 1964)

The Nature of Intelligence

In the sense that the construct of intelligence is derived from a particular set of measurement operations, it is obviously an abstraction that has no real existence apart from these constituent operations. It is also an abstraction in the sense that a general level of cognitive functioning has no actual reality apart from the *particular* kinds of cognitive functioning represented in an intelligence test. Nevertheles, insofar as the construct is logically tenable, related to naturalistic data, and derived from relevant and technically appropriate operations it is by no means merely an arbitrary and fictutious intention of psychologists. It is definitely related to an existing state of affairs in the real world (cognitive capacity) and has much theoretical and practical value both in explaining cognitive and other aspects of behavioral development and in predicting the cognitive level at which individuals function.

The concept of intelligence by definition clearly excludes level of functioning in all noncognitive areas of behavior. This definition renders largely irrelevant the commonly ored criticism that the 1Q is mileading because it does not indicate an individual's capacity for coping with non representational concrete mechanical or interpersonal problems. The IQ is not intended to represent these latter capacities and no claim is made that it does In fact if the intelligence test were modified so that it could perform these functions it would automatically lose whatever effectiveness it possesses as a measure of cognitive ability. The argument here is not that indices of maturity level in other noncognitive areas are theoretically or practically unimportant but rather that it is uiterly naive to expect a single instrument adequately to measure several largely unrelated kinds of abilities

Also irrelevant in much the same sense is the criticism that the IQ does not indicate particular cognitive strengths and failings or typical ways of attacking problems. No single summary score could possibly do so If such information is desired in its available in the detailed test protocol from which the IQ is derived and in the qualitative observations of the examiner Quite beside the point also is the frequently voiced complaint that the intelligence test fails to identify creativity. As will be pointed out in Chapter 16 creativity refers to a unique degree of originality in some substantive area of human endeavor and not to the possession of a high degree either of general intelligence or of one of its component abilities

Much fuule controversy rages over the issue of whether or not the intelligence test measures native (generally determined) cognitive endou ment Although an effort is made to maximize the milluence of general factors by using test items that presuppose only very generally available kinds of expensence it is obviously impossible to rale out the differential effects of exposure to different types of cognitive experiment to different levels of cognitive sumulation and to different personality and motivational variables Hence intelligence can be regarded only as a multiply determined functional capacity the level of which in a given individual reflects the relative potency of these various factors as they exist and interact in liss particular case. Yost general intelligence tests for instance the Einet type explicitly attempt to avoid it e impact of particular kinds of past expense by presenting the subject with relatively novel tasks. Even so however many of the component subjects with a source larger large biologitation of the source o of environmental factors for example of social class membership and cul tural deprivation. Special uptitude tests such as language usage are even more dependent on the nature of prior experience and social class back. ground

Another equally pointless controversy is the argument over whether the intelligence test score is a measure of performance or capacity Obviously capacity cannot be measured directly and must therefore be inferred from performance but if the IQ were only an index of how adequately an terrority tunner but if the IQ were only an index of how adequately an individual utilizes his cognitive capacity (performs) rather than an index of existing capacity *itself* its theoretical and practical usefulness would be seriously limited Hence the more meaningful and relevant question here is whether capacity can be validly *inferred* from performance or whether test performance provides a *fait* sample of capacity. An affirmative answer to this question is indicated if (a) the test includes a representative sample of cognitive functions (b) the specific items on the test are related to equally available experience and (c) the individual is motivated to perform as well as he can If the latter two conditions are not met performance is an under estimate of capacity and subsequent improvement in score that is attribut able to correction of test disadvantage or inadequate test motivation reflects a gain in performance rather than a gain in capacity All increments in IQ however do not necessarily fall in this category of more efficient utilization or fairer opportunity of displaying unchanged capacity. If the change is brought about through significant alterations in level of cognitive stimula tion or in personality structure it is reflective of a genuine change in capacibrought about through significant alterations in level of cognitive stimula tion or in personality structure it is reflective of a genuine change in capacity since cognitive capacity (according to the definition of intelligence adopted above) refers to a multiply determined phenotype (actualized genic endowment) rather than to genic potentiality If we are primarily interested in using IQ scores as predictors of an individual's actual academic achievement we would perhaps be better advised to obtain them under typical motivational conditions. In this case

they would be more reflective of performance than of capacity In this chapter we shall be concerned with such general issues as the

In this chapter we shall be concerned with such general issues as the nature of intelligence what IQ tests purport to measure the organization of intelligence in terms of its component abilities and the distribution of IQ scores. We shall also discuss various developmental issues bearing on intelligence when intelligence is considered either in absolute terms (as a developmental or mental age) or relative to group norms (as a develop-mental quotient—IQ or brightness level). These issues include (a) quantita twe and qualitative changes in intelligence with increasing age (b) the constancy of individual rates of growth and (c) the nature nurture problem —the relative contributions of heredity and environment to the devel opment of intelligence and the extent to which intelligence is modifiable

Are Intelligence Tests Unfair to Culturally Deprived Children?

'Liberal' educators often unwarrantedly castigate the intelligence test as being unfair to the culturally deprived child, both because it empha sizes verbal ability, rather than the mechanical and social kinds of abilities in which lower class children excel, and because the middle-class environ ment is more propitious than the lower class environment for the devel opment of verbal intelligence Reasoning such as this, for example, led to the recent (1964) decision to ban group intelligence tests from the New York City Public Schools Actually, however, the intelligence test is not really unfair to the culturally deprived child on either count in the first place, it purports only to measure verbal ability and to predict school performance-not ability or performance in the mechanical and social areas Second, any intelligence test can hope only to measure functional or operat ing capacity at a given point of development (degree of actualized genic potentiality) rather than innate potentiality itself Adequacy of environ mental stimulation is always a significant determinant of functional capacity and hence affects performance on an intelligence test. If the environment is inadequately stimulating then functional capacity is naturally impaired But this does not mean that our measuring instrument, the intelligence test, is unfair, since its function is merely to identify and measure impaired operating capacity irrespective of the origin of the impairment. The intelli gence test, in other words purports to measure functional capacity rather than to account for it if the culturally deprived child scores low on an intelligence test because of the inadequacy of his environment, it is not the test which is unfair but the social order which permits him to develop under such conditions

By the same token, we would not say that the tuberculin test is unfair or invalid because (a) the lower-class child really does not have any greater genci susceptibility to tuberculous but happens to live in an environment that predisposes him to this disease, or (b) it measures exposure to a particular disease which happens to be related to lower social-class status rather than to one which is not so related. In terms of operating lunctional capacity, an intelligence test is no less lair or valid because a low score is reflective of culture deprivation than because it is reflective of low genic endowment that they are necessarily immutable irrespective of future environmental conditions or to defend those aspects of the social system that give rise to the culturally deprived environment

Traditional verbal intelligence tests are unfair to culturally deprived children in the sense that such children, in comparison with their middle class agemates have fewer test taking skills are less responsive to speed pressure are less highly motivated in taking tests have less rapport with the examiner and are less familiar with the specific vocabulary and tasks that make up the content of the test (Haggard 1954 Riessman 1962). The tests are therefore unfair in that they do not give the lower class child a fair opportunity to demonstrate his true attained level of cognitive capacity When these errors of measurement are eliminated however substantial social class differences in IQ still remain (Coleman and Ward 1955 Haggard 1954). These may reflect both hereditary and environmental influences R B Cattell (1963) postulates that culture free tests emphasizing crystallized as opposed to fluid abilities are fairer to culturally deprived children.

Even if culture free tests are devised which minimize the effects of cultural deprivation and give a theoretically truer picture both of the culturally deprived childs genic endowment and of his attained level of cognitive capacity it is likely.

that these tests in comparison with tests reflecting experiences within the culture will predict less well those behaviors dependent upon cultural differences. Furthermore one can argue that since the growth of intelligence does not occur in a vacuum but is nourshied by the cultural milieu the impact of the culture on tests should not be ignored (Millman and Glock 1965 p 21)

The Organization of Intelligence

How are intellectual abilities and scholastic aptitudes organized? The answer to this question is both complex and technical and goes far beyond the legitimate scope of any textbook in educational psychology Suffice it to say that the organization of intelligence depends in large measure on the age of the pupil in question

The weight of the evidence indicates that intelligence consists both of a general or unitary ability as well as of a constellation of discrete and separately measurable abilities or aptitudes. The relative importance of these two characteristics varies as a function of age. Typically, the various sub abilities measured by an 1/Q test intercorretate about 40 that is show a moderate degree of generality. This reflects both the general and special ized nature of the intellectual abilities comprising intelligence or general scholastic aptitude. Thus the significance and predictive value of a com posite score on a general intelligence test depend both on the age of the subject and on the purpose for which predictions are made. The tendency in tecent years at least for older students has been to place greater reliance on the measurement of diverse and relatively separate abilities. This approach however has undoubtedly been carried to an extreme by factor analysts such as J. P. Gunlford (1959). The latter suggests that there are 120 separately identifiable mental abilities comprising the structure of intellect and that these consist of the various combinations relating to five classes of operations four kinds of content, and six types of product¹ Actually, only about a half-dozen lactors such as vocabulary, spatial relations, number ability, numerical reasoning and language usage, have been well established and shown to have predictive value for related aspects of academic achieve ment.

Distribution of IQ Scores

A characteristically wide and continuous range of variability is typical of the distribution of IQ scores This distribution is consistent with the interpretation that intelligence (like most human traits) is polygenically determined, that is determined in large part (but not exclusively) by the cumulative and additive effects of a large number of genes, each of which exerts a small positive or negative effect on the development of the trait Approximately 64 percent of all IQ scores fall between the range of 85 and 114 (Terman and Merrill 1937) A somewhat smaller range of variability prevails with respect to achievement test scores inasmuch as the uneducable mentally handicapped do not attend school

Intelligence tests continue to yield normal distributions during the course of adolescence (Cornell, 1936, E L Thorndike, and others, 1926) Variability in test scores at any age or grade level is considerable The dis irribution of mental ability, for example, among 14 year-old students in New York State is represented by a range of mental ages from 10 to 18 with the mode at 14 (Cornell 1936)

It should also be pointed out that factor analysis is merely a statistical method of reducing the number of ability and accounting for most of the variance in number of common denomators capable of accounting for most of the variance in a particular population. The number of factors that emerge from a given analysis depends in large measure therefore on the particular tests used at what point the investigator thooses to stop the reduction process and how he chooses to comexprusing interpret and name the least common denomators that emerge

¹ Guilford s factors are derived from a purely hypothetical three dimensional model comparable to the periodic table of chemical elements except for the fact that is swholly speculative tarbier than based on a projection from known empirical data. Not only has the existence of many of these factors never been empiredly demonstrated but also most of the demonstrated factors have not been shown to have any predictive significance for academic achievement vocational accomplish ment or anything else. The low intercorrelations among Guilfords tests purporting the same factors. By one can be the side of the demonstrated factors and these strates that not the side of the si

Surprisingly enough, despite the tremendous increase in high school enrollment from 1916 to 1940, with a corresponding elimination of the intellectual selectivity that formerly operated when only one third of the adoles cent population attended high school, there has been no drop in the mean IQ of the high school population (Finch, 1946) Thus phenomenon may perhaps be accounted for by the greater experience that present day students have with tests, and by the closer correspondence between currently stressed learning outcomes of school instruction and the types of capacities measured by intelligence tests

Developmental Changes in Intelligence

In addition to such quantitative developmental considerations as the growth curve of intelligence and the constancy of individual rates of growth, we must consider such qualitative developmental changes as age level changes in ability to process large bodies of organized and potentially meaningful bodies of information, in the organization of intellectual abilities, and in the breadth and depth of subject matter knowledge ("horizontal growth")

Growth Curve of Intelligence

Most investigators agree that the growth of intelligence is most rapid in infancy and early childhood and tends to increase thereafter at a pro gressively decreasing rate. This conclusion is in accord with cretady experience and with the fact that overlapping between score distributions of adjacent age groups increases with advancing age (Bayley, 1933b). A linear growth curve of intelligence is simply an artifactual outcome of plotting mental age in terms of units that are deliberately calibrated so that one year of intellectual growth is, on the average, achieved during the course of a calendar year. In general, the growth curve of general intelligence is negatively accelerated (shows a progressively decreasing rate of growth) when based either on raw scores (Terman and Merrili, 1937), on absolutely scaled² scores, or on scaled scores transformed into percentages of adult attainment Some investigators report a slight reversal in the rate of nega tive acceleration during the preadolescent period (Freeman and Ffory, 1937, Terman and Merrili, 1937).

² The purpose of scaling is to make raw scores from different tests and from different age groups comparable by expressing them in such a way that at any point of the scale the distances between units of measurement are equal in difficulty value

Intelligence test scores, E L. Thorndike, and others (1926) postulated a parabolic growth curve according to which about half of mature intellectual status is attained by the age of \$ More recently, B \$ Bloom (1964a) reached a very similar conclusion, placing the midpoint of attainment of adult intelligence at age 4 Growth begins to taper off in middle adolescence and continues very slowly thereafter until ultimate capacity is adureed (Bayle), 1949, Freeman and Flory, 1957, Garrett, Bryan and Perl, 1935)

Since the tapering-off process is so gradual it is difficult to tell when growth actually ceases The widely accepted finding of L. M. Terman and M. A. Mernill (1937) that mental age does not increase after the age of 15 is now attributed to the hunted ceiling of the 1937 revision of the Stanford Banet test. The best estimates, based on testing a wide age sample of a relatively homogeneous population (fones and Conrad, 1944), Wechler, 1944), or on retesting the same population at suitable intervals (Bayley, 1955, Freeman and Flory 1937, Jones and Conrad, 1944, E. L. Thorndike, 1926, 1920, place the age of terminal growth at 18 to 20 and even beyond Gains in intelligence test scores have been reported at age 25 on the Wechsler Bellevue test (Bayley, 1955) and at age 50 on the Army Alpha eage of terminal growth obviously varies for different individuals and for different kinds of cognitive processes (fones and Conrad, 1944)

The growth of intelligence—considered as a measurement construct is the least typical aspect of adolescent development in all other components of growth—hormonal skeletal, motor, personality, moral and social —there is an accelerated period of transitional development or a growth spurt³ Intellectual growth on the other hand follows a pattern very similar to the development of fine mechanical abilities Of all the major tissues of the body and segments of personality, it seems that only the small muscles and intelligence remain unaffected by the cataljue impetus to growth supplied by pubescence. Their development continues to respond to the heredutary and environmental influences impinging upon them, just as i pubescence were not taking place, and their growth curves proceed smoothly unmarked by any discontinuity to assume the adolescent form that could be projected for them from developmental data of earlier years

Growth of this kind is not unimportant. In such growth, new capacities

^a B O Lung (1965) has recently described an adolescent growth sport in mental development that is more marked in griss than in boys. But the tests he used were more comparable to academic adhesement itsets than to conventional intelligence tests and as we know from our description of cognitive development there u a definite sport at adolescence in abulity to master cardemic subject matter This abulity however is handly synonymous with the construct of intelligence as defined above.

are attained by the gradual accumulation of small increments of progress rather than by abrupt and discontinuous spurts of development. In terms of degree of cognitive ability the adolsecent is a different and more mature person than the preadolescent but not discontinuously so 4 And the acquisition of these increased cognitive abilities plays an important role in personality moral and religious development

The termination of growth in vertical capacity also does not mean that all intellectual development ceases Although beyond this point the in dividual may be unable to solve more difficult novel problems he continues to grow in a horizontal direction—in the sense of increased information knowledge ability to draw upon past experience increased ability to make decisions to form judgments to exercise common sense and so forth [Gersild and others 1916] Also as pointed out earlier because of the shift from concrete to abstract modes of cognitive functioning the capacity both to learn large bodies of subject matter and to reason in terms of abstract general hypotheses (to use propositional logic) shows a discontinuous rate can hardly be classified as novel the continuing horizontal growth may be of much greater practical significance than the level of vertical growth already attained

GROWTH CURVE OF SEPARATE INTELLECTUAL ABILITIES Sub test analysis of various tests of intellectual ability shows that several important differ ences exist in the rate of growth age of terminal growth and rate of decline among the component sub abilities Simple rote memory (memory span) reaches an earlier peak of development than either general intelligence (Conrad Freemen and Jones 1944) vocabulary or arithmetical ability (Garrett Bryan and Perl 1935) but this is not the case with respect to more meaningful and analytical types of memory (Jones and Conrad 1935) During the preadolescent and adolescent periods vocabulary and ability to dispute identical rates of growth during early and middle childhood (Conrad Freeman and Jones 1944) Growth of ability terminates earlier on the analogies test than on either the completions or opposites tests On the other hand decline in ability sets in earlier for such functions as analogies and completions than for vocabulary and general information (Jones and Conrad 1935) In conclusion it appears that the more complex intellectual abilities have a more gradual rate of growth reach maturity at a later age (Bradway and Thompson 1962) but show evidence of decline earlier in life

In terms of mode of cognitive functioning however discontinuity in devel opment probably does occur at adolescence

R B Cattell (1963) has isolated fluid and crystallized components of intelligence The crystallized factor consists largely of process functions presumably not much influenced by learning or educational experience and reaches maturity at a relatively early age. The fluid factor in contrast consists more of product functions which are appreciably affected by education and experience and therefore reach maturity later in life. The so called culturally deprived are naturally much more deficient in the fluid than in the crystallized component of intelligence

Growth Curves of Bright and Dull Children

Available evidence indicates that bright dull and average children grow intellectually at different rates and differ with respect to organization and qualitative pattern of cognitive abilities. Although the terminal age of intellectual growth is the same for all three groups dull children attain a disproportionately large percentage of their ultimate intellectual status during the early years (Bayley 1956) and tend to grow step wise in spurts and pauses (Cornell and Armstrong 1955). Normal children exhibit a more constant rate of growth (Creeman and Flory 1957), whereas bright children

show an accelerated rate of growth in later childhood that slows down somewhat in middle and late adolescence (Cornell and Armstrong 1955 Freeman and Flory 1957) The net effect of these differences is that the bright tend to grow away from the dull (Conrad Freeman and Jones 1944 Thurstone and Ackerson 1929) Duller individuals (as might reason ably be miccipated from their greater chronological ago also show greater differentiation of intelligence than do brighter younger children of the same mental age (Thompson and Margaret 1949) Greater differentiation con comutantly makes for decreased plasticity or increased rigidity When chronological age is held constant however differentiation of cognitive traits (Segel 1948) is more marked among bright children (higher mental age and higher 1Q)

There are also good reasons-from analysis of intelligence test scores alone-for believing that normal (average) cognitive functioning at a given maturity level is qualitatively different from the performance of accelerated younger or retarded older individuals of the same mental age. First sub scale analysis of the Stanford Binet test shows signif cant differences between old dull and young bright individuals of comparable mental age in the types of items handled successfully (H E Jones 1931 Laycock and Clark 1942 M A Merrill 1924) Success and failures on component sub tests over a wider range of difficulty) on this test than do average children (M A Merrill 1921) Third bright and dull children of equivalent mentil age excel in different kinds of cognitive abilities. The bright are generally superior in tests demanding comprehension, imagination use of language, reasoning abstraction, and generalization (Aldrich, 1951, K. S. Cunningham, 1927, Gallagher and Lucito, 1961, Purvis, 1938, Ramaseslian, 1950), the dull are superior in spatial ability (Ramaseslian, 1950), word fluency (Ramaseslian, 1950), and manipulation of concrete materials (Aldrich, 1931) Fourth, normal children do better than mentally retarded children of the same mental age in such school skills as artilimetic reasoning (Dunn, 1954), spelling (Dunn, 1954), reading comprehension (Bliesmer, 1954, Dunn, 1954), memory for factual details (Bliesmer, 1954), and understanding of ideational relationships (Bliesmer, 1954) No significant differences were found in the same mental age show characteristic differences in approach to problem solving

In view of the fact that they continue to grow in intelligence just as long as their brighter peers, dull students need not drop out of high school at the tenth grade, as they frequently do at present, but could profit from schooling until at least the age of 18 To maximize the benefit that such students can derive from continued instruction, the more difficult sub jects could be placed at the end of the high school curriculum, and abstract materials could be concretized and made more meaningful in terms of life situations (Segel, 1948) And in order to recognize their peculiar capacities and help them achieve success rather than failure, the school needs to provide for them a wide variety of learning activities (Segel, 1948).

Developmental Changes in Organization

Since there is much disagreement regarding the way in which intelligence is organized, it is obviously impossible to make any definitive state ment about developmental changes m ist organization. The weight of the evidence, however, points to (a) an initial stage (infancy and the early preschool period) in which the abilities measured by intelligence tests are predominantly perceptual and sensors motor in nature and are largely unrelated both to each other and to later manifestations of abstract intellligence (b) an intermediate stage (from approximately the late preschool period to preadolescence) in which abstract intelligence is highly general in nature, cognitive abilities are highly intercorrelated and (c) a later stage (preadolescence and beyond) marked by increasing differentiation of intellectual abilities

At the age of 5 abstract abilities are much in evidence and are so highly intercorrelated that it is relatively difficult to isolate independent factors In contrast to the eight primary abilities that he was able to identify in a population of adolescents and young adults L L Thurstone was able to isolate only five comparable abilities among 5 anil 6 year-olds (Thurstone, 1938, Thurstone and Thurstone, 1946) As children grow older, particularly during the preadolescent period and beyond there is evidence from factor analysis of increasing differentiation of intellectual ability (Garrett, 1946 Garrett, Bryan and Perl, 1935, Green and Berkowitz, 1964, Guilfordi, 1866, Heinonen, 1965, Ljung, 1965, W J Meyer, 1960) Increased integration also occurs within the various component subabilities (Ljung, 1965)

By the time an individual reaches adolescence, differential factors of interest, relative ability, specialization of training motivation, success and failure experience, and cultural expectation operate selectisely to develop certain original abilities and to leave others relatively indeveloped. Child drem with highly differentiated mothers (Dyk and Witkin, 1965) tend to undergo most differentiation. Original apounde and experience seem to reinforce each other in circular fashion since children who are gifted in a particular area benefit differentially from instruction in that area (Lesser, 1952). However, inasmuch as considerable interrelatedness among different cognitive functions still remains (Schulman and Havighursi, 1947) evidence of increasing differentiation at the older age levels does not render the concept of general intelligence completely initenable. Furthermore, rela tively high correlations between intelligence test scores obtained in that there is much overlapping between the factors determining early level of general cognitive ability and later level of differentiated optimities ability and and and and the selection of different intervel of different of conceasing and later level of differentiative ability and later level of differentiative ability and later level of differentiate do different intervel ability and later level of differentiated cognitive ability and later level of differentiated cognitive ability and later level of differentiated cognitive ability and level set of differentiated cognitive ability and later level of differentiated cognitive ability and and set of the set of differentiated cognitive ability and and set of the old differentiated cognitive ability and and set of the old differentiated cognitive ability and and set of the old differentiated cognitive ability and and set of the old differentiated cognitive ability and and set of the set of differentiated cognitive ability and and anot set of the set of differentiated cognitive ability an

For practical purposes an intelligence test store has less utility after preadolescence than during the early elementary school years. The older childs relative standing in one ability links relatively little predictive value for his relative standing in another ability, and composite scores on intelligence tests are not very inselial for predicting performance in a particular school subject. Much more meaningful than a total score is a profile showing the relative standing of an individual on a wide variety of basic intellectual abilities. Thurstone stess of primary mental abilities, for example provides such a profile By expressing intelligence in terms of the smallest number of relatively pure and independent factors, it gives a much more definitive, convenient and quantifiable qualitative analysis of cognitive ability than could be obtained from examination of the protocol of the more traditional Binet type scale composed of batteries of heterogeneous sub-tests.

In conclusion therefore it can be stated that when differential aptitude baiteries purporting to measure only the relatively few and well-established

 ^o Using other kinds of tests P E Vernon (1950) J Cohen (1959) and P E Hagen (1952) failed to obtain consistent evidence of increasing differentiation

primary mental abilities are used, they probably have more predictive value for the *particular* kinds of subject matter achievement for which they are relevant than do composite scores on tests of general intelligence or of general scholastic aptitude However, the latter tests, as Q McNemar (1964) points out, are not completely without psychological significance or predictive value In fact, they are more useful for predicting complex criteria of academic achievement, involving the interaction among several abilities, than are even the well-established differential patitude batteries, and are incomparably more useful than are differential batteries consisting of unvalidated factors or of factors manifesting little generality of function

The increased differentiation of intellectual ability during adolescence is a general phenomenon but also varies in relation to many differential factors D Segel's evidence (1948) shows that differentiation among intellec tual traits is greater for bright than for dull adolescents. Intellectual abilities are also differentiated along social-class and sex lines and as a result of prolonged or specialized education Especially interesting are data indicating that superiority in a given function reflecting higher general ability at a younger age level may undergo reversal during adolescence as a result of differentiation. For example girts have higher language and arithmetical ability than boys at the heginning of adolescence, but boys eventually surpass them in artilimetical ability before the close of adolescence (Kuhlen, 1952). Children from upper socio-economic groups are superior to lower class children on tests of both verbal and mechanical ability at age 10, but at age 16 retain their superiority only on the verbal tests (Havighurst and Janke, 1944. Janke and Havighurst, 1945)

This progressive differentiation of mental ability requires a correspondingly increasing differentiation of curricular offerings. As Segel points out, a core curriculum is better sourced to the intellectual organization of junior than of senior high school students. Another consequence of this increasing differentiation that is apparent from studies of drop-outs from school is

that between the ages of 10 and 14 maladjustment through lack of general mental ability is an item of importance among the factors causing youth to leave school However between the ages of 15 and 18 such maladjustment does not result in large numbers of youth leaving school (Segel 1948)

Constancy of Induvidual Rates of Growth

Quite apart from normative fluctuations in the rate of intellectual development it is important to ascertain whether children tend to retain the same relative status in their age group as they grow older. To the extent that this type of constancy prevails the child's developmental quotient (IO). will fluctuate little from one age level to another and his scote at an earlier stage of development will not only be indictive of his relative status at thirt age level, but will also have predictive value for his relative status at later stages of development. The constancy of the IQ may be expressed either in terms of its prohible error or in terms of the roefficient of correlation between the michigence test scores of a group of children that are determined on two separate on sums (the coefficient of stability)

Generally speaking once the IQ approaches stability it tends to remain relatively constant, and existing degrees of inconstance tend to be normally distributed At the age of 9 for example the probable error of an 1Q (Tennan and Merrill 1937) is about 5 points4 (sarving with brightness level) and the coefficient of stability (with an interval of 3 years between tests) is approximately 85 (Hontrik Macfarlane and Allen 1918) The predictive value of the IQ is greatly influenced both by the age ni the child at the time of minal testing and hy the length of the interval between test and retest. The older the child when first tested and the shorter the interval between texts the greater will be the predictive accuracy of the unital test (J E. Anderson 1933 L D Anderson 1939 Bayley 1910 Bradway and Thompson 1962 Honzik Macfatlane and Allen 1918 W J Meyer 1960) Intelligence test scores gradually become more stable with advancing age and first acquire sufficient stability to be practically tischil for predictive purposes when the child reaches school are (Bayley 1919) Stability in component mental abilities however is not impressive until the lourth gride and first becomes high enough hir boys during the eighth grade to lorecast adult aptitudes (Bennett and Dotpick 1951 W | Me)er 1960) Among girls the findings are more enumocal (Meyer and Bendig 1961) In this section we shall consider age level changes in the stability of the IQ is well as various measurement genic init environmental factors that account for both consistency and fluctuations in industrial rates of growth

Preschool intelligence tesis mensure a larger portion of abstract intellectual ability than do infant scales and hence have greater predictive value. Alter the age of 2 scores on preschool tesis show a modernet (16 to 66) and progressively increasing correlation with scores determined at the age of 7 (J E Anderson 1939) Honzk Vacfarline and Alten 1918) but it is not until the age of school enizance thris scores on intelligence tesis are resonably well correlated with terminal intellectual status (Bisples 1919) Honzk Vacfarlane and Mlen 1918). It preschool tesis are administered accurately and on more than one occasion school spectrums can be predicted

⁶ This means that one half of the 1Q tested persons do not deviate more than 5 points on immediate retesting Over an interval of 6 to 8 years approximately 10 percent of all IQ scores change at least one standard deviation (16 points)

with a degree of error that rarely exceeds one category on a five point scale During the later elementary school years, IQ remains relatively stable, both on a year to year basis and over a period of three or more years (Bayley, 1949, Honzk, Macfarlane, and Allen, 1948) Although some fluctuations in test scores do occur, most children tend to retain the same relative position in their age group

When the child is at the age of adolescence, test scores of general intelligence acquire a fair amount of stability The correlation between scores on intelligence tests given at the onset of adolescence with those given at the close of adolescence is in the neighborhood of 80 (J E Ander son, 1940) From year to year this correspondence is even greater (E L Thorndike, 1926) Thus, while some fluctuation in test score occurs in individual growth curves, most individuals tend to retain the same relative position in the group throughout the adolescent period (Freeman and Flory, 1937) In extreme instances, of course, there are large fluctuations in test scores, but these fluctuations tend to be associated with such unusual disorganizing factors in life history as, for example, serious illness (Honzig Macfarlane, and Allen, 1948) rather than with intrinsic irregularity of the growth pattern or unreliability of the measuring instrument. For purposes of individual guidance, however, a reliability coefficient of 80 is not too reassuring In dealing with a particular individual at age 18 mill coursy the same relative position in the group with patterns to warrant frequent and periodic testing of intelligence if test scores are to be used at all for guidance purposes.

CAUSES OF CONSTANCY AND FLUCTUATION Much of the constancy of the IQ can undoubtedly be attributed to genic factors. To the extent that the development of intelligence is determined by polygenic influences, some degree of constancy is inherent in the fact that the genotype of an individual remains invariable throughout his lifetime. The environment also accounts for some constancy, since for any particular individual it tends, within limits, to remain relatively stable. The relative contributions of heredity and environment to the constancy of the IQ are, of course, proportionate to their relative weights in determining cognitive developmental itreversibility or the limiting influence of current developmental status on potentialities for future growth. New growth always proceeds from the existing phenotype rather than from potentialities inherent in the genotype II, as a result of a consistently poor environment during the early formative years, existing genic endowment is not actualized the attained level of functional capac ity (although incommensurate with genic potentiality) significantly limits the extent to which later environmental improvement can increase the rate of cognitive growth. An individual's prior success in developing his intellectual potentialities in other words tends to keep his future rate of growth relatively constant despite fluctuations in relevant environmental variables. Finally constant ys in part a reflection of the overlap that prevails in the intellectual abilities measured by intelligence tests at different age levels (1) E. Anderson 1939).

O L'Anderson in IQ are caused by measurement genic and environ mental factors included under the first heading are (a) errors of measure ment inherent in the selection and placement of test items and in the use of items that are not equally representative of generally available experience --thereby leading to variable amounts of test disadvantage at different points in the life cycle and for different groups of children (b) errors of test administration and scoring especially during infancy and early child hood when difficulties of communication are maximal (c) situational arability in such factors affecting test performance as personality of the test administrator rapport (Pasamanick and knohloch 1920) fatigue physical well being general attuide motivation (Haggard 1924) attenuon span frustration tolerance self-confidence level of aspiration emotional stability level of anxiety reaction to failure venturesomeness and nega uvisin (Rust 1931) (d) variation in the standardization sample over the age range (e) variation among age groups in itest ceiling and in degree of variability of test scores and (f) variable exposure to practice and coaching on intelligence tests (N iseman 1954) and to test experience generally The most important measurement factor making for invisibility of the

The most important measurement factor making for instability of the IQ are age lessel changes in the composition of intelligence tests and in the degree of overlap of test content between adjacent age groups Q E. Ander son 1939 Bayley 19-39. Because infant intelligence scales measure a largely unrelated type of sensormotor ability instead of the cognitive ability tested at later age levels a child with light gene endowment for abstract intelligence tends to score much closer to the mean on earlier than on later tests Hence he makes a spuriously low score on the initial test and registers a spurious gain on the second test the reverse holds true for the child deficient in abstract intelligence (J E. Underson 1939). Dissimilarity in test content on the other land is necessary and desirable in instances where genuine developmental change occurs in the organization of intelligence For example intelligence tests should be more highly differentiated at age 15 than at age 5.

Just because the genotype remains constant we cannot assume that its effects on development necessarily lead to individual constancy in relative rate of growth. Since genic factors also determine normatice fluctuations in rate of cognitive development over the life span it ley may also conceivably give rise to initia ndividual tariability in rate of growth. Longitudinal analyses of individual growth curves of intelligence by N Bayley (1940) and E L Cornell and C V Armstrong (1955) are consistent with this interpretation. The latter investigators were able to classify most growth curves under three main patterns—a continuous growth curve from age 5 to 18 a step-like curve consisting of alternate spurts and pauses, and a discontinuous curve breaking at puberty and showing either a steeper or more gradual slope thereafter

Environmental factors contribute in two ways to fluctuations in the IQ First, physical and emotional vicissitudes of a transitory nature (illness, emotional trauma, separation from parents, rejection by peers) may im pair a child's intelligence test *performance* without basically affecting his cognitive *capacity* Second, radical and sustained changes in cognitive stimulation or motivation may modify actual capacity for intellectual functioning However, as will be pointed out below, significant alterations in IQ of such origin can be anticipated only in young children who are removed from a markedly impoverished to a normally adequate or enriched environ ment

ment Personality traits associated with parent attitudes influence the con stancy of the IQ "Democratic" homes, encouraging the development of children's independence, tend to be associated with a rising IQ (Baldwin, Kalhorn and Breese 1945, E I Grant, 1939) Gauns in IQ are correlated with independence (Sontag Baker, and Nelsen, 1955) and high achievement motivation (Kagan, Sontag, and Baker, 1958), whereas losses in IQ, espe cially in girls, are correlated with dependence (Sontag Baker, and Nelsen, 1955). The greatest changes in IQ tend to occur in intellectually gifted children (Lindholm, 1964)

Sex Differences

Sex differences in general intelligence tend to be negligible in magnitude and inconsistent in direction (Terman and Tyler, 1954). The most widely used individual tests of general intelligence—the Revised Stanford Binet Scale and the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children—after all, have been so constructed as to eliminate sex differences. Most of the obtained differentially weighted with respect to the various component aspects of intelligence in which boys and girls differ in opposite directions—vocabu lary, verbal fluency, rote memory, spatial and numerical abilities (Terman and Tyler, 1954).

Evidence regarding differences in variability also tends to be inconsistent and equivocal. These differences, when found, are most marked at the extremes of the distribution, but the operation of variables other than genic patterning role in the development of intelligence. Even if it could be held constant over individuals it would still play this acture regulatory role rather than mercly constituting a passive field for the unfolding of a trait completely determined by genic factors its effects under such conditions would simply operate in a uniform way for all individuals. However since it varies in important ways that affect the development of intelligence it also contributes to inter and intra-cultural variability both in the pattern ing of intelligence and in the realization of genic potentialities for developing intelligence it determines the extent to which existing genic endowment can be converted into overt functional capacity and helps determine which particular components will be selectively emphasized as the latter capacity undergoes differentiation with advancing age

Culture social class and family have many ways of influencing attained level of cognitive development By providing more or less opportunity for training and experience by offering more or less encouragement and stimu lation and by selectively valuing and rewarding intellectual attainment the operation of these factors leads to substantial differences in illumate outcome among individuals with comparable genie potentiality Personality variables of temperamental and environmental origin play a similar role Especially important in this connection are (a) such determinants of tash oriented motivation as intellectual curiosity activity level and venture someness (b) intensity and area of ego-involvement (c) such correlates of ego-enhancement motivation as need for achievement competitiveness re sponsiveness to prestige incentives level of ego aspiration goal tenncity frustration tolerance and anxiety level and (d) need for volitional and executive independence ? Intellectually sifted chill ben tend to excel in most of these traits (Lightfoot 1951 Terman and Oden 1919) Although some of the positive relationship between motivational and intellectual superorny can be attributed to their common association with high socioeconomic status or to the better ability of more intelligent children to perceive the characterological ingredients of success it is entirely concervable that level of motivation directly influences extent of actualization of genic potential uses for developing intelligence Independent and competitive duildren for example tend to show large increases in IQ in the period from 6 to 10 years of age (Sontag and Lagan 1963)

When overprotecting, parents try to keep their children emotionally dependem or when the latter attempt to retain an infanitie dependent status, failure to develop in tellectual competence admiral by series boli, jurgoses (stover 1953) See also I. W. Soniag C. T. Laker and V. Neisen (1955). Children from Lomes characterized by warmink foredom of exploration and acceleratory pressure make the largest gains in IQ (fall but wall ofm and Breese 1955).

The Problem of Modifiability

Once we grunt that the IQ represents a multiply determined functional capacity in the development of which experiential and motivational factors play an important regulatory role it is superfluons to inquire whether it can be modified by significant changes in such factors. The more relevant questions at this point are the extent of modification that is possible and the conditions under which it occurs. The most important limiting factors are (a) irreversible loss in attainable capacity following prolonged failure to actualize genic potentiality (b) diminished plasticity in older children and (c) the crucial role of genic influences in setting absolute as well as relative restrictions on the amount of change that can occur. From these considerations it is apparent that significant environmental modification can be anticipated only in early childhood and after correction of serious deprivation. It is hardly likely that discriminable changes in IQ will be found following improvement in an environment that is already reasonably

Before changes in IQ can be validly interpreted as evidence of environ mental modification of cognitive capacity it should be obvious that such changes must be reliably greater than fluctuations attributable to measure ment factors alone Failure to take this consideration into account has led to many unwarranted and exaggerated claims regarding the modifiability of the IQ. Hence before we review studies of the effects of such factors as foster home placement continued institutionalization or nursery school at tendance on level of intellectual functioning we would do well to consider various nonenvironmental sources of change

First because of very large errors of measurement in infancy and early childhood infant and preschool scales are not even very rehable measures of current intellectual status Many of these errors of measurement lead to underestimation of a given child's actual intelligence in other instances intelligence is overestimated. In either case there is a tendency toward regression to the mean upon subsequent testing (statistical regression) Relatively large changes in measured IQ reflective of test unreliability therefore occur irrespective of any concomitant alteration in environment Instability of such origin should certainly not be confused with evidence of genuine plasticity (J F Anderson 1939)

Second because of their emphasis on neuromuscular and sensorimotor functions infant scales do not really measure abstract verbal ability and thus have very little predictive value for later intellectual status Scores on infant scales therefore constitute neither an adequate baseline from which to measure subsequent gains or losses in relative intellectual standing nor an adequate criterion in terms of which infant or preschool subjects may be matched for relative intellectual ability (J E Anderson 1939) Simply on the basis of actual genotypic capacity for abstract cognitive functioning that is not measured by the initial test large spurious increments and decre ments in intelligence are registered in later years For example quite apart from any environmental influence progressive decline in IQ may be antic ipated from poorly-endowed orphanage children simply because of their spuriously high scores on infant scales and contrariwise progressive in creases in 10 may be anticipated from well-endowed orphanage children simply because their genic potentialities for developing abstract intelligence are underestimated by the infant scales Selective factors that operate in the adoption of orphanage children (greater likelihood of placing brighter better endowed children) may thus account in part for the retention or even improvement of the initial IQ status of adopted children. In evaluating the gains associated with a good foster home or nursery school environ ment it is also important to realize that test disadvantage (relative unfa miliarity with specific test material or indifferent test motivation) is more likely to occur in an impoverished than in a reasonably adequate environ ment

In appraising studies of attempted modification of the IQ attention should also be paid to the principle to failal regression³ and to the possibility of genically-oriented intransfurdual variation in rate of growth Thus quite independently of any errors of measurement or of any change in the environment the children of intellectually dull individuals tend to score higher than their patents on intelligence tests and many children also show considerable spontaneous fluctuation in relative status during their growth careers

Deprivation and Enrichment

Because of the great practical importance of the possibility of modify ing intellectual capacity a voluminous and highly controversial literature dealing with the effects of environmental deprivation and enrichment has arisen during the past three decades. Interpretation of this literature is extremely difficult since very few studies have been sufficiently well controlled to exclude many nonenvironmental sources of measured change in IQ. In general, the weight of the evidence suggests two tentative conclusions First senious and prolonged deprivation especially during late inflarcy and the preschool years scens capable of inflicting permanent damage on intellectual growth Second enrichment of the existing environment can effect substantial improvement of intellectual status only in young children with a prior history of serious deprivation.

⁸ The tendency for children of parents manifesting deviant traits to score closer to the mean than their parents with respect to these traits

EFFECTS OF DEPRIVATION We have already considered evidence of the immediate and long term detrimental effects of early cognitive deprivation on sensori motor, language, and intellectual development Sucli studies are obviously vulnerable to criticism on the grounds of the unreliability of the infant scales employed and on the basis of inadequate matching of control and experimental groups (Pinneau, 1955) Unqualified dismissal of these findings, on the other hand, is inwarranted when they are considered in the larger context of related evidence. In the first place, the very grossness of the findings, and their consistent replication by many independent in vestigators in different parts of the world compensate, in part, for their methodological weaknesses. Second, they are consistent with observational and clinical data on the children concerned, with studies of animal depriva tion, and with studies of older children growing up in orphanages and in depressed rural areas: EFFECTS OF DEPRIVATION We have already considered evidence of the depressed rural areas

depressed rural areas It seems highly probable as stated previously, that the longer children remain in substandard environmental conditions, for example, orphanages (Skeels and Fillmore 1937, Skeels, and others 1938) or with mentally re tarded mothers (Speer, 1940), the progressively lower their IQs become in comparison with the IQs of comparable children reared in more favorable environments Providing greater credibility for these findings are reports of progressive decline in the intelligence test scores of isolated mountain or progressive decline in the interligence test scores of isolated mountain and canalboat children who also grow up in intellectually nonstimulating and unchallenging environments (Asher, 1935, H Gordon, 1925, Sherman and Key, 1932, Wheeler, 1942) The facilitating effect of migration to and prolonged residence in the North on the IQs of Southern Negro children he oniget result in the form on the ky of solution Negro inhibited has already been considered In general, prolonged exposure to extremely deprived environments depresses the IQ about 20 points—nore during the preschool years than in older children (Bloom 1964a) However, some of the loss registred by children who remain in the less favorable environ the loss registered by enhanced who remain in the less favorable environ-ments is attributable (a) to relatively poor gence endowment (as a result of *selective* adoption or migration) which for psychometric reasons, can first be manifested in *later* scores on tests of intelligence, and (b) to pro first be manifested in later scores on tests of intelligence, and (b) to pro pressively greater test disadvantage as intelligence tests place increasing emphasis on verbal abilities Further, despite the so-called leveling effect of the institutional environment variability in intelligence scores does not decline with advancing age (J E Anderson 1939), thereby demonstrating the prepotent influence of original differences in genic endowment When orphanage children from relatively poor hereditary and social backgrounds are placed at an early age in superior foster homes, there is evidence of either improvement in IQ (Freeman Holzinger, and Mitchell, 1928) or of maintenance of an above average rate of intellectual growth that is sustained over many years (Skodak 1939 Skodak and Skodak is) Africa

at successive age levels increases By school age parent child correlations are in the neighborhood of 50 (Burks 1928 Conrad and Jones 1910 Leahy 1935) However since the existing degree of relationship could reflect the influence of either heredity or environment these data shed hitle light on the nature nutture problem Nevertheless two clues point to the greater weight of heredity If environment were a highly significant factor we would expect that (a) since mothers bear the major burden of child rearing in our society the IQ of children would be more highly correlated with mothers than with fathers IQ and (b) since siblings share a more uniform developmental environment with each other than with ther parents inter subling resemblance would be greater than pirent child resemblance. Since available data (Conrad and Jones 1940) confirm neither hypothesis the environmentalist position is accordingly weakened.

More crucial evidence on the nature nurture problem is provided by comparison of foster parent foster cluld anil true parent true child resem blances in IQ Foster children share only their foster parents environment whereas true children share both heredity and environment with their par ents. In the foster home stuation where the genic basis of resemblance is removed parent child correlations (Barks 1928 Leahy 1935) are consider ably lower¹⁰ (approximately 20) than in the natural home situation (approximately 50) Similarly intra pair differences between children whose own fathers are at opposite extremes of the occupational hierarchy are markedly higher than intra pair differences between children whose foster fathers are in comparable positions (Burks 1938). It seems therefore that the greater pair of the variance in children is 192 is a attributable to genic rather than to environmenial factors. This conclusion is consistent with findings (Skolak 1939. Skolak and Skeels 1949) that whereas the IQ of foster children is only negligibly related to their foster parents educational status it is moderately correlated at school age with true mothers educational status (35) and IQ (40). The latter correlation is almost as high as that between children and true parents who are domicide together

Sibling and Twin Resemblance

We have already noted that the absence of significant differences be tween the parent child and inter subling correlations in IQ lends support to the hereditarian position Other related findings point in the same direction (a) the resemblance between true sublings reared in the same home 15

¹⁰ Some of the resemblance between children and foster parents may also reflect the influence of selective adoption — the tendency to match foster and true parents in terms of 1Q and occupational background (Conrad and Jones 1910 Leash 1935)

substantially greater than the resemblance between foster sublings (Freeman, et al, 1928), (b) similarity with respect to age and sex does not increase inter subling resemblance in IQ as one might expect if environment'il factors exercised considerable weight (H E Jones, 1954), (c) resemblances between foster sublings are no greater than foster parent foster child resemblances despite greater similarity in environment (Burks, 1928, Freeman, Holzinger, and Mitchell, 1928, Leahy, 1935), (d) separation of sublings does not lower inter subling correlations (H E Jones, 1954), and (c) when interfamilial environmental variability is eliminated, as in the orphanage situation, neither the resemblance between subling pairs nor the degree of variability in IQ scores is correspondingly reduced (H E Jones, 1954) Comparative studies of identical and fraternal twins shed more light

Comparative studies of identical and fraternal twins shed more light on the nature nurture problem inasmuch as identical twins have approximately identical genotypes, whereas fraternal twins are genically no more similar than ordinary siblings. Here, too the findings give little comfort to environmentalists identical twins are markedly more similar in IQ than fraternal twins (correlitions of 80 to 90 as against 50 to 60), and even when identical twins are separated differences in IQ are generally smaller than among fraternal twins reared together (Burt, 1958, Newman, Freeman, and Holzinger, 1937, Woodworth, 1941) Sizeable differences in the IQ s of separated identical twins are only found when their educational backgrounds are highly dissimilar. On the basis of these small differences in IQ wen heredity is held constant, while the usual degree of environmental vari ability prevails R S Woodworth concludes that the differences found among the children of an ordinary community are not accounted for, except in small measure, by differences in home and schooling (Woodworth, 1941)

Social Class Differences

Prior to 18 months of age, zero or low negative correlations are found between scores on infant intelligence scales and various socioeconomic fac tors (Bayley and Jones, 1937). Thereafter the magnitude of correlational indices increases rapidly, and at school age varies between 8 and 5 for different educational, occupational, and economic criteria of social class status (Bayley and Jones 1937). The early absence of relationship simply indicates that intelligence tests cannot possibly measure the same cogmitive abilities during infancy as in later years. The increasing correspondence between 1Q and socioeconomic variables as degree of test overlap increases, may reflect either the cumulative impact of environmental influences or an increasing manifestation of hereditary potentialities. (Bayley and Jones 1937)

Beginning with the preschool period, a range of about 20 points sep-

Intellectual Ability

arates children of the highest and lowest socioeconomic groups (Deutsch and Brown 1964 Terman and Mernil 1937) The relationship between children's relative intellectual status and father's position in the occupational hierarchy is practically linear (Deutsch and Brown 1964 Terman and Mernil 1937) and in correlational terms varies between 20 and 45 for different tests of intelligence (Eells and Davis 1951) Upper socioeconomic groups also contribute a disproportionately large number of intel lectually gifted and a disproportionately small number of mentally retarded children to the total population (McGehee and Lewis 1942). These relationships refer of course to group averages since differences between the means of various groups Although social class differences between the in the area of verbal abilities (Eells and Davis 1951) significant differences have also been found for all of L. Thurstone's primary mental abilities (Hawghurst and Breese 1947) as well as for other nonverbal tests

The interpretation of these social class differences in intelligence has led to much heated controversy between hereditarians and environmental sits Actually three different kinds of explanations based respectively on measurement environmental and genic factors seem equally plausible but the evidence currently vialable is not sufficiently definitive to establish their relutive weight. The measurement argument stems from a certain amount of muddle-class bias in the construction of most intelligence tests. This creates test disadvantage for the lower class child and results in an underestimate of lus true level of cognitive functioning. In order to derive a valid and fair estimate of intellectual capacity from test performance it is necessary that (a) specific test items be based on experiences and symbols that are equally available and familiar to individuals from all social class strati and (b) test materials trouse comparable degrees of interest and mutvation in persons of different social-class origin (A Davis 1948 Eells and Davis 1951)

Nost present-day tests are hervily weighted with specific items that are more familiar and appealing to middle than lower daw children and with the kinds of cognitic functions (icosabilar) linguistic skill) that are particularly emphasized in middle days environments in The tests are thus unfair in the same that their specific item content does not give the lower-daw child a fur opportunity to demonstrate his attained level of cognitive capacity. But where intelligence tests do not purport to measure either genic potentialities in themsives or noncognitive abilities they are unfair neither because they fail to measure level of functioning in those noncognitive abilities in which lower-claw children excel not because the

¹¹ As noted above however large socioeconomic differences also prevail for other nonverbal lesis

middle class environment is experientially or motivationally more propitious for the development of native cognitive endowment. The very fact that these tests favor middle class children demonstrates that the environment can operate selectively to develop certain aspects of intellectual endowment. This conclusion is compatible with the findings that intelligence becomes more and more differentiated with increasing age (Garrett, Bryan, and Perl, 1935, Segel, 1948) and that sex differences in many specific intellectual functions increase or reverse themselves as children grow older (Kuhlen, 1952)

Acceptance of the test bias explanation of social class differences by no means rules out the genic or environmental interpretations¹² Insofar as environmental factors contribute to some of the variance in intelligence test scores, it would not be unreasonable to expect that differential social class levels of stimulation and motivation affect extent of actualization of genic endowment. Evidence for this type of mediation of environmental influence comes from the finding that clubtlen's 1Qs are more highly correlated with parents education than with the economic status of their homes (Loevinger, 1940) Social class environment also selectively influences the differentiation of intellectual and other abilities as shown by the fact that middle class children are superior to their lower class contemporaries in both verbal and mechanical abilities at age 10, but are superior only in the former ability at age 16. The environmentalist position is weakened, however, by the existence of large social class differences in the preschool penod (Terman and Merrill, 1937), by the failure of social class differenties to increase with advancing age (Shutleworth, 1990), and by the significantly greater correlation of foster children's 1Q's with *true* mothers' than with *foster* parents educational status (Skodak, 1939, Skodak and Skeels, 1949) The hereditarian position rests on the assumption that (a) since there is indisputable evidence of substantial genic contribution to individual

The hereditarian position rests on the assumption that (a) since there is indisputable evidence of substantial genic contribution to individual differences in IQ and (b) since more intelligent persons on the average, choose and are selectively successful in the intellectually more demanding occupations it is reasonable to ascribe at least part of the consistently ob taimed social class differences in IQ to genic variability in cognitive potential. The tendency for more highly endowed individuals to reach the higher rungs of the occupational ladder is especially evident in a society character ized by a far degree of social mobility, and since such persons also tend to marry at their own intellectual level (H E Jones 1954), their offspring

¹⁹ The finding that approximately the same social class differentials appear on the Davis-Eells culture fair test as on the kuhlmann Finch test (Coleman and Ward 1955) casts doubt on the clasm that the Davis-Eells test is culturally more fair but does not necessarily invalidate the test bias hypothesis of social class differences

Intellectual Ability

acquire a genic advantage from both parents Although logically tenable it is understandably difficult to put this hypothesis to empirical test It is supported in part by the applicability of the principle of final regression to social class differences that is children of prolessional parents tend to have a lower IQ than their parents whereas the reverse holds true for child dren of unskilled laborers (Outhat 1933)

Urban Rural Differences

The mean IQ of rural children is consistently lower than that of urban children and also tends to diminish with increasing age (Asher 1935 Chapanis and Williams 1945 Wheeler 1942) As in the case of lower-class children this inferiority is most marked on verbal and speed items and is undoubtedly attributable in part to test bias (H E Jones 1954) Intel ligence scales are typically detised by urban reared psychologists and are validated on urban school children. However since rural children also do more poorly on items presenting no special experiential or motivational disadvantage Equally plausible are explanations based euther on the eutimlative umpact of a low level of intellectual stimulation or on the selective migration of more highly-endowed individuals to urban areas

Intelligence and Family Size

In most investigations of the relationship between 1Q and number of siblings in the family a negative correlation of 2 to 3 is reported (Ansitan 1936) Since there is no evidence whatsover of any intrinsic relationship between 1Q and procreative ability only two other explanations seem plau suble First the presence of a large number of cluldren in the family may reduce the amount of cognitive simulation available for each cluld. The per capita expenditure on education recreation housing medical care and so forth is ordinarily lower when there are many sublings in the family and even more important in terms of language development, the extent of parent-child contact is restincted (Nuber 1925). Second 1Q and size of family are indirectly related by situe of a common relationship to social cluss status—persons in the upper economic strata tend to have both a higher 1Q and to raise relaxely small families

To the extent that the intellectual superiority of their children is a function of either measurement or environmental factors the inverse relauonship between parents fertility and social-lass status obviously has no implications for eugenics. However insofar as persons in the upper occupational strata may be presumed to posses a superior genic endowment with respect to cognitive capacity their relatively low fertility rate may be expected over the course of many generations (in the absence of compensatory genic factors) to contribute to a national decline in the genotypic basis of intelligence

In spite of the ominous prediction predicated on this line of reasoning there is some evidence of a slight but significant gain in the mean IQ of Scottish children from 1932 to 1947 (Scottish Council for Research in Edu cation 1953) Furthermore despite the tremendous increase in high school enrollment from 1916 to 1940 with a corresponding elimination of the intellectual selectivity that formerly operated there has been no drop in the mean IQ of the American high school population (Finch 1946). The maintenance of phenotypic levels of intelligence under these circumstances can be explained perhaps by (a) compensitory changes in such environ mental determinants of intelligence as the general standard of public edu cation (b) greater test sophistication on the part of children and (c) a trend in recent years toward a higher birth rate among upper socioeconomic groups (Anastas 1956)

Intelligence as a Predictor of Academic Achievement

Academic achievement or success in various subject matter fields gen erally correlates about 0.5 with intelligence or academic aptitude test scores. Some components of academic aptitude tests such as vocabulary (Locke 1963) reasoning and information (J. W. French. 1964) have more predictive value than others for scholastic achievement. Intelligence test scores are also negatively correlated with drop-out rate from high school (Dillon 1949). Specific aptitude tests such as quantitative ability or nu merical reasoning naturally correlate much more highly than 1Q with such related subject matter fields as mathematus. In any case the predictive value of academic aptitude scores varies greatly with such factors as sex (Locke 1965). McGuire 1961) and type of community (VIcGuire 1961).

1963 McGuire 1961) and type of community (McGuire 1961) Low intelligence can apparently be compensated for in part at least by grading learning tasks to pupils current achievement levels. When this is done for arithmetic materials no significant differences are found among children of low average and high IQ in learning retention and transfer (Klausmeter and Check 1962 Klausmeter and Feldlussen 1959) Longer exposure times can similarly compensate for the effects of low intelligence on level of perceptual organization (Allen Tyrrel Schulz and koons 1958) Considerable evidence generally supports the proposition that in structional aides organizing devices and superior textual materials differ entially benefit the duller and mutally less knowledgeable as opposed to the brighter and mutally more knowledgeable student Correlations be tween scholastic aptitude and subject matter adjustment tests tend to de dine consistently from the beginning to the end of the course in question However, this same trend toward progressively decreasing discrepancies be tween the achievement levels of the bright and duil does not necessarily prevail when pupils are permitted to learn at their own rate of speed

Intelligence level also influences qualitative aspects of achievement. It affects the rate of acquiring learning sets (N R Ellis, 1958, House and Zea man, 1959, Kaufman and Peterson, 1958, Stevenson and Swartz, 1958), per formance on structured categorization tasks (W E Stephens, 1961), and the strategy of problem solving (Battig, 1957, klausmeier and Loughlin, 1961) High IQ subjects are more likely to correct mistakes independently, to verify solutions, to use a logical approach, to employ a more efficient method, and to be persistent

It has been argued, with some validity, that since achievement test take into account both motivation in past learning tasks, as well as scholastic aptitude, they are more highly predictive to future achievement than are intelligence tests. Thus grades in algebra 1 correlate more highly with suc cess in algebra 11 than does either general scholastic aptitude of freshman grade point average (Sommerfeld and Tracy, 1961), and high school grade point average predicts academic achievement at the university level better than do scholastic aptitude scores (Endler and Steinberg, 1963) Some studies (Getzels and Jackson, 1962, Torrance 1963) suggest that so-called tests of creativity correlate just as highly with academic achievement as do intel ligence tests. A methodologically more definitive study by Fleicher (1963), however, failed to confirm this finding and did not demonstrate the existence of any significant generality of function among various tests of creativity or any significant generality of function among various tests of creativity of any significant generality of function among various tests of creativity of any significant generality of function among various tests of creativity

Why is the relationship between scholastic aptitude and academic achievement only moderate in degree? For one thing measures of neither variable are completely reliable or valid More important, liowever, is the operation of other relevant factors, such as motivation, interests, personality traits, adjustment, and family, per group social class, and cultural influ ences that affect the degree to which existing scholastic aptitude is actual ized in the form of academic achievement Comparison of educationally successful and unsuccessful gifted children reveals that the successful have better study habits exhibit more self-control and 'compensatory' as con trasted to protective ego mechanisms, have more realistic levels of aspira tion, and excel in such personality traits as dependability, self reliance, ambition, investigativeness, and persistence (W D Lewis, 1941, Locke, 1963, Regensburg 1931, P S Sears, 1910, Terman and Oden, 1919) Differences between students who complete one curnendum in college and those who complete another are also greater in interests than in abilities ([W French, 1961, R G King, 1958) Parental attitudes, aspirations, and financial re sources as well as students' degree of insight into their own abilities, are highly related to whether or not high school graduates will attend and re main in college (Kahl, 1953, Parsons, 1959) Scholastic aptitude scores and rank in high school graduating class have more predictive value for boys than for girls with respect to entrance into and graduation from college (Kahl, 1953), thus confirming the greater value which our culture places on male vocational achievement

As a group, intellectually superior individuals tend to gravitate toward professional occupations, to be more successful vocationally, and to experence less unemployment (Terman and Oden, 1949) Within a group of gifted children (1Q s over 140) however, the adults successful twenty five years later were, as children, more integrated in goal structure, more self confident, and more persevering than the unsuccessful adults even though the two groups were quite evenly matched in intelligence. We can conclude, there fore, that better than average intelligence is undoubtedly a vocational asset, but given this degree of intellectual ability, unusual success in a vocation is more a function of special talent or creativity and of various personality traits, than of extremely high general intelligence. There is no evidence that would indicate that creativity and general intelligence are positively related beyond this critical minimal point

Under- and Overachievement

Considering the methodological hazards involved in the identification of under and overachievers (students who achieve less or more than could could be anticipated by their scholastic aptitude scores) and the only mod erate degree of relationship between academic aptitude and school achieve ment, it is somewhat questionable what practical utility these widely used concepts have for educational practicite (R L Thorndike 1961, 1963). In any case underachievers, as contrasted to achievers tend to be characterized by more withdrawal behavior and by less social, work oriented interaction with peers (Perkins 1965) by more negative self concepts (Shaw, Edoon, and Bell, 1960), by ligher mechanical and artistic interests and by lower verbal and mathematical aptitude (Frankel, 1960).

The underaduevement syndrome starts as early as the third grade in the case of boys but not until the nmth grade in the case of girls (Shaw and McCuen 1960) Bright underachnevers in college have lower and less clearly defined 'real life (academic and vocational) goals than bright nor mal achievers (Todd Terrell, and Frank, 1962), but the reverse paradox ically appears to be true when the achievement needs of over and normally achieving college students are assessed by thematically induced achievement imagery (Cole and others 1962). In both studies, these differential findings apply only to males Differentially high thematically induced achievement imagery is elicited for achieving versus underachieving adolescent girls only in achievement-oriented conditions and in relation to female (as opposed to male) figures (Lesser, Krawitz, and Packard, 1963). The authors suggest that achieving girls tend to accept academic achievement as appropriate for females whereas the underachieving girls do not Chapter 7

PROVIDING FOR INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES IN INTELLECTUAL ABILITY

PREVIOUS DISCUSSION of both developmental and particular 12ed (subject matter background) readtness for learning, as well as of general intelligence and particular scholastic aptitudes, has made it abundantly clear that a wide range of individual differences exists at any given age level of pupils These differences are expressed in general (over all) mode of cog nitive functioning, in approach to problem solving, in subject matter sophistication, in general level of intelligence, in specific academic aptitudes, in motivation for learning, in intellectual curiosity, in self-critical ability, in need for precise meanings and integrated knowledge, and in ability to think independently, critically, and creatively. Obviously, therefore, no realistic system of teaching can afford to overlook such differences. Hence, individ ualization of teaching must necessarily constitute one of the primary goals of instruction As far as possible, the individual student, rather than the class as a whole, must become the working unit in the instructional process Each child must be challenged at a level appropriate to his potentialities, and encouraged to learn at a commensurate pace

The need for individualization of instruction is also implicit in the school's responsibility to develop problem-solving ability, to encourage in tellectual curosity and initiative, to promote independent, original, and critical thinking, and to stimulate pupils' desire and ability to learn on their own As pointed out above, these educational objectives are neither incom patible with meaningful reception learning nor inconsistent with the primary responsibility of the school for organizing and directing the curric ulum Neither do they constitute the primary goals of education nor the principal means of transmitting subject maiter Knowledge

In general, two principal approaches have been taken to the problem of individual differences in pupils-ability grouping and individualized instruction These approaches are by no means mutually exclusive Obviously, some form of group instruction is inevitable because completely individualized instruction is not economically feasible. Neither is it neces sary, desirable, nor efficient for many educational purposes. Furthermore, learning in a group context has many *positive* advantages of its own—both from the standpoint of cognitive development and from the standpoint of the child's emotional and social needs Evidently then some form of compromise must be found between grouping and individualization.

Advantages and Disadvantages of Grouping

Ability grouping purportedly takes account of individual differences in two ways First by bringing together children of similar ability, it permits the teacher to gear the level and method of instruction to the particular level of ability prevailing in the group. The teacher no longer has to accom modate to the hypothetical ability level of the average child as the fairest approximation of the group's ability Thus she can avoid a pace and level of instruction that is too difficult for the dull pupil and too easy for the bright pupil Second when pupils of comparable ability interact in the learning process, 'social facilitation of learning presumably occurs by making it possible for bright children to be stimulated by their intellectual peers This latter advantage however has never been unequivocally demonstrated H Gurnee (1962) for example found group learning to be superior to indi vidual learning not because of social facilitation but because it provides an opportunity for less successful group members to imitate their more suc cessful classmates If this is the case, it is evident that the possibilities for such imitation would be even greater in a heterogeneous group H J klausmeter, W Wiersma and C W Harris (1963) also discovered that although pupils working in small groups learn better initially, they do less well than individual pupils on tests of transfer The research explore (Drews 1959 Fleming 1959, Herrick 1960 Spitzer, 1954) generally shows that grouping in small homogeneous units does not in and of itself, lead to superior learning outcomes

On the other hand, there are many disadvantages to grouping. First whichever criterion is chosen as the bruss of grouping—chronological age, menual age social maturity, specific stolastic apturated, or subject matter sophistication in a particular discipline—group heterogeneity, with respect to most other factors is almost mesuably bound to increase. Second grouping on the basis of a composite intelligence test score becomes progressively less efficient for purposes of individualization as children's cognitive and sholastic apturates become increasingly more differentiated or less highly intercorrelated with increasing age Third, heterogeneity itself has positive values It enables the child better to adjust to the wide variety of ability levels he meets outside the school environment. It also provides intellectual stimulation and models for imitation for the dull child, as well as gives the brighter pupil an opportunity to clarify and consolidate his understanding of concepts by explaining them to his less precoccious classmates. Fourth, ability grouping tends to sugnature the dull and to generate arrogance and concert in the bright. This disadvantage, however, has undoubtedly been overemphasized. Children who do not measure up to their self-depreciation with or without ability grouping. It can be argued further that more explicit realistication by a child of lins relative profile of abilities promotes a more realistic self-concept and level of aspiration.

Last, and perhaps most important, is the fact that individualization is accomplished more effectively (while simultaneously preserving the ad vantages of group instruction) by bringing together children of diverse ability levels in one class, and by arranging for each pupil to progress at his own pace by means of varying the amount, nature, and difficulty level of the material he learns. In this way, differences in motivation, interest, and curi osity, as well as ability, are taken into account M. Montessori (Rambusch, 1962) discovered long ago that when preschool children are confronted with their developmental level of readiness, their attention span is greatly increased, and they manifest much more intellectual curiosity and persistence than is

Differential Ability Grouping

If ability grouping is practiced at all, it should undoubtedly be based on the results of differential aptutude tests or on particularized measures of subject matter achievement (for instance, mathematics, science, language arts) In accordance with this point of view, a given pupil is placed in those particular sections of various school subjects that correspond to his relative aptitude or achievement standing in the disciplines in question. Many differ ent kinds of administrative arrangements are compatible with this principle of grouping. One may set up separate sections of a given course or several subgroups within a given section. The Dual Progress Plan (Stoddard, 1961) places each pupil half of each day in his homeroom with his agemates and homeroom teacher for instruction in the cultural imperatives. The rest of the day is devoted to various special subjects in which pupils are taught by specialist teachers in separate classes grouped to assure relative homogeneity of ability.
The Trump Plan (Trump and Baynham, 1961) involves greater flexi bility of administrative arrangements in which the standard high school class of thirty is replaced by some very large demonstration and lecture classes (utilizing, where advisable, either educational television or specialist "master teachers), some small discussion groups, and much self instruction with programmed learning devices. In any case, whatever the administrative pat tern, differential ability grouping implies specialist teachers in the various specially subjects, as well as teaching methods and instructional demands that are appropriate for the existing developmental readiness and subject matter sonhistication of the groups in question

Induviduolization

Complementary to differential ability grouping is the provision of individualized instruction (differential assignments) within each group For the greater part of the school day, each student works independently at his own optimal pace with sequentially organized programmed materials providing for self testing Such self instruction (sufficient for most aspects of subject matter learning than is class instruction (Milton, 1962) As will be pointed out below, programmed instruction does not necessarily involve the short frame and small step-size format characteristic of the typical teach ing machine, but does provide for organizing, unifying, and explanatory ideas, for unusual lucidity of presentation, for arefartion, and correction of newly acquired concepts and principles, for consolidation or necessary overlearning of existing knowledge before newmaterial is presented, and for sequential organization of subject matter

On theoretical grounds it seems rather self-evident that individualized instruction should be incomparably more efficient than instruction in groups for most aspects of subject matter learning. When instruction is geared to the individual pupil's general level of sophistication in a particular dis cipline, to his mastery of relevant antecedent concepts and principles, to his particular preconceptions and misconceptions, to his general and specific intellectual apiiludes, to the level of abstraction at which he operates. Io his disoptimize cognitive style and relevant personality attributes, to saher aspects of his progress in mastering a current learning task (for example, consolidation, precision and clarity of new meaning), and to a pace of presentation that is comfortable for hum, it necessarily follows that learning outcomes should be superior to those that eventuate when instruction is geared to a hypothetical set of characteristics and requirements reflective of the mean pupil in a group Surprisingly enough, however, emparical testing and confirmation of this proposition, apart from one study by Miton (1962), have been almost totally neglected by educations and educational pri chologists In view of the tremendous emphasis that members of these pro fessions have placed on individualized instruction¹ at least in the realm of theory one might have anticipated more concern with providing greater empirical support for its effects?

One of the difficulties here lies in the very narrow conception of what is meant by the individualization of instruction. Until relatively recently the only variables taken into account were scores on a general or differential ests of intellectual ability. Similarly when programmed instruction enthus asis entered the field of individualized instruction in they focused their attention myopically on practice and reinforcement aspects of the current learning task. Ericksen (1967) has stated the case very well in demonstrating that for the most part instructional aids have contributed very little thus far to the goal of individualized instruction.

Another important research consideration in this context is the need for testing the separate effects of particular dimensions of individualization and the interactions among them as opposed to performing global studies in which the separate contributions of different variables are not con ceptualized identified or measured. This of course does not preclude but rather highlights the desirability of multivariate research designs.

The circful sequential arringement and gradation of difficulty char acteristic of programmed instruction munitains subject matter readiness by insuring that each attained increment in learning serves as an appropriate foundation or anchoring post for the learning and retention of subsequent items in the ordered sequence. This is accomplished by optimal self pacing by frequent testing and the provision of feedback and by furnishing ade quarely spaced reviews and opportunity for differential practice of the more difficult components of a task. Properly programmed materials also take into account the principles of progressive differentiation and integrative reconciliation as implemented in the use of approprinte advance organizers

Those rspects of instruction in which knowledge is less well defined and in which the requirition of independent and critical thinking ability is a major goil obviously require more class discussion and direct teacher participation. But teachers have more time to devote to these latter objectives to cultivate a questioning attitude towrid established knowledge and to focus on the discovery spects of acquiring new knowledge if the more

¹ It should be noted here that individualized instruction is not synonymous with self instruction Individualized instruction is sometimes best accomplished in a group setting as for example in the learning of those kinds of subject matter calling for group discussion (see below) It may also be teacher as well as self directed Ungraded classes imply both self instruction and individualized instruction in a group context.

stable and substantive aspects of a discipline are learned individually by means of programmed instruction

Individualization can be implemented better by specialist teachers who are more conversant both with subject matter content and with different methods of presentation than are general elementary school teachers. To be effective individualization also presupposes continuity between the different levels of instruction to which a child is successively exposed (ele mentary school junior and senior high school and university). The benefits of individualization in elementary school for example are largely wasted if a bright pupil who has already mastered most seventh-grade work as a sixth-grader is placed in an undifferentiated and nonundividualized seventh grade junior ligh school class.

The concept of the non-graded school (Goodlad and Anderson 1959) combines both an extreme emphasis on individualization and acceptance of learning in a group context. First proposed by Montesson (Rambuschi 1962) in permits each child to master the curriculum at his own individual rate of speed in a social environment consisting of children of varying ages. It presupposes the vialability of a wide range of programmed materials and of high teacher pupil ratio envisages the use of older children as emulatory and learning models for their less advanced peers and avoids the sugmatization of nonpromotion.

COMPUTER ASSISTED INSTRUCTION The highly complex logistical task of individualizing instruction for each pupil in terms of his differential abilities and aptitudes cognitive siyle personality traits existing preconceptions and current performance is rendered much more manageable with the assistance of computerized programming The computer can not only be used to select the appropriate content and sequence of material but is also invaluable for record keeping monitoring pacing simulation of problem solving and faboratory situations and for the generation of instructional material At the present time however particularly when the necessary software (for example empirically validated principles of instructional programming tested programs for particular courses of study) is lacking it is not economically feasible for general use in the schools. It is also questionable whether the undoubled adsantages it offers with respect to the individualization tion of instruction are that much greater than those inherent in the use ol aj j roj riate textbooks enrichment materials frequent texting and feed back and teacher-directed self instruction as to warrant the iremendous capital outlay involved. In any case as suggested above computer assisted instruction cannot constitute a complete and self-contained program of in hysdualization since it does not provide for pupil pupil and pupil teacher interaction

Nonpromotion

Nonpromotion constitutes an attempt at homogenous grouping by withholding progression to the next higher grade from the extremely low achiever. Theoretically, it provides a necessary and desirable second opportunity for mastering the same miterial that the pupil was not able to learn the first time it was presented during the course of a given year or semester. In practice, however, the repeater makes less academic progress than the promoted child of comparable ability and achievement (Goodlad, 1952). Although some low achievers do profit from repetition, more of them actually do worse on achievement tests a year later than immediately after fulling the gride in question. It is not repetition *itself* that has these damaging effects, but rather the stigma of nonpromotion, the impairment of morale, and the exposure to the same inappropriate methods that previously led to failure. It is rule that the promoted nonachiever is maladjusted and cannot 'keep up in the new class, but he actually does worse by remaining a second time in the same class—in terms of his school work, his self confidence, and his acceptance by peers (Goodlad, 1952, Seel, 1951) On the other hand, unearned promotions tend to generate unrealistic attitudes toward and expectations about, the general relationship between achiever

All of this argues less for a policy of 'social promotion than it does for more imaginative ways of teaching subject matter to pupils who are unable to learn adequately when taught by more conventional methods Most nonpromoted children come from culturally deprived homes (Hall and Demarest 1958) Programmed instruction in nongraded classes would seem to provide an ideal solution

The Academically Gifted Enrichment or Acceleration

Individualization in the case of pupils with high intelligence or scholastic aputude scores generally takes one of two forms Advocates of enrichment—the provision of more advanced difficult, or supplementary school work to such pupils while keeping them with their chronological and social peers—argue that this procedure avoids social maladjustment among the gifted equips them better to addapt to persons of all ability levels in later life and enables them to sumulate their duller agemates Enrichment is typically accomplished by means of ability grouping by individualizing mistruction within a given class or by segregating glited children in special schools Proponents of acceleration, on the other hand, point out that under present educational conditions it is very difficult for a busy teacher to enrich instruction adequately for gifted pupils (Gallagher and Lucito, 1961), that enrichment usually involves drawing upon subject matter materials from the next lugher level of instruction thereby creating problems of boredom and loss of interest when the pupil reaches that level, that special schools often generate unwholesome attitudes of concert and superiority, as well as isolate the gifted from average children (thereby depriving the latter of necessary social experience and the former of desirable intellectual sumula tion) and, most important, that acceleration useff has many positive ad vantages for pupils planning on professional careers

Acceleration can be implemented in many different ways by early admission to kindergarten and college by double promotion, by admission to college with advanced standing and by such means of concentrating instruction as lengthening the school year completing two years of work in one, and more rapid self pacing in ungraded classes. The latter procedures avoid the hazard of possibly missing certain important learnings that are essential in sequentially organized curriculums Early admission to kindergarten also presents the disadvantage that only the affluent can afford private schools or the cost of individual intelligence testing and that IQ s at age 4 tend to be both unreliable and to have hithe predictive value for later academic additivement.

In general reviews of acceleration procedures (Shannon, 1957) indicate that they do not handicap the gifted child either socially, emotionally, or in items of academic accomplishment Children who are admitted at an early age to kindergarten (Worcester, 1956) or who are admitted at an early age to kindergarten (Worcester, 1956) or who are admitted at an early age to kindergarten (Worcester, 1956) or who are accelerated from second to fourth grade after a five week summer session (klausmerer, 1963), do as well or better academically in the later grades than other pupils are just as well adjusted emotionally and socially, are accepted just as readily by their clausmates, and are most likely to go on to college (Pressey, 1965). Similarly students who entire college at an early age tend to make better grades are more likely to graduate and go on to advanced study, manifest fewer disciplinary problems and tend to be more successful in their carcers (Fund for the Advancement of Education, 1957, Pressey, 19662, Terman and Oden, 1919). M Meister (1956) found that high-school students who enter college will advanced standing or who pays advanced placement examinations do as well as regular students in their freshman year

Probably the best argument for acceleration of academically gifted pupils is the long period of academic training required today for all professions. Acceleration helps avoid the abnormal projongation of conomic dependence and sub-adulthood as well as the undesirable postponement of marriage that often accompany such training. Early entrance into a professional career is also important from the siandpoint of self activalization and the advancement of knowledge It has been shown that because of such factors as health, stamma, motivation interest, and freshness of outlook, research and scholarly productivity are higher during the early adult years than at any other time of life (Lichman, 1964, Pressey, 1962c)

The Mentally Retarded

The proper educational handling and teaching of mentally retarded children are highly technical subjects and ordinarily require special training The major educational decision affecting such children is whether to place them in special classes or to admit them to regular, ability grouped classes The latter procedure probably provides them with greater intellectual stimu lation and broader social experience, but neither gives them the benefit of specially trained teachers nor protects them from social rejection by their peers (Johnson and Kirk, 1950, R. V. Miller, 1956). The final decision hinges upon such factors as the type of curriculum and methods of instruction avail able in the regular classes, the retardate s ability level and adaptive capacity, and the attitudes and resourcefulness of the teachers in question (Dunn, 1960). The typical classroom teacher is more likely to have contact with culturally deprived than with mentally retarded children.

The Culturally Deprived

The hypothesis of cumulative developmental deficit, invoked above to explain the irreversible effects of cultural deprivation implicitly assumes the continued operation of a learning environment whose stimulating value remains average or below average during the crucial formative years of childhood Hence, despite the twin limiting effects in disadvantaged pupils of (a) attained deficit in intellectual development and (b) increasing differentiation of intelligence on subsequent responsiveness to cognitive stimulation, it is still consistent with the above theoretical analysis to hypothesize that an optimal learning environment could arres and even reverse in part the existing degree of retardation." Such an environment must obviously be adequately stimulating, must be specially geared to the deprived individuals particular level of readmess in each subject matter

² As previously pointed out we sull lack firm evidence regarding the effects of an optimal learning environment on the intellectual development of culturally depixed elementary school and adolescent pupils. In any case it has already been conceded that irrespective of later enrolment efforts *some* of the intellectual re tradiation attribuisable to cultural deprivations is irreservable in older children.

aren and intellecturi skill as well as to his over all level of cognitive maturity and presupposes much individurlized attention and guided remedial effort This, of course, is a far cry from the kind of school learning environ

ment that culturally deprived children typically enjoy. In actual practice their existing intellectual deficit is usually compounded by the lact that not only are they less able than their peers to profit from appropriate new learn ing experiences, but they are also usually overwhelmed by exposure to learning tasks that exceed by far their prevailing level of cognitive readiness Hence, since they do not function at the required level of cognitive maturity and do not possess the necessary background of knowledge required for efficient learning they typically fail lose self-confidence in their ability to learn, become thoroughly demoralized in the school situation and disinvolve themselves from it Much of the lower-class child's alienation from the school, therefore, is not so much a reflection of discriminatory or rejecting attitudes on the part of teachers and other school personnel-al though the importance of this factor should not be underestimated, it is in greater measure a reflection of the cumulative effects of a curriculum that is too demanding of him and of the resulting load of frustration, confusion demoralization resentment, and impaired self-confidence that he must bear

In this section we shall consider only the cognitive aspects of an appropriate teaching strategy for culturally deprived children. The basic principles underlying this strategy are essentially little different than those applying to the instruction of any pupit As J S Bruner (1060) points out, however, it is the less able student who suffers most from poor teaching. In another chapter we shall discuss motivational, social, and interpersonal considerations applicable to the culturally disadvantaged

An optimal cognitive environment for culturally deprived pupils focuses on the two complementary aspects of cognitive readiness for learn ing-readiness in terms of general level of intellectual functioning and readiness in terms of specific subject matter background. It emphasizes, therefore, these lour considerations (a) prevention during the preschool years of the intellectual and language retardation characteristic of children growing up under culturally disadvantaged circumstances, (b) the selection ol learning tasks at all stages of the curriculum that are consonant with the learners existing state of readiness (c) mastery and consolidation of all ongoing learning tasks before new tasks are introduced, so as to provide the necessary foundation for successful sequential learning and to prevent un readiness for future learning tasks and (d) the use of structured and self paceable learning materials optimally organized to facilitate efficient se quential learning Attention to these four factors can go a long way toward insuring effective learning for the first time, and toward restoring the culturally deprived child's educational morale and confidence in his ability to learn Later possible consequences are partial restoration of both in

transic and extrinsic motivation for academic achievement diminution of anti-intellectualism and decreased alienation from the school to the point where his studies make sense and he sees some purpose in learning

Preschool Enrichment

Much of the discouraging picture of language retardation in the culturally deprived child and of its grim consequences for school learning could undoubtedly be prevented by an enriched program of preschool education that would emphasize perceptual discrimination and language acquisition in addition to the issual preschool activities much time would be spent in reading and talking to children in furmishing an acceptable model of speech in supplying corrective feedback with respect to grammar and pronunciation in developing listening memory and attentivity skills and in providing appropriate reading readiness reading and writing instruction Concomitantly of course an attempt would be made to raise the cultural and intellectual level of the home through a long range program of involve ment in adult education Although formal instruction in reading is probably inadvisable for preschool children generally and presents many definite hazards (Kinsella 1965) it does have a defensible educational rationale as a *preventive* measure among the culturally deprived (despite the difficulties and hazards involved) in view of the widespread occurrence of reading readration in this group At the kindergarten level the hazards of formal reading instruction are less formudable and its benefits are more firmly established especially among bilingual children (S E Herr 1946)

Readiness

General unreadmess for school learning among culturally disad vaniaged children largely reflects their slower and less complete transition from concrete to abstract modes of thought during the junor and senior high school years. Thus in the presentation of abstract ideas and propositions it is important for instructional materials and audiousual aids to provide more concrete empirical props and opportunities for durect physical manipulation of objects and situations than would be considered desirable in a more typical classroom 3 Such props for example might include generous use of such techniques as Cursenanter rods the abacus schematic models.

³ In addition to promoting the understanding of abstractions such overt manipulative activity is also consistent with the deprived child's more physical or motoric mode of learning (Riessman 1969) The same consolidation would apply to overt versus passive responding in programmed learning and to discussion versus lecture modes of presentation.

and diagrams, and role playing activities. In the teaching of mathematics and science, much reliance would be placed on the applicability of principles to common problems in the immediate environment and on supportive illustrations and analogies drawn from everyday experience. It should be appreciated however, that these techniques are merely ways of facilitating the transition to a more abstract level of cognitive functioning. We do not want to induce permanent dependence on concrete-empirical props or to be satisfied with this state of affairs as our ultimate objective

Specific subject matter unreadmess among culturally deprived children is a consequence of their failure to master the basic intellectual skills and to acquire an adequate foundation of integrative concepts and principles in the hierarchically organized disciplines. It is essential, therefore, that the initial selection of learning materials take account of pupils existing state of knowledge and sophistication in the various subject matter areas, irre spective of how primitive this happens to be. Once the appropriate starting point is ascertained continued subject matter readiness can then be assured by using structured sequentially organized materials and by insisting on mastery of all ongoing lessons before new learning tasks are introduced. These latter teaching strategies can, in turn be most effectively imple mented through the kind of programmed instruction described above A curriculum that takes the readiness of the culturally deprived child

A curriculum that takes the readiness of the culturally deprived child into account always takes as its starting point his existing knowledge and sophistication in the various subject matter areas and intellectual skills, no matter how far down the scale this happens to be. This policy demands uncompromising elimination of all subject matter that he cannot econom ically assimilate on the basis of his current level of cognitive sophistication It presupposes emphasis on his acquisition of the basic intellectual skills, before any attempt is made to teach him algebra literature, science, and foreign languages. However, in many urban high schools today, pupils who cannot read at a third grade level and who cannot speak or write grammatically or perform simple arithmetical computations as subjected to irregular French verbs, Shakespearean drama, and geomet rical theorems. Nothing more educationally fuelle or better calculated to destroy educational

In terms of readiness for a given level of school work, a child is no less ready because of a history of cultural deprivation, chronic academic failure, and exposure to an unsuitable curriculum than because of deficient intellectual endowment Hence, realistic recognition of this fact is not un democratic, reactionary, or evidence of social class has, of intellectual snobbery, of a 'sofi, 'patroniung approach, or of a belief in the inherent un educability of lower-class children Neuher is it indicative of a desire to surrender to the culturally deprived child's eurrent intellectual level, to perpetuate the status quo, or to insuture a double class-oriented standard of education II is merely a necessary first step in preparing him to cope with more advanced subject matter, and hence in eventually reducing exist ing social class differentials in academic acluevement. To set the same initial standards and expectations for the academically retarded culturally deprived child as for the non retarded middle or lower class child is automatically to insure the former's failure and to widen prevailing discrepancies between social class groups

social class groups With respect to the culturally disadvantaged cluid's language returda tion, a sorely needed change within the classroom setting is the long overdue introduction of more imaginative and effective ways of teaching the lan guage arts. More emphasis, for example, needs to be placed on the mastery of the principal syntactical forms in spoken and written discourse, through repetitive practice with feedback, than on the pedantic and essentially trivial labeling and classifying of different varieties of grammatical structure. The culturally deprived cluid with lis pragmatic and nonabstract approach to knowledge couldn't care less after all, about the different parts of speech and the various esoteric names attached to the different uses of each, and, for the most part, he is correct insofar as the value or functional utility of much of such knowledge is concerned.

It seems clear, therefore, that both in preschool and school programs for the culturally deprived, exclusive emphasis on either verbal or concrete empirical aspects of instruction represents a pseudo dichotomy Culturally deprived pupils must obviously be helped to overcome their language retardation. If they are ever to function competently as abstract, verbal learn ers, they must acquire a basic repertoire of verbal concepts and tranactional terms as well as a basic mastery of syntax. But the very fact of their language retardation also requires simultaneous compensatory remediation along concrete empirical lines. In the preschool and kindergarten (preoperational) period, like all children at this stage of development they are highly depen dent on concrete empirical experience and on the manipulation of objects or images in relational learning concept formation and problem solving they are unable to relate abstract relationships to cognitive structure in cor relative, superordinate or combinatorial fashion. And since they must also achieve a certain critical level of proficiency at the stage of concrete logical operations before they can move on to the stage of abstract logical operations and since existing language retardation delays this transition, they are dependent longer than are their nondeprived peers on concrete empirical props in various forms of relational learning before attaining the abstract stage of cognitive development

Teaching and Administrative Arrangements

The success of this type of teaching strategy naturally depends a great deal on the availability of dedicated teachers who have been specially trained and are desirous of working with culturally deprived children. They must really be concerned that materials are genuinely understood and mastered, and must be able to convey the impression that they are confident of and expect successful learning from their pupils This obviously assumes much more personal involvement in the teaching function and in the intellectual development of culturally deprived children than merely going through the motions of presenting subject matter while being essentially indifferent about learning outcomes 4 For purposes of restoring intrinsic motivation for learning (cognitive drive), it is also important that teachers be able to communicate a sense of excitement about the subjects they teach, and that they be the kinds of mature, stable, and self-confident persons with whom children can identify This latter objective can also be furthered by the assignment of more male teachers to schools in culturally deprived neighborhoods, by lowering the pupil teacher ratio, by using multiple period classes, and by keeping teachers with the same elementary school classes over a period of several years Ungraded and ungrouped elementary school classes facilitate the process of enabling each child to progress at his own pace without being subjected to the discouraging and sugmatizing effects of nonpromotion and placement in slow learning groups

Other types of compensatory educational arrangements that have been suggested for the culturally deprived child include particularly intensive remedial work in reading, academic corcling, an extended school day and school week, free summer school, the postponement of formal instruction in the first grade for pupils who have not hid preschool and kindergarten training and a 5 year light school and college program for the academically slow-developing individual. For high school students whose school orientation is definitely vocational realistic previoational courses should be provided in the eleventh and twelfth grades, integrated in some instances with work experience and apprenticeship programs

⁴ This does not necessarily imply a permissive or child-oriented' as opposed to a task-oriented approach to teaching. The family background of culturally de prived and of lower social class children generally does not make them very re sponsive to a permissive ensitoniment characterized by culter laisser faire attitudes or unstituctured techniques of instruction (Keisman 1962).

Chapter 8

PRACTICE

MEANINGFUL LEARNING REFERS TO THE ACOUISITION OF mean ings, and to the nontransitory organizational changes in cognitive structure accompanying this process as the learner responds to initial and successive presentations of the learning task Although much significant meaningful learning obviously occurs during initial presentation of the instructional material, both overlearning as well as most long term retention, in class rooms and similar settings presuppose multiple presentations or trials (practice), and both learning process and outcome customarily encompass various qualitative and quantitative changes that take place during these several trials Learning and retention, therefore, ordinarily imply practice Such practice, furthermore, is typically specific (restricted to the learning task) and deliberate (intentional) Long term organizational changes in cognitive structure that occur in the demonstrable absence of specific and deliberate practice experience (that is incidental learning) may be more properly con sidered manifestations of maturation. Short term fluctuations in the avail ability of learned material, on the other hand, are reflective of changes in the threshold of availability

As previously suggested, the effects of practice both reflect the influence of existing cognitive structure and also modify that structure. Thus, the cognitive impact of initial presentation of potentially meaningful new learn ing material (the emergence of new meanings) is largely determined by the organizational attributes of the established ideas in cognitive structure to which the learning task is related, and by establishing these new meanings in cognitive structure such presentation influences, in turn, both the learning of related new materials. Practice, therefore, affects learning and retention by modifying cognitive structure. Generally speaking, it increases the sta bility and clarity of newly learned meanings in cognitive structure and hence enhances their dissociability strength and retention

Practice in other words is not a cognitive structure variable itself but is one of the principle factors (along with instructional materials variables) influencing cognitive structure. The most immediate effect of practice is to increase the stability and clarity and hence the dissociability strength of the emergent new meanings in cognitive structure. In turn, the increments and decrements in the stability and clarity of the new meanings (and the cor related changes in their dissociability strength) accompanying their initial learning inter trial forgetting and later learning facilitate the learners assimilation of the instructional material during subsequent trials As will be postulated later the changes in cognitive structure wrought by the first trial (namely the establishment of the new meanings) sensitize the learner to the potential meanings inherent in the material and the forgetting that occurs between successive trials or reviews immunizes him (enables him to take preventive steps) against further forgetting following additional trials In addition the consolidation of this new material as a result of practice makes available in cognitive structure stable new anchoring ideas for other related learning tasks introduced at a later date Practice therefore influ ences cognitive structure in at least four different ways it increases the dissociability strength of the newly learned meanings for a given trial and thereby facilitates their retention it enhances the learner's responsiveness to subsequent presentations of the same material it enables the learner to profit from inter trial forgetting and it facilitates the learning and retention of related new learning tasks

But even if each learning trial influences subsequent learning trials by virtue of its sensitizing and immunizing effects on cognitive structure the mediating influence of these effects on the next practice trial are not experimentally measurable The transfer paradigm (comparing learning outcomes of the two groups after the experimental group receives two trials and the control group receives only one trial) is inapplicable under these cit cumstances As long as training and criterion tasks are identical (consist of two presentations of the same material) it is impossible in accounting for the superiority of the experimental group on the second learning trial to distinguish between (a) the practice effect itself (the direct effect of an additional presentation upon learning or dissociability strength) and (b) the indirect mediating (sensitizing or immunizing) influence of previously al tered cognitive structure. In classical transfer situations on the other hand inasmuch as criterion and training tasks differ the superiority of the experimental group on the criterion task can be unequivocally attributed to the modification of cognitive structure induced by the training task. It goes without saying however that simply because the influence of a cognitive structure variable is not demonstrable under certain conditions we cannot warrantedly infer that it does not affect learning retention outcomes The reality of a variable s effect on a phenomenon cannot be denied just because the variable itself currently defies reliable and valid measurement, or be cause experimental or statistical procedures are not yet available to isolate this effect from that of other variables

In this chapter we shall not be concerned with the problem of how different dimensions of the practice variable (overlearning multi contextual exposure) affect transfer, that is influence the meaningful learning and retention of *new* material by altering cognitive structure. We will consider instead the effects of repeated presentations of the same learning task (practuce) on the learning and retention of that task. Relevant dimensions of the practice variable to be considered include the nimber, type and distribution of practice trials the method and general conditions of practice, and the learners a wareness of the effect of practice on learning retention outcomes (feedback). It should be appreciated however, that only a slight alteration in experimental design would be necessary to make the relevant studies in this area conform to the transfer paradigm and thus shed light on the peda gogically more significant issue of how different aspects of practice influence the meaningful learning and retention of related new material

Unfortunately, most of our knowledge about the effects of practice variables pertains to rote and motor learning and to single rather than se quentially organized tasks. The research void with respect to the role of repetition in meaningful verbal learning is especially glaring despite the time honored place of practice and review in pedagogic technique Practically all of the research conducted to date has dealt either with the frankly rote type learning of nonsense syllables and paired adjectives or with the verbatim learning of such potentially meaningful material as poetry and short prose passages

Frequency1

In terms of historical significance theoretical importance, and relevance for current educational practice, few issues in educational psychology are more crucial than the role of frequency in learning and retention. Yet ever since E L Thorndike (1931, 1932) repudiated the law of frequency, the theoretical stance of educational psychology on this issue has tended to be confused contradictory and somewhat schuzophrenic On the one hand in accordance with Thorndikes pronouncement it is held that frequency in itself is unnecessary for and really makes little difference in learning out comes and this position finds much favor with progressissit educators who

¹ Some of the maternal in this section has been excerpted from an article is Drill Necessary? The Mythology of Incidental Learning by the author in the Bulletin of the National Association of Secondary School Principals, 1965 47, 415 60 Reprinted by permission of the National Association of Secondary School Principals, 1965 Copyright Washington D C

are hostile to drill of any kind with Gestalt theorists who conceive of all learning as insightful and with discontinuity (nonincremental) learning theorists who munition that learning inviruably tyles place in a single trial Thus we frequently tend to minimize the role of drill in educational theory regarding it is rote mechanical passive and old fishioned as psychologically innecessary for the learning process and is actually harmful for active meaningful learning.

The progressivity of course did not entirely deny the value of practice As a matter of fact both their esponsal of naturalism incidental learning and of project and activity programs as well as their battle cry of learning by doing earried an implied endorsement of the importance of appropriate practice. But by approprinte practice they meant direct (concrete manipulative) nondeliberite (unintentional) and autonomous (unguided) learning encounters with different (diversified) examples of the same concept or principle in uncontrived revealed learning is a summing that vali structured practice (duril) is necessarily rote that unstructured unguided and unintentional (incidential) practice is maximally effective for school learning tasks and that doing necessarily leads to learning simply because it involves direct experience and occurs repeatedly in natural problem solving situations.

Actually for practice to result in meaningful mastery of material the only really essential conditions are that the learning task be potentially meaningful that the learner exhibit a meaningful learning set and possess the necessary anchoring ideas and that the number distribution sequence and organization of practice trials conform to empirically established prin ciples of efficient learning and retention. Not only is the uncontrived or unstructured quality of practice an unessential condition of meaningful effective learning but it also often leads to no meaningful mastery what soever This is so because incidental practice is typically haphazard in terms of frequency and distribution of trials and because the spontaneous un structured organization of learning experiences is more frequently than not inconsistent with established criteria of effective programming Problem solving and laboratory exercises may similarly lead to little or no meaning ful learning if the student's learning set is simply rotely to memorize type problems or techniques of manipulating symbols and if he has inadequate background in or appreciation of the methodological principles illustrated by specific laboratory procedures It should also be realized finally that just as doing does not necessarily lead to understanding understanding does not necessarily imply ability successfully to solve problems involving mean ingful appreciation of the principles in question Factors other than under standing are also implicated in the outcome of problem solving activities

On the other hand educational psychologists implicitly accept the concept of a learning curve in which gradual increments in learning are plotted against successive trials and they place great stress on the impor tance of overlearning for long term retention and transfer This latter position is actually adopted by the vast majority of teachers, coaches, parents, and students who follow the maxim that 'practice makes perfect" Quite obviously, both positions cannot be simultaneously valid. The upshot of this conflict in our beliefs is that we still place considerable reliance on drill in actual classroom teaching, but do so half heartedly, apologetically, and in ways that detract from its effectiveness Actually, on theoretical grounds, there are many reasons for believing that repetition is typically required not only for the retention of adequately clear, stable, and valid meanings (and often for their acquisition as well), but also for that degree of consolidation of antecedent portions of subsequent portions

Meaningful versus Rote Learning and Retention

The role and significance of frequency are different for meaningful than for rote learning and retention precisely because rote and meaningful learning processes themselves are so different from one another Repeated encounters with the same array of stimulation presumably enhance rote learning and retention by increasing the strength of discrete, arbitrary, and verbatim associative linkages, that is, their resistance to the short term inter fering effects of prior and subsequent stimulation. The same repetitiveness presumably enhances meaningful learning and retention by increasing the dissociability strength of instructional materials that have been nonarbitrarily and substantively incorporated in relation to an existing concept or principle in cognitive structure, that is it enhances the emergence of clear and stable meanings and their resistance to forgetting (Ausubel, 1962c)

Thus it is reasonable to assume that sheer repetition would play a more significant role in the learning and short term retention of discrete and arbitrary associations, largely isolated from cognitive structure, than it would in the learning and longer term retention of materials that can be meaningfully incorporated within that structure. In meaningful, as opposed to rote, learning situations, such other factors as the availability of clear and stable anchoring ideas, the discriminability between these anchoring ideas and the learning task, and the internal logic and lucidity (the logical meaningfulness) of the learning task undoubtedly detract somewhat from the role played by repetition Nevertheless the influence of repetition is still enshaled in the establishment and consolidation of meanings and in the enhancement of their resistance to decremental processes. In any case, it cannot be dismissed as basically extinuise to the process whereby increments in availability are effected

From the standpoint of frequency, the chief practical implication of the differences between rote and meaningful learning for classroom teaching is that review can, and largely should, take the place of practice. Since mean ingful learning occurs relatively quickly and since the forgetting of mean ingfully learned materials takes place relatively slowly much of the poten tially facilitating effects of frequency can be used more profitabily for review than for original learning purposes. In terms of what is actually learned and retained in other words the relatively long interval between the initial learning and the review sessions in the case of meaningful learning is comparable to the short inter trial practice interval in the case of advanced stages of rote learning. Thus, in teaching the meanings of a series of programmed scientific terms. J. H. Repolds and R. Glaser (1961) recently found that repetition has only a transitory effect upon retention whereas spaced reviews produce significant freditation in retention of the reviewed material

Frequency and Learning

Until relatively recently most of the empirical research bearing on the frequency issue in rote learning, had been conducted by E L. Thorndike (1931 1932) In amassing experimental evidence against the role of fre quency however he chose highly atypical learning tasks that could not possubly be mastered in the absence of either explicit intention or knowledge of results respectively and then deliberately failed to provide these conditions Hence since the minimally necessary conditions for learning were lacking in his particular experiments he did not find it very difficult to demonstrate that numerous repetitions of the task under the same impossible conditions he set were just as ineffective for learning as was the provision of a single trial Needless to say despite the fact that such evidence is almost universally cited in educational psychology textbooks as definitively proving the negligible influence of frequency by itself on learning it merely demonstrates that certain atypical kinds of learning cannot take place in the absence of explicit intention or feedback no matter how frequently the learning task is repeated

In one series of experiments for example Thorndike endeavored to prove that frequency has no effect in the absence of belongingness and by eliminating this latter condition successfully demonstrated that frequency was in fact ineffective. This result was lardly suppring because although contiguity is an essenual condition of associative learning not all contiguous events are necessarily associated some selectivity based on belongingness is always involved in the particular items that are associated. In the case of meaningful learning maternal belongingness is a reflection of functional or to be formed is purely arbitrary belongingness is setablished either by exist or in tentions) or by liabitual expectances based on periodic sexperiode. Hence much incidential learning' (learning in the absence of explicit instruction and intention) can occur either if the learning material is meaningful, or if the rote learning task is constituted in accordance with habitual expectan cies² But if a priticular rote learning task is unclated to or inconsistent with habitual expectancies (for instance associating the second member of one paired associate with the first member of the next paired associate in the series), it is understandable that little or no learning will occur in the absence of explicit intention, despite numerous contiguous repetitions (E L Thorndike, 1931, 1932)

From the latter experiments, therefore, one could warrantedly infer that, in addition to contiguity, belongingness is essential for associative learning, and that belongingness can either be established implicitly (in potentially meaningful material or in familiar rote tasks) or by explicit intention (in unfamiliar rote tasks) One could not justifiably conclude either that explicit intention is necessary for all learning, or that frequency by itself has no effect whatsoever on learning True, in the absence of be longingness frequency is ineffective because no learning at all can occur This does not mean however, that when belongingness is present, the im provement that occurs with repetition must necessarily be attributed to belongingness rather than to frequency

Similarly, in another widely cited series of experiments, E L Thorn dike (1931, 1932) showed that in the absence of knowledge of results, frequency of repeating certain tasks (for instance, drawing a line of specified length, estimating the length of paper strips) bears no relation to learning (improvement). In instances where a constant stimulus situation is repeated but the response is variable or indeterminate, it is obvious that some knowl edge of results is essential for learning. Feedback, however, is not indispens able for learning in situations either where both stimulus and response are specified or where the learners task is simply to reproduce the material that is presented to him Furthermore, not only is frequency effective in these latter instances where (eedback is not required for learning but it also enhances learning in those situations where feedback is essential and is provided.

In many military and industrial training situations involving perceptual motor skills (for instance gunnery) and the learning of sequential procedures practice of the task itself apparently has no facilitating effect

² Children for example acquire much specific information about objects irrelevant to the solution of particular incentive motivated problems (Stevenson 1954) and without any obvious motivation for so doing they effectively retain over long periods of time information presented in motion pictures (Holaday and Stoddard 1938) Experimenters who alignitude this of nonsense syllables to sub jects incidentially learn many of these syllables themselves (Jenkins 1938)

and was followed by the retention test after an equal time interval for both groups. The same relearning of the material constituted early or delayed review for the two groups as a result of varying the interval between original learning and review. Even under these experimental conditions, the earlier findings were confirmed.

The results of this litter experiment can be best explained by supposing that the respective advantages of early ind delayed review counterbalance each other The theoretical allyantages of delayed review are perhaps more self evident than those of early review. In the first place after a longer retention interval when more material is forgotten the learner is more highly motivated to profit from the opportunity for review. He is less likely to regard this opportunity as unnecessary and superfluous and is therefore more disposed to take good advantage of it in terms of effort and attention Second and even more important pitor forgetting conceivably has a facil itating (imminizing) effect on meaningful learning and retention because as a result of both trying and failing to temember material the learner tends to become aware of negative factors in the learning and retention situations that promote forgetting that is of areas of instability ambiguity confusion and lack of discriminability (Ausubel and Youssef 1965) Thus forearmed he can take the necessary steps during the relearning session to strengthen particularly weak components of the learning task to resolve existing confusion and umbiguity and to increase iliscriminability between previously learned ideas and related new propositions Furthermore it would seem that greater potential benefit could presumably be anticipated from repetition when a larger proportion of the learning task is forgotten inasmuch as more remains to be relearned under these circumstances

In what ways can early review conceivably counterhalance these evident advantages of delayed review? The most likely possibility is that repetition (review) has a specially potent consolidating effect on recently learned ma ternal while it is still appreciably above the threshold of availability and that this consolidating effect observations and the transmission of the learner to interact cognitively with the learning maternal and to relate the potential meanings it embodies to his existing structure of knowledge thereby enabling actual or experienced meanings to eventuate and/or be consolidated. Beh as no toker words another opportunity to acquire mean ings potential in the maternal that he partially or completely missed on the first trial as well as to consolutate meanings initially established at that time. To be optimally effective however the opportunity for souch consolidation may very well presuppose a certain minimal level of residual avail ability.

Another study trial also provides the learner with informational feed

back in the form of textual reference for testing the correctness of the knowledge he retained from the first trial This testing confirms correct meanings clarifies ambiguities corrects misconceptions and indicates areas of weakness requiring differential concentrated study. The net effect is con solidation of learning. When the learning task is largely forgotten however as in delyed review the feedback role of repetition is minimal

In what other ways may early review be more advantageous than delayed review It will be remembered that in addition to enhancing mean ingful learning and retention in the two aforementioned direct ways repetition also influences these processes in another indirect way through modification in cognitive structure wronght by earlier trials. Not only do repeated presentations of the learning task strengthen the newly acquired cognitive content but the latter cognitive content itself also *rectiprically* induces changes in the *perceived* learning task which make it more learnable. That is initial acquisition of the meanings of the learning material and ther presence in cognitive structure *sinsitize* the learner to the potential mean ings it contains when he encounters it again. Since he had previously de rived meanings from the learning material on the first trial—by incorporat ing potential meanings into his cognitive structure--mow the new ideas as a whole not merely the component words immediately (that is perceptu ally) convey actual rather than merely *potential* meaning to him on second reading.

Hence on the second trial actual rather than potential meanings interact with the residue of those recently acquired meanings in his cogni tive structure which were established as a consequence of his first encounter with the material. This type of interaction particularly enhances consolidation of the previously established meanings because this time the learner does not have to grasp meanings and can concentrate solely on trying to remember them. Moreover establishment of gross meanings on the first trial sensitizes the learner to more refined meanings and subtle distunctions on the second trial it stands to reason therefore that both the consolidation and sensitizing effects of repetition are greater earlier rather than later during the retention interval when more of the learned meanings are still available to exert sensitizing effects or to be consolidated

In summary then the principal advantage of early review would appear to be its superior consolidating feedback and sensitizing effects in relation to more highly available material whereas the principal advantage of delayed review probably inheres in the superior relearning of forgotten material both on mouvitional and cognitive grounds. Thus since each kind of review has its own distinctive function and advantage the two varieties are presumably complementary rather than redundant or mutually exclusive and can thus be profitably combined.

How the Influence of Frequency is Mediated

The role and importance of frequency (number of trials or presenta tions) in learning and retention have received varying emphasis over the years in psychology and education. For the most part in the history of psychological thought frequency has been regarded as one of the cardinal laws of associative learning and more recently of classical conditioning as well. In the early thirties however the law of frequency received a sever setback at the hands of E. L. Thorndike who concluded after much experimentation that frequency in itself has little or no impact on the learning process and that its supposed influence must really be attributed to rein forcement (satisfying effect) knowledge of results belongingness or intention (1981 1922)

The authority of Thorndike's pronouncement was subsequently bol stered by the influence of such nonfrequency conceptions of learning as the Guthrian contiguity single trial model of learning (Estes 1960 Estes Hopkins and Crother 1960 Guthrei 1952 Rock 1957) the Hullian emphasis on drive reduction as the principal variable determining habit strength (Hull 1943) the Skinnerian preoccupation with reinforcement in operant conditioning (Skinner 1938) E. C. Tolman's view of learning as the gradual acquisition of cognitive sophistication (1952) and the Gestalt formulation of learning as the abrupt emergence of insight (Kohler 1925) The com bined influence of these theoretical developments in the psychology of learning and of the prevailing progressivity and childcentered trends in the philosophy of education led to a widespread decemphasis of the value of practice or dnil in the teaching learning process. Drill was unwarrantedly stiguatized as necessarily rote in nature and a letish was made of uncon trived unstructured and uncodental learning experience.

It is apparent therefore that two principal issues must be considered in evaluating the role of frequency in learning and retention First is repetion typically required boil in gradually establishing associative or dissociability strength at or above threshold level (learning) and in sufficiently enhancing such strengths to that the span of retention is extended or is all effective learning and retention actually accomplished in a single trial? Is frequency in other words organically related to the learning retention process or is gradual improvement such repetition merely an artifactual consequence of various circumstances involved in the investigation measure ment and representation of learning retention outcomes? Second does fre quency affect learning and retention in any distinctive way apart from allording repeated opportunities for other variables such as contiguity drive reduction and confirmatione to point in curvations.

Our position regarding both the role and mediation of frequency in

meaningful verbal learning and retention has already been made clear in discussing the effect of temporal position on review Evidently, frequency is neither a necessary nor a sufficient condition for meaningful learning to occur The substance of much relatively easy, potentially meaningful mate rial can be grasped after one reading Typically, however, several rereadings are required for more difficult learning, for overlearning, for delayed reten tion, and for transfer Frequency, in other words, usually makes a difference in meaningful learning and retention On the other hand, in the absence of a meaningful learning set, of potentially meaningful material, of sufficient effort and attention, of active attempts to understand, of intention to inte grate knowledge and to reformulate it in idiosyncratic terms, and of belong ingness, feedback, and intention (where these are necessary for learning), no amount of frequency by itself can eventuate in substantial amounts of meaningful learning In addition, we have insisted that practice alone does more than just provide opportunity for such variables as contiguity, rein forcement, feedback, belongingness and intention to influence learning Subsequent opportunities to encounter learning material are facilitated by the cognitive changes (emergence of meaning, forgetting) already wrought by the initial encounter, as well as by the cognitive changes (increased dis sociability strength) that they themselves induce

Thus we hold that frequency has a distinctive effect of its own on learn ing and retention that operates in addition and cannot simply be reduced, to the opportunity which subsequent trials provide for other effective variables to influence, in cumulative fashion, the process and outcome of learn ing and retention. That is, we propose that frequency does more than merely make possible a summation of the repeated effects of some other variables such as contiguity, reinforcement through drive reduction, or cognitive confirmation and clarification Frequency does admittedly provide opportunity for the recurrent operation of these variables, but it also serves as more than just a vehicle for the cumulation of liber repeated effects ⁵

Frequency is obviously insufficient for learning under conditions that

⁵ Some of the effect of repetition on learning and retention probably has a neurophysiological basis related to the theory that repeated excitation of a given neural connection lowers the synaptic resistances involved. This theory is an accord with the well established facts that (a) everything else being equal the older of two memory traces is stronger and is strengthened more by practice (fortis laws) and (b) memories an storage tend to increase in sitering the vertices and the strength over time. It is also consistent with the pattern of memory toos and recovery in anneasia cranial trauma electro-hock therapy and semile dementia—with the fact that older memories are lost last and recovered first (Wooldridge 1965) Not only does repeated re excitation appare entity lower synaptic resistances but so also do randomly and spontaneously generated electric brain currents. The latter phenomenon would account, in part both for Jost Laws and for he superiority of distributed practice.

lead either to extinction (lack of reinforcement) or to motivation not to learn (for instance punishment) The same holds true for conditions that either presuppose prior knowledge which is absent in a particular learner or call for discovery or difficult reception learning that may or may not take place. Some learners for example may never acquire the necessary insight for certain kinds of insightful problem solving In other instances frequency may gradually engender the necessary understanding or insight Although this insight may appear to arise very abruptly it may actually reflect the testing of many prior hypotheses and their reformulation following negative results

INCREMENTAL VERSUS NONNERVIENTAL THEOREMS It appears that evidence in support of the incremental (continuity) conception of learning and of the importance of frequency can be derived from the overshehming mainvolved. In addition to these studies of practice evidence has already been presented which suggests that proro overlearning of relevant maternal during a training period facilitates the learning of related new maternal (induces positive transfer) by enhancing the stability and clarity of the training con cepts in cognitive structure.

But theorists who deny that frequency intrinsically influences learning retention outcomes do not seem at all impressed by the apparently irrefut able evidence implicit in the very shape of the learning curve They attribute the gradual improvement that occurs with repetition to various method ological artifacts Gestalt and field theorists (Koffka 1935 Kohler 1925 krechevsky 1932 1938 Lashley 1929) for example typically assert that learning (insight) occurs suddenly and that the trials preceding the attain ment of insight have no real effect on its emergence Learning they contend, only appears to be incremental either because the grossness of existing mea suring instruments obscures the abrupt acquisition of partial insights or because the pooling of data from many subjects who achieve insight on an all-or none basis during different trials results in a smooth and gradual group learning curse E R. Gutline (1952) also espouses an all-or none con cept of learning for any particular stimulus-response connection attributing the apparent improvement with practice to unavoidable variability in the stimulus situation over a series of trials which in turn leads to ever increasing stimulus generalization and correspondingly greater probability of re sponse elicitation Lastly according to I Rock frequency appears to be necessary in learning a list of paired associates because only a limited num ber of associations can be formed on any one trial (Rock 1957 p 186)

Some evidence against the role of frequency in rote learning has been adduced from various experiments on single trial paired associate learning (Estes 1900 Estes Hopkins and Crother 1960 Rock 1957) Rock modified the conventional pured associate technique of presentation so that his expermental subjects would have only a single trial in which to learn any given pair This was accomplished by removing from the list (on the subsequent trial) all pairs that were not learned on the previous trial and substituting new pairs He found that subjects who learned lists of paired associates presented in this manner learned them just as rapidly to a crite rion of one errorless trial as did control subjects who by following the con ventional procedure had one or more additional trials in which to learn those pairs missed on the first trial W & Estes B L Hopkins and E J Crother (1960) have also shown that when a list of paired associates are studied only once items which are incorrect on the first trial almost in variably tend to be incorrect on the second test trial Since the probability of making a correct response under these circumstances apparently does not increase from the first test trial to the second they concluded that associative strength either increases from 0 to 100 per cent on a given trial or shows no increase whatsoever

These latter studies however neither provide more definitive evidence than Thorndike's aforementioned research in ruling out the effect of fre quency on learning nor demolish the incremental conception of learning In addition to such methodological difficulties in both studies as failure to control for item difficulty⁶ (Lockhead 1961 Postman 1962 Underwood and Keppel 1962 Underwood Rehula and Keppel 1962 Wollen 1962) Estes interpretation of his findings does not allow for the possibility that frequency may result in gradual subliminal increments in associative strength that is in increments below threshold value that are not reflected in performance If for example a single study trial increases the associative strength of a given item in a list of paired associates but does not do so to threshold level the subtlireshold strength of the item is no more likely to lead to a correct response on a second test trial than on the first test trial provided that no study has intervened between the two test trials Hence the fact that the particular items incorrect on the first test trial also tend to be incorrect on the second test trial does not warrant the conclusion that the first study trial induces in learning whatsover in the annarently unlearned (subthreshold) items or no learning that could be incrementally benefited by a second trial

The notion of incremental learning in which frequency plays a significant role is of course by no means incompatible with the possibility that later trials may have a differentially greater effect on the yet unlearned com-

It seems highly probable that the particular items in a series of paired asso crates which a given subject fails to learn are more difficult for 1 im than those items which he succeeds in learning even if the two sets of items can be consilered equally d ficult when some nerrage criterion of difficulty such as Clare value is anohed

ponents of a total learning task than on those items already above threshold level Deductively it would also appear that this differential effect of later trails is less significant when trials are distributed rather than massed inasmuch as a long interval between trials leads to some forgetting of the learned items and hence to less difference in associative strength between learned and unlearned items. In more complex kinds of mathematical learning P. Suppes and R. Ginsberg (1962a) concede that the all-or none model can not explain all of the evidence.

One trial learning theorists are divided on the relationship between frequency and retention Estes Hopkins and Crother (1960) claim that their experimental evidence refutes the traditional finding that overlearning enhances retention but I Rock while insisting that repetition plays no role in the formation of associations other than that of providing the occasion of new ones to be formed each in a single trial concedes that repetition after the association is formed is effective in strengthening it (Rock 1957 p 193).

Advocates of the incremental position of course insist that typically with each successive trial associative strength is gradually increased until it reaches or exceeds threshold level rither than that the entire gain in associative strength is necessarily acquired in the single trial preceding the appearance of the correct response. They implicitly assume in other words that the increment in associative strength is attribuiable in whole or in part to the effect of repeated presentations of the learning task, that is to the influence of frequency alone and B J Underwood has more explicitly defended the role of frequency as such in rote verbal learning insisting that at the very least it is related to familiarity and thus accounts in part for the availability of response if not for the associative strength aspect of pared associate learning (Underwood 1959)

It is quite apparent that the issue regarding the role and importance of frequency in learning and retention is far from being settled Neverthe less the weight of the evidence and logic suggests that learning is typically a gradual (continuous) rather than an all-or none (discontinuous) phenom enon that it reflects a summation of increments in associative or dissociability strenguli wrought by reparted presentations of the learning task and hat the apparent abruptness of some learning outcomes (insight) really masks the occurrence of much prior hypothesis-formulation testing rejection and -confirmation activity which is organically related to the supposedly sudden emergence of the correct insight Even conceding that learning may sometimes occur in a single trial and that frequency seldom operates alone in learning and retention but rather in conjunction with other variables (for example the stability and clarity of related concepts in cognitive structure intention to learn reinforcement knowledge of re sults or feedback internal organization of the learning task one conuvely conclude that the frequency factor both actively interacts with these other variables is well as constitutes a significant variable in its own right in influencing learning retention outcomes. Evidence indicating that in certain kinds of leurning tasks frequency has no effect in the absence of be longingness explicit intention reward or feedback does not warrant the conclusion that when these factors are present most of the improvement accompanying repetition must be attributed to them rather than to frequency alone as a matter of fact there is much suggestive evidence that frequency can often enhance learning in the absence of explicit intention knowledge of results or drive reduction

It is not asserted of course that increments in learning necessarily occur at a uniform rate over a series of trials or that those components of a task that are already learned are benefited as much by later trials as are the yet unifearned components But even after a given association is established or a given meaning or means end relationship is correctly appre hended additional repetition increases associative or dissociability strength still further thereby enhancing retention

Transfer versus Direct Practice in Sequential Learning

Repetition is important not only for the mastery of the current or on going leurning task but also for the learning of new sequentially dependent tasks that presuppose such mastery or consolidation of the current task. This of course is an example of positive transfer. The relative value of transfer and direct practice has already been considered in another context. In a sequentially dependent learning situation one would expect degree of retained knowledge of early background material crucially to affect learning of the later material by providing relevant ideational scaffolding for it. Hence if such relevant ideational scaffolding were available and were clear and stable it should provide a better foundation for new learning and retenion than if it were not available or if available were not clear and stable. The stability and clarity of antecedent cognitive structure also affect the discriminability of new learning material from previously learned knowl edge Repention of the early background material therefore is one of the factors that enhances its stability and clarity and hence should facilitate the learning of sequentially dependent later material

The facilitating effect of repetition (consolidation) of background material on the learning of subsequently presented material apparently seems to operate only when the latter material is sequentially dependent on the prior background material. Thus in classroom learning tasks it is im portant to distinguish between (a) sequentially related materials that are sequentially defendent to prior learnings and (b) sequentially related materials. terials that are sequentially independent of such learnings. When we say that materials are sequentially related, we merely imply thit in terms of the logic of subject matter organization, it is more reasonable for one set of materials to precede another than wice versa Sequential dependence, how ever, also implies that the learning of the later material actually presupposes knowledge of the earlier material and is impossible without in 1 sequentially independent situations on the other hand knowledge of the earlier material is not required for learning the later miterial the latter set of material is other words is self-contained and can be learned adequately by itself without any reference to the previous set. Such sequential independence is frequently brought about in sequentially related leasons by including in the second lesson a synopsis or review of all of the material from the first lesson that is absolutely essential for the understanding of the second

The effect of consolidating knowledge of the first of two sequentially related but sequentially independent passages on ability to learn the second was recently investigated (Ausubel and Youssef, 1966) The first passage was concerned with the normal physiology of pubescence and the second with clinical (pathological) aspects of pubescence. It was found that greater knowledge of the passage dealing with the normal physiology of pubescence did not facilitate the learning of the sequentially related but sequentially independent (self contained) passage dealing with clinical conditions of pubescence Degree of knowledge of the normal physiology passage was manipulated by permitting subjects zero, one, or two readings of this passage prior to studying the clinical passage This finding was attributed to the current availability in the clinical passage of the minimum background material of normal physiology necessary for understanding the chinical material Under these conditions, the stability and clarity of both the directly relevant and of the collateral background material apparently became non determinative factors in learning the new material 7 M D Merrill (1965) and M D Merrill and L M Stolurow (1955) obtained substantially equiva lent results using programmed materials

One can best interpret these findings perhaps by comparing them to the results one might have anticipated with sequentially dependent passages and the presumed reasons for same. In a sequentially dependent situation one would expect degree of retained knowledge of early background material crucially to affect learning of the later material by providing relevant ideational scaffolding for 11 Hence, if such relevant ideational scaffolding were available and were clear and stable, it would provide a better foundia tion for new learning and reterinion than if it were not available, or, if

⁷ As previously suggested however prior consolidation would probably en hance the long term retention of the new material under these conditions

available, were not clear and stable Repetition of the early background material is one of the factors that enhances its stability and clarity, and hence should facilitate the learning of sequentially dependent later material

However, when the directly relevant ideational scaffolding from the first passage is included in the second passage, and is thus currently available for the learning of that second passage, the fact that the learner has been exposed to the same relevant material in another context (and that his knowledge of it had thereby become consolidated) apparently becomes a nondeterminative factor in learning the second passage, and the collateral background material is in any case, of too tangential a nature to constitute relevant ideational scaffolding and hence to affect significantly the learning and immediate retention of the second passage. It is quite possible, of course, that consolidation of the first passage would result in superior delayed re tention of the second passage.

These findings have some obvious implications for professional education which would of course, have to be validated by direct research evidence. They suggest that much preprofessional education does not enhance professional competence as such, that we might be able to produce physicians, engineers, teachers and so forth, who are just as competent professionally without giving them an elaborate series of preprofessional courses provided that the basic minimum of relevant preprofessional courses provided that the basic minimum of relevant preprofessional courses can naturally be defended on other grounds—the broadening of the individual *s* intellectual horizons and general culture. But if this latter function rather than the enhancement of professional for extually be their main justification it might be important for educators to revise their concept of professional education, particularly today when so many persons must be retrained queckly of new professional fields.

Distribution of Practice Effect on Learning and Retention

The distribution of practice has long been a lavorite topic of research and theoretical inquiry in the psychology of learning. In fact more empirical evidence is available regarding the effects of distributed practice on learning and retention than regarding the comparable effects of simple frequency of practice Generally speaking the evidence supports the conclusion that distributed practice is more effective than massed practice for both learning and retention. The relative efficacy of distributed practice however, depends on such lactors as the age and ability of the learner and the nature, quantity, and difficulty of the learning task. The advantages of distributed over massed prior relevant learning, and to length of the retention interval Rehearsal, a psychological variant of perseveration involving implicit practice, does not require any unparsimonious neurological assumptions, and may very well explain part of the effect of distributed practice in certain instances, but it definitely does not offer a complete explanation of the value of distributed practice, because the provision of inter trial rests has also been shown to facilitate learning in animals, in motor activities where rehearsal is im probable, and in practice schedules where rest intervals are filled with sleep (Spight, 1928) or other activities precluding rehearsal (Hovland, 1938, 1939, 1940a,b, 1940)

Fatigue or boredom (manifestations and causes of work decrement) do not provide very satisfactory explanations of the effects of distributed practice because few learning tasks in the laboratory are long or strenuous enough to give rise to either phenomenon Neither aspect of work decrement, furthermore, can account for the differential learning task and temporal position findings associated with distributed practice A somewhat more sophisticated work decrement explanation couched in terms of reactive inhibition" (the postulated self inhibitory potential produced by a given response following its elicitation, which supposedly dissipates with rest), is hardly more en lightening, inasmuch as the postulated mechanism of reactive inhibition invokes a purely hypothetical behavioral or neurophysiological process that has not been independently validated and is merely metaphorically descriptive of the empirical facts it purports to explain (the facilitation of learning when practice trials are distributed), the theory tends to be circular. Motiva uonal theories stress the decline in interest and drive accompanying fatugue or boredom, and are vulnerable, of course, to the same criticisms that have been applied to the latter theories

Forgetting theories are both theoretically most cogent and most in accord with the experimental evidence. They specify the following ways in which inter trial rests can facilitate later learning and/or retention trials (a) If it is true that on any given trial repetition primarily strengthens those components of the learning task that are yet unlearned, the forgetting of previously learned components that occurs between trials in distributed previously learned components to profit from the strengthening effect of later trials (b) Rest provides an opportunity both for the dissipation of the initial confusion and resistance characterizing initial learning shock, and for the forgetting of interfering (wrong, alternative, competing) responses or meanings (Underwood 1961) The dissipation of initial learning shock, here is comparable to that underlying the reminiscence effect in retenion, except that it occurs in relation to numerous rest intervals rather than to a single rest interval whereas the dissipation of the inhibition caused by incorrect competing alternatives reflects the differentially faster rate of restintervals it includes the following variables (a) the relative proportions of study trials (presentation of the material) and test trials (recitation or recally (b) the nature of the response overt or covert constructed or multiple choice verbatim recall or reformulated prompted or unprompted (c) whether practice trials are so organized that each trial encompasses either the learning task is a whole or merely parts of sime and (d) whether the number of repetitions and the rate of presenting new material is or is not related to the success of prior performance

Recitation versus Recapitulation

In reception learning where the learning task is to internalize presented materials (facts principles arbitrary associations) so that they are available for later reproduction the learner may either be presented with nimerous study trails or repetitions of the task or he may elect or be required to spend varying proportions of the total practice time in attempting to recall (recite) the material in test trails with or without the benefit of prompting. The relevant research findings support the conclusion that whereas increasing proportions of recitation tend to facilitate role learning and retention (Forlano 1936 Gates 1917 Hovland Lumsdane and Sheffield 1919) (retention more than learning) the facilitating effect of recitation on mean ingful learning and retention is both less striking and more equivocal (Gates 1917 Michael and Maccoby 1905 H. A Peterson 1914)

The effectiveness of recitation particularly for role material may be attributed to several factors First since the attempt to recall presented material actually tests whether and to what extent internalization (learning) has taken place the feedback that is provided in the next trial is there fore a much more significant factor after recitation than after recapitula ion it indicates explicitly and systematically what the correct associations or meanings are in relation to the internalized learning that has already taken place. Under these circumstances all of the effects of feedback—ns an intentive condition as cognitive confirmation correction clarification and evaluation of the adequacy of learning and as reinforcement following reduction of cognitive and ego-enhancing drives—are considerably intensified

A closely related immediate consequence of feedback in this context is that as a result of discovering which parts of the learning task have not yet been sufficiently mastered the subject is better able to locus his attention and effort selectively on these latter aspects. Second the more active kind of participation molylowed in recitation than in rereading implies greater learn ing effort which in addition to exerting a general lacilitating influence on learning differentially salvages items at or near threshold strength and leads to more active and measuraged organization of the learned material (use of rhythm mnemonic devices and conceptual organizers). Lastly the conditions of recitation more nearly resemble the conditions under which the learning will eventually be exercised than do those of recapitulation

For rote learning, where prompting is used, recitation is most effective if it is introduced after only a few study trials (Skaggs, and others, 1930) Without the benefit of prompting, however, recitation is more advantage ously introduced at a later stage of practice (L O Krueger, 1930, W C F Krueger, 1930) Recitation apparently cannot prove helpful until enough material is learned so that a test trial can provide almost as much practice as a study trial, but if prompts are furnished to fill in gaps of knowledge, recitation obviously becomes feasible at an earlier point in a series of practice trials. Thus the principle governing the optimal temporal position for introducing recitation is similar to the principle determining the optimal spacing of reviews if, on any given trial, the learner himself has to provide, from what he has previously learned, the stimulus material to be used for that trial (if he is given a test trial), the temporal arrangements must be such as to ensure the existence of sufficient learning or retention, respectively, to make practice or review profitable If, on the other hand, the learning task is presented to the learner in whole or in part, sufficiency of learning or retention is a less important consideration than Jost's laws

The markedly reduced effectiveness of recitation with respect to meaningful learning and retention is not difficult to understand. To begin with, the logical sequential structure of connected meaningful discourse makes implicit recitation possible during the same trial, that is, in the course of rereading subjects typically tend to anticipate the remembered facts and propositions that follow logically from the material they are currently perusing In the case of meaningful material, also where the achievement of understanding is both a reward and an incentive in its own right, less effort is required for learning, and the incentive and ego enhancement values of feedback are less important Explicit testing is similarly less necessary for the confirmation, correction, clarification and evaluation effects of feedback in view of the fact that the internal logic of the material partly provides its own feedback--enables subjects to appreciate whether they have grasped meanings correctly and, in any case, implicitly to test their understandings against the next presentation of the material Finally, meaningful learning tasks benefit less from the organizing effects of recitation since they possess an inhereni organization of their own Nevertheless, reculation can still facilitate meaningful learning-even when conducted early in the course of learning and without the use of prompts

Nature of the Response

OVERTNESS Closely related to but not completely coextensive with the recitation recapitulation issue is the problem of whether the subjects mode of response during practice is overt or covert Overtness of response does not necessarily imply recall or construction as does recitation, but merely some measure of activity and externibily (observability). Hence, either reading, listening to, or "mentility composing" answers to questions can be regarded as 'covert' response, whereas both the construction of an appropriate answer and the selection of a suitable multiple choice alternative must be categorized as 'covert' Admittedly, however, constructed responses rank huber on a scale of overtiness than do selected responses

The overt-covert dimension of practice has been explored principally in relation to a limited variety of automitted instruction contexts-those involving meaningful learning, using programs of short duration, and, for the most part, requiring short term retention The research findings, under these conditions, indicate that subjects who respond convertly not only learn and retain verbal material as well as or better than subjects who construct their responses, but also do so more efficiently in terms of learning time10 (Della Piana, 1961, Evans, Glaser, and Homme, 1960c, Goldbeck and Briggs. 1960, Goldbeck, Campbell, and Llewellyn, 1960, Krumboltz, 1961, Lambert. and others 1962, Pressey, 1962a, Roc, 1960, Silberman 1962, Silverman and Alter, 1960, Stolurow and Walker, 1962, Wittrock, 1963d, Yarmey, 1964) Overt selection of multiple choice answers, for instance, by pushing a button, is similarly no more effective than listening to or reading the correct under lined answers (Kaess and Zeaman, 1960, Keislar and McNeil, 1961; McNeil and Keislar, 1961) Under certain circumstances, however, (see below). overtness of response may lacilitate learning and retention

In trying to understand these findings and to reconcile them with the research on recrtation, it is necessary to consider the various ways in which overtices of response influences, or allegedly influences, learning and reten tion. In the first place, it is self evident that overtness of response facilitates perceptual motor learning in instances where the over(1) practiced response itself us one of the objects of learning (that is, part of the learning task). But where the overt response (for example, writing pressing a lever) is already a well-established component of the learner's response repetrory and con stuties merely a nonspecific means of responding to test questions, it is obvious that the response acquisition advantage of overtness is irrelevant and that overt response are more time consuming and less efficient than there covert counterparts (Cagné, 1952b, Walker and Stolucrow, 1962).

¹⁰ J D Krumbolt and R G Weisman (1962a) found the overt response mode more effective in delayed (two-week) retention, but M C Wittrock (1965d), using a one year retention criterion failed to conditim their findings W A Hillix and M H Marx (1960) reported that subjects who actively made their own trial and error responses in learning light circuits learned less efficiently than subjects who observed others making the very same response R A Goldbeck and V N Campbell (1962) on the other hand found the covert response mode differentially more effective in delayed versus simediate retention

Second, it is widely asserted that behavior must be emitted in order to be properly reinforced through drive reduction (J G Holland, 1960, Skinner, 1958) Nevertheless, although this notion is a key assumption of the more orthodox brands of behaviorism there is little theoretical justifica ion for believing that associations and response dispositions (sets) cannot be similarly reinforced

Third, overtness of response plainly makes more explicit testing of knowledge possible which, in turn enhances the cognitive drive reduction and motivational effects of feedback. This consideration is probably very important for rote learning, and undoubtedly accounts for much of the value of recitation when rote materials are used, but, for reasons already specified, it has little applicability to meaningful learning. Thus since practically all of the research in this area has been conducted with poten tially meaningful programmed materials it is not surprising that the findings have been almost uniformly negative. The facilitating effect of overtness of response on meaningful learning is further reduced in an automated instruction context inasmuch as the provision of feedback tends to make relatively httle difference when the error rate is low (Evans Glaser, and Homme, 1960a) if because of small step size (slow rate of introducting new material), the subject's responses are almost invariably correct in any case he obviously does not stand to profit very much from the potentially facilitating cognitive effects of feedback. In support of this interpretation is the fact that overtness of response is differentially more effective for difficult than for easy pro grammed material (Goldbeck, 1960, Goldbeck and Campbell 1962) and for intellectually less able than for intellectually more able students (Witt rock, 1963d) Suppes and Ginsberg (1962b) report that overt correction of error facilitates mathematical concept learning in 6 year old children, but from their data it is not clear whether the overtness of the correction or merely the correction procedure itself is the determinative variable

Meaningfulness of material, is previously explained, also negates a fourth possible reason for the effectiveness of overt responses—the fact that the latter imply greater activity and hence greater effort and more efficient organization of learning¹³. It is interesting to note in this connection that when overity and convertly responding subjects do not differ in motivation, they also do not differ in learning outcomes (McNeil and Keislar, 1961). This suggests but does not confirm the possibility that the factilitating effects of overiness when they do occur ite partly mediated by motivational variables.

Lastly, overt response during practice could concervably facilitate learning by resembling more closely than covert response the response mode

¹¹ According to J G Holland (1960) automated instruction even leads to a more active type of covert learning inasmuch as the maternal stands still instead of moving past the learner (as in a book or lectyner) when his attention wanders

that is typically required in the criterial situation. In an empirical test of this hypothesis however, response mode had no more effect on learning outcomes when the overt response was directly relevant to the behavior sampled on the post test (Vittrock. 1963d) than when such relevance was lacking (Aesilar and McNeil 1962)

CONSTRUCTED OR MULTIPLE-CHOICE The rationale for constructing rather than selecting answers during practice trials is precisely the same as that already specified for overtness of response (see above) plus the fact that exposing subjects to wrong answers presumably engenders and strength ens undestred competing responses (Skinner, 1958) These considerations, of course apply primarily to the learning of rote materials both hecause overt ness of response is not particularly advantageous in meaningful learning (see above) and because the presence of competing responses affects mean ingful learning differently than it does rote learning. In the case of arbitrary verbatim learning the increased availability of competing responses is self-evidently harmful masmuch as the desired arbitrary response is correct by definition and only has to be discriminated from similar rote responses that actually occur in recent proximity (rather than from all other logically plausible alternatives) in these circumstances furthermore one response is inherently just as plausible as another. In the case of meaningful learn ing however where the new learning task largely consists of discriminating the correct meaning from other relevant alternatives and where built in criteria exist in cognitive structure and in the learning material itself for assessing relative degrees of plausibility identification of the relevant alterna tives constitutes the first step in enhancing the discriminability of the newly presented ideas

The clarification of meaningful new ideas in other words is primarily a process of differentiating the propositions in question from related established propositions in cognitute structure and from other plausible alterna tives in the learning material (Pressey, 1962a 1962b). But before the comparative and evaluative aspects of such differentiation can be successfully undertaken it is first necessary to identify as precisely as possible the nature and source of the confusion that is to make explicit the various relevant alternatives ³⁷ S. L. Pressey's adjunctive auto-instruction (1960) uses multiple choice items to sharpen meanings after initial presentation and learning of the material N. A Crowder (1960) on the other hand employs

¹² That learners can profit from the exposure to and mustaken choice of wrong alternatives is shown by the fact that the percentage of correct answers increased on reletion one month later for subjects in an experimental group who were given auto-instruction with multiple choice items after sudying the learning task (R S Jones, 19-90) This increase did not occur in the case of control subjects who did not receive any auto-instruction

Method of Practice

the multiple choice format as part of the programming procedure itself (intrinsic programming') the subject chooses one of several presented alternatives for a given test item and, depending on the particular wrong alternative he chooses, is then given a differential set of corrective materials which both explain the nature of his error and retest him for evidence of clanfication

Research on the relative efficacy of constructed and selected responses (Briggs, 1958, Coulson and Silberman, 1960a, Evans, Claser and Homme, 1960b, Roe, 1960b, Broe, 1960b, Broe, 1960b, Broe, 1960b, Broe, 1960b, Broe, 1960b, The and the selected response modes are not significantly different in terms of learning and retention outcomes, but that the constructed mode is less efficient (requires more time). Since all of the advantages of the constructed response are least applicable, it is not surprising that the latter response mode was not shown to be superior. In the one study reporting a significant difference in favor of constructed responses (Fry, 1960), it is notable that the learning task (Spanish vocabulary) was both more rote like and relatively difficult (high error rate). In another study, version to the singer mode was possible superiority of the multiple choice format for the learning and reten ton of meaningful maternals also failed to be empirically substantiated by these studes It is conceivable, however, that the discriminability advantage inherent in the multiple choice response mode was constructed to be the greater learning time and effort involved in construction response.

PROMPTING AND GUIDANCE The learner's responses during the course of practice may be completely unaided on the one hand, or rereive the benefit of varying degrees of external assistance, on the other The nature and significance of such assistance obviously differ greatly depending on whether reception or discovery learning is involved In a discovery learning situation, assistance takes the form of guidance—providing cues which detract from the learner's opportunity for autonomous discovery Hence, guidance refers to and affects the reception discovery dimension of learning. The provision of complete guidance is tantamount to presenting the learner with the essential content of the learning task (reception learning), whereas the absence of any guidance whatsoever requires completely autonomous dis covery The degree of guidance furnished in most instances of discovery, learning typically falls between these two extremes Guided discovery, for example, often consists of (a) Socratic or rhetorical questioning (Larson, 1963), (b) the arrangement of a hierarchical series of examples or problems for the learner, graded in difficulty which when completed, lead almost inevitably to the correct principle or generalization (Beberman, 1958), (c) the provision of a general rule without examples or the provision of worked

۲.

ł,

70

30

.

examples without a rule (Writrock, 1963c), (d) furnishing verbal directions - ---that guide discovery (Gagné and Brown, 1961, Gagné, Mayor, Garstens, and a 21 Paradise, 1962), and (e) providing a demonstration, special exercises, or 12 didactic instruction that highlight underlying substantive principles, correct form critical cues, or efficient strategies of attack (T Anderson, 1942, Cox, 1933, Davies, 1945, Goodenough and Brian, 1929, May and Lumsdaine, z- -1958, Vander Meer, 1945) All of these latter methods have proven more 123 effective than either complete discovery or reception learning, particularly tr. in the retention and transfer of problem solving skills

22 In a reception learning situation, external assistance takes the form of prompting during the test trials This assistance does not affect the autonomy 1r of discovery, since the content of the learning task is wholly presented in •= any case, but does influence the autonomy of reproduction. The learner is 62 assisted, in whole or in part, to reproduce previously presented material ٤. which as yet has not been internalized above the threshold of availability If the entire and explicit substance of the information demanded by the test item is furnished the sumulus support can be regarded as a prompt, if the stimulus support is less complete and explicit during the test trial, it can be considered a cue

Prompting is more necessary and effective in the earlier stages of reception learning because at this time the learner has not yet internalized sufficient material to receive much practice benefit from unaided recitation (Briggs, 1961, Della Piana, 1961) Furthermore, the provision of prompts at this early point of practice can prevent guesswork and the learning of errors (incorrect competing responses) and thus obviate the necessity for costly unlearning For such reasons, prompting is more efficacious than confirma tion (feedback) for relatively short periods of practice in reception learning (Briggs, 1958, 1961, Cook and Spitzer, 1960, Hoyland, Lumsdaine, and Sheffield, 1949, Kaess and Zeaman, 1960, Silberman, Melaragno, and Coulson, 1961 a and b, L. M Smuth, 1962) During the later stages of practice, however, these considerations are obviously less relevant. In addition, it is important that the conditions of practice gradually begin to approximate the desired (unprompted) end point of the learning product Hence, as the amount of correct learning increases, both reduction of the completeness and explicitness of the prompts (J G Holland, 1960, Israel, 1960, Lumsdaine, 1961, Popp and Porter, 1960) and their replacement by confirmation (Angell and Lumsdaine, 1960, Lumsdaine, 1961; Stolurow, 1961b) are advantageous for further learning On theoretical grounds it also seems plausible that prompting could be profitably dispensed with earlier in the case of meaning ful than of rote reception fearning because of the more rapid rate of acqui sition and the different role played by competing responses

A review of short term studies of the role of guidance in meaningful discovery learning leads to the conclusion that guided or semi autonomous
discovery (either providing the learner with a verbal explanation of the underlying principles and permitting him to apply them autonomously to specific examples or encouraging him to discover the principles himself after working a carefully graded series of relevant problems) is more efficatious for learning retention and transfer than is either completely autonomous discovery or the provision of complete guidance M C Wittrock (1963c) and Wittrock and P A Twelker (1964) further substan tiated this conclusion recently in well controlled studies in which college students were taught to decipher transposition codes Although more reten toon and transfer¹³ occurred when the rule was provided than when it was not provided an intermediate type of guidance (furnishing either the rule itself or a worked example or furnishing neither rule nor worked example or furnishing neither rule nor worked example or furnishing neither rule nors on solutions of worked examples. Guidance under these circumstances apparently sensitizes the learner to the salient aspects of the problem orients him to the goal and promotes economy of learning by preventing misdirected effort¹⁴.

Some opportunity for automonus discovery is obviously necessary in those instances where the object of learning is not merely the acquisition of knowledge but also the development of skill in formulating general principles and in applying them to particular problem situations Verbally presented principles it is true are transferable to such situations even if they

¹³ This superiority of guided discovery over reception learning is partly reflective of an experimental artifact Since criterial tests in this experimental design in variably involve discovery learning the guided discovery group enjoys the advantage of transferable discovery expensive (problem solving strategy of attack) in the learning trails This interpretation is especially pertiment to G. L. Larsons (1963) study in which a guided discovery group obtained higher scores on a transfer test than did either a complete discovery or a no discovery group despite the fact that all three groups performed almost identically on an immediately preceding delayed retenion test.

¹⁴ It should be noted that even though subjects who learn by the complete or guided discovery methods enjoy the advantage of iransferable discovery experience from learning to criterial trails liky are in another sense at a disadvantage com pared to the reception learning group with respect to opportunity for acquisition retention and iransfer. Insofar as they fail in many instances to discover the prince this variable by presented to the latter group they necessarily mainfest less ability to demonstrate acquisition retention and transfer. Larson (1965) controlled this variable by presenting the discovery groups a summary of the correct principles after an initial petrod of autonomous or guided learning. Nevertheless he found that the guided discovery group was sull inferior on the acquisition retentials incove the experimenter's verbalization of the principles interfreed with the consolidation of initiative principles metriging during the transing.

are not self-discovered, but the ability to solve a particular class of problems efficiently also presupposes experience in coping with the distinctive features of that class of problems in hypothesis-formulation and testing in the strategy of application, in identifying fruiful approaches that minimize cosily risk and unnecessary cognitive strain, in using systematic and economic methods of inquiry, and in maintaining a flexible and meaningful learning set. Actual discover; experience is even more important in trial and-error learning and in the learning of perceptual motor skills. Adequate learning in these circumstances also requires that the individual learn what not to do, and for this be needs first hand experience in making mistakes and correct ing them. Thus although appropriate guidance helps the learner avoid unnecessary error in the carly stages of practice, its value tends to diminish as it increases in amount or extends into the later stages of practice (Carr, 1930, Gates and Taylor, 1926). Since he must eventually perform the learning task unnaded, he must also avoid becoming overdependent on guidance.

In conclusion, the unquestioning faith which advocates of incidental learning have in autonomous unguided discovery is justified neither by logic nor by research evidence in the first place, laboratory and problem solving exercises are not inherently or necessarily meaningful. They may lead to hitle or no meaningful learning if a student's learning set is simply roolly to memorize type problems or techniques of manipulating reagents and symbols, and if he has inadequate background in or appreciation of the substantice and methodological principles underlying specific problem solving or laboratory procedures.

Second, what is typically called 'the discovery method is really a contrived type of discovery that is a far cry from the truly autonomous discovery activities of the research scholar or scientists. Pure discovery techniques could lead only to utter chaos and a waste of time in the classroom, insamuch as immature students generally lack sufficient subject matter sophistication both to formulate workable problems and to devise appropriate and relevant research methods. Before students can "discover generalizations reasonably efficiently, problems must be structured for them in such a way as to make ultimate discovery almosy ineviable.

Third, numerous short term studies have demonstrated that guided discovery is more efficacions for learning retention, and transfer than is either completely autonomous divocety on the provision of complete guidance. However, these findings do not necessarily indicate that guided discovery is more effective for teaching subject matter content than is simple didactic exposition For one thing the solving by a raise subject of a few novel problems in a laboratory setting is hardly comparable to the learning of a large body of sequentially organized material by a learner with varping degrees of subject matter sophistication. The problems used in laboratory studies are deliberately chosen on the basis of their relative unrelatedness to previously acquired knowledge. For another, even contrived discovery techniques are typically more time consuming than expository teaching Much also depends on the relative time cost of the two approaches, on the cognitive maturity of the learner, on his degree of subject matter sophistica tion, on the nature of the learning task (descriptive information, representa tional equivalents, or principles that are discoverable by stating and testing hypotheses), and on whether the objective of the learning experience is to acquire knowledge, enhance problem solving ability or obtain insight into sciencific method

Lastly, guidance in the form of prompting has been shown to be very helpful during the early stages of learning. At this point in the learning process the learner has not yet mastered sufficient material to receive much practice benefit from unaided recitation. Further, the provision of prompts can prevent the learning of errors and thus obviate the necessity for costly unlearning.

VERBATIM RECALL VERSUS REFORMULATED RESPONSE In measuring the learner's comprehension and retention of meaningful verbal content, test stems can be appropriately constructed either to encourage verbatim recall of the presented material or to lead lum to reformulate his understanding of the material in terms of his own vocabulary and ideational background Although explicit empirical evidence is lacking on this issue the reformula tion approach has at least three theoretical arguments in its favor. It not only constitutes a more valid measure of genuine understanding but also requires the more active participation of the learner in the testing situation and tends to discourage the adoption of a rote learning set in future learning efforts Other ways of accomplishing the same purposes in a formal testing context include the use of a multiple choice format employing application or problem solving items and measuring ability to learn a new set of propo sitions presupposing mastery of the content being tested In a less formal testing context the substitution of appropriate recitation trials for study truals tends to encourage reformulation rather than verbatim reproduction

Whole versus Part Learning¹⁵

Whether it is more effective to practice a given learning task as a whole or to practice various component parts separately, depends on the interaction between a large number of complex variables. Each method possesses certain

A

¹⁵ Because of the voluminous and somewhat antiquated character of the research literature in this area older studies are not cited Excellent reviews of the literature can be found in J A McGeoch and A L. Irion (1952 pp 499 507) and R S Woodworth (1938 pp 216 223)

type program the successful learner merely proceeds to the next set of items and the unsuccessful learner is given another easier series of items or a differ entral set of corrective materials related to the nature of his errors Lastly in ential set of corrective materials realect to the matter of its error Labor in either type of program size of step may be increased on subsequent items for the successful individual and decreased for the unsuccessful individual. The nondifferential program in which all learners proceed through the same sequence of steps is conventionally referred to as linear, in contrast to the branching or multiple track type of differential program Available research evidence regarding this issue is far from being definitive The weight of the evidence suggests that branching programs requiring either simple repetition of incorrectly answered items or more differential corrective exercises are not only more efficient in terms of learning time (Briggs, 1958, Coulson and Silberman 1960a) but also result in learning outcomes that are either equal to (Beane 1962, Briggs 1958 Coulson and Silberman 1960a, S R Meyer, 1960a Silberman Melaragno and Coulson 1961b) or better than (Coulson and others 1962 Holland and Potter 1961, Irion and Briggs 1957) those of linear programs

On purely theoretical grounds the branching procedure should be superior to the linear procedure because it ensures mastery (consolidation) of a prior item of knowledge in a sequentially organized program before the learner can proceed to the next step in the sequence It accomplishes this objective by adapting both to intra individual differences with respect to the relative difficulty level of different portions of the program, and to interindividual differences in general intellectual ability and in particular subject matter sophistication The branching program in other words. requires both that all learners devote selectively greater learning effort to those stems they find more difficult and that generally less able learners, on the average, take more practice trials than generally more able learners in mastering a given unit of material It also takes into account the par ticular reasons or misconceptions underlying errors in each individual, and endeavors to correct rather than to ignore them Thus quite apart from, and in addition to the effect of consolidation on sequentially dependent learning differential practice and feedback result in greater original learning of each component item in a related series of learning tasks

B F Skinner (1958) argues on the other hand that consolidation can be assured for all practical purposes without requiring repetition of incor rectly answered items by using a linear program with small task and step size and correspondingly low error rate. Under these circumstances differ enual programs are allegedly unnecessary for different ability levels since even low ability students do not make an appreciable number of errors and high ability students do not make an appreciable number of errors and high ability students do not make an appreciable number of errors and high ability students do not make an appreciable number of errors and ing that differential adjustment of step size to ability level does not sig mificantly enhance learning outcomes, his findings, however, have no bearing whitsoever on the repetition or correction issue. In this latter connection it should be noted that previously crucid evidence suggests that learning out comes are adversely affected by lack of opportunity to correct errors. This is particularly true for low ability students who, despite an ostensibly low error rate, actually learn considerably less than high ability students after completing the same hnear program (Beane, 1962, Keislar and McNeil, 1961, Shry, 1961, Silberman, and others 1961b, Wittrock, 1965d) It is, therefore, unsafe to assume that dull students necessarily learn as much as bright students from the linear programs that they both complete, and that the only difference between them hes in the number of programs each group is able to master in a given unit of time.

General Conditions of Practice

In addition to frequency, distribution, and various specific aspects of method of practice (recitation versus recapitulation, nature of the response, whole versus part upproach linear versus branching programs) many gen eral conditions of practice undoubtedly influence learning and retention outcomes. These conditions include learning set, naturalness of the practice setting, and degree of task homogeneity. Unfortunately however, relatively little research evidence is available regarding the effects of these important variables.

Natural versus Structured Settings (Drill)

One of the strongest legacies of the progressive education movement and of Thorndikian educational psychology that still remains on the peda gogic scene is a confused and contradictory attitude toward structured practice or drill. As a result, we often tend to minimize the value of drill—but more in educational theory than in actual practice. The very term drill still evokes timsavory connotations in educational carcles Actually, of course, drill is a necessary and indispensable aspect of classroom learning. In a generic sense it refers to those aspects of the practice variable that affect learning outcomes J B. Stroud puts the matter very well.

In our anxiety over the abuses alleged and real we have had a tendency to forget the fact that there are intelligent constructive uses of dnll Drill is cur rently purported and by some who have been identified with education long enough to know better to be the handwork of stimulus-response psychology

In appraising drill as a teaching procedure it is well to remember that it is not mere repetition but repetition of the conditions of learning that is effective Dull can be effective ineffective or positively detrimential spirited or spiritless Pupils do not necessarily learn just because they engage in drill. In the best demonstrated practice pupils are engaged in drift after the need of it has been

Duil should be recognized for what it is worth and no more Perhaps no one has ever maintained sensoisly that dny in spelling will teach a pupil to think cul invate his character improve his social adjustment or make him more democratic. Other provisions are made for these aspects of his education. By drill in spelling he does learn to spell. The culturation of his rational abilities or of his personality be it every well done is not sufficient to teach him to spell.

Undoubtedly there are many undestrable features of drill work in our schools Is should not be allowed to become monotonous Excessic and unessential written work il ould be avoided Because of its repetitive character pupits are likely to lose interest in it more quickly than in most other kinds of activity For this reason the length of such practice periods should be relatively short (Stroud 1942 pp 369 364)

The fettsh of naturalism and incidental learning embodied in the ac tivity program movement emphasizes these five points (a) instructured and uncontrived learning situations (b) direct kinds of experience in a con crete manipulative sense (c) unintentional or nondeliberate learning effort (d) learning by autonomous unguided discovery and (e) exposure to duter under than repetitive experience. The issue of intentional learning will be considered in Chapter 10 Some attention has already been given to the problem of guided learning and the matter will be considered at greater length in the discussion nearning by discovery in Chapter 14. The size of task homogenetity will be considered in the next section.

How desirable is it that practice takes place in natural (real life un contrived) settings' Enthusiastic supporters of project and activity methods as we have already seen take a rather extreme position on this issue reject ing all kinds of highly structured practice (drill) and advorating in effect an incidental type of learning It is true of course (providing that all fac tors are equal) that learning is enhanced when the conditions of practice closely resemble the conditions under which the skill or knowledge in question will eventually be used. Such learning is also less likely to be monotonous and enjoys the benefit of lugher levels of interest and motivation Whally natural settings however sarely provide the practice conditions that are either necessary or optimal for efficient learning. Generally it is that are entire incession or optimal for entire terming overeating a pro-only during the latter stages of learning *after* component aspects of the learning task have already been identified and mastered in structured practice sessions that naturalissic dress rehearsals become feasible. In the first place uncontrived learning experiences typically fail to include a sufficient number of properly spaced practice trials as well as adequate opportunity for differential repetition of particularly difficult components Second un structured practice does not receive the benefit of skilled pedagogic selec tion presentation and organization of material of careful sequencing pacing, and gradition of difficulty, and of optimal balancing of intra task repetition, intra task variability and inter task variability Lastly, most learning effort is enhanced by deliberate intention to learn The important teaching principle of initial simplification of difficult learning tasks for unsoplusticated pupils runs counter to the doctrine of

The important teaching principle of initial simplification of difficult learning tasks for unsophilisticated pupils runs counter to the doctrine of natural or unstructured learning Exposing an unsophisticated learner to all of the complexities of natural, unarranged data in the laboratory, or of subile distinctions and qualifications in expository teaching, is the surget way of confusing and overwhelming him. The use of artificial 'crutches,'' gradation of difficulty, and slowing down the rate of presentation (Baker and Osgood, 1954, Lawrence and Goodwin, 1954, von Wright, 1957) are common forms of simplification in classroom learning

common forms of simplification in classroom learning In an introductory course, simplification of content-without teaching wrong ideas that have to be unlearned later—is always justifiable and in dicated This can be accomplished by simply presenting more general and less complete versions of much of the same material that can be presented subsequently in greater depth and at high levels of sophistication In an introductory course in biology, for example, it is less damaging to present inadequate historical detail and experimental evidence than to obscure the major concepts by providing excessive historical and experimental data

It is possible, for example, to present ideas relatively simply—yet cor rectly—m an introductory course in high school biology by deleting a great deal of the dispensable terminological, methodological, and historical de tail, as well as many of the intermediate steps in argumentation, by tele scoping or condensing material, by eliminating tangential asides' and less important qualifications, by limiting the scope of coverage, by omitting formulas, equations, and structural diagrams of complex molecules that are actually meaningless to unsophisticated students, by keeping the level of discourse general and simple, by writing lucidly, using terms precisely and consistently, and griving concuse and familiar examples, by using schematically simplified models and diagrams, and by bearing in mind that a stuation point exists for any student. An aypotically high level of sophistica tion may sometimes be employed simply to illustrate the complexity of a given topic, but in these mistances students should be explicitly instructed not to master the details

Many features of the activity program were based on the self-evident proposition that the elementary school child perceives the world in rela tively concrete and intuitive terms and requires considerable direct experience with many concrete instances of a given set of relationships before he can acquire genuinely memingful concepts and generalizations. Thus, an attempt was made to teach factual information and intellectual skills through the medium of direct, manipulative experience in natural settings rather than through verbal exposition and drill In older pupils however, once a sufficient number of basic abstract concepts is acquired new concepts are primarily derived from other verbal abstractions rather than from direct expenence and new propositions are comprehended without any direct reference to or manipulation of concrete props. In the secondary school therefore, it may be desirable to reverse both the sequential relationship and relative proportion between abstract concepts and concrete data. Thus there is good reason for believing that much of the time presently spent in busynork laboratory exercises in the sciences could be more advantageously employed in formulating more precise definitions differentiating explicitly between related concepts, generalizing from hypothetical situations and so forth

Task Homogeneily

Proponents of activity programs tend to favor task heterogeneity in practice That is they seek, in part to escape the opprobrium associated with drill by stressing diversity both in the types of learning tasks and in the examples of each type that are presented to the learner

Relative degree of task homogeneity is olten an important practical consideration in the learning of skills and inductively acquired concepts and principles The issue is whether such learnings can be acquired most efficiently as a result of intensive practice with just a few exemplars, or as a result of less intensive practice with a large variety of exemplars. We have already concluded in an earlier chapter that other factors being equal, the defining attributes of a given concept are learned most readily when the concept is encountered in many diverse contexts. Such experience obviously lessens the particularity and enhances the generality of abstract knowledge and transferable skills It is important to qualify this conclusion, however, by pointing out that if this multi-contextual experience is acquired at the expense of attaining adequate mastery of the particular component tasks which comprise it its over all effect on learning is detrimental. In learning general concepts principles and skills inductively experience with a par ucular exemplar has a possible transfer effect on other exemplars only if it is adequately consolidated and similarly it is only by mastering several exemplars in the same fashion that the total experience can be successfully unlited in formulating a transferable generalization. Thus transfer in learning set problems requires mastery within a given type of problem, as well as experience with many variants of this problem type When com pared with giving only one class (with eight problems) two classes (with four problems per class) may be enough of a variety to enhance transfer to new [problem solving] instances (Wittrock and Twelker 1964) Also if the supportive empirical instances of a concept (Kurtz and Hovland, 1956) or a proposition are 100 hetesogeneous in content or sequence of presenta tion learning is impeded

It seems, therefore, that efficient learning of transferable skills and knowledge demands a proper balance between the overlearning of particular intra task instances, on the one hand and adequate exposure to intra and inter task diversity, on the other These two conditions of practice are com plementary and mutually supportive rather than antithetical or mutually preclusive, although it is quite probable that their optimal proportions vary in different learning tasks Many cases of disability in particular academic skills can undoubtedly be attributed to overemphasis on the importance of diversified experience in unstructured featuring situations with consequent insufficiency of practice and failure to attain mastery of the component habit exemplars from which the skill in question is derived Hence, we should not lose sight of the fact that the acquisition of general skills is dependent upon the prior consolidation of more particular habit exemplars ¹⁶ and that these skills are therefore not efficiently or satisfactorily established unless learners practice the underlying exemplars sufficiently to master them thoroughly Generally speaking, educators have tended to stress the importance of ex tensity as opposed to intensity in learning Actually, if a choice must be made it is preferable to know a few things well than to have a passing ac quaintance with many A small quantity of consolidated knowledge is both useful and transferable, a large quantity of diffuse and unstable knowledge is utterly useless

Another obsious advantage of multi-contextual learning providing it does not interfere with intra task mastery, is that it prevents boredom and enhances the exploratory drive This is particularly true in the case of more intelligent learners less inter task variability is required to sustain the interest of duller pupils (Armistead, 196i) Learning set considerations bearing on desirable degree of inter task variability in practice will be considered in the next section

Learning Set

The term learning set refers to cutrent disposition to learn or per form in a particular way Hence, in its broader meaning it also includes the learner is disposition to learn in a rote or meaningful fashion Meaning ful learning set as one of the major prerequisites for meaningful is obviously an important general condution of practice, but has already been fully discussed in another context

¹⁶ Skills are generally differentiated from babits (a) in being executed more deliberately and less mechanically and (b) in embodying a general capacity to per form a whole class of operations rather than mere fachty in executing a particular exemplar of that class When a person becomes highly proficient at a given skill however the psychological distinction between skill and habit tends to vanish the entire class of operations inten acquires nearly as much particularity as the former habit and becomes almost as mechanical in its execution

to take advantage of both the learning to-learn and the warm up components of learning set At the same inme, however, enough intertask content should be introduced to prevent the mechanical perseveration of a given learning set, and to discourage rigidity of approach and the de velopment of a rote learning attitude. The need for multiple warm up periods is one of the chief disadvantages of distributed practice, and renders such distribution unfeasible in certain tasks requiring considerable sustained effort.

Knowledge of Results (Feedback)

On theoretical grounds, knowledge of results or feedback would appear to be an extremely important practice variable. Nevertheless, because of serious gaps and inadequacies in the available research evidence, we possess very little unequivocal information either about its actual effects on learning or about its mechanism of action

As previously indicated, some knowledge of results is apparently essen tial for learning in those perceptual motor tasks where a variable or indeter minate response must be given to a constantly presented simulus II, for example, the learner is repeatedly asked to draw a three inch line, he obviously cannot manifest any improvement unless he knows to what extent his efforts approximate the desired standard (E L Thorndike, 1981, 1982) In other instances, however, where both stimulus and response are provided (for instance, paired associate learning), or where the learner must simply comprehend and internatize the material presented to line, feedback facil itates learning and reteniion (Hershberger, 1964), but is certainly not in dispensable for either outcome Feedback, furthermore, is not even indupensable for all types of perceptual motor learning. In tasks such as gunnery, where appropriate responses or stimulus response connections are already well established, enhancing knowledge of results (for example, by sounding a buzier whenever the learner is exactly on target) improves current per formance but does not result in any transferable gain in learning (Gagné, 1962b)

Mechanism of Action

An equally important issue, assuming that feedback is indispensable for some kinds of learning and has a facilitating influence on others con cerns the mechanism whereby this facilitation is effected Behaviorstically oriented theorists (J G Holland, 1960, Hull 1913, McGeoch and Irion, 1952, Skinner, 1938 1958, E. L. Thiorndike, 1931, Trowbridge and Cason. 1932) tend to attribute the effects of feedback largely to reinforcement or

In the present context, therefore we shall consider learning set only insofar as it reflects the influence of recently brior learning experience or activity This aspect of learning set reflects both (a) general methodological sophistication in approaching a given learning task or attacking a particular type of problem (learning to learn) and (b) an appropriate performance attitude or momentary state of readiness for engaging in a particular kind of activity (warm up effect) Both of these components of learning set obviously contribute to positive transfer Thus irrespective of the kind of learning involved (nonsense syllables mazes poetry paired adjectives) prac tice on one task tends to facilitate the learning of another similar task providing that there is no conflictful overlapping of content between them (Thune 1950a Ward 1937) H F Harlows fearning let plienomenon largely reflects the cumulative influence of learning to learn as a result of successive intra and inter task experience with a particular type of discrimination problem Learning set is therefore a significant general con dition of practice to bear in mind in ordering the distribution and sequenc ing of practice as well as the optimal degree of inter trial task homogeneity

It is important on theoretical grounds not to confound the learning tolearn and warm up aspects of learning set. The former consists of relatively stable cognitive acquisitions concerned with the strategy of learning that are derived from past learning experience and which influence the actual content and direction of ongoing learning activity the latter consists of transitory readiness factors involved in the momentary focusing of atten tion mobilization of effort, and overcoming of initial inertia that are assocated with being appropriately set to perform a given task. Warm up effects naturally are rather rapidly dissipated (C E Hamilton 1950) ac counting at most for part of the inter task improvement in learning that occurs during the course of a single day s practice longer term improvement (from one day to another) must be accounted for solely in terms of learning to-learn effects (Thune 1950b) A L Irion (1949) has shown that much rote forgetting is caused by the loss of set to recall that takes place during the retention interval By using a warming up (color naming) task during the rest interval he was able greatly to facilitate the retention of paired assocates it in the case of meaningful retention warm up effects are also presumably operative but probably less conspicuously so than in role retention

In programming potentially meaningful material it is obviously im portant to preserve sufficient commonality between successive learning tasks

If in the familiar retroactive subib tion paradigm the net decrement in retention that results from the microplation of a similar task occurs despite the Icoliusing warm up effect of the microplated task Evidently the general retroactive facilitation attribuility to warm up us not great enough to overcome the specific interfering influence of a milar content in rote learning.

to take advantage of both the learning to learn and the warm up components of learning set At the same time, however, enough heterogeneity of intertask content should be introduced to prevent the mechanical persveration of a given learning set, and to discourage rigidity of approach and the de velopment of a rote learning attitude. The need for multiple warm up periods is one of the chief disadvantages of distributed practice, and renders such distribution unfeasible in certain tasks requiring considerable sustained effort.

Knowledge of Results (Feedback)

On theoretical grounds, knowledge of results or feedback would appear to be an extremely important practice variable. Nevertheless, because of serious gaps and inadequacies in the available research evidence, we possess very little unequivocal information either about its actual effects on learning or about its mechanism of action

As previously indicated, some knowledge of results is apparently essen tial for learning in those perceptual motor tasks where a variable or indeterminate response must be given to a constantly presented stimulus II, for example, the learner is repeatedly asked to draw a three inch line, he ob vooisly cannot manifest any improvement tuiless he knows to what extent his efforts approximate the desired standard (E. L. Thornikke, 1981, 1982) In other instances, however, where both stimulus and response are provided (for instance, parted associate learning), or where the learner must simply comprehend and internative the material presented to him, feedback facil inates learning and retention (Hershberger, 1964), but is certainly not in dispensable for either outcome Feedback, furthermore, is not even indispensable for all types of perceptual motor learning. In tasks such as gunnery, where appropriate responses or stimulus response connections are already well established, enhancing knowledge of results (for example, by sounding a buzer whenever the learner is exactly on target) improves current performance but does not result in any transferable gain in learning (Cagne, 1962b).

Mechanism of Action

An equally important issue, assuming that feedback is indispensable for some kinds of learning and has a facilitating influence on others, con cerns the mechanism whereby this facilitation is effected Behavioristically oriented theorists (J G Holland, 1960, Hull, 1943, McGeoch and Irion 1952, Skinner, 1938, 1958, E L. Thorndike, 1931, Trowbridge and Casion, 1932) tend to attribute the effects of feedback largely to reinforcement or to the direct strengthening effect of drive reduction on the responses that are instrumental in obtaining the reward and gratifying the drive Informing the learner that is given emitted or covert responses' is correct presumably gratifies the cognitive addirective and ego-enhancing drives motivating the response and hence allegedly increases the probability of its recurrence (reinforces the response')— law of effect) In addition however it retroactively increases these same motivations for further learning Explicit awareness that the results of learning will be made available also constitutes an incentive condition thereby enhancing the strength of the underlying drives

But the facilitating effects of feedback are hardly exhausted by these reinforcement and motivational mechanisms. Knowledge of results also has other purely cognitive effects on learning. It confirms appropriate meanings and associations corrects errors clarifies misconceptions and indicates the relative adequacy with which different pottions of the learning task hate been mastered. Thus as a result of the feedback he receives the subject is confidence in the validity of his learning products is increased his learnings are consolidated and he is also better able selectively to focus his efforts and attention on those aspects of the task requiring further refinement

Role versus Meaningful Learning

On both motivational and cognitive grounds feedback probably has less facilitating effect on meaningful than on rote learning. Since the achieve ment of understanding is a teward in its own right and requires less brute effort than rote learning it is less necessary in meaningful learning to invoke the energizing assistance of extinsic motives and incentives. Selective rein forcement of successful responses through drave reduction (gratification) is similarly less necessary for learning even if it were possible when logical considerations are applicable to the content of the learning task than when iteranal logic of the learning material also makes possible some implicit con firmation correction clarification and evaluation of the learning product even in the absence of any explicit provision of feedback

¹³ For a discussion of the significance of the distinction between emitted and covert responses insofar as the reinforcing effect of feedback is concerned see this chapter.

¹⁹ As will be indicated later however reinforcement probably occurs only in simple instrumental learning and in rote verbal learning—not in meaningful learn ing and where it does occur it is more likely attributable to lowering ol thresholds of el clastion than to strengtheming of response tendences

of the logic of the correct answer is still another dimension of the complete ness of feedback that influences learning Subjects who are told why their answers are right or wrong learn more effectively than subjects who merely continue responding and receiving feedback until they obtain the correct answer (Bryan and Rigney, 1956) J M Sassenrath and C. M Garverick (1965) found that discussion of midsemester examination questions has a greater beneficial effect on final examination results than does either checking wrong answers from a list of correct answers placed on the blackboard or looking up in the textbook the correct answers to incorrectly answered questions The use of specific relevant comments in grading themes is also much more effective in improving the quality of later writing than is the use of perfunctory encouraging comments (Page, 1958) N A Crowder's intrinsic programming includes explanation of the nature of the error as an integral part of the branching procedure. In certain kinds of concept learning situations where many irrelevant cues are available, informing the subject when he is wrong facilitates learning more than does informing him when he is right (C Curry, 1960, Meyer and Offenbach, 1961, Meyer and Seidman, 1960, 1961), right' apparently gives less information than "wrong' under these circumstances because it also rewards irrelevant cues

Research findings regarding the immediacy and frequency of feedback are more equivocal Some investigators have reported that immediately given feedback has a significantly greater facilitating effect on learning than does delayed feedback (Angell, 1949, S. M. Neyer, 1960b, Sax, 1960); but neither J L. Evans, R. Claser, and L. E. Homme (1960a) nor G. Sax (1960) found a significant difference between the two kinds of feedback on learning and retention respectively. Other investigators (Brackhill, Wagner, and Wilback and retention. These findings are quite credible considering the role of feedback in meaningful verbal learning in any case, the evidence that errors made mitually tend to persist despite repeated correction (Kaess and Zeaman, 1960), and that prompting is suprior to confirmation (at least in the early stages of practice), suggests that, if at all possible, it is preferable to a soul

Except for two studies (Auble and Mech, 1953, Sax, 1960) reporting no significant differences, continuously as opposed to intermittently admin istered feedback has been shown to be more effective in concept learning (Bourne and Haygood, 1960, Bourne and Pendleton, 1953, Chansky, 1960) In more sequential types of programmed instruction, however, the relative frequency of feedback does not appear to influence learning outcomes (Krumboliz and Weisman, 1962), Lambert, 1962. M. Clansky (1964) obtained best results with an intermittent type of information feedback and with a continuous grading procedure Whatever procedure is used, however, it is obviously advantageous to employ indicators of success that the learner can use autonomously for purposes of feedback and self evaluation, that is, indicators that are available to him outside the training situation (J. Annett, 1959). In this way, he is not dependent for feedback on an external source such as the teacher

To summarize, feedback is not generally indispensable for learning, but, on both motivational reinforcement and cognitive grounds, should facil itate the learning process, more so in the case of rote than of meaningful learning However, the research evidence tends to be equivocal, particularly in relation to programmed instruction, because of the failure to control other relevant variables. Further compounding the difficulty of interpreting the effect of feedback on meaningful programmed learning, is the fact that both low error rate and the possibility of implicit feedback reduce the facil itating potential of explicitly provided feedback.

Chapter 9

INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS

TASE VARIABLES INCLUDE, IN addition to various aspects of practice considered in the previous chapter, the nature of the task to be learned in meaningful fashion the amount and difficulty of the instruc uonal material, its internal logic and organization, the rate at which new ideas and information are presented, the magnitude of the transition be tween successive tasks or between component steps within a task, effective ways of presenting material, and the use of instructional aids and media, such as textbooks schematic models, educational television, audio-visual techniques programmed learning and laboratory methods In large mea sure, of course, the optimal organization and presentation of instructional materials involve an application of principles (progressive differentiation, integrative reconciliation sequential organization, spiral organization, con solidation the use of organizers and of pervasive, integrative themes, the concrete abstract dimension of cognitive development) already considered under cognitive structure and readiness variables. Many of the issues im plicated in the relatively recent curriculum reform movement exemplify an application of these principles to practical problems of curriculum de velopment and organization

Amount of Material Task Size

The amount of material contained in a given learning task, that is, the relative size of the task, is an important consideration in programming subject matter and in arranging pratice schedules. Task size influences the structure of the material and its difficulty, as well as the learners motivation, and, as we shall see shortly, it is also a central issue in the field of automated instruction

The relative efficacy of different task sizes is closely related to the previously considered part whole problem in practice both because the total magnitude of the task confronting the learner is a significant factor determining his choice of approach, and because the part method obviously involves working with a smaller task size than does the whole method. Nevertheless, the two issues are hardly coextensive Task size is a much more inclusive issue than the choice of a whole or part strategy of practice. Only relatively rarely, in choosing between different task sizes in programming subject matter, is one faced with a decision that is comparable to the choice between memorizing a poem as a whole or memorizing it by stanzas. Al though component task units of a subject matter program are sequentially related to each other, they are more typically related in a derivative or correlatives enser rather than as successive links of a chain that would have to be welded together if first learned separately

Meaningful versus Rote Learning

In the case of rote learning, the paramount consideration in deciding upon task size is the disproportionate increase in learning difficulty that occurs as length of task (for example, number of nonsense syllables) increases beyond immediate memory span (Carroll and Burke, 1965, Lyon, 1914, 1917, Robinson and Heron, 1922) This disproportionately manifests itself in progressively increasing learning time per unit of material,1 it tends to be more marked at lower rather than at higher levels of practice (McGeoch and Irion, 1952), when practice is massed rather than distributed (Hovland, 1940b, Lyon, 1917), and in slow as opposed to fast learners (L] Carter, 1959, H B Reed, 1924) For the most purt, the disproportionality seems to reflect the greater opportunity for intra serial interference as the number of units in the task increases (L J Carter, 1959, McGeoch and Irion, 1952) To some extent, also, it reflects the subject's initial discouragement as he contemplates the magnitude of the task confronting him, as well as unnecessary repetition of already learned items as yet unlearned items in the longer hist are being acquired (McGeoch and Irion, 1952) Length of rotely learned tasks, however, apparently has no effect on vetention, apart from its effect on learning Thus, when lists of varying length are learned to the same

¹ When number of repetitions (trials) required for mastery is used as the criterion of learning difficulty the level of difficulty spuriously appears to decrease as the size of the task increases, that is longer tasks require fewer trials (Ebbinghaus 1918 Hovland 1910b) This is so because each repetition is counted is one trial irrespective of the length of inme it takes.

criterion of mastery and are similarly reinforced, they are equally well re tained (L.]. Catter, 1959) ²

In the case of meaningful learning the same simple disproportionality between increase in difficulty level and increase in task size presumably does not prevail The disproportionate increase in intra serial interference ac companying increase in task size, which obviously has an important inhibit tory effect on the formation of arbitrary verbatim associations between discrete stimulus or stimulus response components, has little relevance for the kind of learning involved in the substantive relational incorporation of potentially meaningful material within cognitive structure. Hence, although increasing the length of a meaningful learning task undoubtedly increases its difficulty, all other factors being equal, one might anticipate on theoretical grounds that the increase in difficulty would not be disproportionate to the increase in task size Much more important for difficulty of meaningful learning and retention than length of task itself would be the logical structure the lucidity, and the sequentiality of the material The optimal size of task that the learner could conveniently manage in a given trial would also depend upon such considerations as age, cognitive maturity, subject matter soplustication, intelligence, and motivation

Research evidence on the length difficulty relationship in meaningful learning is sparse and equivocal C N Cofer's data clearly demonstrate that learning time increases much less rapidly with increasing length of task when prose passages are learned meaningfully than when they are learned rotely (Cofer, 1941), but the precise relationship between length and dif ficulty in the former instance is, unfortunately, less clearly indicated D O Lyon (1914, 1917) found a disproportionate increase in learning time with increase in the length of meaningful prose passages (except for lengths be tween ten and fifteen thousand words), it must be remembered, however, that verbatim learning was required in his study. Increasing the length of an instructional motion picture by adding more facts, while holding density (number of facts per minute), but not logical structure and continuity, constant, did not result in a proportionate increase in the amount of in formation learned, but apparently had no detrimental effect on retention (Vincent, Ash and Greenhill, 1949) Hence, much more definitive research studies are obviously needed before empirically warranted conclusions can be drawn regarding optimal task size in meaningful learning

² Evidence indicating that longer lists are better retained than shorter lists under these circumstances (Rohmson and Dariow 1921, Rohmson and Heron 1922) undoubtedly reflects the orchearning of some items in the more frequently repeated longer lists Removal of paired associate items from a list after three cor rect responses tends to eliminate this difference in retention (Rohmson and Dariow 1921) Rohmson and Heron 1922)

Automated Instruction

Relatively small task size is one of the characteristic features of the currently flourishing automated instruction movement. In the tereding machine literature, task size is customarily subsumed under the term step size no distinction being made between degree of transition from one step or frame to another (step size) and the amount of material included in a single frame or presentation (task size). It is true of course, that if a given egiment of material is simply divided into many small task units on the one hand, or into a few larger task units, on the other, task size and step sible to vary each dimension independently, once task size is determined as described above, thus can be done either by adding or deleting steps or by otherwise modifying the task units os as to increase or decrease the amount of overlap between them. In the following discussion we shall consider each dimension as an independent variable

B F Skinner (1958) has presented a strong case for the prevuling practice of using small risk units in programming subject matter By making learning ersy and punless und guaranteeing success, this approach enhances the learner's self confidence and encourages him to persevere in his efforts Turthermore, by insuring a low error rate, it avoids the initial occurrence and hence the recurrence of misconceptions and wrong responses, maximizes positive reinforcement, and minimizes negative reinforcement Lastly, it makes possible immediate confirmation, clarification, and correction, and practically guarantees that consolidation of material prior in the sequence occurs before new material is introduced. When larger task units are used, on the other hand, misconceptions cannot be corrected immediately after they arise and there is no assurance that the learner will consolidate prior learnings before proceeding to later sections of the material

Nevertheless the small task size approach in programming subject matter has many serious shortcomings. Although concerned with meaning ful learning it adopts a rote learning strategy in handling the task size variable, that is it places major emphasis on the length difficulty relation ship, and ignores the logical structure of the material—both as a criterion of optimal task size and as a determinant of task, difficulty in meaningful learning. In terms of both the logical requirements of meaningful learning material and the actual size of the task that can be conveniently accommo dated by the learner, the frame length typically used by teaching material.

³ In Ins scrambled books N A Crowder (1960) departs somewhat from the small frame approach He believes in maintaining flexibility of task unit size so as to make possible the communication of complex information. Hence he allows for task units of up to page size length.

is artificially and unnecessarily abbreviated. It tends to fragment the ideas presented in the program so that their interrelationships are obscured and their logical structure is destroyed. As 5 L. Pressey observes

The student is shown this material one bit or frame at a time in the window of a mechanism or space of a programmed textbook file cannot readily look back at what he has been over or ahead to sense what is to come or discover any outline or structure in the material. For effective reading for general understanding of main ideas, and for adequate study and texters this procedure seems to be as climary as asking a person to apprehend a petture by letting fum see in a set order only one source time.

Study of a complex and structured subject seems better begun by an overview of reading matter to display the structure and order the complexity \ good book will show its structure in the table of contents and catalog its contents in the index with such aids the learner can easily move about in its numbered pages with only the flick of a finger using page headings and sublicads in the text to guide him He may turn back and forth from table or graph to related text skip something already known review selectively for major and difficult points Only after first contact with a complex structured topic should a student turn to auto-instruction for review and differentiation of major points in material The auto-instruction will then assure the student when he is right and identify and correct any miscon ceptions-as a good teacher or tutor might then do Auto-instruction as an adjunct to the usual materials and methods of instruction would seem hoth more widely useful and more practicable than current efforts to replace textbooks and methods with radical initial programming (Pressey 1962a pp 31 33)

Further, just because task size is small and error rate is low, one cannot warrantedly assume that the learning of sequentially presented ideas necessarily rendered casy and successful and that consolidation of existing material is therefore assured before new material is presented. In fact, the very fragmentation of contient may serve to ensure mastery of the com ponent task units at the expense of understanding the logic of the larger segments of subject matter of which they are a part D G Beane, for example programmed geometric proofs to that

most of the proofs involved less than seven steps but sull required the sui dent to keep in mind a sizeable amound of information. The steps of the program were small enough that the student could usually any store the next question regarding a particular step in a proof suthout difficulty Eudence supporting this point of view is the relatively low error rate of approximately § percent for the low ability students on the linear program However this does not mean that the stu dent necessarily shad a good grapp of the logical acquince or plan of the whole proof This provides a real challenge to programmers of material concerned with involved logical arguments. Insuring that the student can take the next step successfully in a program by sufficiently granulating the material and them arranging it systematic cally is no guarantee that he will inderstand the logical development null the fails to comprehend the logical structure and relationships of the concepts presented (Beane 1962 p 85)

That failure adequately to appreciate logical structure and relationships actually affects meaningful learning and retention adversely is shown by the fact that criterial post tests often reveal relatively little residual learning and retention as a whole despite a very low error rate while each frag mented unit itself is being learned

The desirability of avoiding unnecessary errors and misconceptions also does not imply advocacy of an artificial simplification of ideas that spares the learner from making the necessary distinctions required for making meanings more precise and for testing the adequacy of existing understand ings Certain kinds of learning (for instance, problem solving acquiring perceptual motor skills), moreover, demand first hand experience in making and correcting errors R J Melaragno (1960) found that spaced negative reinforcement, induced by deliberately inserting ambiguous, error producing frames into a program, did not inhibit learning

Finally, many of the advantages attributed to the small task size format of teaching machines are not really inherent in small task size itself, but are reflective of small step size and careful sequential organization. Both of these latter procedures, of course, are perfectly compatible with the use of larger task units. When employing the larger task unit format, one can also help insure consolidation of earlier presented material within the same task by using appropriate organizers, by increasing the lucidity of presentation, and by maximizing sequential organization and reducing step size between component sections of the task unit.

Difficulty of the Material

The difficulty of the learning task obviously affects learning time, rate of learning (slope of the learning curve) and the amount of material that is learned and retained 'These factors in turn, influence the efficiency of learning effort. If the material is too difficult the learner accomplishes disproportionately little for the degree of effort he expends, if it is too easy, his accomplishments are disappointingly meager in terms of what he could have achieved were greater effort demanded of him. As previously indicated, task difficulty is related to the size of the task unit, but can, nevertheless, be varied quite independently of task size.

⁴ For example as the difficulty level of a nonsense syllable task increases the amount and rate of learning decreases the rate of improvement also becomes more uniform or linear rather than rapid at first and progressively slower (W C F Krueger 1946)

Task difficulty also affects learning efficiency in other ways than by influencing amount and rate of learning relative to the effort expended Excessively difficult material makes for an undesirably large number of initial errors and misconceptions that have to be unlearned, interferes with necessary intra task mastery and consolidation in sequential learning programs, and depreses the learner's self confidence, lowers his motivation, increases his anxiety and promotes task avoidance. In meaningful problem solving situations, it typically induces perseveration, rigidity, blind trial and error and disorganized beliavor (Klausneter and Check, 1962). Inappropriately casy material on the other hand, fails to stimulate and challenge the learner adequately, fostering boredom and disinterest

Since the appropriate level of difficulty of a given task is always relative to the learner s age, cognitive maturity, subject matter sophistication, intel ligence, and motivation it is best determined on an individual basis. When learning tasks are suitably adjusted in difficulty level to pupils' current achievement level, there are no significant differences between low, middle, and high 1Q groups in learning retenuon, and transfer (Klausmeter and Check 1962). Previously cited studies suggesting that fast learners retain more than slow learners because they learn more in a given unit of time, fail to take into account the fact that the difficulty level of the material used in these experiments was more appropriate for the faster learning group.

Step Size

Step size, that is, the relative magnitude of transition between task units is also an important issue in programming meaningful subject matter Ic can be reduced by increasing redundancy or overlapping of content, by making explicit reference to or comparisons with prior task content (inte grative reconciliation), and by couching new material in terms of familiar concepts or experience. When large task units are used, it is also meaningful to speak of step size between successive components of the task unit

The stepsize variable is partly coextensive with the previously con sidered variable of task homogeneity or inter task variability. Unlike task homogeneity, however, it is more concerned with the relative gradualness or abruptness of transition between the component tasks of a sequentially organized program than with relative degree of homogeneity or heterogene ity of the exemplars used to develop a given concept or proposition. The relative effectiveness of different step sizes in a given learning program, therefore, is dependent in part, upon achieving an appropriate balance between considerations, such as conceptual generality, intra task mastery, learning to learn, warm up effect, persveration, rigidity, and boredom, which are associated with both of these variables. Hence, the choice of appropriate step size is likely to be quite specific to the particular learning



task the conditions of learning, and the characteristics of the learner Small steps minimize the possibility of error (Evans, Glaser, and Homme, 1960c, Klaus 1961, Skinner, 1958), but are more time consuming (Coulson and Silberman, 1960, W Smith and Moore, 1962), they are also less necessary when potentially meaningful material and a branching type of feedback are used Furthermore, as S L. Pressey (1962a) points out, they fragment the learning task without necessarily guaranteeing understanding of the task as a whole or of the relationships among its component parts, despite yielding a low error rate

Research on step size within the context of automated instruction has been confined to the small task unit format, and is generally inconclusive $J \in Coulson$ and $H \in Silberman$ (1960) found a small step program more effective than a large step program in terms of score on a criterial learning test, but less effective in terms of learning time, other investigators either found no significant differences between the two types of programs (Briggs, 1958, Shay, 1961, W Smith and Moore, 1962) or reported their findings in terms of the more equivocal criterion of error rate (Exans, Glaser, and Homme, 1960c) On the basis of their research N Maccoby and F D Shef field (1961) recommend small step size for initial learning, with progressive lengthening of steps as subjects acquire facility in performing the learning task.

Pacing

Pacing generally refers to the rate of introducing new subject matter material as determined by the length of time interval between component task units Other subsidiary ways of influencing rate of coverage include (a) manipulation of step size (degree of overlap in content between succes sive task units, (b) increasing or decreasing the density (informational content) of task units,⁵ and (c) regulating the number of initial repetitions and subsequent reviews given each task unit. All of these latter manipulations, of course, eventually affect the number of task units covered in a given interval of time, and hence the rate of covering new subject matter Pacing in other words deals with the massing or distribution of different task. Units as opposed to the inassing or distribution of trials of a particular task. Considering the potential importance of this variable for the programming of school material, in this been the subject of surprisingly little research

Theoretically it would seem plausible that an optimal average inter

⁵ An increase in the concentration of facts in an instructional film of specified length was not shown to result in a proportionate increase in informational learn ing (Vincent Ash and Greenhill 1949) However this finding may be partly explanted by removal of the isolation effect

task interval exists for every kind of subject matter, given learners of speci field cognituse maturity and subject matter sophistication. Thus, it probably makes a difference, on the average, if scients five hours are to be spent in learning a particular segment of material, whether this learning time is distributed over two weeks, one month, two months, or a semester First, sufficient time is necessary to recover from mitial learning shock before proceeding to new tasks. Second, the learner requirts adequate time for contemplating the material in retrospect, for effecting integrative reconcilia tion, and for conducting adequately spaced reviews in conformity with Jost's laws Third, it is important to avoid excessive cognitive strate and a feeling of harassment, on the one hand, as well as unnecessary to provide sufficient time for practice, particularly for slow learners, so that initia task masters or consolidation can be assured before new tasks are presented

In any case, it is apparent that most individuals can be trained to com preliend meaningfully a much more rapid rate of orally or visually presented verbal discourse than that to which they are habitually accustomed. This is the principle underiving current methods of accelerating rate of reading and listening (Orr, Friedman and Williams, 1965) Whether material assimilated in this fashion is also retained as well as material presented at more conventional rates still remains to be demonstrated.

On logical grounds because of individual differences in cognitive maturnty intilligence subject matter sophistication, and moivation, it would be reasonable to expect that individualized parong would be more effecuive for learning than the imposition of a uniform rate of coverage on all learners. Using the quality of past performance as a guide, such individual ization could then be regulated by either teacher or pupils, the former liaving the advantage of greater objectivity and pedagogic sophistication, and the latter possessing more direct information about cognitive strain and degree of challenge, although this information is admittedly contaminated its part, by such considerations as self indulgence Apart from the results of one study (Follettie, 1961), the limited experimental evidence available on the relavies efficacy of self regulated paring (Muzel, 1962, Sil berman 1962) does not indicate any superiority over teacher (or programmer) regulation paring. This does not micra, however, that differential or individualized paring. This does not micra, however, that differential or

Internal Logic of Instructional Material

The internal logic or logical meaningfulness of the learning task is obviously relevant for meaningful learning and retenition outcomes, since the existence of logical meaning with the maternal (its relatability to cor-

~~~~

respondingly relevant ideas that human beings generally can learn) is a prerequisite for its potential meaningfulness (its relatability to a *particular* learner's cognitive structure), and lience for the emergence of psychological (phenomenological) menning Logical meaning, as previously pointed out, is a function of the plausibility, lucidity, and nonarbitrariness of the matenal rather than of its logical or substantive validity. Hence, 'internal logic' is used somewhat idiosyncratically here to designate those properties of the maternal that enhance these latter criteria of logical meaning.

material that enhance these hatter criteria of logical meaning In the absolute sense of the term, of course, for material to be logically meaningful it is only necessary that some lumian beings (the most intel ligent and best prepared) be capable of learning it. Obviously, however, there are also degrees of logical meaningfulness. Depending on how appropriately ideas are expressed and organized, they can be related more or less readily to the cognitive structure of a particular individual who exhibits at least the minimally necessary degree of subject matter and developmental readiness. In the relative sense of the term, therefore, it is legitimate to evaluate the internal logic of instructional materials from the standpoint of their appropriateness for learners at a specified level of intellectual ability and of subject matter and developmental readness. At least eight aspects of the metrial logic of instructional material presumably affect the extent to which it is endowed with logical meaning (a) adequacy of definition and diction (precise, consistent, and unambiguous

At least eight aspects of the mernal logic of instructional material presumably affect the extent to which it is endowed with logical meaning (a) adequacy of definition and diction (precise, consistent, and unambiguous use of terms, definition of all new terms prior to use, and the use of the simplest and least technical language that is compatible with conveying precise meanings), (b) the use of concrete empirical props and of relevant analogies when developmentally warranted or otherwise helpful in the acquisition, clarification, or dramatization of meanings, (c) stimulation of an active, critical, reflective, and analytic approach on the part of the learner, by encouraging lum to reformulate presented ideas in terms of his own vocabulary, experiential background, and structure of ideas, (d) explicit conformity with the distinctive logic and philosophy of each subject matter discipline (its implicit epistemological assumptions, general problems of causality, categorization, inquiry, and measurement that are specific to the particular subject matter of the discipline, (e) the selection and organization of subject matter content around principles that have the widest and most invariant of material with careful attention to gradation of difficulty level, (g) consistency with the principles of progressive differentiation and inte grative reconciliation and (b) the use of appropriate organizeties

Subject matter concepts are simply the generic meanings elicited by generic terms in a particular discipline. In presenting subject matter concepts, therefore, it is important that programmers clearly understand their

meanings in precise and sophisticated lashnon 'Thus, it is desirable for the programmer to possess bath subject matter and psychological (pedagogic) sophistication. Only the person who is sophisticated in both respects can exploit psychological techniques of effective presentation without overlooking or distorting the internal logic and organizational properties of the subject matter content itself.

#### Organization of Material

Throughout this volume it has been repeatedly stressed that the con ditions of learning primarily influence the meaningful acquisition and retention of ideas and information by modifying existing cognitive structure Although the effect of such modification on learning and retention cannot be empirically demonstrated except by using the transfer paradigm (by measuring its effect on the learning and retention of related new tasks), the changes in cognitive structure wrought by practice or by exposure to successive aspects of the task obviously have an important impact on intra task mastery itself. This is particularly true in the case of those Linds of learning in which each component task (as well as entire bodies of subject matter) tends to be compound in content and to manifest an internal organization of its own Thus, in school learning, conditions influencing and altering cognitive structure are typically crucial both for the acquisition of a particular task as well as for transfer purposes (the learning of related new tasks), and of all the possible conditions of learning that affect cognitive structure, it is self-evident that none can be more significant than organization of the material In previous chapters, we have already considered in great detail how learning material can be most effectively written and orga nized so as deliberately to induce those changes in cognitive structure that are most advantageous for the learning and retention of meaningful school material Hence, in the present context, it will be necessary only to sum marize briefly the more salient of these considerations

# Organizers versus Overvieus

The principles of progressive differentiation and integrative reconciliation have been represented throughout as being of central importance in the programming of meaningful subject matter. Optimal utilization of these principles presupposes not only their consistent use in the sequenizal presentation of subject matter material, but also the supplementary availability of a lutratchical series of advance "organizers." These latter organizers provide relevant ideational scaffolding enhance the discriminability of the new learning material from previously learned related ideas, and otherwise effect integrative reconcitation at a level of abstraction, generality, and inclusiveness which is much higher than that of the learning material itself. To be maximally effective they must be formulated in terms of language and con cepts already familiar to the learner, and use appropriate illustrations and analogies if developmentally necessary.

teps initial, itemate to the interstance of the initial analogies if developmentally necessary True organizers, thus defined, should not be confused with ordinary introductory overviews The latter are typically written at the same level of abstraction, generality, and inclusiveness as the learning inaterial, and achieve their effect largely through repetition, condensation, selective emphasis on central concepts and prefamiliarization of the learner with certain key words Summaries are comparable to overviews in construction, but are probably less effective because their influence on cognitive structure is retroactive rather than proactive relivue to the learning task. They are probably more useful, in place of the material uself, for purposes of rapid review than for original learning. However, insofar as they may imply to some learners that the material they do not include is relatively superfluous, they may promote neglect of and failure to study or review much significant subject matter C W Lathrop and C A Norford (1949) found that neither over views nor summaries appreciably improve the learning of instructional films

# Organizers versus Intra material Organization

Organizers also have certain inherent advantages bolli over various kinds of intra material organization (organizing aids within the body of the material), and over any existing subsimers within cognitive structure that could be used for organizational purposes. Unlike intra material orga mizition (executed in accordance with the principles of progressive differentiation and integrative reconciliation) that successively provides necessary anchorage for and differentiation of new ideas at a particularized level just before each new idea is encountered, organizers perform the same functions in advance at a much more global level before the learner is confronted with any of the new material Hence, for example, a generalized model of class relationships is first provided as a general subsumer for *all* new classes, subclasses, and species before more hinted subsumers (classes or subclasses) are provided for the particular subclasses or species they encompass, and the various kinds of forests are first distinguished from each other before the component subforests and trees are similarly differentiated Spontaneously existing subsumers in cognitive structure, on the other hand, lack both particularized relevance for the new material (since the learner cannot possibly anticipate its precise nature) as well as the benefit of the sophisticated knowledge of subject matter and pedagogy available to expert programmers

# Perceptual Organizers

Perceptual organizers, in contrast to the integrative organizational de vices just described merely provide built in mechanical aids that inake the material perceptually more saltent and apprehensible, or otherwise lachtate practice. These include rhythmic aids, vocal emphasis, the isolation<sup>6</sup> and familiarization effects of underlining, and the fractionation effect break ing of wholes into parts) of providing headings and subheadings. Under certain circumstances however some perceptual organizers can be said to have true integrative effects (for instance, underlining that helps make ideational distinctions or emphasizes central concepts, headings that reveal the organizational structure of the material more clerity)

Perceptual or mechanical organizers generally facilitate meaningful learning—more so in the case of factual than of abstract material<sup>+</sup> The learning of meaningful material, for example is enhanced by appropriate vocal emphasis (Dearborn Johnson, and Carmichael, 1940), by underlinning (Klare, Mabry and Gustabon, 1955), and by breaking instructional film content into parts by means of inserted questions (kuriz, Walter, and Brenner 1950). Typographical highlighting of the more important miterial to be learned reduces the amount of learning of less important content but does not facilitate the learning of the more important core content (Hersh berger 1964). The failure of informational learning to increase proportion ately with increase in the density of lacts in film (Vincent, Ash, and tion effect as filler' material is removed.

D S Northrop (1952) found that the use of headings facilitates the learning of lactual films but either has no significant effect on or inhibits the learning of more abstract films The abstract maternal in this study was evidently more highly organized than the factual, simply because the abstract concepts themselves served as organizing function, hence, the

<sup>7</sup> C. M. Chiristensen and K. E. Stordali (1955) obtained uniformly negative results in studying the effects on comprehension and retention of various combinations of such organizational adds as underfining freadings outlines and summaries. However the possibility of obtaining significant differences between experimental and control groups was seriously prepadiced by the leveling effects of using familiar learning material using the same test as both a pretest and measure of retention and testing the same subjects for both immediate and delayed retention.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In several adequately controlled laboratory studies isolation effected by introducing patterned heterogeneity of context or color has been shown to facil itate role learning of segregated and immediately adjacent items (Saul and Osgood 1950 Skay 1961 M H Smith and Stearns 1949) Retention however was not facilitated

learners not only benefited less from the presence of extrinsic mechanical organizers, but also seemed in some instances to be distracted by them Apparently integrative organizers are required for material that is more abstract than informational in character. In none of the above studes, however, is it possible to distinguish clearly between the perceptual and the integrative effects of the organizers in question. Conflicting results have also been reported regarding the relative effects of such organizers on bright and dull students.

# Organizers in Textual Material

Generally speaking, therefore, it makes good organizational sense if the presentation of more detailed or specific information is preceded by a more general or inclusive principle to which it can be related or under which it can be subsumed This not only inakes the new information more meaning ful and enables the student to anchor more casily forgotten specifics to more easily remembered generalizations but also integrates related facts in terms of a common principle under which they can all be subsumed Thus, for example, in a physics, engineering, or biology course, the general character istics of all regulatory or cybernetic systems should be presented before considering any *particular* regulatory or cybernetic system. The latter, in turn, should be explicitly related to the more general principles, showing how they exemplify them. This makes for some redundancy, but such redundancy, in turn, greatly reinfores the general principles of course, the general principles themselves must be stated in terms and concepts that are already familiar to the learner. Many teachers and textbooks are guilty of introducing complex and detailed information for which no adequate foundation has been laid in terms of organizing, unifying or explanatory principles

Thus a substantice introductory statement of the principal new ideas to be considered in the chapter, stated at a high level of generality and inclusiveness, to which the more detailed information in the chapter can be related could be very helpful in learning the latter information. For example, a brief overview of the chief propositions underlying Darwin's theory of evolution would be of greater functional utility in learning the more detailed mechanisms through which evolution operates, or the different kinds of evidence for evolution, than the kinds of historical or anecdotal introductions provided in the three BSCS textbooks in introductory biology<sup>8</sup> (much folksy biographical information about Darwin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> By way of illustration in this section and in the remainder of the chapter the Biological Sciences Curriculium Study (BSCS) textbooks in introductory high school biology will be used to exemplify various points about instructional mate

or anecdotal material about how he arrived at his theory) The same applies to introductions that merely list the topics to be covered

It is not only desirable for the material in each chapter to become progressively more differentiated (to proceed from ideas of greater to lesser inclusiveness), but for textbooks as a whole (from one chapter to another) to follow the same organizational plan. The *spiral* kind of organization, in which the same topases are treated at progressively higher levels of sophistica iton in successive sections is an extension of the same principle. Textbook series in a given field that are intended for use at different instructional levels (elementary school high school undergraduate, and graduate) can also follow this organizational plan. In this instance there is a progressive increase in scope depth complexity level of abstraction and level of sophistication at successively higher grade levels with the earlier acquired knowledge serving as a foundation for the more abstract and complex material introduced later. In addition however some entirely new topics are introduced at the higher levels since many advanced topics are too complex and abstract to be taught successfully on an intuitive basis

In instances where new concepts are introduced that are similar or related to but not identical and hence confusable, with previously learned concepts (for instance instinct and imprinting fermentation and respiration spontaneous generation and performationism, chinination and excretion behavioral versus physiological or morphological adaptation, variation as both a cause and product of evolution), it is advisable to point out explicitly the similarities and differences between them and to make this connection in both contexts. This practice integrates knowledge by making relationships between concepts explicit, by preventing artificial compart are basically the same except for contextual usage, and by differentiating between ostensibly similar but actually different concepts figuoring such relationships between later appearing and previously learned content as-

nals The three textlooks referred to are the yellow version (Biological Science An Inquiry Into Life (New York Harcourt Brace and World 1963) blue version (Biological Science Violecules to Man Boston Houghton Vallin 1963) and green version (High School Biology Chicago Raad Vickally and Company 1965) These comments were first published by the writer as an attice An Evaluation of the BSCS Approach to High School Biology in The American Biology Teacher, 1966 23 1/5/167 the use of a curriculoum veform project in only a single subject matter area—the one nonpsychological discipline (hology) in which the writer happens to have some substantive competence—for Mustraing certain general principles re garding instructional materials as dehlerate It conforms to the use widefined later curriculum reform project only if they are substantively iophisticated in the discipline in question.

sumes, rather unrealistically, that students will independently perform the necessary cross referencing by themselves Organizers that are intended for elementary school pupils should be presented at a lower level of abstraction and should also make more expresented at a lower rever of adstration and should also make hole ex-tensive use of concrete empirical props. They should take into account rather than ignore pre-existing organizing principles (preconceptions) in the learner's cognitive structure. Often these preconceptions are based on widely accepted elements of cultural folklore that are very ten icious unless explicitly undermined

PERVASIVE THENTS Good organizational advantage can be taken of pervasive or recurrent themes that can integrate or interrelate many different topics or general ideas. The green version of the BSCS, for example, uses the beginning chapters on the 'web of life 'is an integrative device throughout the entire book. None of the three versions, however, makes adequate use of Darwinian theory as a pervasive organizing principle Evolutionary theory can be related to such varied concepts as uniformity and diversity in nature, geneue continuity, the complementarity of organism and environment, and of structure and function, the classification of and and environment, and of structure and function, the classification of and interrelationships between organisms, population genetics, the role of sexual reproduction in producing diversity, the geography of life, and the need for a self replication mechanism as well as the biological significance of mistakes in self replication. It is obviously necessary for pervasive themes to be introduced early in a book if they are to serve an integrative function. But in the yellow and blue versions such themes (for example, regulatory mecha-nisms, homeostasis, the cybernetic principle, the relationship of theory to durb offen de not environment. data) often do not appear until late in the game

In addition, the nine basic substantive themes of the three texts are not in addition, the finic basic substantive themes of the three texts are not organically related to the actual content of the yeliow and blue versions. In the yellow version, after being listed formally in the first chapter, they are presumably forgotten and are no longer identifiable in the content itself. The same is true of the blue version except that the themes are distributed quite randomly on separate pages scattered through the text. In the green version, on the other hand, the themes emerge naturally from and are organically related to the content of each section

PRECONCEPTIONS AND THE INDIVIDUALIZATION OF INSTRUCTION The role PRECONCEPTIONS AND THE INDIVIDUALIZATION OF INSTRUCTION The role of preconceptions in determining the longevity and qualitative content of what is learned and remembered is crucial, and may very well be the most important manipulable factor in the individualization of instruction. This problem was alluded to above in discussing the need for differential feedback ( branching' programs) and differential practice related to the kind of misconception exhibited by the pupil, and in rationalizing the need for early instruction in science to counteract pre existing folklore or idiosyncratic mis more common preconceptions of learners are by means of appropriate pretests and then to match suitably tailored organizers with pupils ex-hibiting corresponding preconceptions If I had to reduce all of educational psychology to just a single principle, I would say this "Find out what the learner already knows and teach him accordingly"

# 'Conceptual Schemes' Approach to Science Teaching

to Science Teoching Paralleling the argument for a process' approach to science teaching, that is, teaching the heuristics of discovery' or 'scientific method' as ends in themselves—as the primary objectives of science instruction, in relation to which content is purely incidential and illustrative—is the notion that the same set of conceptual schemes can serve to integrate the substantive content of all of the scientific disciplincs (NSTA Curriculum Committee, 1954) In our opinion, on philosophical grounds, no set of conceptual schemes or principles of scientific method is applicable to all sciences. Each science has its own idiosyncratic undergriding themes and methods of inquiry An all encompassing set of conceptual themes is apt to be characterized (a) by a level of generality that is reminiscent of the philosophy of science, and hence beyond the cognitive maturity and scientific sophistication of ele mentary and high school students, and (b) by far fetched relevance and applicability to many scientific disciplines. The seven conceptual schemes prepared by the NSTA Curriculum Committee are characterized by both of these features. They are both stated at a high level of generality, and are applicable to the physical sciences. But, even if an epistemologically tenable set of principles comprehensive enough to embrace all sciences with equal applicable to the physical sciences under the sciences with equal applicable to and relevance could be formulated, its very utility (its transferability set of principles comprehensive enough to embrace all sciences with equal aptness and relevance could be formulated, its very utility (its transferability to the separate sciences its ability to serve as superordinate subsumers for the less general themes characterizing any single discriptine) would obviously be dependent on its being understood and applied at the high level of generality implicit in any such formulation On developmental grounds, however, elementary school pupils could, at the very most, hope to under stand these themes at an intuitive (semi abstract, semi general) level if at all, and high school and undergraduate students would typically lack sufficient sophistication in a wide enough variety of sciences genuinely to understand principles at this philosophical level of generalization about science. science

The solution to this problem of curriculum development in science hes not in abandoning the conceptual schemes approach This would be throwing away the baby with the bath water The conceptual schemes approach is philosophically, psychologically, and pedagogically sound, pro-

conceptions Unfortunately lowever very little research has been conducted on this crucial problem despite the fact that the unlearning of preconceptions might very well prove to be the most determinative single factor in the acquisition and retention of subject matter knowledge

In any case anyone who has attempted to teach science to clitildren or to adults for that matter is painfully aware of the potent role of precon ceptions in inhibiting the learning and retention of scientific concepts and principles These preconceptions are amazingly tenacious and resistant to extinction because of the influence of such factors as primacy and frequency, because they are typically anchored to highly stable related and antecedent preconceptions of a more inclusive nature because they are inherently more stable (for example more general less qualified expressive of a positive rather than inverse relationship predicated on single rather than multiple causality or on dichotomous rather than continuous variability) and lasily, because resistance to the acceptance of new ideas contrary to prevailing beliefs seems to be characteristic of human learning Some of the reasons for individual differences in the tenacity of preconceptions probably include those that are related to cognitive style to such personality traits as closed mindedness and to self-consistent individual differences in generalized aspects of reductionism in cognitive functioning

General findings regarding the role of cognitive organizers would appear to have significant implications for those aspects of individualization of instruction that are related to the problem of preconceptions. It seems plausible to suppose that if advance organizers can be used in nonindividual ized fashion generally to bridge the gap between what learners already know and what they have to learn at any given moment in their educational careers then individualized organizers specially tailored to the particular preconceptions of a particular learner will liave an even more facilitating effect on meaningful learning and retention Unless proposed organizers take explicit account of and attempt explicitly to extinguish, existing precon ceptions account of and account copiliary to examplify the entropy of the ceptions it seems likely that these preconceptions will both inlubit related new learning of more valid scientific concepts and principles and eventually assimilate through memorial reduction, the proposed new ideas designed to replace them A very common preconception for example, among elementary school children is that the outer integument constitutes a kind of sack filled with blood prick it at any point and it bleeds Actually this is not an implausible hypothesis Is it concervable therefore that one can effectively instruct such children about the circulatory system without taking into account and trying to undermine the relative credibility and explanatory value of this preconception as compared with that of a closed

Thus a seemingly important precondition for constructing individual ized organizers for instructional units in science is to ascertain what the more common preconceptions of learners are by means of appropriate pretests and then to match suitably tailored organizers with pupils exhibiting corresponding preconceptions. If I had to reduce all of educational psychology to just a single principle I would say this Find out what the learner already knows and teach him accordingly

# "Conceptual Schemes" Approach to Science Teaching

Paralleling the argument for a process approach to science teaching that is teaching the heuristics of theorery or scientific method as ends in themselves—as the primary objectives of science instruction in relation to which content is purely incidental and illustrative—is the notion that the same set of conceptual schemes can serve to integrate the substantive content of all of the scientific disciplines (\STAC Curriculum Committee 1964) In our opinion on philosophical grounds no set of conceptual schemes or principles of scientific method is applicable to all sciences. Each science has its own idosynctratic undergriding themes and methods of inquiry An all encompassing set of conceptual themes is apt to be characterized (a) by a level of generality that is remainscent of the philosophy of science and hence beyond the compute maturity and sciencific sophistication of elelevel of generality that is remniscent of the philosophy of science and hence beyond the cognitive maturity and scientific sophistication of ele mentary and high school students and (b) by far fetched relevance and applicability to many scientific disciplines. The seven conceptual schemes prepared by the NSTA Curriculum Commutice are characterized by both of these features. They are both stated at a high level of generality and are applicable to the physical sciences but not very applicable to biology psy chology and the social sciences but not very applicable to biology psy chology and the social sciences but not very applicable to mature with equal set of principles comprehensive enough to embrace all sciences with equal submits and relevance could be featurement its the transfer behavior. aptness and relevance could be formulated its very utility (its transferability to the separate sciences its ability to serve as superordinate subsumers for to the separate sciences its ability to serve as superordinate subsumers for the less general themes characterizing any single discipline) would obviously be dependent on its being understood and applied at the high level of generality implicit in any such formulation. On developmental grounds however elementary school pupils could at the very most hope to under stand these themes at an intuitive (semi abstract semi-general) level if at all and high school and undergraduate students would typically lack sufficient sophistication in a wide enough variety of sciences genuinely to understand principles at this philosophical level of generalization about SCIEDCE

The solution to this problem of curriculum development in science lies not in abandoning the conceptual schemes approach This would be throwing away the baby with the bath water The conceptual schemes approach is philosophically psychologically and pedagogically sound provided that it is modified so that a separate set of conceptual schemes is made available for each particular discipline. However, to seek one set of con ceptual schemes that attempts to encompass all science is as illusory as seeking the fountain of youth or the philosopher s stone.

#### Effective Communication

Effective communication in the classroom that is appropriate transla tion from the highly sophisticated cognitive structure of the teacher or text book writer—in terms of cognitive maturity and subject matter knowledge —to the less highly sophisticated cognitive structure of the student is a complex and delicate art Certain important elements of communication style have already been considered as part of the internal logic of the material Sufficient redundancy is necessary both for purposes of ordinary of unfamiliarity of ideas and occasional wandering of attention, such redundancy however should take the form of paraphrase example, analogy, should be made to arouse interest and to achieve fuendity and incusiveness of expression. One topic should lead naturally and obviously into another Tangenital audes and digressions should be avoided Long quotations from original or archaic sources generally serie no useful purpose

The writing style should be as simple as in consistent with precise expression but not so simple as to give the impression of talking down to students (as is the case in most textbooks in education). Teachers and writers should remember that no amount of linguistic simplification can make inherently complex ideas easy to grasp and whereas initial simplification always pedagogreally defensible, misleading oversimplification is worse than no simplification at all Since a new subject is most difficult in the beginning, it should be presented most simply at first, with level of difficulty increasing regressively as the student is level of sophistication increases. To maintain effective communication some type of frequent feedback is necessary Thus questions from students.

Seldom does a textbook appear containing any new or unfamiliar ideas that is not immediately condemned out of hand as being unnecessarily difficult to read and understand simply because it requires teachers or re viewers to reorganize their thinking about the familiar content of their field actually makes the subject matter easier for students to understand and remember than a collection of discrete unrelated and often contradictory facts the teacher and reviewer, who resent the effort of grappling with new

ideas and a challenging level of discourse, rationalize their resentment by attacking not the ideas themselves but the way in which they are expressed It is then easy enough to demonstrate that such a book—because it contains abstract unifying ideas—is less readable" than the theoretically bland compendium of unintegrated and unexplained facts to which they are accustomed, and is thus presumably unsuitable for typical students Examples and illustrations should be intended to clarify and not to serve as superfluous padding or to generate a spurious aura of scentific authenticity If they are premitted to become excessively detailed, complex, or esoteric, they tend to become ends in themselves, thereby obscuring rather than clarifying the ideas they exemplify For example, structural diagrams of nucleic acid, DNA, and chlorophyll molecules are meaningless to chemically unsophisticated students in an introductory biology course. It should be borne in mind that intellectually mature students (those who are adolescent or older) do not require examples routinely but only for atypically difficult or unfamiliar ideas. Beyond the elementary school period, examples are necessary sometimes for purposes of occasional illustration or clarification of difficult abstractions, they should not be used in an attempt to reduce such ideas to an intuitive level such ideas to an intuitive level

Such ideas to an intuitive level In presenting instructional material, it is almost always advantageous to proceed from the familiar to the unfamiliar, using previously acquired knowledge and experience both as a foundation for understanding, inter-preting, and remembering related new material that is less familiar, and as a means of rendering the latter less threatening. Thus, in elementary biology it is advisable to consider manimals before simpler animals, and flowening plants before simple plants Order of presentation should not be determined on the basis of level of biological organization ( from nolecule to man ) or level of phyletic complexity The phyletic principle of organization may conform to some abstract cannon of scientific logic, but it violates everything we know about the psychology of learning, and runs counter to the intuitive judgment of anyone who has ever done any classroom teach ing In ascertaining what is more or less familiar, or more or less difficult, psychological principles of learning and of intellectual development are more relevant and reliable guidelines than the wholly gratuitous assumption that level of phenomenological complexity in science necessarily parallels level of learning difficulty

# Level of Sophistication

In the yellow and blue BSCS versions, it appears as if little effort was made to discriminate between basic and highly sophisticated content— between which is appropriate ind essential of an introductory high school course and what could be more profinably reserved for more advanced
courses These versions include topics, detail, and level of sophistication that vary in appropriateness from the tenth grade to graduate school 9 Only the green version gives the impression of being at an appropriate level of sophistication for a beginning course. And since the unsophisticated student cannot be expected to distinguish between more and less important material, he either throws up his hands in despair, learns nothing thoroughly in the effort to learn everything or relies on rote memorization and cramming' to get through examinations

The blue version, especially, appears sufficiently sophisticated and challenging to constitute an introductory college course for students who already have an introductory biology course in high school as well as courses in chemistry and physics. It is true, of course, that subjects once thought too difficult for high school students (for example set theory, analytical geometry, and calculus) can be taught successfully to bright high school students with good quantitative ability But in the latter instances, students are adequately prepared for these advanced subjects by virtue of taking the necessary preliminary, and sequentially antecedent courses in mathematics The blue version on the other hand, presents biological material of collegelevel difficulty and sophistication to students who do not have the necessary background in chemistry, physics and elementary biology for learning it meaningfully It should also be remembered that college level mathematics is not considered appropriate for all high school students, but only for those brighter students with better than average aptitude in mathematics who are college bound and intend to major in such fields as mathematics, science, engineering and architecture

An mitoductory high school course in any distipline should con centrate more on establishing a general ideational framework than in putting a great deal of liesh on the skeleton Generally speaking, only the frame work is retained anyway after a considerable retention interval, and if more ume is spent on overlearning the framework, plus a minimum of detail, than in superficially learning a large mass of oversophisticated and poorly under stood material, both more of the important ideas are retained in the case of students taking the subject terminally, and a better foundation is laid for students taking the take more advanced courses later

Oversophisticated detail is not only unnecessary and inappropriate for a beginning course but also hinders learning and generates unfavorable attitudes toward the subject. The student can t see the forest for the trees?

Nuch of the inappropriately high level of sophistication of the BSCS text books is undoubtedly a deliberate occreation to the outdated content, pauety of explanatory idea: the completely descriptive approach, and the kindergatten writ ung wije and level of difficulty characterizing most textbooks in introductory high whool biology

The main conceptual themes get lost or become unidentifiable in a welter of detail Both the average student and the student not particularly in terested in science would tend to feel overwhelmed by the vast quantity and complexity of detail, terminology, methodology, and historical material in the blue and yellow versions. And a student who feels overwhelmed by a subject tends to develop an aversion toward it, and to resort to rote memorization for examination purposes.

It is not necessary for a beginning student to be given so much se quential historical detail about the development of biological ideas, related experimental evidence from original sources, and pedantic information about all of the various misconceptions and twistings and turnings taken by these ideas before they evolve into their currently accepted form. As a result, the ideas themselves--which are really lie important things to be learned—tend to be obscured and rendered less salient. This practice also places an unnecessary and unwarranted burden on learning and memory effort—effort that could be more profitably expended on learning the ideas themselves and the more significant aspects of their historical development

learned—tend to be obscured and rendered less salient. This practice also places an unnecessary and unwarranted burden on learning and memory effort—effort that could be more profitably expended on learning the ideas themselves and the more significant aspects of their historical development. To give students the flavor of biology as an evolving empirical science with a complex and often circuitous lisstory, it would suffect to circ several examples it is unnecessary to give the detailed ideational and experimental history of every biological concept and controversy. Unsophisticated students also tend to be confused by raw experimental data, and by the actual chronological and experimental listory underlying the emergence of a biological law or theory—especially when long quotations are given from original sources that use archaic language, refer to obscure controversies, and report findings and inferences in an unfamiliar and discursive manner. It is sufficient (as the green version does) to review the historical background of biological concepts in a schematic, telescoped, simplified, and recon structed fashion, deleting most of the detail, and divergarding the actual chronological order of the antecedent ideas and their related experiments

#### Theoretical Bias

Introductory textbooks should generally be free of strong theoretical bias and axe grinding. They should give the impression that all theoretical issues are not yet finally resolved, that many different points of view are still theoretically tenable, and that the final word still has not been (and never will be) spoken. This does not mean, of course, that it is wrong or undesirable for a textbook writer to express a point of view or theoretical bias. As long as he exploitly acknowledges his bias and farly presents cur rent alternative positions, the adoption of an unambiguous point of view his dis datantage of theoretical consistency over blander and more electic approaches. Philosophical indoctrination, however, is indefensible when students are too unsophisticated to evaluate the merits of a given theoretical orientation. Until they are sufficiently mature to form independent judgments, it is important that they be permitted to retain an open mind on controversal issues in the philosophy of vacence.

The green version is more disposed than the blue and yellow versions to concede that very little is known about some topics, that some concepts are based on relatively little solid evidence, that the same evidence is subject to different interpretations, and the contemporary biologists do not always agree with each other It also stresses, more than the other versions do, that biological knowledge is not immutable, and that it changes both as new facts and techniques are discovered and as new theories are proposed Finally, the green version suggests more explicitly than the other versions do that biological concepts and classifications are man made attempts to interpret, organize, and simplify our understanding of natural phenomena; and that such concepts and categories are neither coextensive with the data from which they are derived, nor represent the only ways of conceptualizing and categorizing the same data. This distinction between an abstraction and empirical reality is important for beginning students, who frequently tend to think of concepts and categories in absolute and axiomatic terms, as if given in reality itself and possessing the same reality status as data

#### Instructional Aids10

With the growth of our psychological and pedagogic knowledge about, and technological capacity for, presenting instructional maternals efficiently to learners at each stage of cognitive and subject matter sophistication, the role of instructional aids in education is gradually changing. No longer do these aids serve merely enrichment or evaluative functions in irrainmitting subject matter content to students, but do, and largely should, carry the routine burden of such transmission. Thus, ideally, after the primary grades, curriculum maternals should be produced for students rather than for teachers. When the content of a curriculum program is appropriately prepared and pre tested for learnability and lucidity, and contains adjunctive feedback devices, there is little value in using the teacher as a failer through which the content of subject matter reaches pupils (Novak, 1965). Perhaps 0.1 percent of teachers may present subject instructionals in transitional when the content of subject matter reaches lucid) and efficiently

to This term is used in the generic sense and includes all media which the teacher uses for instructional purposes apart from oral communication---textbooks, workbooks, schematic models and dizgrams, demonstrations, laboratory work, motion purpose, television, teaching machings.

as properly programmed materials and the use of programmed material does not necessarily imply teaching machine programs or scrambled text books that granulate material mto such small segments that its logical structure and interrelationships are no longer perceptible <sup>11</sup>

When programmed subject matter material is transmitted to pupils directly it not only reaches them more clearly and effectively but can also be delivered on an individualized self paceable basis thereby circumventing the ideational and pedagogic limitations of nine hundred and ninety nine teachers in a thousand The teacher's role is not eliminated but is channeled more into the stimulation of interest the planning and direction of learning activities the provision of more complete and individualized feedback in instances that are idiosyncratic to particular learners the evaluation of achievement the guidance of independent study thinking and problem solving and the direction of discussion about issues that are too controversial or speculative to be programmed efficiently. The teacher is far too valuable a person to spend his time giving routine lectures about relatively stable and fixed areas of knowledge (Encksen 1967) Typically programmed materials would consist of texts that are written by teams of subject matter and learning theory specialists in accordance with established psychological principles of presentation and organization that are empirically pretested and suitably revised to guarantee the maximal lucidity of each idea that either present adjunctive tests of genuine understanding plus appropriate feedback after each self contained subsection and/or call upon the teacher to do so that make provisions for consolidation (confirmation correction and differential practice) before new material is presented and that provide for adequate review after progressively increasing intervals of time For the most part instructional aids have contributed very little thus

For the most part instructional aids have contributed very little thus far to the goal of individualized instruction

Elementary schools high schools and colleges throughout the country are en gaging in a variety of programs to improve instruction. More often than not however these modifications and innovations do not come to gups in a direct and systematic way with the primary event—the acquisition of knowledge by the individual student. One basic goal for educational change must be to recognize the individual student rather than the class as the functional unit in the instructional process. The widely publicated dams that teaching machines and programmed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Unless otherwise noted programmed textbook in this volume does not refer to the typical scrambled textbook currently on the market but rather to conventional format textbooks that are written in accordance with the instructional strategy advocated in this and preceding chapters Such books are typically supple mented by the adjunctive type of automated instruction advocated by S L Pressey (1950 1952a 1962b) for purposes of feedback and evaluation

Instruction have established the educational breakthrough for adapting to individual differences is a gross overstatement. Adapting to the rate of learning is only one dimension and any tutor live or automated must be able to respond to the other differences that mail, the idiosyncratic learning progress of each student (Encisen 1967, p. 175).

Other significant dimensions of individualization include size of step, difficulty and level of abstraction of material, degree of prior preparation and familiarization, and the provision of enriched content

#### Printed Materials

For the routine transmission of subject matter content, printed ma terals are undoubtedly the method of choice. Not only can a much greater quantity of material be presented in a given unit of time, but rate of presentation is also under the control of the fearner. Thus, the latter can pace himself in accordance with his intelligence reading skill, and subject matter sophistication. He can also take as much ume as he wishes to savor the language, to reflect on the material, and to relate it to other relevant ideas. The objective of increasing speed of reading 10 the point that precludes these latter activities is educationally unsound. Contrary to general behief among teachers and students, N. E. James (1962) found that the use of a preferred method of learning meaningful material (for instance, reading versus lecture) makes no difference whatsoert in learning outcomes

The deficiencies frequently ascribed to textbooks are not really inherent in the medium itself but reflect, rather, deficiencies that are common to all inadequately prepared instructional materials such as lack of lucidity, in effective communication, inappropriate level of sophistication, and absence of explanatory and integrative ideas Relatively few textbooks have ever been written which take into account considerations such as progressive differentiation, integrative reconciliation, sequentiality of subject matter content, and use of organizers. Although textbooks can contain some built in adjunctive feedback and evaluative devices, and can, to a limited extent, sumulate and guide the student s independent study, thinking, and problem solving activities, further provisions along these lines must be made by the teacher The latter is also responsible for such matters as differential practice, review, recitation, and prompting, and for coordinating the textbook with lectures discussion laboratory work, other audiovisual aids supple mentary reading and independent student projects (such as essays, reports) It should be remembered that beyond the junior high school period concrete-empirical props should not ordinarily be used to foster an intuitive type of meaningful learning-except in the early stages of introducing students to an unfamiliar new discipline. At other times, their function is to facilitate and clarify the abstract learning of concepts and propositions

#### Laboratory

The laboratory as a medium of instruction implies more than direct contact with and observation of objects and events. As differentiated from demonstration and observational exercise, it also involves discovery experience and concern with such aspects of the process of science as hy pothesis formation and testing, designing and conducting experiments, con trolling and manipulating variables, and making inferences from data. Thus, in science education one can hardly disagree with the proposition that

a heavy emphasis should be placed on the nature of science or the process by which new knowledge is obtained Instruction should be planned to develop understanding of the basic ideas of science concomitant with the appreciation of the methods of science these two aspects should not be ireated independently (NSTA Curriculum Commutice 1964 pp 1718)

The trouble with this statement, in our opinion, is that it is not sufficiently explicit. It emphasizes the role of the laboratory in teaching the process of science and the importance of coordinating laboratory and expository instruction, they certainly should not be treated independently But primary responsibility for transmitting the content of science should be delegated to teacher and textbook, whereas primary responsibility for transmitting appreciation of sciencific method should be delegated to the laboratory This does not imply that laboratory and classroom should not be coordinated, or that related substative and methodological principles should not be considered together whenever relevant

Yet science courses at all academic levels are traditionally organized so that students waste many valuable hours in the laboratory collecting and manipulating empirical data which, at the very best, help them rediscover or exemplify principles that the instructor could present verbally and demon strate visually in a matter of minutes. Hence, although laboratory work can easily be justified on the grounds of grung students some appreciation of the spirit and methods of scentific inquiry and of promoting problem solving analytic, and generalizing ability, it is a very time-consuming and inefficient practice for routine purposes of teaching subject matter content or illustrating principles where didatcic exposition or simple demonstration are perfectly adequate. Knowledge of the methods whereby data and principles in a particular discipline are acquired also need not be gained always through self discovery in the laboratory In many instances, this purpose can be accomplished much more efficiently through didactic exLaboratory work in this context refers to inductive or hypothetico deductive discovery experience and should not be confused with demonstrations and simple exercises. Netertheless it myoless a contrived type of discovery that is very different from the truly autonomous discovery actuvities of the research scholar and scientist. The immature or unsophisticated student is only confused by the natural complexities of raw unselected and unsystematized data. Before he can discover generalizations efficiently the problem must be structured for hum and the available procedures and methods of handling data must be skillstilly arranged by others that is simplified selectively schematized and sequentially organized in such a way as to make ultimate discovery almost memable. Occasional indigendent design of experiments may lave a salutary effect in conveying the actual spirit of sztentific inquiry but should hardly be a routine procedure Most sudents below the graduate level of nitruction lack both sufficient

Most students below the graduate level of instruction lack both sufficient sophistication in science and sufficient ingenuity and originality autonomously to devise all of the experiments that are necessary for learning the process of science and even if they could the procedure would be much too time consuming to warrant the modest advantages in understanding and appreciating scientific method that such an approach would confer over arranged laboratory work. It is no more necessary autonomously to ducover methods of discovering scientific knowledge in order genuinely to understand and appreciate the process of science than it is necessary autonomously to discover the products of scientific investigation in order meaningfully to learn scientific and place.

In short personal laboratory experience is both useful and necessary for the understanding of science but truly independent laboratory research in the schools is useful only occasionally (rather than as a routine practice) to give students the flavor of autonomous scientific inquiry. The latter kind of experience in other words can hardly be equated with individualized laboratory ork. Individualization of instruction in the laboratory conforms to the same principles of individualized instruction in expository teaching that were discussed above and does not necessarily or typically presuppose independent design of experiments or wholly autonomous discovery learning

Thus in dividing, the labor of scientific instruction the laboratory typically carries the burden of conveying the method and spirit of science whereas the textbook and teacher assume the burden of transmitting subject matter content. The laboratory however should be carefully integrated with the textbook inlat is it should deal with methodology related to the subject matter of the course and not with experiments chosen solely because of their suitability for illustrating various strategies of discovery. It goes without saying of course that laboratory methods can be used only where the underlying methodology and substantive principles are thoroughly

#### Programmed Instruction

In the genenc sense of the term programmed instruction is an individualized form of self instruction in which emphasis is placed on sequentiality, lucidity, and graded difficulty in the presentation of learning tasks, on confirmatory and corrective feedback and on consolidation and subject matter readiness. An attempt is made in programmed instruction to manipulate as optimality as possible all practice, task and transfer variables that are relevant for the acquisition and retenuous of subject matter content. In preceding sections and chapters we have restewed much research on these variables in a programmed instruction context, on the general effective ness of this approach, and on presumptive reasons for its effectiveness. Only a brief summary statement, therefore, is necessary at this point

Our conclusion with regard to programmed instruction has been that it is potentially the most effective method for transmitting the established content of most subject matter fields. Although programmed instruction can include some guided discovery and vicanous concrete-empirical experience, it is obviously less effective than laboratory and demonstration for learn ing scientific method and for acquiring observational and discrimination skills It is also less suitable than discussion and project methods for con sidering more controversial aspects of subject matter for expressing ong inality and independence of thought, and for learning how to adopt and defend a debatable position As pointed out above the most efficient form of programmed instruction can be effected within a conventional textbook format, providing the material has been pretested for sequentiality and lucidity, contains adequate provision for the testing of knowledge and for feedback, and takes into account established substantive and programmatic principles of facilitating the acquisition and retention of subject matter content

The weight of the evidence regarding the effectiveness of programmed instruction indicates that it leads to learning outcomes that are either equally as good as or slightly better than those of conventional methods (Glaser, 1965 Hughes and McNamara, 1961, Poppleton and Austwick, 1965 Schramm 1965, Whitlock, Copeland, and Craig, 1963) Most students tend to react favorably to the programmed learning format (Eigen, 1963), at least in the beginning, loss of enthusians sets in earber at the university level (Roth, 1965) than in elementary school (Porter, 1959). This latter find ing suggests that some of its demonstrated effectiveness may be attributable either to noveliv or to the Hawthorme effect

It cannot be stressed too strongly that most of the available evidence about this mode of instruction is not derived from programmed instruction in the generic sense defined above, but rather from research on 'teaching machines' and scrambled textbooks that employ a relatively small frame and small step size approach Various other special features of the Skinnerian linear programming technique such as emphasis on overt, constructed responses on a low error rate (on invariably inducing success and avoiding uncertainty), and on the direct reinforcing effect of rewarding every correct response, have already been shown to be either empirically unsupportable or theoretically untenable

Whatever effectiveness automated instruction has been found to possess can be attributed to such factors as consolidation, lucidity, individualization, prompting and confirmatory and corrective feedback. The important programming principle of sequentiality has not really been tested yet on a long term basis, since most programs (at least insofar as sub units within a given learning task are concerned) do not presuppose a logical sequence of items such that each sub unit is sequentially dependent on the preceding intra task sub unit, it apparently makes little difference whether the frames are carefully sequenced or presented in random order (N R Hamilton, 1964, Levin and Baker, 1963, Roe, Case, and Roe, 1962) Also, apart from several short term studies, the effects of both substantive aspects of programming and of such programmatic principles as progressive differentiation, integrative reconcliation, the use of organizers, spaced review, and attention to the internal logic of the instructional material, have not been investigated S L Presseys (1962a, 1962b) adjunctive use of *sell scoring devices* makes possible only those beneficial effects on learning that follow from evaluation and feedback, and does not deal with the optimal organization and presenta tion of subject matter

#### Curriculum Reform Movements

The Biological Sciences Curriculum Study may be taken as typical in approach and objectives to the many flourishing curriculum reform movements that have arisen in the past fifteen years. Its principal objective is to re establish the close contact and congruence of high school biology with current conceptual and methodological developments in biological science, while still maintaining, and even increasing, its congruence with current psychological and pedigogie ideas about the learning teaching to J J Schwab, they apply to tenth grade students (Schwab, 1963) According to J J Schwab, they content of high school biology, during the beyday of progressive educa too, was no longer mainly determined by the state of knowledge in the scientific field, 'because of its excessive preoccupation with such matters as intellectual readiuess, the learnability of material, and individual differences amoug learniers. The BSCs apploach, however, has veered precisely toward the opposite extreme in trying to correct this insustifactory state of affairs, its three texts are resonably congruent with the content and methods of modern biology but except for the green version are psychologically and pedagogically unsound for the majority of tenth graders

Actually of course there is no inherent incompatibility between subject matter soundness on the one hand and pedagogic effectiveness on the other It is no more necessary to produce pedagogically inappropriate in structional materials in an attempt to make them reflective of the current state of knowledge in a given discipline than it is necessary to present dis-credited concepts or inaccurate lacts in order to make the subject matter more learnable in practice however as the yellow and blue BSCS versions demonstrate preoccupation with the recency of subject matter content and with the completeness of conceptual methodological and historical cover age can easily lead to the neglect of such basic pedagogic considerations as the educational appropriateness of course approach and objectives the ade quacy of the pupils existing academic background for learning the content of the course and the usychological tenability of the chosen ways of present ing organizing and sequencing materials The inevitable outcome under these circumstances is the production of instructional materials that are admirably thorough accurate and up to date but so meffectively presented and organized and so impossibly sophisticated for their intended audience as to be intrinsically unlearnable on a long term basis

Although the BSCS does not state explicitly its specific dissatisfactions with conventional high school biology textbooks these dissatisfactions can be readily inferred from the content of its numerous publications and are generally illustrative of the perceived need for curriculum reform in the sciences (1) Conventional texts abound in outmoded ideas and incorrect information and ignore important contemporary developments in the biological sciences (2) They are written at a largely descriptive level and con tain relatively few explanatory concepts too much stress is placed on struc tural detail useless terminological distinctions and classification thereby placing a premium on rote memory (3) Their approach is too naturalistic and insufficiently experimental quantitative and analytical (4) They tend to focus excessively on the organ and tissue levels of biological organization whereas recent biological progress has been greatest at the molecular (biophysical and biochemical) cellular population and community levels (5) They are written at too low a level of sophistication and contain a profusion of elementary and self-evident generalizations (6) Insufficient em phasis is placed on biology as a form of inquiry as an experimental science and as an ever changing open ended discipline (7) the biological ideas they contain are not presented in terms of their historical development and are not related to the social and technological contexts from which they arise (8) They lack organizing and unifying themes present a mass of discon nected facts and fail to integrate related concepts and different levels of biological organization. (9) They place excessive entry is a single of a line with one biology to such areas as meniante public one to a single biology to such areas as meniante public biology to such areas on base biological areas as a non-

# Specification of Objectives in Behavioral Terms

For many years now, evaluation specialists have been exhorting c rnculum workers "State your objectives in behavioral terms, so that it it realization can be subjected more easily to objective evaluation 15 likin (1963) points out however, such exhortation often does more hum thun good in the first place both psychologists and subject mutter specially s may give more attention to relatively trivial but readily definible wils thim to goals that are intrinsically more important but resistive to precise by havioral definition Second, few curriculum specialists are trained to define goals in behavioral language Most important however is the first that he havioral terminology more often obscures than clarifies educational goals The taxonomy of educational objectives (Bloom Engelliart Furst Hill und Krathwohl 1956 Krathwohl and others 1964) for example citegories educational goals in great behavioral detail But since such basic terms is corni memory knowledge understanding transfer meaning use and affective have very different meanings for psychologists ind educators of different theoretical persuasion classification of currieulum objectives along such lines merely results in considerable pseudo agreement among psychologists and curriculum workers without ever ieally defining what the actual objectives in question are

A taxonomy of educational objectives in behavioral terms can of ourse be potentially very useful both for curriculum planning aid ci ilia tion and for designing functional and differential measures of cducutou di outcomes once the discipline of educational psychology itself attams a cer tain minimal degree of sophistication and stability. However, until there is more genume understanding of and general sgreenent about the under lying processes of and interrelationship among the important kinds of classtoom learning outcomes its use for these purposes is somewhat comparable to employing a micrometer to measure inches feet and useful miselating when distinctions between the major phyla are still generally unsettled. In it's present state of our knowledge in education il psychology it is probably more realistic and generally satisfactory to define educational objectives in grosser and more descriptive terms that are closer to the language of the curriculum worker than to that of the psychologist

## Collaboration of Subject Matter, Learning Theory, and Measurement Specialists

A basic premise of all curriculum reform projects is that only a person with subject matter competence<sup>22</sup> in a given discipline should prepare cur reculum materials in that discipline. Only such a person is sufficiently sophisticated (a) to identify unifying and mitegrative concepts with broad generalizability and explanatory power in the field (b) to perceive the inter relationships between different ideas and topics so as to organice, sequence, and integrate them optimally, (c) to comprehend the process of inquiry and the relationship of theory to data in the discipline in order to select appropriate laboratory exercises and to integrate process and content aspects of the curriculum program and (d) to understand the subject matter content well enough eithers have done so

To be pedagogically effective such curriculum materials also have to conform to established principles in the psychology of classroom learning, and must include evaluative devices that conform to established principles of evaluation and measurement. Obviously, it is difficult for any one person to possess all three completencies. But a pure clucauonal psychologist or measurement specialist cannot really collaborate with a subject matter specialist in producing curriculum materials and measuring instruments—apart from communicating to hum general principles of learning theory and measurement.

This type of help however is inadequate for the actual collaborative task that needs to be done. In the actual operation of producing curriculum and evaluative materials data are sound on both subject matter and learning deory measurement grounds the educational psychologist and measurement specialist can collaborate effectively with duer colleagues in subject matter fields only if they themselves are sufficiently sophisticated in the subject matter to participate actively in the production of the curriculum materials from the very start. Only in this way can they ensure that the detailed content and structure of the material conform to established principles of learning and measurement theory. One possible solution to this problem of producing sound instructional materials is to train a new type of curriculum worker either a subject matter specialist who is sophisticated (but not expen) in learning theory or measurement to collaborate with learning theory and measurement specialists or a learning theory or measurement specialist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> In actual practice three different kinds of persons provide different kinds of subject matter as well as pedagogic, competence in projects such as the BSCS a professional biologist, a specialist in the teaching of biology and a classroom biology teacher

who is sophisticated (but not expert) in some subject matter field, to col laborate with subject matter specialists

# Single-Unit versus Integrated Curriculum Approach

Generally speaking it is not pedagogically tenable to produce science curriculum materials apart from an integrated plan encompassing each of the separate scientific disciplines at successively higher levels of difficulty from elementary school through college A collection of supplementary grade appropriate units in various scientific disciplines, even when used in conjunction with existing curriculum materials, presents many difficulties (a) It does not further the construction of a sequentially organized curric ulum in any particular discipline at any grade level that is logically coherent and systematic in its component topics (b) Students fail to develop a con ception of each scientific discipline as a sequentially organized, logically integrated, and coherently interrelated body of knowledge (c) For a given discipline to be organized for optimal learning on a longitudinal basis, one must plan in advance for the articulation of the various levels of difficulty so that some topics are considered at progressively higher levels of sophis must plan in advance for the articulation of the various levels of dimetrify so that some topics are considered at progressively higher levels of sophis treation whereas other topics are introduced for the first time when specified levels of subject matter sophistication and cognitive maturity are reached This kind of large scale, integrated curriculum planning requires no

materials should be scheduled to guarantee learning 'that does the produc tion of small unintegrated units of material The same principles are in volved but on a much more massive scale. One starts with the same tentative outline based on logical interrelationships between the component aspects of a discipline, as modified by pertunent developmental and learning theory considerations, prepares tentative units, and revises these units on the basis of try ou experience or alters their grade placement level if this is done by a team, say twenty times larger than the one ordinarily envisaged, it can prepare an integrated science curriculum in the same length of time that t takes an average sized team to prepare an unintegrated series of units Admittedly, this involves many more administrative problems, but if one Addition to the principle of immediate tryout of component units, there should not necessarily be any problem of 'rigidity The deficiencies in the existing large scale, integrated projects stem more from (a) unitenable theo retical idea about reactioning and learning (for instance, overemphasis on the importance of discovery in learning, overemphasis on the 'basic science,' in portante of advorts in restored, (b) uncoordinated team effort, resulting experimental analytic approach), (b) uncoordinated team effort, resulting in the production of textbooks consisting of unintegrated units, and no pervasive organizing ideas that are organizally related to the textual mate rial (for example, blue and yellow BSCS versions), (c) failure to try out the

Instructional Materials

# Collaboration of Subject Matter, Learning Theory, and Measurement Specialists

A basic premise of all curriculum reform projects is that only a person with subject matter competence<sup>12</sup> in a given discipline should prepare curriculum materials in that discipline. Only such a person is sufficiently sophisticated (a) to identify unifying and integrative concepts with broad generalizability and explanatory power in the field (b) to perceive the inter relationships between different ideas and topics so as to organize, sequence, and integrate them optimally, (c) to comprehend the process of inquiry and the relationship of theory to data in the discipline, in order to select appropiate laboratory exercises and to integrate process and content aspects of the curriculum program, and (d) to iniderstand the subject matter content well enough either to prepare textual materials lucidly himself, or to judge whether others have done so

To be pedagogically effective such curriculum materials also have to conform to established principles in the psychology of classroom learning, and must include evafuative devices that conform to established principles of evaluation and measurement. Obviously, it is difficult for any one person to possess all three competencies. But a pure educational psychologist or measurement specialist cannot really coffaborate with a subject matter specialist in producing curriculum materials and ineasuring instruments—apart from communicating to him general principles of learning theory and measurement.

This type of help liowever, is inadequate for the actual collaborative task that needs to be done. In the actual operation of producing curriculum and evaluative materials that are sound on both subject matter and learning theory measurement grounds, the educational psychologist and measurement specialist can collaborate effectively with their colleagues in subject matter fields only if they themselves are sufficiently sophisticated in the subject matter to participate actively in the production of the curriculum materials from the very start Only in this way can they ensure that the detailed content and structure of the material conform to established principles of learning and measurement theory One possible solution to this problem of producing sound instructional materials is to train a new type of curriculum worker either a subject mater specialist who is sophisticated (but not expert) in learning theory or measurement, to collaborate with learning theory and measurement specialists or a learning theory or measurement specialist

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> In actual practice three different kinds of persons provide different kinds of subject matter as sell as pedagogie, competence in projects such as the BSCS a professional hologist, a specialist in the teaching of biology and a distroom

who is sophisticated (but not expert) in some subject matter field, to collaborate with subject matter specialists

# Single-Unit versus Integrated Curriculum Approach

Generally speaking, it is not pedagogically tenable to produce science curriculum materials apart from an integrated plan encompassing each of the separate scientific disciplines at successively higher levels of difficulty from elementary school through college A collection of supplementary grade appropriate units in various scientific disciplines, even when used in conjunction with existing curriculum materials, presents many difficulties (a) It does not further the construction of a sequentially organized curriculum in any particular discipline at any grade level that is logically coherent and systematic in its component topics (b) Students fail to develop a conception of each scientific discipline as a sequentially organized, logically integrated, and coherently interrelated body of knowledge (c) For a given discipline to be organized for optimal learning on a longitudinal basis, one must plan in advance for the articulation of the various levels of difficulty so that some topics are considered at progressively higher levels of sophisicitation, whereas other topics are introduced for the first time when specified levels of subject matter sophistication and cognitive maturity are reached This kind of large scale, integrated curriculum planning requires no

This kind of large scale, integrated curriculum planning requires no greater certainty in the minds of the specialists on exactly how scence materials should be scheduled to guarantee learning' that does the production of small unintegrated units of material. The same principles are in volved but on a much more massive scale. One starts with the same tentative outline based on logical interrelationships between the component aspects of a discipline, as modified by pertinent developmental and learning theory considerations, prepares tentative units, and revises these units on the basis of try out experience or alters there grade placement level If this is done by a team, say twenty times larger than the one ordinarily envisaged, it can prepare an integrated science curriculum in the same length of time that it takes an average sized team to prepare an unitegrated series of units. Admittedly, this involves many more administrative problems, but if one adheres to the principle of immediate try out of component units, there evisiting large scale, integrated projects stem more from (a) untenable theo retical ideas about teaching and learning (for instance, overempliasis on the evisiting large scale, integrated projects stem more from the basic science,' experimental analytic approach), (b) uncoordinated team effort, resulting in the production of textbooks consisting of unintegrated units, and no pervasive organizing tides that are organically related to the textual material (for example, blue and yellow BSCS version). (c) failure to try out the materials empirically until the entire series is completed, and (d) lack of active collaboration, on a day to-day basis, with learning theory and mea surement specialists (who are also sophisticated in the subject matter) in the actual preparation of curriculum and measurement materials

# "Basic" versus "Applied" Science Approach

The strong emphasis in the yellow and blue BSCS versions on 'basic science' principles, and their relative lack of concern with applications to familiar or practical problems, is in accord with current fashionable trends in science education Current curriculum projects have tended to overemphasize the basic sciences (because of their great generalizing power and relative timelessness), and unwarrantedly to denigrate the role and imporrance of applied science in general education if the aim of the science curriculum is to acquain the student with the goals and limitations of the sciencific enterprise, and to help him understand, as an end in itself, the conceptual meaning of the current phenomenological world that confronts him, it cannot afford to overlook the applied sciences. They constitute a significant speed of useful and important component of general education Knowledge about such subjects as medicine, agronomy, and engineering thould be taught not to make professional physicana, genomisis and engineers out of all students, or to help them solve everyday problems in these areas, but to make them more literate and intellectually sophisucated about the current world in which they live

The ume bound and particular properties of knowledge in the applied sciences have also been exaggerated. Such knowledge involves more than technological applications of basic science generalizations to current practical problems Although less generalizable than the basic sciences, they are also disciplines in their own right, with distinctive and relatively enduring bodies of theory and methodology that cannot simply be derived or extrapolated from the basic sciences to which they are related. It is simply not true that only basic science knowledge can be related to and organized around general principles Each of the applied biological sciences (for example, medicine, agronomy) possesses an independent body of general principles underlying the detailed knowledge in its field, in addition to being related in a still more general way to basic principles in biology Applied sciences also present us with many strategic advantages in

Applied sciences also present us with many strategic advantages in teaching and curriculum development. We can capitalize on the students existing interest in and familiarity with applied problems in science to provide an intellectual and motivational bridge for learning the content of the basic sciences. Previously acquired knowledge in the applied sciences, both incidental and systematic can serve as the basis for rendering basic science concepts and propositions both potentially meaningful to the learner and less threatening to him. There is also good reason for believing that applied sciences are intrinsically more learnable than basic sciences to the elementary school child, because of the particularized and initiative nature of his cognitive processes and their dependence on the here and now' properties of concrete empirical experience. For example, before the tenth grader ever enters the biology class, he has a vast fund of information about immunization, chemotherapy, the symptoms of infection, heredity, and so forth Finally, knowledge in the applied sciences probably is retained longer than knowledge in the basic sciences because of the greater frequency of their subsequent use (by virtue of more frequent applicability to intellectual experience in adult life).

## Overemphasis on Analytical, Quantitative, and Experimental Aspects of Science

One of the characteristic features of the curriculum reform movement is an overcorrection of the unnecessarily low level of sophistication at which many high school subjects have been and still are taught. In the sciences this tendency is marked by a virtual repudiation of the descriptive, natural istic, and applied approach and an overemphasis on the analytical, experimental, and quantitative aspects of science. In introductory high school biology, for example, much of the new content consists of highly sophisticated biochemical content that presupposes advanced knowledge of chem istry on the part of students who have no background whatoever in this subject. The implied rationale of this policy is Bruner's untenable assertion that any concept can be taught to any person irrespective of his level of subject matter sophistication

By any reasonable peedagogic criterion, introductory high school biology should continue to remain predominantly naturalistic and descriptive in approach rather than analytical and experimental. Thus does not imply emphasis on descriptive information or on disconnected facts unrelated to theory, but on explanatory concepts that are stated in relatively gross and descriptive language, instead of in the more technical, quantitative, and sophisticated terminology of biochemistry and biophysics. In short, high school biology should concentrate on those broad biological ideas that con stitute part of general education—physiology, evolution, development, in hentance, uniformities and diversity in life, ecology, and man's place in nuture—rather than on a detailed and technical analysis of the physical and chemical basis of biological phenomena or of the morphology and function of intracellular microstructures. This is particularly true for the substantial number of students who will receive no further instruction in biology As a matter of fact, there is still much significant but as yet unex plotted conceptual content in introductory biology that can be treated in much more sophisticated terms at a descriptive level without having to resort to the depth of biochemical and cellular detail given in the yellow and blue BSCS versions

Contrary to the strong and explicitly stated bias of the blue and yellow tersions there is sull much room in introductory biology for the natural istic approach. It is much more important for the beginning student in science to learn how to observe events in nature systematically and precisely and how to formulate and text hypotheses on the basis of independent sets of naturally occurring antecedents and consequences than to learn how to manipulate an experimental variable and consequences than to learn how to precedence in the student's intellectual development and is more consonant with his experimental background but also has more transfer value for problem solving in future real ble contexts. To dogmatically equate scientific method with the experimental and science such fields in biology as ecology paleontology and evolution and such other disciplines ageology astron only meteorology astrongology.

This bases against the naturalistic approach has already reached the point where pupils are being taught that cause and-effect and explanatory relationships between independents and dependent variables can be war raintedly inferred only if the independent variables under investigation can be reliably manipulated and if other relevant variables can be adequately controlled. This pseudo-scientific diction ignores both statistical methods of control and the more important fact that controlled experiments in nature occur spontaneously every day in the week, instang the student of science merely to formulate and test relevant hypotheses without any need what societ for experimental manipulation and control.

Retention of the naturalistic and descriptive emphasis and of some applied content, in introductory high school biology is thus consistent with the fact that tenth-grade biology is the terminal course in science for many students. It is also more consistent than is the analytical-experimental approach with the tenth-grade science and the science of sophistic-ation in science. This proposed emphases is also in no way inappropriate for those students who will subsequently take high school physics and chemistry as well as more advanced biology courses. These latter students would be much better prepared after taking such an introductory course for a second course in biology in the twelfth grade or in college that takes a more quantitative and experimental analytical approach introduces more costore topics and considers the biochemical and biophysical aspects of biological knowledge by this time they would also have the necessary mailematical sophistication and grater experience with experimential methodology.

#### Early Try Out of Materials

An essential aspect of the preparation of instructional materials that is unfortunately, ignored much too frequently by many curriculum reform projects is the matter of early and continuous try-out both with individual pupils and in classrooms Only in this way is it possible to ascertain their appropriateness and effectiveness, and to modify the original logically de veloped outline in terms of empirically relevant information, regarding learnability lucidity, difficulty level, sequence, organization, practicality, and attitudes of pupils, teachers, and administrators All too often huge sums of money are invested in preparing an integrated series of curriculum materials without making any provision for try-out and evaluation until the finished product is published

## Overemphasis on Discovery and Inquiry

Most curriculum reform projects in mathematics and science place inordinate emphasis on the inquiry process and on learning by discovery Implicit in this approach are the assumptions that subverbal insight is superior to verbal insight, that generalizations are not really understood unless they are discovered autonomously, and that the student can learn best by engaging in the same kinds of activities as mathematicians and scientists. These assumptions are subjected to detailed scrutiny in Chapter 14. Their principal weakness lies in failure to recognize the time-consuming aspects of discovery learning and to appreciate the respective roles of expository teaching and discovery experience in acquiring subject matter content, on the one hand, and in learning problem solving skills and the scientific method, on the other

#### Difficulties in Evaluating the New Curriculums

As W A Brownell (1965) points out, curriculum evaluation is more difficult than it often appears on the surface This in large part, is a function of the fact that standardized achievement tests both cover various traditional subject matter units deliberately ignored by the new curric ulums, as well as fail to measure knowledge of the more modern concepts which the latter emphasize Further, many curriculum projects either make no provisions whatsoever for evaluation or fail to provide for an adequate control group and to eliminate the Hawthorne effect. The weight of the evidence indicates that on the basis of achievement test results, the new curriculums in mathematics and science are approximately as effective as existing curriculums. If this were our ulumate criterion of effectiveness, however, these findings would be quite disappointing Much more impor plotted conceptual content in introductory biology that can be treated in much more sophisticated terms at a descriptive level, without having to resort to the depth of biochemical and cellular detail given in the yellow and blue BSCS versions

Contrary to the strong and explicitly stated bias of the blue and yellow versions, there is still much room in introductory biology for the natural issue approach. It is much more important for the beginning student in science to learn how to observe events in nature systematically and precisely, and how to formulate and test hypotheses on the basis of independent sets of naturally occurring antecedents and consequences than to learn how to manipulate an experimental variable and control other relevant variables, by design in a laboratory situation. The former approach not only takes precedence in the students intellectual development, and is more consonant with his experimental background, but also has more transfer value for problem solving in future 'real hie contexts. To dogmatically equate scientific summarily from the domain of science, such fields in biology as ecology, paleontology, and evolution, and such other disciplines as geology, astron own.

This bias against the naturalistic approach has already reached the point where pupils are being taught that cause and effect and explanatory rantedly inferred only if the independent variables can be war rantedly inferred only if the independent variables under investigation can be reliably manipulated and if other relevant variables can be adequately controlled. This pseudo-scenutific dictum ignores both statistical methods of control and the more important fact that controlled experiments in nature occur spontaneously every day in the week, inviting the student of scence werely to formulate and test relevant bypotheses without any need what soever for experimental manipulation and control

Retenue of the naturalistic and descriptive emphasis, and of some applied content, in nuroductory high school biology is thus consistent with the fact that tenth-grade biology is the terminal course in science for many students. It is also more consistent than is the analytical-experimental approach with the tenth graders existing background of experience, his ioter ests, his intellectual readiness, and his relative degree of sophistication in science. This proposed emphasis is also in no way inappropriate for those students who will subsequently take high school physics and chemistry, as well as more advanced biology courses. These latter students would be much better prepared, after taking such an introductory course, for a second course and experimental analytical approach, introduces more esotene topics, and By this time, they would also have the necessary mathematical sophistication and greater experience with experimental and biology.

#### Early Try-Out of Materials

An essential aspect of the preparation of instructional materials that is, unfortunately, ignored much too frequently by many curriculum reform projects is the matter of early and continuous try out, both with individual pupils and in classrooms Only in this way is it possible to ascertain their appropriateness and effectiveness, and to modify the original logically developed outline in terms of empirically relevant information regarding learnability, lucidity, difficulty level, sequence, organization, practicality, and attitudes of pupils, teachers, and administrators All too often huge sums of money are invested in preparing an integrated series of curriculum materials without making any provision for try out and evaluation until the finished product is published

#### Overemphasis on Discovery and Inquiry

Most curriculum reform projects in mathematics and science place inordinate emphasis on the inquiry process and on learning by discovery Implicit in this approach are the assumptions that subverbal insight is superior to verbal insight, that generalizations are not really understood unless they are discovered autonomously, and that the student can learn best by engaging in the same kinds of activities as mathematicians and scientists. These assumptions are subjected to detailed scrutiny in Chapter 14 Their principal weakness lies in failure to recognize the time consuming aspects of discovery learning and to appreciate the respective roles of expository teaching and discovery experience in acquiring subject matter content, on the one hand, and in learning problem solving skills and the scientific method, on the other

# Difficulties in Evaluating the New Curriculums

As W A Brownell (1965) points out, curriculum evaluation is more difficult than it often appears on the surface This, in large part, is a function of the fact that standardized achievement tests both cover various traditional subject matter units deliberately ignored by the new curric ulums, as well as fail to measure knowledge of the more modern concepts which the latter emphasize Further, many curriculum projects either make no provisions whatsoever for evaluation, or fail to provide for an adequate control group and to eliminate the Hawthorne effect. The weight of the evidence indicates that, on the basis of achievement test results, the new curriculums in mathematics and science are approximately as effective as existing curriculums. If this were our ulimate criterion of effectiveness, however, these findings would be quite disappointing. Much more impor tant, therefore, are results on delayed tests of retention and performance in more advanced, sequentially related courses Unfortunately, however, such data are not available

# The Leveling Effect of Instructional Aids on Degree of Existing Knowledge

It seems reasonable to suppose that in a nomindividualized learning environment organizational aits and other improved methods of teaching tend to benefit the average and dull student more than the bright student, hat is, to exert a leveling influence on the relationship between degree of existing knowledge and aptitude, on the one hand, and new learning in the same subject matter area, on the other. The bright student, after all, could be expected to structure and organize unfamiliar learning materials more successfully by himself. The research evidence in this area, however, tends to be equivocal. The lucid integrative teaching in the PSSC high school physics program not only brings it well within the ability of most high decreasing correlations between academic aptitude and physics achievement as the course progresses. Brighter students are evidently able to do for them selves part of what improved methods of teaching do for methocier students. Conventional methods of teaching on the other hand, tend to maintain and perpetuate the existing learning and achievement advantages inherent in superior verbal ability. When students are thrown back on their own devices, the superior student has a better chance of learning. Both D Porter (1959) and M H D Detambel and L. M Stolutow (1956) obtained almost zero correlations between general ability measures and achievement on programmed learning tasks and L W Joos (1961) showed that automated

D S Northrop (1952) similarly found that whatever increased learning results from emphasizing the outline of an instructional film comes primarily from the low ability group. The use of origanizers is suggestively more bene ficial for low ability students in the learning of completely unfamiliar material (Ausubel and Fitzgerald, 1962), but is unrelated to academic aptitude when the learning material is substantively related to existing knowledge (Ausubel and Fitzgerald, 1961) The dull individual is more apt to profit from advance organizers than the bright individual, because he is both less likely to posses and choose existing relevant subsumers in his cognitive structure for the new material, and is also less able to improvise appropriate new organizers by himself

Teaching machine programs that gear the difficulty of the material to the ability level of the lowest ability group quite naturally tend to reduce the relationship between general ability and ultimate learning outcomes In addition to lowering difficulty level by such devices as prompting and the use of small task and step size (L M Smith, 1962), such programs benefit the slow learner more than the fast learner by compensating for the former's relatively greater inability both to organize the naterial sequentially by lumself and to keep pace with a rate of instruction aimed at the pupil of average ability L M Stolurow (1961b, pp 121, 126, 136 138) has summa rized considerable research evidence showing increased homogeneity of per-formance following teaching machine training, as well as practically zero correlations between general ability scores and gain scores resulting from automated instruction S R Meyer (1960a) obtained a moderate negative correlation between pretest scores on knowledge of English prefixes and gain in such knowledge after ten days of self instruction with a programmed workbook Programmed instruction in electrocardiography differentially benefits acidentically poorer students (Owen, and others, 1965) J K Little (1960) similarly found that drill machines giving numediate knowledge of results of practice tests in an educational psychology course, as well as op-portunity to correct mistakes by drill, benefits those students most who usually score in the lower half of the distribution. As a result of such teach-ing, both the more and the less knowledgeable students most who attament, but the terminal achinesmore successful for bingkiter pupils G R klare and others (1955) showed that pruteruing, in the form of out-iming, inhibited learning in the low ability group but facilitated learning in the upper ability group.

in the upper ability group

When teaching machine programs are more demanding, low ability students make lower scores than high ability students on tests covering material completed by each group (Beane, 1962, Keislar and McNeil, 1961, Shay, 1961, Silberman, Melaragno, and Coulson, 1961, Wittrock, 1963e), and if the abler students are also perinitted to learn at their own pace and to complete as many programs as rapidly as they can, individual differences in achievement between the bright and the dull obviously tend to increase in achievement between the bright and the dull obviously tend to increase rather than to decrease during the course of sequentially organized instruc-tion (Beberman, 1958) This phenomenon apparently reflects both the in-creased learning opportunities given the more able pupils, as well as the reciprocal circular relationship between relative success and failure, on the one hand, and interest and motivation, on the other Despite this divergence, however, dull pupils who are permitted to learn at their own rate of speed obviously acquire a sounder foundation of knowledge, and also maintain higher educational morale than when forced to proceed and flounder at a rate exceeding their ability level

# AFFECTIVE AND SOCIAL FACTORS IN LEARNING

# MOTIVATIONAL FACTORS IN LEARNING<sup>1</sup>

AFTER FIFTY YEARS OR MORE OF RESEARCH OR MOUVALION perhaps the most striking conclusion that emerges from consideration of the staggering mass of research data and theory in this area is how little we really know about it and how much is still a matter of conjecture and speculative preference Fortunately however since the focus of our concern is on the role of motivation in learning particularly on long term mean ingful reception learning we shall not have to grapple with such general issues as the nature and classification of drives. Only the following kinds of issues need engage our attention. Is motivation necessary for learning? How do motivational variables differ from cognitive variables? What are the respective roles of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation in subject matter learning and how do they change with the age of the learner? In what ways does reward (the satisfaction of drives) influence learning and retention? Can meaningful learning be reinforced? How do intention ego-involvement and attitude influence learning? Are punishment and aversive drives effec tive in motivating learning?

One of the theoretical biases that should be made explicit at the very outset is the assumption that both the role and relative importance of different kinds of motivations (for example cognitive homeostatic material ego-enliancing aversive and affiliative) vary depending on the type of learn ing involved and on the species membership and developmental status of the learner Hence it could be anticipated that the role and relative importance of these various kinds of motivations in classroom learning would be quite different than in short term and fragmentary varieties of rote instrumental motor and discovery learning

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some of the maternal in this chapter has been excerpted with permission from an article Motivation and Classroom Management by the author in *Edu* cation 1966 86 479-488 Copyright 1966 by The Bobbs-Merrill Company Inc. Indianapolis Indiana

#### Is Mouvation Necessary for Learning?

Few theoretical issues in psychology provoke more heated controversy than the role of motivation in learning Positions vary all the way from the ascertion that no learning whatsoeter takes place without motivation to a complete denial that motivation is a significant variable in the learning process. The weight of the evidence indicates that although motivation is a highly significant factor in and greatly facilitates learning it is by no means an indispensible conducin<sup>3</sup> Considerable research suggests that much learning is apparently neither energized by notivation nor reinforced by drive satisfaction (reduction) Classical or Pavlovian conditioning for example, merely depends on temporal contiguity of the conditioned and uncondutioned sumuli. A good deal of learning as pointed out above, occurs incidentally without any explicit intention to learn. Appreciation of a means-end relationship is frequently acquired and selectively retained, either through insight or trial and error variation of a seponse, even if unaccom panced by the original existence and later reduction of a drive state

Apart from classical conditioning however, motivation is probably less indispensable for meaningful reception learning (particularly on an unorgamized short term basis) than it is lor any other kind of learning. Because such learning requires relatively hitle effort, less reliance need be placed on existing drives and motives within the learner, on incentive conditions, and on extrinsic rewards than is the case, for example, in rote learning or problem solving. But to assert that meaningful learning (particularly of a fragmentary and short term nature) can occur in the absence of motivation, does not of course, imply denial of the fact that motivation can significantly facilitate learning whenever it is present and operative

Even where motivation is clearly operative in human learning, it is misleading to extrapolate the familiar paradigm of homeostatic drive reduction that is characteristically used to explain animal learning (Harlow, 1958) Such drives are quickly satisfied and, when accompanied by intense effect disrupt learning (Harlow, 1953) Hence, hunger, thirst, pain, and the like, rarely mouvate human learning and although material rewards are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> On theoretical grounds at may be hypothesized that motivation becomes a progressively less important factor in learning as children advance in age As learning learning learning learning learning learning learning learning learning is interested by the second se

often effective intrinsic (task oriented) and ego enhancing motives increas ingly tend to dominate the motivational picture with advancing age. Mate rial rewards also tend to become less ends in themselves than symbols of earned or attributed status and sources of self esteem.

The trend in recent research and thinking has been to place greater emphasis on the motivational power of such intrinsic and positive motives as currosity (Berlyne, 1960), exploration (Montgomery, 1954), activity (W F Hill, 1956), manipulation (Harlow, 1950, Terrell, 1959), mastery or com petence (White, 1959) and the need for simulation (Butler, 1954) In addition, these latter drives have been elevated to the status of primary drives in their own right Unlike other drives, they are, furthermore, gratified (reduced) merely by the very fact of successful learning situations the provision of explicit rewards makes relatively little (Abel, 1936) or no (Auble and Mech, 1933) difference in speed of learning or in performance level Because so much learning attributable to task-oriented or ego enhancing mouves has already occurred, the later introduction of homeostatic or material rewards into the learning situation does not dramatically accelerate the rate of learn ing as it does in comparable animal ( latent learning') experiments At the human level, cognitive drive (like desire for knowledge as an

At the human level, cognitive drive (the desire for knowledge as an end in itself) is more important in meaningful than in rote or instrumental learning, and is, at least potentially, the most important kind of motivation in classroom learning. This is so, both because of its inherent potency, and because meaningful learning unlike these other kinds of human learning, automatically provides its own reward. That is, as in the case of all intrinsic motives, the reward that satisfies the drive inheres in the task itself. In addition, as E. C. Tolman (1932) points out, motivation may facilitate learning in ways other than by energizing behavior and by reinforcing the successful variant through drive reduction. It also exerts a purely cognitive effect by highlighting or emphasizing what is to be learned, and by providing con firmatory learning and in meaningful reception learning where the choice of correct alternatives is rewarded and the choice of incorrect alternatives is not.

The causal relationship between motivation and learning is typically reciprocal rather than undirectional Both for this reason, and because induxation is not an indispensable condition of learning, it is unnecessary to postpone learning activities until appropriate interests and motivations have been developed. Frequently, the best way of teaching an unmotivated student is to ignore his motivational state for the time being, and to con centrate on teaching him as effectively as possible. Some degree of learning will ensue in any case, despite the lack of motivation, and from the initial satisfaction of learning he will, hopefully, develop the motivation to learn more In some circumstances, therefore, the most appropriate way of arousing motivation to learn is to focus on the cognitive rather than on the motivational aspects of learning and to rely on the motivation that is de veloped from successful educational achievement to energize further learn ing

Even though *particular* instances of learning may be largely unmoti-vated, it is undoubtedly true that the subject matter in question must be related to felt needs if significant long term meaningful learning is to occur Inability to see any need for a subject is the reason students mention most frequently for losing interest in high school studies (F M Young 1932) Doing without being interested in what one is doing, results in relatively hitle permanent learning (Cantor, 1953) since it is reasonable to suppose that only subject matter material which is relevant to areas of concern in the psychological field of the individual can be meaningfully and efficiently in corporated and integrated into cognitive structure on a long term basis Learners who have little need to know and understand quite naturally ex pend relatively little learning effort, manifest an insufficiently meaningful learning set, fail to develop precise meanings to reconcile new material with existing concepts, and to reformulate new propositions in their own terms and do not devote enough time and effort to practice and review Knowledge is, therefore never sufficiently consolidated to form an adequate foundation for sequential learning Hence it is unrealistic to expect that school subjects can be effectively learned and retained until pupils develop a felt need to acquire knowledge as an end in itself-since much school knowledge can never be rationalized as necessary for meeting the demands of daily living Once such a need is developed learning naturally becomes both a more meaningful and a more satisfying experience, but it is difficult to sumulate the development of such needs until subject matter is presented meaning fully in the first place

Since meaningfulness is largely a personal phenomenon, it can be achieved only if the individual is willing to expend the *active* effort required to integrate new conceptual material into his unique frame of reference This means translaung and rephrasing new ideas into his own terms and relating them to his own experience, personal history, and system of ideas (H D Carter, 1935)

If learning is to be active, greater responsibility for its accomplishment must he with the pupil Pupils, not teachers, need to ask more of the questions and to be more concerned with formulating perceived problems than with learning answers to questions where problems are not perceived (Can tor, 1953) The teacher cannot learn for the pupil nor navigate intellectually for him. He can only present ideas as meaningfully as possible. The actual job of articulating new ideas into a personal frame of reference can only be performed by the learner It follows that ideas that are forcibly imposed upon pupils or passively and uncritically accepted by them cannot possibly be meaningful in the true sense of the term

#### Cognitive Drive

Because meaningful learning provides its own reward, cognitive drive (the desire to know and understand, to master knowledge, to formulate and solve problems) is more important than in rote or instrumental learning, and is potentially the most important kind of motivation in meaningful learning It is probably derived, in a very general way, from curiosity ten dencies and from related predispositions to explore, manipulate,3 under stand, and cope with the environment (R W White, 1959) These latter predispositions, however, originally manifest potential rather than actual motivational properties, and are obviously nonspecific in content and di rection Their potential motivating power is actualized in expression and particularized in direction by the developing individual, both as a result of successful exercise and the anticipation of future satisfying consequences from further exercise, and as a result of internalization of the values of those significant persons in the familial and cultural environments with whom he identifies Far from being largely endogenous<sup>4</sup> in origin, there fore, specific cognitive drives or interests are primarily acquired and de pendent upon particular experience Hence, we observe again that the relationship between cognitive drive and learning, like the relationship between motivation and learning generally, is reciprocal from a cause-effect standpoint

Despite the potential centrality of cognitive drive for classroom learn

<sup>3</sup> The desire to explore, manipulate, and be stimulated does not, in and of tself, lead to disciplined learning effort Jn iis untutored expression it is directed more toward immediate gratification

<sup>4</sup> We have already referred to the mistaken notion in some educational circles of regarding endogenous or spontaneously expressed needs as the only possible basis on which to organize a curriculum and as axiomatically reflective of what is truly besi. For the individual The choices that individuals make themselves are not invariably as appropriate as telelological theorists would have us believe in fact one of the primary functions of education is to stimulate the development of potentially worthwhile needs. Recognition of the role of needs in learning means that teachers should iry to develop needs in pupils for the subject matter likey with the curriculum should be testified to the specific interest in the happen to be present in a group of children growing up under patitual condutions of intel lectual and social class sumulation.

ing, it is nevertheless true that in our utilitarian, competitive, and achievement-oriented culture, such extrinsic considerations as ego-enhancement, anxiety reduction, and career advancement become, with increasing age, progressively more significant sources of motivation for school learning Beginning with the first four years of school life, ratings of achievement and recognition seeking behavior tend to remain quite stable, and are reasonably predictive of analogous behavior during adolescence and early adult life (Moss and Kagan, 1961). Even material rewards tend to become less ends in themselves than symbols of academic status achievement, and competitive advantage

Eventually of course, the viability of the cognitive drive as an intrinsic, task-oriented type of motivation is impaired as a consequence of the in creasing almost exclusive, association of intellectual interests and activities with ego-enhancing and anxiety reduction motives. If the desire to learn and understand is almost invariably excrossed in the context of competing for grades obtaining degrees, preparing for a vocation, siriving for advance ment, and reducing the fear of academic and occupational failure, there is little warrant for believing that much of it survives as a goal in its own right. This trend is reflected in the progressive decline in school interests and intellectual enthusiasm as children move up the academic ladder (Jersid and Tasch, 1949). Theoretically, of course, it is true that some cognitive drive may be developed as a functionally autonomous by product of successful learning, even though the intellectual activity in question its

Hence if we wish to develop the cognitive drive so that it remains viable during the school years and in adult hife, it is necessary to move still (urther away from the educational doctarine of gearing the currentium to the current concerns and life adjustment problems of pupils. Although it is undoubtedly unrealistic and even undesirable in our culture to eschew entirely the utilitarian ego-enhancement, and anxety reduction motiva uons for learning, we must place increasingly greater emphasis upon the value of knowing and understanding as goals in their own right, quite apart from any practical benefits they may confer Instead of demgrating subject matter knowledge, as so many allegedly progressive educators have done over the past fifty years, we must discover more efficient methods of fostering the long term acquisition of meaningful and usable bodies of knowledge, and of developing appropriate intrinse motivations for such learning.

# The Mediation of Motivational Influences

How do motivational factors actually influence meaningful learning and retention, and how does this influence differ from that of the cognitive variables we have considered in previous chapters? In the first place, cognitive variables influence directly the very conditions (parameters) determining the interaction between new learning material and existing cognitive structure, and hence the emergence of new meanings and the man tenance of their separate identity and availability during the retention interval (their dissociability strength) Such variables, for example, include the availability in cognitive structure of relevant anchoring ideas, the stability and clarity of such ideas, and their discriminability from the learning material, additional opportunity to relate the new learning material to a cognitive structure already sensitized to its meaning by virtue of prior exposure (spaced review), the confirmation and correction of newly acquired meanings through recutation, implicit testing against original or subsequent presentations of the material, or explicit testing with feedback, opportunity to profit during review from awareness of specific factors promoting forgetting, and the amount, difficulty, pacing, and internal logic of the instructional material

Second, the effects of cognitive voriobles ore also mediated through the same mechanisms in both learning and retention. That is, these variables determine the accuracy, clarity, and discriminability of emerging new mean ings during learning (their dissociability strength) by influencing the cognitive interactional process in the particular aforementioned ways, and this same influence of cognitive variables on dissociability strength both (a) can be exerted during retention as well as during learning and (b) continues to operate cumulatively during the retention interval, thereby determining the relative degree of availability of the newly learned meanings

Typically, however, motivationol and attitudinal variables are not directly involved in the cognitive interactional process. They energize and expedite this process during learning by enhancing effort, attenuon, and immediate readiness for learning and thus facilitate dissociability strength catalytically and nonspecifically (rather than through direct involvement in the parameters of the interactional process). Furthermore, the effects of motivational variables on learning and retention, respectively, unlike their cognitive counterparts, are not mediated through the same mechanisms After learning is completed, these variables cannot independently affect dissociability strength (that is, apart from their effects on learning itself), and therefore can only influence retention during the reproductive phase of memory by elevating thresholds of availability and by shaping the qualitative aspects of imaginative reconstruction

Thus motivational and attitudinal factors affect meaningful learning and retention in ways that are qualitatively different from the comparable effects of relevant cognitive variables. These latter variables (the availability of relevant anchoring ideas and their relative stability and clarity) directly and specifically influence the parameters of the cognitive interactional process underlying meaningful reception learning and retention, and are thus organically involved in the determination of dissociability strengtli Motivational and attitudinal variables, on the other hand, are not or ganically involved in the cognitive interactional process or in the determina tion of dissociability strength. For the most part they merely impinge indirectly on this process and influence dissociability strength in a nondimently on this process and influence dissociability strength in a nonspecific facilitating fashion. For example, through such motivational effects as mobilization of effort and concentration of attention, more repetitions of the material can be completed within the stipulated learning time, and each repetition is conducted more efficiently. The net result is an indirect, nonspecific, overall increase in dissociability strength for the learning process so energized.

It is also reasonable to assume that the effects of cognitive variables on meaningful learning continue along similar lines during retention and are mediated by the same mechanisms. Whatever these effects on the interactional process are they are simply extended temporally from learning to retention. Thus, the rate at which dissociability strength declines during retention affects the continuing influence of these same cognitive variables on the interactional process during the retention interval. However, once the learning sessions have been completed and the cognitive interactional products have been formed, a channel of communication no longer remains open for the energizing and expediting aspects of motivation to influence, dissociability strength even in a catalytic or nonspecific sense. Hence, if motivational factors are to affect retention independently of learning, a new mechanism is required to mediate this influence, a mechanism that becomes operative not during the retention interval, but during the reproductive stage of memory

#### Learning

During meaningful reception learning motivational and attitudinal variables may energize all or selected aspects of the learning field. They im pinge catalyucally and nonspecifically on the cognitive instructional process, by enhancing effort attention, and immediate readiness, without affecting any of its basic parameters (for unstance, the availability of relevant approphate subsumers, the latter's stability, clarity, and discriminability from the learning task). Hence, they neither determine any of its qualitative attributes nor differentially influence dissociability strength apart from a non specific facibiting effect on learning \* illustrative of the energizing effect

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Apparent exceptions to this generalization include the effects of meaningful learning set, integrative drive self critical attrudes and cognitive style which in fluence the emergence, precision integrativeness, and other qualitative aspects of

of motivation on learning is the fact that subjects who have high needs for achievement are more persistent (Feather, 1961), learn more effectively (Kight and Sassenrath, 1966) and tend to reach solutions in problem solving tasks more often than do subjects with low achievement needs (French and Thomas 1958) Persistence in task performance is also related to strength of cognitive drive (Kohn 1965) and to the relative incentive value of the task (Nakamura and Boroczi, 1965) On a long term basis, light achievement mouvation tends to be associated with greater academic achievement (Krug, 1959 Uhlinger and Stephens 1960) Measures of such motivation, when used in conjunction with measures of academic aptitude, are excellent pre dictors of college performance (Wess, Wertheimer, and Groesbeck, 1959)

Much of the facilitating effect of motivation on learning is mediated by an increase in attention <sup>6</sup> Merely directing students attention to certain aspects of subject matter, irrespective of how this is done, promotes learning (Entwisle, 1951) Academically achieving male college students are less susceptible to distraction than their underachieving peers (Baker and Madell, 1965)

Many properties of the learning situation that foster cognitive drive facilitate learning by attracting and sustaining attention. These include novelty, incongrnity, surprise, change, and conceptual conflict (Berlyne, 1960) A moderate amount of discrepancy, incongruity or gap between existing knowledge and a new learning task is most effective in mobilizing attention particularly when the learner is dissatisfied with what he knows In J Pragets terms, a child is most attentive to new learning tasks when they require some degree of accommodation on his part before they can be assimilated—when existing schemas are not wholly adequate for under standing or problem solving and require some but not too much modi fication. On this basis alone, it could be anticipated that the diminutive step-size approach of teaching machines would not be optimally effective for meaningful learning.

In addition to its energizing effects on meaningful reception learning

meanings But despite the terms used these factors must by definition be con sidered cognitive or at most quasi-motivational variables. They impinge directly on the dissociability strength of new meanings but their influence unlike that of true cognitive variables is not carried over into the retenitor interval

<sup>6</sup> Attention, of course also depends on factors other than motivation (for instance relevant experience and training) One of the principal reasons why culturally deprived children fail to learn is that they have not been adequately trained at home in paying attention (M Deutsch 1963). In part however, there low attention span is reflective of insufficiently developed intrinsic and extrinsic motivation to learn. (by enhancing effort, autention, and persistence), motivation also mobilizes nonspecifically the individual s immediate readiness for such learning by lowering the thresholds of those general kinds of perceptions and responses that are customarily implicated in the learning process Exemplifying thus latter mechanism is the lowering of reaction times that occurs in response to instructions to work faster (Owens, 1959) (as opposed to task oriented instructions or instructions to relax) It is important, however, not to con fuse this nonspecific motivational facilitation with the more direct and specific influence on dissociability strength that is exerted by such variables as meaningful learning set integrative drive, and self critical attitudes As a result of the operation of these latter, more specific quasi motivational mechanisms during learning, clearer and more stable meanings are acquired and retained, which in turn facilitate the sequential type of learning in volved in the mastery of subject matter For example, both L Festinger (1958) and D E Berlyne (1960) speak of the need to reduce dissonance, incongruity, or conflict betwen two cognitions This may lead to change in one of the beliefs to integrative reconciliation, or, as pointed out above to summary dismissal or compartmentalization of the contradictory cogni tion

An optimal level of motivation or ego involvement (neither too ligh nor too low) apparently exists for complex kinds of learning (lverson and Reuter, 1956) According to J S Bruner (1957), impelling drive states may conceivably disrupt meaningful generic learning both by overemphasizing the particularity of newly learned concepts, and by limiting the learner's ability to apply previously learned principles to newly learned tasks, and hence to go appropriately beyond the information given.' In support of this proposition he cites an experiment conducted by L. Postman and hum self in which subjects under stress made less improvement than a nonstress group in lowering their perceptual thresholds while learning to recognize tachistoscopically presented three word sentences. Stated in terms of an hypothesized physiological basis of motivation, a moderate amount of ac tuvation or arousal seems to have an optimal effect on learning (Malmo 1959)

#### Retention

Once the learning sessions have been completed and the cognitive inter actional products have been formed, a channel of influence no longer re mains open for the energiang and expediting aspects of mouvation to affect dissociability strength even in a catalytic or nonspecific sense? At this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> As will be pointed out below in the discussion of selective retention of those controversial materials toward which learners have a positive as opposed to a negative attitudinal bias, positive ego-involvement (in this instance, positive atti-

point therefore it is more parsimonious to postulate that inotivational and attitudinal variables continue to affect retention outcomes that is indepen dently of their prior effects on learning only insofar as they impinge on the *reproductive* aspects of memory

Both theoretical considerations and the weight of the available evidence suggest that motivational factors influence meaningful retention selectively by inhibiting (raising) rather than facilitating (lowering) particular thresh olds of recognition and recall \* Positive egoinvolvement and favorable attitudinal bias in other words do not increase retention by lowering thresholds of memorial elucitation but rather strong motivation to forget and certain kinds of attitudinal bias (for example in ego-threatening or anxiety producing situations) may selectively promote forgetting by raising thresholds of availability (repression). Thus unlike the situation in learn ing not only is the selective influence of motivational variables on mean ingful retention inhibitory rather than facilitating (catalytic) but the influence of these variables is also mediated solely through a change in sociability strength itself. Although the latter remains constant recall or recognition is nevercheless rendered momentantly more difficult because of the selective elevation of particular thresholds of availability \*

tudinal bias) can facilitate retention by increasing dissociability strength. However th s is not a genuine motivational effect on retention but is attributable to cogni five or nonaffective components of attribute structure which in the case of positive attitudinal bias tend to provide a highly clear and stable set of subsuming concepts for the learning and retention of the controvers al maternal

8 There is suggestive evidence that thresholds of availability of rotely (but not meaningfully) learned stems can be lowered after learning (a) Motivational and affective factors (ego-involvement ego enhancement considerations a need to remember and so forth) have been shown to enhance rotely learned memories See Ausubel (1963a p 231) for a summary of relevant studies (b) Drive states such as hunger both generally lower all response thresholds and selectively lower the thresholds of el citation of simple responses (for instance sucking) and perceptions that are particularly relevant for satisfying the underlying needs (Ausubel 1958 p 207) The fact that this selectivity is manifested at birth implies that the thresh olds of el citation of these relevant responses are differentially sensitive on an un learned basis to the general threshold lowering effects of drive states 1t is con ceivable therefore that when this same relevance is acquired on the basis of prior successful learning experience the affectively satisfying effects of drive reduc tion selectively sensitize the thresholds of electation of the drive reducing responses to the later general threshold lowering effects of the drive The only comparable evidence for meaningful fearning outcomes suggest that affective and motivational states (for instance anxiety) raise but do not lower thresholds of availability

<sup>9</sup> As previously indicated motivational and attitudinal factors not only help determine by raising thresholds of availability whether or not material of near It appears likely, therefore, that mouvational factors influence retention—by raising thresholds of availability—only in those relatively rare instances where retrieval of particular information would be ego-threatening or productive of anxiety as for example, in the repression of memories that would, if recalled, give rise to feelings of guilt or self disparagement. These kinds of forgetting however, would not occur very frequently in typical classroom learning situations

How, then, would one explain the more common facilitating effect of positive attitudinal bias on retention- Why, for example would a Democrat tend to remember more pro-New Deal material than a Republican? The most parsimonious explanation is not that pro-New Deal sentiments lower and anti New Deal sentiments raise thresholds of availability, but rather that attitudes have a cognitive as well as an affective component. That is, along with his pro-New Deal sentiments a New Dealer tends to possess a stable set of abstract and inclusive propositions reflective of New Deal ideology that more casily enable him to learn and retain differentiated information and evaluative implications favorable to New Deal ideology

Although the primary and direct effect of motivational variables on meaningful retention, when they are operative, is to elevate thresholds of availability (or to make the memories in question less available in relation to their intrinsic dissociability strength) it is theoretically concervable that motivation (a strong incentive to recall) could also indirectly lower thresholds of availability. It would do this by counteracting or disinhibiting certain inhibitory factors (distraction inattention, incruta, disinchination toward effort) that temporarily raise such thresholds. We have already observed how various inhibitory conditions such as initial learning shock and the competition of alternative memories tend to dissipate spontaneously, and how hypnosis can reduce the inhibitory effect both of competing men ories and of motives and attitudes promoting repression, as for example, in the case of anxiety producing material. It still has to be empirically determined whether strong motivation or possive ego-involvement could similarly facilitate retenion by disinhibiting temporarily is elevated thresh

Finally, as F C Bartlett (1932) points out, motivational variables are probably also involved in the reconstructive aspects of the reproductive phase of memory—in making a selection from among the available re membered items and in organizing them into a coherent verbal response to meet the demands of a current situation. Strictly speaking, however, the relevance of a response in which memories are reported is not part of the relevance.

threshold dissociability strength is available in the reproductive phase but also influence qualitatively the content of what is reconstructed
# Ego-Enhancement and Affiliative Components of Achievement Motivation

What is generally regarded as achievement motivation in school set tings is by no means the reflection of a unitary or homogeneous drive. It has at least three components One of these which we have already en countered is cognitive drive—the need for acquiring knowledge and solving academic problems as ends in themselves. This drive certainly underhes the need for academic achievement to the extent that such achievement represents to the learner the attainmut of the knowledge he seeks to acquire It is completely *task* oriented in the sense that the motive for becoming in volved in the task in question (acquiring a particular segment of knowl edge) is intrinsic to the task itself—is simply the need to know, and hence the reward (the actual attainment of this knowledge) also inheres com pletely in the task uself since it is capable of wholly satisfying the under lying notive

A second component of achievement motivation on the other hand is not task-oriented at all 1 triany be termed ego enhancing because it is concerned with achievement as a source of primary or earned status namely, the kind of status that an individual earns in proportion to his achievement or competence level 1 is ego enhancing masmuch as the degree of achieve ment determining how much primary status he enjoys simultaneously determines how adequate he fees (his level of self-esteem) feelings of ade quacy in this case always being a direct reflection of relative primary status The ego-enhancement component of achievement motivition is therefore directed both toward the attainment of current scholastic achievement, or prestige and toward the future academic and career goals (later sources of primary status) that depend on the latter. One of its central ingredents as we shall see later, is anxiety—fear in response to any anticipated threat to the loss of primary status and self-esteem that results from academic fulture Approval from teachers satisfies the ego-enhancement component of achievement motivation by constituting confirmation of achievement or a source of primary status.

The final or affihative component of achievement motivation is neither task-oriented nor primarily ego-enhancing. It is not oriented toward aca demic achievement as a source of primary status but rather toward such achievement insofar as it assures the individual of the approval of a super ordinate person or group with whom he identifies in a dependent sense and from whose acceptance he acquires vicanious or derived status. The latter kitud of status is not determined by the individual's own adhevement level, but by the continuing intrinsic acceptance of limit by the person(s) with whom he identifies. And the individual who enjoys derived status is It appears likely, therefore, that motivational factors influence reten tion—by raising thresholds of availability—only in those relatively rare instances where retires al of particular information would be ego threaten ing or productive of anxiety, as for example, in the repression of memories that would if recalled, give rise to feelings of guilt or self disparagement These kinds of forgetting however, would not occur very frequently in typical classroom learning situations

How, then, would one explain the more common facilitating effect of positive attitudinal bas on retention? Why, for example would a Democrat tend to remember more pro New Deal material than a Republican? The most parsimonious explanation is not that pro-New Deal sentiments lower and anti New Deal sentiments raise thresholds of availability but rather that attitudes have a cognitive as well as an affective component. That is, along with his pro New Deal sentiments a New Dealer tends to possess a stable set of abstract and inclusive propositions reflective of New Deal ideology that more easily enable him to learn and retain differentiated information and evaluative implications favorable to New Deal pelogy

Although the primary and direct effect of motivational variables on meaningful retention, when they are operative, is to elevate thresholds of availability for to make the memories in question less available in relation to their intrinsic dissociability strength) it is theoretically concervable that motivation (a strong incentive to recall) could also indirectly lower thresholds of availability. It would do this by counteracting or disinhibiting certain inlubitory factors (distraction inattention, inertia, disinclination toward effort) that temporarily raise such thresholds. We have already observed how various inhibitory condutions such as initial learning shock and the competition of alternative memories tend to dissipate spontaneously, and how hyponsis can reduce the inhibitory effect both of competing men ones and of motives and attutides promoting repression, as for example, in the case of anxiety producing maternal. It still has to be empirically determined whether strong motivation or positive ego involvement could similarly facilitate retention by disanhibiting temporarily elevated thresh

Finally as F'C Bardlett (1932) points out, motivational variables are probably also involved in the reconstructive aspects of the reproductive phase of memory—in making a selection from among the available remembered items and in organizing them into a coherent verbal response to meet the demands of a current situation. Strictly speaking, however, the rememory of a response in which memories are reported is not part of the retention process.

threshold dissociability strength is available in the reproductive phase, but also influence qualitatively the content of what is reconstructed

# Ego-Enhancement and Affiliative Components of Achievement Motivation

What is generally regified as refreeement motivation in school set  $in_{05}$  is by no means the reflection of a mutary or homogeneous drive. It has at least three components. One of these, which we have already encountered is cognitive drive—the need for acquiring knowledge and solving academic problems in ends in themselves. This drive certainly underlies the need for icademic achievement to the extent that such reflexion represents to the learner the attainmut of the knowledge he seeks to acquore it is completely *taik-arterited* in the sense that the movies for becoming in volved in the task in question (acquiring a particular segment of knowl  $cd_{n}c$ ) is initiate to the task uself—is simply the need to know, and hence the task uself since it is cipable of wholly satisfying the moder pletely in the task uself since it is cipable of wholly satisfying the moder plung motive

If e-final or a "dottice component of achievement motivation is neither tack-oriented nor primarily exponentiancing. It is not oriented toward aca demic ad-reterming as a source of primary statistic, but rather toward orient achievement insolar as it assures the individual of the approach of a supercisin are price in or group with where the identifies in a dependent sense and from whose aneitratic in the acquires transmissions or derived statis. The based hore whose an effective departures transmissions or derived statis. The based hore to the rotonomy minimum acceptance of hirst by the preson level that to the rotonomy minimum acceptance of hirst by the preson with when the strenders. And the network and statistic respectively active motivated to obtain and retain the approval of the superordinate personby meeting the latter's standards and expectations, including those for aca demic achievement—since such approval tends to confirm his derived status

Varying proportions of the cognitive, ego-enhancement, and affihative components are normally represented in achievement motivation, depend ing on such factors as age, sex, culture, social class membership, ethnic origin, and personality structure. Affihative drive is most prominent during early childhood when children largely seek and enjoy a derived status based on dependent identification with, and intrinsic acceptance by, their parents During this period they strive for academic achievement as one way of meeting their parents expectations and, hence, of retaining the approval they desire Actual or thirecatened withdrawal of approval for poor perfor mance therefore motivates them to work harder to retain or regain this approval Since teachers are largely regarded as parent surrogates, they are related to in similar fashion

Affiliative drive is thus an important source of motivation for academic achievement during childhood. As we shall see later however, children who are not accepted and intrinsically valued by their parents, and who there fore cannot enjoy any derived status, are compensatorily motivated to seek an inordinate amount of earned status through academic achievement. Thus high levels of achievement motivation typically represent low affiliative drive that is more than compensated for by high ego-enhancement drive

During late childhood and adolescence, affiliative drive both diminishes in intensity and is redirected from parents toward agemates. Thus, academic competition against the opposite sex group or other age grade classes consuitutes a powerful mouvaing factor (Valler, 1929, Sims, 1928). Desire for peer approval, however, may also depress academic achievement when such achievement is negatively valued by the peer group. This is a more com mon occurrence among lower-class and certain culturally deprived minority groups (Ausubel, 1965g). Middle-class peer groups, as pointed out later, place a high value on academic achievement and expect it from their members.

In most cultures, and particularly in Western civilization, ego-enhance ment drive is the dominant component of achievement motivation in adolescence and adult life.<sup>10</sup> This is especially true among males and middle class groups in our culture For about a decade and a half after World War II.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Thus with increasing age material tewards are sought less as ends in themselves than as symbols of earned status prestige and ego-enhancement Remote goals also become more salient as long term andihutons duplace the need for immediate hedonistic gratification, as the temporal dimensions of the child is psychological world expand and as as his furstation tolerance increases

however, the drive for competence and carned status gave ground in the United States to the affiliative drive as epitomized by the character structure of the organization man "Affability, social poise, and ability to "get along," "play it safe," equivocate, conform, and "swim with the tide" displaced initiative, competence, individualism, forthrightness, and moral courage as the dominant values in American society Then, partly in response to the challenge emanating from spectacular Soviet achievements in science and technology, traditional American values staged a remarkable but far from complete comeback during the sixties: A new and emergent feature of this latter shift in cultural values is an almost cult like veneration of intellectual achievement and creativity

### Efficacy of Ego-Enhancement Motivation

The effectiveness of ego enhancement drive for academic achievement is borne out empirically by many kinds of evidence Achievement motivation leads to greater persistence and a higher rate of success in problemsolving situations (Feather, 1961, French and Thomas, 1958) and to higher short term (Kight and Sassenrath, 1966) and long term (Krug, 1959, Uhlinger and Stephens, 1960, Weiss, Wertheimer, and Groesbeck, 1959) academic performance Relative need for academic achievement discriminates significantly between normally achieving and underachieving men (Todd, Terrel), and Frank, 1962). In ego-oriented laboratory situations, as when successful completion of a task is represented to subjects as being reflective of intelligence, both level of motivation and performance level are enhanced (Alper, 1946, Kausler, 1951). Under achievement conditions, high need for achievement in high school boys is associated with greater meaningful learn ing of academic materials<sup>11</sup> (Caron, 1963). Finally, J. B. Miller (1929) and V. M. Sims (1928) found that individual rivalry stimulates academic task in response to a prestige incentive than when they are merely trying their best but believe their work products are anonymous Achievement motivation, however, is not linearly related to achievement level. As is the case with potent mouvational status generally, very strong achievement motivation may lower the level of performance and achievement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Data from studies using thematic (fantasy) measures of achievement motiva tion tend to be equivocal because of the tendency in many instances for an inverse relationship to prevail between real life' and fantasy indices of ego-enhancement drive

## Advantages and Disadvantages of Ego-Enhancement Motivation

The emphasis that has been placed on intrinsic motivation for learning should not be interpreted as a dengration of the importance of developing extrinsic motivations. The need for ego-enhancement, status, and presuge intrough achievement and the internalization of long term vocational aspit rations, are, after all, traditional hallmarks of personality maturation in our culture and educational aspirations and achievement are both necessary prerequisites for and stepping stones to their vocational counterparts. Hence, in addition to encouraging ministic motivation for learning, it is also necessary from the standpoint of personality maturation to foster ego-enhance ment and earcer advancement motivations for academic achievement. Fur thermore few individuals ever develop enough cognitive drive to master large bodies of subject matter as an end in itself. Long term ego-enhance ment motivation is also necessary

One might legitimately even go a step further and assert the unfashion able view that aversive motivation, namely, the threat of those penalties associated with failure is as necessary as the positive motivation stemming from anticipated rewards for sustaining the long term academic achieve ment required for reaching professional goals Although educators theoret ically decry the use of aversive motivation they implicitly rely on it to keep students studying regularly for their credits, degrees and diplomas They do this because they know that cognitive drive and anticipated reward for hard work are not sufficient to overcome both merua and the typical human proclivity toward procrastination and aversion to sustained, regular, and disciplined work. Any teacher who imagines that the majority of his stu denis would continue at their studies in the absence of structured programs, assigned work deadlines and examinations is living in a world of fantasy The motivational force of an examination lies more in the fear of failure than in the hope of success Thus within reason, the threat of failure is a pedagogically legitimate form of motivation Students study not only to avoid the actual consequences of failure but also to reduce both the anxiety which this threat generates and the guilt feelings that accompany lack of attention to academic duty

On the average, egoenhancement mouvation is undoubtedly the strongest motivation available during the active portion of an individual's academic and vocational carterer. More than any other factor, it accounts for the persistence of high levels of aspiration (Ausubel and Schiff, 1955, Ausu bel Schiff, and Goldman 1953a, Ausubel Schiff, and Zeleny, 1953b, P S ears 1940) and task attractiveness (Schpoont, 1955) both in laboratory and real life settings, despite exposure to repeated failure experience Carried to an extreme, of course, this type of motivation may generate sufficient anx iety to disrupt learning (Ausubel, Schiff, and Goldman, 1953a), it may also lead to highly unrealistic academic and vocational aspirations that are later followed either by catastrophic failure and collapse of self esteem (Ausubel, 1956) or by dismolvement from academic tasks as manifested by unreal stically low levels of aspiration (P S Sears, 1940) A related possibility is that excessively high needs for academic achievement may impair a student's capacity for perceiving his limitations, may predispose him to rationalize liss failures, and may discourage him from acknowledging that his views are logically or empirically untenable

Instailures, and may discourage him from acknowledging that his views are logically or empirically unitenable Still another disadvantage of exaggerated ego-enhancement motivation is that its utilitarian orientation limits its longevity. Thus, a student whose academic motivation is principally extrinsic tends to perceive little value in a subject after he passes a course, or in continuing to learn after he re ceives his degree—if such knowledge is unrelated either to future course work or to vocational success. In other words, he no longer evinces a desire to learn when he does not have to

Finally, overemphasis on academic achievement (assignments, examina tions, grades) in such countries as France and Switzerland suggests that in dividuals normally manifest an upper limit of tolerance for academic stress and pressure, and that when this limit is reached relatively early in life it leads to disinclination for further academic striving in adult life A comparable phenomenon in the United States, attributable to the publish or perish climate in the early stages of a university career, is the premature burning out of academic motivation once the initial hazards of perishing

are overcome

### Reward and Punishment

Rewards influence learning in three general ways First, by serving as incentives, they help set a meaningful problem by relating a specific sequence or organization of component responses to a specified goal outcome Without such goal relatedness, behavior would often tend to be amorphous and undirected Concomitantly, by providing significant information about the success or failure of responses, rewards give selective emphasis at critical choice points to desired or correct reactions, thereby facilitating discrimina tion between relevant and irrelevant cues Second, the actual receipt of rewards tends to augment, on a long term basis, whatever motivations (drives) *are originally operative in emergizing* and directing behavior toward them (the rewards), that is, by satisfying certain drives at a given time, rewards strengthen, on a more permanent basis, those drives which they satisfy at the moment (or temporarily 'reduce ) Finally, rewards may increase the relative probability of response recurrence by selectively "sensitizing' to later lowering, the thresholds of elicitation of the particular responses that lead to obtaining the reward and thereby satisfy (or temporarily reduce) the drive in question. This last property of rewards will be considered in greater detail in the next section under the heading of reinforcement, where the position will be taken that reinforcement occurs only in relation to rotely learned associations and instrumental responses and does not characterize meaningful learning outcomes

Punishment (in the sense of nonreward or failure to obtain the reward) acts as the reverse of reward in the following ways First, it also helps structure a problem meaningfully, furnishing direction to activity-and information about progress toward goal-in terms of what is to be avoided Thus, the unsatisfying consequences of an act tend to elicit avoidance, withdrawal, or variation rather than repetition, the individual learns which responses lead to nonreward and hence should be avoided Second, punishment tends to weaken, on a long term basis, the motivations energizing the behavior that is punished Lastly, punishment may decrease the relative probability of response recurrence, by failing to sensitize to later lowering, the thresholds of elicitation of the particular responses that lead to non reward It should be borne in mind, however, that the informational aspect of nonreward is less explicit than that of reward Although it does and discrimination between correct and incorrect cues through the information it gives as to the consequences of an act, it is less directive and provides less guidance than reward It tells the individual only that something else must be done, but does not tell hum what to do Reward, on the other hand, clearly indicates that the same response is to be repeated

It should be noted at this point, however, that "punishment' was con sidered above only in the sense of nonreward and not in the more active sense of the term In the animal learning literature, for example, it is cus tomary to distinguish between nonreward and true punishment on the grounds that nonreward unlike 'true punishment,' does not necessarily threatening and not necessarily productive of anticipated fear and of the need to avoid painful, threatening simulation, and this distinction is ac tually quite defensible in many animal learning situations where nonreward for learning merely frustrates an appetitive drive Nonreward is also not equivalent to punishment in relation to the purely cognitive drive component of human achievement motivation, since mere failure to accure knowledge when knowledge is sought as an end in itself, is not particularly interationing in practice, however, because of the affihative and ego-enhance ment components of achievement motivation, failure to learn in the school environment almost invanably implies either the threat of disapproval or the threat of current and/or future loss of primary status and self esteem Thus, for all practical purposes, nonachievement or academic failure has all of the aversive properties of true punishment" To the effects of punishment, considered as nonreward, that are listed above, we must therefore add the drive to avoid the threatening implications of failure

add the drive to avoid the threatening implications of failure Reward and punishment are positive and negative sides of the same motivational coin in school learning, and both are typically involved, in varying degrees, in motivating such learning. It is admittedly more enlight ened from the standpoint of mental hygiene for the school to focus on re ward rather than on punishment, and to minimize rather than emphasize explicit threats of failure. On the other hand, it is both unrealistic to deny the existence or effectiveness of punishment as a motivational variable in school learning, and unwarranted to deplore it as either immoral or pedagogically unsound

gogcally unsound It has already been asserted that effective extrinsic motivation implies both reward and punishment Over the past four decades, however, the role of punishment in learning has been unwarrantedly denigrated by both psychologists and educators Confusion about the legitimacy and effectiveness of punishment as a motivational factor in learning may be attributed to five principal sources. First, the progressive education movement fostered various child centered and permissivist views about the philosophical and mental ligginen improprintly of punishment Sentimentalists associated with thus movement regarded punishment as unnecessary, authoritatian, and reactionary Education was supposed to be an exclusively happy and non anxiety producing experience, and in accordance with this gratuitous assumption, proper motivation for learning could be only intrinsic in nature or be instilled by positive incentives (reward). In support of this assertion, numerous straw man arguments were adduced (see below)

A second source of confusion is more semantic, emaniting from failure to distinguish between the more restricted meaning of punishment in psy chology as the opposite of reward (as nonreward or as the threatening con sequences of failure to learn or perform successfully) and its more general meaning as a penalty for moral infraction (blame, rebuke, reproof, chastise ment, censure, reprimand) Thus the suggestion that punishment, in the more restricted sense of the term, be used for motivational purposes tends to arouse a storm of protest simply because of confusion with its more general meaning as retribution for moral wrongdoing Illustrative of such confusion is the use of the term 'reproof as synonymous with 'disapproval' in describing various classroom experiments comparing the relative effects of approval and disapproval on learning Actually, moral censure is never seriously advocated today for honest mistakes or failure to learn, but only for irresponsibility, laziness, culpable neglect, or inexcusable failure relative probability of response recurrence by selectively sensitizing to later lowering the thresholds of elucitation of the particular responses that lead to obtaining the reward and thereby satisfy (or temporarily reduce) the drive in question. This last property of rewards will be considered in greater detail in the next section under the heading of reinforcement, where the position will be taken that reinforcement occurs only in relation to totely learned associations and instrumental responses and does not characterize meaningful learning outcomes

Punishment (in the sense of nonreward or failure to obtain the reward) acts as the reverse of reward in the following ways. First, it also helps struc ture a problem meaningfully furnishing direction to activity-and infor mation about progress toward goal-in terms of what is to be avoided. Thus the unsatisfying consequences of an act tend to elicit avoidance with drawal or variation rather than repetition the individual learns which responses lead to nonreward and hence should be avoided. Second punish ment tends to weaken on a long term basis the mouvations energizing the behavior that is punished Lastly punishment may decrease the relative probability of response recurrence by failing to sensitize to later lowering, the thresholds of elicitation of the particular responses that lead to non reward. It should be borne in mind, however that the informational aspect ol nonreward is less explicit than that of reward. Although it does and discrimination between correct and incorrect cues through the information it gives as to the consequences of an act, it is less directive and provides less guidance than reward. It tells the individual only that something else must be done but does not tell him what to do Reward, on the other hand, clearly indicates that the same response is to be repeated.

It should be noted at this point, however that punishment was con sidered above only in the sense of nonreward and not in the more active sense of the term. In the animal learning literature for example it is customary to distinguish between nonreward and true punishment on the grounds that nonreward unlike "true punishment, does not necessarily imply noxious or painful sumulation and is therefore both not necessarily threatening and not necessarily productive of anticipated fear and of the need to avoid painful threatening stimulation and this distinction is ac tually quite defensible in many animal learning situations where nonreward for learning merely frustrates an appeutive drive Nonreward is also not equivalent to punishment in relation to the purely cognitive drive com ponent of human achievement motivation since mere failure to acquire knowledge when knowledge is sought as an end in itself is not particularly threatening In practice, however because of the affiliative and ego-enhance ment components of achievement mouvation failure to learn in the school environment almost invariably implies either the threat of disapproval or the threat of current and/or future loss of primary status and self esteem Thus, for all practical purposes, nonachievement or academic failure has all of the aversive properties of 'true punshment' 'To the effects of punish ment, considered as nonreward, that are listed above, we must therefore add the drive to avoid the threatening implications of failure

ment, considered as nonreward, that are insted above, we must therefore add the drive to avoid the threatening implications of failure Reward and punishment are positive and negative sides of the same motivational coin in school learning, and both are typically involved, in varying degrees, in motivating such learning. It is admittedly more enlight ened from the standpoint of mental hygiene for the school to focus on reward rather than on punishment, and to minimize rather than emphasize explicit threats of failure. On the other hand, it is both unrealistic to deny the existence or effectiveness of punishment as a motivational variable in school learning, and unwarranted to deplore it as either immoral or pedagogically unsound

gogically unsound It has already been asserted that effective extrinsic motivation implies both reward and punishment. Over the past four decades, however, the role of punishment in learning has been unwarrantedly denigrated by both psychologists and educators. Confusion about the legitimacy and effectiveness of punishment as a motivational factor in learning may be attributed to five principal sources. First, the progressive education movement fostered vanous child centered and permissivist views about the philosophical and mental hygiene impropriety of punishment. Sentimentalists associated with this movement regarded punishment as unnecessary, authoritarian, and reactionary Education was supposed to be an exclusively happy and non anxiety producing experience, and in accordance with this gratuitous assumption, proper motivation for learning could be only intrinsic in nature or be instilled by positive incentives (reward). In support of this assertion, numerous straw man arguments were adduced (see below) A second source of confusion is more semantic, emantume from failure

A second source of confusion is more semantic, emanating from failure to distinguish between the more restricted meaning of punishment in psy chology as the opposite of reward (as nonreward or as the threatening con sequences of failure to learn or perform successfully) and its more general meaning as a penalty for moral infraction (blame, rebuke, reproof, chastise ment, censure, reprimand) 'Thus the suggestion that punishment, in the more restricted sense of the term, be used for motivational purposes tends to arouse a storm of protest simply because of confusion with its more general meaning as retribution for moral wrongdoing Illustrative of suck confusion is the use of the term 'reproof' as synonymous with 'disapproval' in describing various classroom experiments comparing the relative effects of approval and disapproval on learning Actually, moral censure is never sensoils advocated today for honest mistakes or failure to learn, but only for irresponsibility, laziness, culpable neglect, or inexcusable failure to display reasonable effort,<sup>12</sup> and it is obviously even wider of the mark to equate reproof with such punishments as objective criticism of perfor mance or report of learning failure, which are less explicitly threatening than disapproval. The latter kinds of punishment also constitute an informational form of feedback that is quite essential for meaningful learning -cven if it has threatening implications over and above its purely informational value. Thus, on purely organize grounds, awareness of learning failure is necessary and unavoidable in school learning, and the dreaded anticipation of such awareness is also inevitably threatening and productive of aversive motivation.

Third, critics of aversive motivation in the school environment apparently fail to appreciate that its objective is the facilitation of learning through the anticipated threat of failure (thereby overcoming inattention, procastination, laziness, and lack of effort), rather than through the actual application of the punishment itself. Its aim, in other words, is to make the student avoid punishment by learning rather than to experience punishment by failing to learn. Thus, in the vast majority of instances, serious punishment is never actually experienced because it is circumvented by the learning that is mouvated by the fear of the threatened consequences of not learning.

Fourth, frequently no distinction is drawn between the long term effects of failure itself in weakening the motivations energizing unsuccessful behavior, and in reducting the attractiveness of failed tasks, on the one hand, and the corresponding effects of anticopated threat of failure to self-esteem (of anxiety) Actually the evidence indicates that a high level of anxiety is associated with intense motivation, a high and sustained level of aspirer uon, and high task attractiveness, both for short term learning tasks and for academic achievement generally. Similarly, it is frequently not appre cated that although failure has a disruptive effect on the quality of immediately following performance (R. R. Sears, 1937, Waterhouse and Child, 1955), anxiety does not typically have a negative effect on learning unless the task is extremely unfamiliar or the level of anxiety is settermely high

Lastly, next to progressive education, B F Skinner has provided the chuef ideological ammunition against the motivational use of punishment in learning According to Skinner (1948), positive reinforcement is the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> On the basis of two frequently cited experiments (Chase, 1932, Hurlock, 1923) it is generally held that praise is more efficacious than reproof (disapproval) for classroom learning Other studies, however, either report equivoal findings (Schmidt 1911) or suggest that the relative effectiveness of the two practices depends on the personality of the child (Grace 1948, Thompson and Hunnicutt, 1944) or of the administrator (Schmidt, 1941) L. T. Frace (1965) recently reported that negative social reinforcement (approval) has a greater facilitation greater social reinforcement (approval) on the learning of logic.

utopian key to all learning, hence, suitable programming should make learning tasks so easy that almost every response can be correct and thus be rewarded and positively reinforced (1958). Under these circumstances, there is little need for even nonreward to extinguish incorrect responses <sup>13</sup>. The actual doctrine regarding the ineffectiveness of punishment is general ized from two operant conditioning experiments (Skinner, 1938, Estes, 1944) in which punishment (as contrasted to nonreward) was shown to lead to temporary suppression rather than to extinction of a simple, previously reinforced learned response (lever pressing) requiring no discrimination whatsoever. The difficulty with this line of reasoning of course, lies in the fact that the extinction paradigm in this type of operant conditioning is hardly comparable to the typical situation in which threat of punishment is used to motivate classroom or laboratory learning <sup>14</sup>.

hardly comparable to the typical situation in which threat of punishment is used to motivate classroom or laboratory learning <sup>14</sup> Contrary to Skinners and Estes findings punishment, conditioned fear, and anxiety have been remarkably effective in a wide variety of avoid ance training (Solomon and Brush, 1956), instrumental conditioning, and discrimination learning (Penney and Lipton 1961) experiments The object of threatened punishment in these experiments (as is also the case in classroom learning) was not to extinguish or induce unlearning of a simple and nondiscriminating type of previously reinforced response but to motivate a more complex and discriminating variety of original learning by training the learner to avoid either a painfully cued choice or the pain associated with failure to learn or respond it is quite probable, therefore, that punishment is more effective than nonreward in complex and discriminating instances of original learning bib because it generities aversive motivation (motivation to avoid the punished response) and because it gives more informational publics to the alternatives that are to be avoided As pointed out above, caution is indicated in the application of aversive

As pointed out above, caution is indicated in the application of aversive monvation. Used excessively it may generate a level of anxiety out of all proportion to the actual risk of failure involved in a particular learning task. This may not only disrupt learning but also generalize to other areas and induce a negative self concept (an emotional block) about entire fields of knowledge such as mathematics. It can also lead either to ego-disinvolvement from a task or to unrealistically high levels of aspiration. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> In point of fact meaningful learning as previously indicated is sufficiently complex and involves sufficient discrimination that successful outcomes cannot be guaranteed by fragmentation of the learning task. It is also greatly facilitated by the purely cognitive or informational effects of nonreward or report of learning failure particularly if such report is differential in nature.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Even using an extinction paradigm H P Weingold and R L Webster (1964) were able to extinguish previously reinforced cooperative behavior by panishment but not by nonreinforcement

solution, however, is not to outlaw aversive motivation but to keep it within reasonable bounds, to balance it with cognitive and positive ego enhancement drives, to make learning more successful for most pupils, and to provide particularly anxious children with counseling

## Drive Reduction and Reinforcement

Whether drive reduction (temporary satisfaction of a need) has a selective reinforcing effect on drive reducing learning outcomes (thereby increasing their later availability or the probability of their recurrence), and how this effect is mediated, are exceedingly complex and controversial topics, full discussion of which is obviously beyond the scope of this chapter. It will suffice to take, and briefly to justify, the position here that, (a) drive reduction selectively reinforces drive reducing learning outcomes only in the role learning of discrete, arbitrary, and verbatim associations or of in strumental stimulus-response connections, and (b) it does so not by retroactively and selectively increasing associative strength between stimulu or between sumuli and responses, but by retroactively and selectively sensitiz ing to later lowering, the thresholds of elicitation or availability of such associative units

In rote, as in meaningful learning, drives also merely energize and direct behavior nonspecifically and catalytically, whereas the actual strength of association that develops in the course of learning is determined by such factors as contiguity, frequency, primary, and the informational effects of reward It is also reasonable to suppose that the affectively satisfying effects of drive reduction may retroactively and selectively make more susceptible to later lowering the thresholds of availability of those particular associative units whose acquisition was instrumental in obtaining the reward The likelihood of this possibility is enhanced by evidence suggesting that: (a) affective and motivational factors can retroactively increase memory (lower thresholds of availability) for rotely learned items, and (b) a generalized drive state (for instance, hunger, sex) can selectively lower the thresholds of electation of those responses and perceptions that are particularly relevant for its satisfaction by virtue of their prior reduction of the drive during learning This effect of drives in selectively lowering such thresholds of elecitation can be explained most parsimoniously, in turn, by assuming that the retroactive satisfying effects of drive reduction on drive satisfying learning outcomes, makes them differentially sensitive to the general threshold lowering effects of drive states It is understandable, therefore, that when particular responses frequently satisfy a given drive, their thresholds of elicitation become pre-emptively sensitized to lowering whenever the drive in question is operative, and what applies generally in relation to a given

drive also presumably applies to the satisfaction of the same drive in a particular learning task

In the case of meaningful learning, on the other hand, no mechanisms exist through which the satisfying effects of reducing the cognitive, affili ative, and ego enhancing components of achievement motivation can reinforce successful (drive reducing) learning outcomes Unlike the informational (cognitive) consequences of feedback (confirmation, correction, and clarification), which impinge directly on, and can therefore increase the dissociability strength of previously learned meanings, the affectively satis fying effects of drive reduction are not intrinsically related to the factors determining dissociability strength, and hence cannot increase it retro actively, they can increase it only indirectly during the course of learning by nonspecifically and catalytically enhancing learning itself. The possibil ity remains, of course, that the effects of drive reduction could be mediated retroactively through their influence on the thresholds of availability of meaningful learning outcomes-just as in the case of rotely learned items However, there is no comparable evidence suggesting that motivational and affective factors can directly lower the thresholds of availability of meaningfully learned items Apparently thresholds of availability, in rela tion to dissociability strength, differ in this crucial respect from correspond ing thresholds of availability in relation to associative strength

This does not mean, however, that reward and punishment do not facilitate meaningful learning in other ways Reinforcement, after all, is only one consequence of reward-that aspect which directly increases the ehcitability of rotely learned responses by sensitizing their thresholds of availability to later lowering when drive states are operative. In the case of meaningful learning, reward and pumisliment have two other more in direct kinds of facilitating effects In the first place, from a motivational standpoint, awareness of successful learning (satisfaction of cognitive, affilia tive, and ego enhancing drives for acquiring new knowledge) energizes sub sequent learning efforts by enhancing the learner's self confidence, by encouraging him to persevere, and by increasing the subjective attractiveness of the learning task At the same time it motivates the individual to make further use of, that is, to practice, reliearse, and perform what he has al ready learned, and also encourages him to continue developing and exer cising the motives that were satisfied or rewarded, namely, the desire for knowledge both as an end in itself and as a means of enhancing status and self esteem

The experience and threat of punishment (failure to learn success fully), on the other hand, generate considerable aversive motivation. The learner is thus generally motivated to avoid learning failure by paying attention, by displaying sintable effort and perseverance, by delaying the gratification of hedonistic needs, and so forth. In addition, when he is informed that a particular previously learned understanding is incorrect, the threatening implications of this report motivate him to some extent to avoid or reject it presumably raising thereby its threshold of electation 1<sup>-</sup> These facilitating effects of ateratic motivation undoubtedly more than counterbalance the negative impact of failure experience itself on the long term strength of the underlying motivation and no long term task attractionens However when experience of failure predominates or when aver site motivation is unsuccessful in averting failure this is obviously not the case.

Second reward (awareness of successful learning outcomes) and pun ishment (awareness of unsuccessful learning outcomes) whether in relation to the intrinsic or extinuist components of achievement motivation, also have all of the cognitive or informational effects of feedback. These latter effects are probably just as important for meaningful learning and reter tion as are the motivational effects of reward and punsishment. By confirming correctly understood meanings and at the same time indicating areas of confusion correcting errors and clarifying ambiguities and misconceptions the cognitive aspects of feedback increase the stability, clarify, and discriminability of meaningfully learned ideas (enhance their dissoct ability strength) increase the subject s confidence in the correctness of his understandings and enable him to focus hs learning efforts electuvely on inadequately learned portions of the material. They not only have infor mational value for subsequent trails of the same learning task, but also fiave transfer value for related new tasks (Keslar 1950)

### The Effects of Intention on Meaningful Learning and Retention

Although individuals can acquire much miscellaneous information and some skills incidentally deliberate effort is required for the efficient learning of most types of academic material Deliberate intention to learn (in re sponse to explicit instructions) is not essential for learning as long as belongingness is present. Such belongingness prevails even in the absence of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Since the threat implied by any particular wrong learning is obviously not very formulable the elevation of its threshold of availability is only a very minor factor in forgetting misoneceptions. Many misoneceptions are amazingly tenacous because of the miluence of such factors as primacy and frequency, because they are and/ored to highly stable subsumers and because they are inherently more stable (more get era) less qualified expressive of a positive rather than an inverse relationally indicative of single rather than multiple causality) than their correct.

explicit instructions, either when the learning material is potentially meaningful, or when habitual expectancies are applicable to rote learning tasks. In the latter instances, of course, a certain amount of implicit or self instruction may be operative. Nevertheless, many experiments show that deliberate learning in response to explicit instructions is both more effective (Bromer, 1942, Huang, 1914, G C Myers, 1913, H B Reed, 1916), and more precise and specific (Postman and Senders, 1916), than is inmittentional or implicitly instructed learning. To explicit these findings it is only necessary to invoke the typical energizing and expediting effects of motivation on learning. We have already considered why incidental practice, in the sense of being unstructured and uncontrived rather than unintentional, does not lead to efficient learning.

Somewhat more important for long term school learning is the widespread belief that intention to remember facilitates the retention of mean ingfully learned verbal materials Actually, however, the experimental evidence bearing in this issue is quite equivocal for one or both of two reasons the experimental arrangements have been such as (a) to induce intentions to learn rather than to remember, or (b) to make impossible the isolation of the effects of intention on learning from its effects on retention

Numerous studies, for example, have shown that intention to remem ber enhances the longe-ity of retention. When subjects learn material with the expectition of recalling it for a designated period of time, recall is superior for the expected as against either a longer or shorter interval (Aall, 1913, Biel and Force, 1943, Geyer, 1930, Thisted and Remmers, 1932) O P. Lester (1932) demonstrated that retention is facilitated by expecta tion of recall and by foreknowledge of the occurrence and possible interfering effect of interpolated materials. Unfortunately, however, since these experiments introduced differential intentions to remember at the time of original learning, they did not isolate the effects of the intention on what was learned in the first place from its effects on retention alone. Under the circumstances, therefore, all of the superior retention could be plausibly attributed to the energizing effects of the intention on learning without assuming that it had any independent influence on retention

To test this latter interpretation, D P Ausubel, S H Schpoont, and L Cukter (1957) conducted an experiment in which undergraduate students learned an extended historical passage and were then tested on it imme diately afterwards After this test, an explicit intention to remember was induced by announcing that an equivalent form of the test would be given two weeks later. The same procedure was followed with a control group except that an unannounced retest was administered. The two groups were not significantly different in mean learning scores or in the percentage of material retained from test to retest It was concluded, therefore, that intention to remember in the previously reported studies primarily facilitated retention by enhancing learning rather than by virtue of any effect on the retention process itself. The reason why positive ego involvements, such as intention to remember, presumably do not facilitate retention is because motivational variables, as possibilited above, can neither influence dissociability strength after the material is already learned, nor lower thresholds of availability for meaningfully learned material

In anodier group of studies, it was shown that retention is superior (Maso, 1929, J Peterson, 1916, Prentice, 1913) and retroactive inhibition less marked (Prenuce, 1943), when practice is accompanied by 'intent to learn" than when learning takes place incidentally That this difference is largely attributable to superior original learning, however, is demonstrated by the lact that it no longer prevails when experimental and control subjects are equated for original mastery of the learning task (Biel and Forte, because in each instance an explicit experimental set was induced to *learn* material for immediate reproduction rather than to *retain* it for an extended period of time

### Values and Attitudes

In addition to teaching subject matter schools also have an obligation to transmit to pupils the major values of our culture, including those (such as the social equality of persons irrespective of race, religion, and ethnic origin) that, unfortunately, are honored more in theory than in practice It is unnecessary either to pretend that this does not constitute indoctrination or to apologize for it, as long as the teaching aims at rational persuasion rather than at uncruscal acceptance based primarily on emotional grounds. The assimilation of values will, of course, tend to follow the developmental trends outlined above for motivation generally. Younger children are naturally influenced more by considerations of personal logalty and prestige suggestion, with increasing age, however, considerations of intrinsic ideational ment and ego-enhancement become more important. For example, college students who prepare statements of position contrary to their currently held beliefs tend to maintain these positions if they are rewarded (Bostrom, Vlandis, and Rosenbaum, 1961, W A Scott, 1957)

### Changing Attitudes

Although it is admittedly difficult through mere presentation of facts to change attutudes that are firmly established both on a cognitive and on an enotional basis, this can be accomplished if it is attempted systematically and if the implications of the facts for the attutudes in question are drawn explicitly A Bond (1940) demonstrated this to be the case in modifying racially prejudiced ideas through a special instructional unit on genetics. Greater lasting change in attitudes can be affected if a two sided presenta iton of the issues is made (Lumsdaine and Jams, 1953). This approach not only discounts the counterarguments in advance, but is also less likely to give the impression of constituting biased propaganda. The evidence is equivocal whether discussion leads to more lasting change of attitude than does mere presentation of a controversial position in lecture form, but it does appear that a greater change in opinion occurs if an individual plays the role of a sincere advocate of a given point of view (Janis and King, 1954).

Teachers, of course, are particularly concerned with pupils' attitudes toward school As pointed out previously, there is, unfortunately, progressive disendiantment with school as children climb the educational ladder AI though part of this trend can undoubtedly be attributed to the progressive alienation of children from the adult world, much of it also reflects the school's failure in the past to stimulate and satisfy the child's interest in knowledge as an end in itself. It is noteworthy, in this connection, that high school students who are dissatisfied with school (Brodie, 1964). This relationship between favorable attitude and achievement also holds true in such individual subject matter fields as biology (Carverck, 1964). In this same connection it may be noted that interests are better predictors of the completion of a given college curriculum than is ability (J. W. French, 1961).

# The Effects of Attitudes on Meaningful Learning and Retention

Only relatively recently has it been recognized that cognitive, as well as affective, factors account for the differential effects of positive and nega twe attitudinal bass on the learning of controversal material. This recognition was largely an outgrowth of H Peak's theoremical formulation of attitude structure as consisting of an intercleated group of ideas organized around a conceptual nucleus and manifesting affective properties (1955)

Luttle doubt exists that the learner's prevailing attitude structure dif ferentially enhances or inhibits the learning of controversal materials that are congruous and incongruous respectively, with it Both motivational and cognitive variables are probably involved in such differential learning outcomes When their attitudes toward the controversial material are favor able subjects are highly motivated to learn they put forth more intense and concintrated effort and relevant perceptual cognitive, and response thresholds are generally lowered. Furthermore, since the cognitive com ponent of the attitudes in question is well-established the subjects possess clear, stable, and relevant anchoring ideas for incorporating the new mate rial When, however, their attitudes toward the controversial material are unfavorable, all of these factors operate in precisely the opposite direction In addition a strong need to reduce cognitive dissonance or incon

In addition a strong need to reduce cognitive dissonance or incon gruity—operating either as a generalized trait or aspect of cognitive style, or more specifically in relation to a particular set of strongly held beliefs may lead to a closed minded attitude that obviously impairs ability to learn new ideas contrary to existing beliefs. A person who summarily dismisses such new ideas on this basis fails to learn them adequately because he may not even be willing to read or histen to them, because he makes little or no effort to understand or reconcile them with existing beliefs, or because he selectively misunderstands distorts discounts or reverses their implications in accordance with his bias. But although these motivational, quast motiva tonal, and affective components of negative attitudinal has selectively in hibit, both specifically and nonspecifically the learning of controversial ideas, other prohably affect retenation only insolar as they adversely affect learning itself. No channel exists for the purely affective motivational com ponents of such has to exert a direct influence on retention unless it arouses sufficient anxiety to elevate thresholds of availability.

Several studies (A. L. Edwards, 1911, Levine and Murphy, 1943, Zillig, 1928) have demonstrated that controversial materials are learned most readily when they are consistent rather than inconsistent with the subject's evaluative framework But in none of the aforementioned studies, despite the lact that selective learning was attributed solely to affective mechanisms, was any attempt made to differentiate between the respective effects on learning of the cognitive and alfective components of attitude structure D Fitzgerald and D P Ausubel (1963), however, conducted a classroom ex perment, involving the ability of central Illinois high school students to learn the Southern point of view about the Civil War, in which the effect of the cognitive lactor (knowledge about the Civil War period) was statistically eliminated The learning difference attributable to affective lactors, or to attitudinal bias under these conditions, was in the predicted direction (in lavor of the relatively pro-Southern or positively biased group), but was not statistically significant. In this same study, two additional findings pointed to the influence of cognitive variables on learning outcomes. Not only did cognitive organizers facilitate the learning of the controversial material but the more knowledgeable subjects, irrespective of attutudinal bias, were also better able to learn the material, presumably because they lound it more discriminable from previously learned related ideas than did die less knowledgeable subjects

Further evidence concerning the role of cognitive factors in the effects of attitude structure on learning, comes from E E Jones and R Kohler's (1958) study of the interaction between utitudinal bias and plausibility in their effects on learning. These investigators found that prosegregation subjects learned plausible prosegregation and implausible antisegregation statements better than they learned implausible prosegregation and plausible antisegregation statements. The reverse was true of the antisegregation subjects Evidently, plausibility enhanced the learning of the position favored by a particular subject and inhibited the learning of the position favored. This suggests that controversial material is learned least well when it is least relatible to the prevailing ideational component of attitude structure—when favorable inaterial is implausible and unfivor able material is plausible.

L Gustafson (1957) found that members of three different American ethnic groups selectively learned best those facts about American history and culture that pertained to their own ethnic group This finding held up even when general knowledge of American history and culture was held constant. But since the effects of specific knowledge of own group culture (in relation to which the differential learning was manifested) were not con trolled, the influence of ideational factors on learning outcomes was not eliminated. The results of this study are, therefore, consistent with the hypothesis that both cognitive and motivational mechanisms account for the effects of positive ego-antolvement on learning

## Retention

In many studies (Alper and Korchin, 1952, Clark, 1940, Watson and Hartmann, 1939) of the effects of attitude structure on retention, no measure of initial learning was obtained Hence, dhere was no certain way of ruling out the possibility that selective differences in retention (in favor of the group positively biased toward the material) were wholly attributable to attituidinal effects on learning. In some studies (A. L. Edwards, 1941, Levine and Murphy, 1943, Tait, 1954), however, where retention was measured both immediately after learning and at subsequent intervals thereafter, original differences in learning between positively and negatively biased groups were found to widen progressively during the course of the retention interval These latter findings suggest that attitude structure exerts an additional facilitating influence on retention that is independent of its cognitive and motivational effect on learning

But although attitudinal variables undoubtedly facilitate the learning of controversial material through both cognitive and motivational mechan isms, it is likely that cognitive factors alone mediate most of the effects of attitude structure on *retention*. In the first place, as pointed out earlier, Chapter 11

# PERSONALITY FACTORS IN LEARNING

How DO FERSONALITY FACTORS ENTER INto the learning process and how are their effects similar to and different from motivational and cognitive factors? In the first place like motivational variables personality factors deal with subjective and affective social rather than with objective and intellectual aspects of learning. This means that they typically affect meaningful learning nonspecifically and catalytically rather than being directly and specifically involved (like readiness cognitive structure variables intellectual ability and cognitive style) in the parameters of the cognitive mitractional process Second hile measures of intellectual ability and cognitive style (and unlike mouvational variables) personality factors deal with stable and self consistent individual differences in learners but in the affective social rather than in the cognitive domain

In other words it is not sufficient for the educational psychologist to be able to make generalizations and actuarial predictions about the average effective on classroom learning of either cognitive or monitational variables in a group of individuals. It is also important that he endeavor (a) to deter muse the impact on learning of stable individual differences both cognitive and affective social and (b) to ascertain whether general cognitive and affective social variables affect learning processes and outcomes differently for individuals who are bright rather than dull who tend to be levelers rather than sharpeners who are primarily motivated by ego-enhancing rather than by cognitive and affiliative drives who manifest a high rather than also level of anxiety or who tend to be open rather than closed to new ideas

In this chapter we cannot consider all kinds of personality traits (gen eralized, stable and self-consistent behavioral tendencies in the affective social domain) but only those selected personality variables that have been shown significantly to influence learning effort, value assimilation, and problem solving style. The most important of these variables are motiva tronal orientation to learning anxiety level dogmatism, authoritarianism, tendency to conform and personality adjustment

## Motivational Orientation to Learning

In the previous chapter, in addition to discussing cognitive drive we distinguished between two essentially different extinsic components of achievement motivation One component, termed affiliative drive, is oriented toward vicarious or derived status, it is not concerned with achievement as a source of primary or earned status, but only insofar as it secures the ap proval of, and hence signifies continued mitrinsic acceptance by, superordinate persons (parents, teachers) or groups with whom the learner identifies The other component, termed ego enhancement drive, is concerned with achievement as a source of earned status I was pointed out that affiliative drive is typically predominant during the preschool and elementary school periods, and is then gradually superseded by ego enhancing drive, particularly during adolescence But it is important to recognize that although this is the typical developmental state of affairs, and that even though cognitive, affiliative, and ego enhancing components are invariably present in the achievement motivation of all learners, their relative proportions tend to vary depending on both individual interpersonal experience with parents and cultural and social class factors<sup>1</sup>. In this section we shall examine the developmental basis for individual differ ences in the relative strength of affiliative and ego enhancement drives and the consequences of these differences for degree of achievement motivation, for mode of assimilating values, and for academic achievement

### Satellization versus Nonsatellization

Whenever interpersonal and group life is characterized by differences in roles and status, and by dependence of one person on another or on the group as a whole, one of the more basic kinds of human interaction that arises under such conditions is identification of the dependent party with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Not infrequently also as a result of continued successful experience, motivations that are originally absent in a given learning activity are developed after world during the course of that activity A rejected child, for example, may seek originally to achieve competence in some academic field solely for compensatory ego-enhancement Eventually however, he may develop genuine task oriented in terests that are functionally autonomous of his original motivation.

the superordinate party This type of relationship includes in varying proportions the elements of dominance subordination leadershipfollowership and care dependency described by J P Scott (1953) for different infra human vertebrates Much confusion however results from the failure to distinguish between two essentially different kinds of identification each of which involves a receptoreal relationship between a relatively dependent and subordinate individual on the one hand and a relatively independent or dominant individual (or group) on the other (Ausubel 1952)

One type of identification which is characteristic of the early parent child relationship in humans may be called satellization (Ausubel 1952) in a satellizing relationship the subordinate party (child) renounces an independent earned status of his own and accepts a status dependent on that of the superordinate party (parent) that is identifies in a dependent sense with the parent is status and the superordinate party in turn accepts hum as an intrinsically valuable enuty in his personal orbit. This satellizer thereby acquires a vicarious or derived biosocial status which (a) is wholly a function of the dependent relationship and independent of his own com petence or performance ability and (b) is bestowed upon him by the fiat of simple unqualified acceptance by a superordinate individual or group whose authority and power to do so are regarded as unchallengeable.

On the other hand the two parties to the same transaction could relate to each other in quite a different way The subordinate party—in this case a nonsatelliter—could acknowledge his dependency simply as a temporary regretitable and much to be remedied fact of life requiring as a matter of expediency various acts of conformity and deference but without really accepting a dependent and subordinate status as a person (Ausubel 1952) In turn he either could be rejected outright or could be accorded acceptance—not unqualifiedly as an individual for himself—but in terms of his current or potential competence and usefulness to the superordinate party The act of identification if it occurs at all consists solely in the child and methods of operation and thus eventually succeed to his enviable status and methods of operation and thus eventually succeed to his divide a hope to enjoy in this type of relationship is a primary (earned) status that reflects his own degree of functional competence or performance ability

The nonsatellizing type of identification occurs primarily for one or both of two reasons. Either the superordinate party does not extend un qualified intrinsic acceptance (the parent who either rejects his child or values him basically for extrinsic ulterors self-enhancing purposes of his sown) or the subordinate party is reluctant to or incapable of accepting a dependent role. Illustrating the latter possibility is the typical cat who con decendingly does his master a favor by drinking, his milk in contrast to the typical dog who simply oozes devotion, slavishness, and self effacement It would also be reasonable to expect that children who are temperamentally more assertive, self sufficient, independent, and "thick skinned" would be less disposed to satellize than children with the opposite set of characteristics Differences related to culturally determined social sex role might also be anticipated. For example, an experimental population of 10 year-olds in Champaign, Illinois (Ausubel, Balthazar, Rosenthal, Blackman, Schpoont and Welkowitz, 1954a) rated thirty six items of parent attitude and behavior reflective of acceptance rejection and intrinsic extirnisic valuation Analysis of the ratings confirmed the hypothesis that girls are (or perceive themselves to be) more highly accepted and intrinsically valued than are boys

EFFECTS ON ACHIEVEMENT MOTIVATION From the standpoint of school learning, the wider significance of satellization versus nonsatellization in early personality development is the each of these outcomes is associated both with a distinctive pattern of achievement motivation and with a distinc tive mode of assimilating norms and values Generally speaking, the non satellizer exhibits a much higher level of achievement motivation in which the ego-enhancement component is predominant, whereas the satellizer exhibits both a lower level of achievement motivation and one in which the affiliative component tends to predominate prior to adolescence

The satellizer identifies with his parents in a dependent sense and is accepted by them for himself. He enjoys by the fiat of this acceptance, both an assured derived status and the accompanying feelings of intrinsic ade quacy or self-esteem that are relatively immune to the vicissitudes of achieve ment and competitive position. Thus he has relatively little need to seek the kind of status that he would have to earn through his own competence—the kind of status that he would have to earn through his own competence—the kind of status that he would generate feelings of extinsic adequacy commen surate with his degree of achievement. He does not, in other words, view academic achievement as the basis of his status or as the measure of his parents and of retaining thereby the approval that confirms for him his good standing in their eyes

The nonsatellizer, on the other hand, is either rejected, or accepted on an extransic basis by his parents Enjoying no derived status or intrinsic selfesteem, he has no choice but to aspute to a status that he earns through his own accomplishments. Since his feelings of adequacy are almost entirely a reflection of the degree of achievement he can attain, he necessarily ex hibits a high level of aspiration for academic achievement and prestige—a level that is much higher, and more tenacious in the face of failure experi ence, than that of satellizers. This is obviously a compensatory reaction on his part that reflects his lack of derived status and intrinsic self-esteem Consistent with his higher aspirations for achievement, he manifests more volitional and executive independence than the satellizer, and is better able to defer the immediate gratification of hedonistic needs in order to strive for more long term goals (Ausubel, Balthazar, Rosential, et al., Blackman, Schpoont, and Welkowitz, 1954a) Similar personality differences between individuals manifesting ego enhancement and affiliative drive orientations to learning, respectively, were reported by J W Atkinson and C H Litwin (1960) and by D C McClelland J W Atkinson, R A Clark, and E L Lowell (1953) As will be pointed out shortly, however, higher achievement, in any case, this relationship is complicated by age and sex factors Other aspects of the parent child relationship are also implicated in the

Other aspects of the parent child relationship are also implicated in the development of achievement motivation Achievement motivation tends to be higher in those children whose parents have high intellectual achievement aspirations both for themselves (Katkovsky, Preston, and Crandall, 1964a and b) and for their offspring (Rosen and D'Andrade 1959), whose parents stress independence training and high standards of excellence (McGelland, Atkinson, Clark and Lowell, 1953, Winterbottom, 1958), and whose parents, when present in problem solving situations with their off spring exhibit greater participation, instigation, encouragement, and disapproval (Katkovsky, Presion, and Crandall, 1964b, Rosen and D'Andrade, 1959). It is also apparently stronger in instances where an achievement oriented mother is dominaut in the home, a dominant, demanding, and successful father on the other hand, is perceived by his sons as providing a competitive standard that is too overwhelmingly superlative to be challenged successfully (Strodibeck: 1958).

Significant normative fluctuations (as well as individual differences) in the balance between primary and derived status occur throughout the course of ego development But as already indicated initial ways of relating to others tend to persist, especially if they occur at critical periods of social irration. Thus although it is true that as the satellizing child grows older he increasingly strives for primary status, he will, even as an adult, continue to enjoy the residual sense of initianes worth which his parents earlier conferred on him and will continue to satellize in some aspects of his current interpersonal relationships.

EFFECTS ON VALUE ASSIMILATION In addition, the satellizing and non satellizing modes of identification have important implications for the mechanisms by which norms and values are assimilated from elders and from membership and reference groups. The exential motivation directing the satellizers organization of his value system is the need to retain the acceptance and approval of the persons or group that provide his derived status. Hence, he develops a generalized set to perceive the world in the light of the values and expectations he attributes to the latter individuals Value assimilation is thus an act of personal loyalty in which the actual content of what is internalized is largely irrelevant, that is, from a motivational standpoint. The nonsatellizer, on the other hand, is primarily motivated, in his orientation to values, by considerations of expediency and attainment of primary status, and, hence, his motivational set is not to accept values blindly and uncritically but in accordance with these general annis. The prestige sug gestion of authority figures, in this instance, is not derived from the learner s need to agree with them, but from his acknowledgment of their suitability as emulatory models and stepping stones to power and prestige. Nonsatellizing elementary school children are more disposed than satellizing children to disagree with the perceived opinions of their parents (Ausubel, Baltiazar, Rosenthal, Blackman, Schpoont, and Welkowitz, 1954a)

When ideas are accepted on a satellizing basis, resistance to new learn ing stems largely from conflicting ideological trends in the new set of values, which can be accepted only at the cost of repudrating prior loyalites and assuming the associated burden of guilt Nevertheless, this must take place before new values can be assimilated The satellizer feels secure in his derived status only as long as approval is forthcoming He finds disapproval threatening and, when incurred through disloyalty, productive of guilt feelings In the case of the nonsatellizer, on the other hand, new ideas are resisted because they constitute a potential threat to self esteem by challeng ing (a) the existing system of values organized on an ego presuge basis, and (b) various presumptions of independence, originality, infallibility, and commiscience Because the lacks intrinsic feelings of worth and is therefore mote vulnerable to the ego deflating implications of failure, he is more reluctant than the satellizer to venture into new areas of learning where his capability still remains to be demonstrated, such learning activities, in any case, are highly threatening until success is assured Resistence to new learn ings, as well as to new values, is usually overcome when he is able to perceive their usefulness for future ego-enhancement

The different motivational orientations toward learning characterizing satellizers and nonsatellizers, respectively, suggest differential interpersonal handling on the part of teachers On theoretical grounds satellizers should learn best in a warm and supportive interpersonal environment in which they can relate to teachers as parent surrogates 11 has been shown that they achieve best when teaching methods are indirect rather than direct (Amidon and Flanders, 1961) Teachers must always guard against the tendency of satellizers to overconform to their directions and expectations (Kagan and Mussen, 1956, Livson and Mussen, 1957) Both their resistance to and acceptance of new values stem largely from considerations of personal identification and Joyalty Nonsatellizers, on the other hand, require teacher approval as objective evidence of achievement rather than as confirmation of personal acceptance. They resist accepting new values not because of loyalty to parents but because they tend to feel threatened by unfamiliar ideas By the same token, novel learning tasks and methods of instruction should be presented to them gradually, with as much prefamiliarization as possible Overcritical, deprecatory, demanding, and authoritarian teacher behavior appears to raise the anxiety level of anxious nonsatellizers, precipitating hostility, aggressiveness and withdrawal, accepting and supportive treatment, on the other hand, lowers their anxiety level and promotes more task-ornetted and integrative behavior (Flanders, 1951)

EFFECT ON SCHOOL ACHIEVEMENT It is self-evident that an excess of ego enhancement drive or achievement motivation can have long term effects on school achievement only if it is a lighty stable and somewhat generalized personality trait Research data clearly indicate that both of these conditions actually prevail The impressive evidence of stability characterizing achieve ment motivation from age 6 to adult life (Moss and Kagan, 1961) undoubtedly reflects, in large measure, the strongly enduring properties of ego-enhancement drive derived from the parent child relationship. It is also a reasonably generalized trait, as can be inferred from the moderately high intercorrelations both (a) among level of aspiration and goal tenacity scores over a wide variety of laboratory tasks and real life ' achievement situations, and (b) among goal tenacity scores over a broad range of hypothetical vocational situations (Ausubel and Schiff, 1955, Ausubel, Schiff, and Zeleny, 1953b) Furthermore, adolescent boys who have strong needs for vocational prestige tend to make high vocational tenacity scores and to be unrealistic, from the standpoint of their basic interest patterns, in their choice of voca tions (Ausubel Schiff and Zeleny, 1953b) Interestingly enough, these find iting to not look true for adolescent girls since girls in this age group who, ings do not hold true for adolescent girls since girls in this age group who, by interest or ability are attracted to high pressinge occupations, are not similarly driven by the culture to actualize their ambitions, and hence need not be so unrealistic and tenacious in their vocational behavior Finally, high levels of aspiration in both academic and laboratory situations tend to be associated with a high level of anxiety and with poor personality adjustment (Ausubel, Schiff, and Goldman, 1953a, Ausubel, Schiff, and Zeleny, 1953b, Eysenck 1917, Hartogs, 1950)

The actual effect of motivational orientation to learning on school achievement depends on many factors—age, sex, anxiety level, and other personality traits Thus, although it is empirically demonstrable that high generality leads to higher levels of appration (Ausubel, Schiff, and Goldman, 1951a), the school performance of these individuals is not necessarily superior to that of intrinsically accessive and or avoiding failure is so much stronger than the need for success that the level of striving is grossly lowered to prevent even the remotest possibility of failure experience. Other individuals with high achievement motivation lack the personality traits (persistence, self denial, high frustration tolerance, ability to defer hedonistic gratification) necessary for implementing high aspirations, merely aspiring high, without ever intending to implement the aspirations in question, yields a certain compensatory measure of ego enhancement

without ever intending to implement the aspirations in question, yields a certain compensatory measure of ego enhancement A third factor interfering with the facilitating effect of high ego enhancement drive on academic achievement is a disablingly high level of anxiety, patticularly the kind that leads to withdrawal from competitive situations or to paralysis of adaptive behavior Lastly, cultural influences mediated through age and sex role expectations are important determining factors. Thus, low nurturant and unaffectionate mothers tend to have daughters (but not sons) with superior school achievement in the elementary school (Crandall, Dewey Katkovsky, and Preston, 1964), since thus is a culturally sanctioned form of compensatory ego enhancement for girls at this age level. At the late adolescent and young adult level, on the other shand, the much greater cultural stress on male vocational achievement is apparently responsible for the association of parental rejection with high anxiety and academic achievement in male but not in female prospective teachers (Gnagey, 1966)

## Anxiety and School Learning

Anxiety must be differentiated from other kinds of fearlike states Generically, it refers to an actual phobic response or to a tendency to respond with fear to any current or anticipated situation which is perceived as a potential threat to self esteem 1 differs from ordinary fear in that the threat is directed against self esteem rather than against physical well being and may be anticipated or current in nature. A person is fearful when a mad dog lunges for his throat, he is anxious when he experiences or contemplates the loss in self esteem that results from vocational failure. Anxiety differs, like wise from feelings of insecurity, which are similar to fear but arise only in response to anticipated threat, in the fact that the threat eliciting anxiety is specifically directed against the individual is self esteem and not against his physical safety. In many situations however, insecurity and anxiety are aroused concomitantly. The threat of possible vocational failure, for example is not only damaging to one s self regard but also generates genuine apprehension regarding one s chances for survival.

### Normal versus Neurotic Anxiety

Within the generic meaning of the term, as defined here, one can con ceive of several qualitatively different varieties of anxiety arising under basically different conditions of instigation Situationally for example anxiety is generated in medical students when they are confronted with important examinations that threaten the adhrevement of a life goal closely identified with their sense of adequacy One can induce a similar type of situational anxiety experimentally by giving subjects bogus reports which reflect adversely on their competence or personality integration Anxiety is aroused during transitional periods of personality development such as adolescence when individuals have to adrive a new biosocial status and are kept in a prolonged state of uncertainty regarding the ouccome Feelings of hostility can generate anxiety by threatening an individual with loss of status as a result of antagonizing persons on whom he is dependent. Sum larly feelings of guilt can generate anxiety by exposing an individual to a sullied reprehensible portrait of himself at odds with the moral values he has internalized.

These different varieties of normal anxiety have one property in com mon which distinguishes them from neurotic anxiety. In each situation described anxiety is instigated by an objectively dangerous threat to self esteem In some instances this threat may be external in origin-as for example the crucial examination in the case of medical students or the need to accourte adult status under conditions of uncertainty in the case of adolescents In other instances the source of the threat is within the person -it may come from aggressive impulses or from the individual's awareness that he has violated certain of his moral scruples. The important thing in all of these cases-regardless of whether the source of the threat is internal or external-is that the threat is objectively capable of impairing self esteem in normal persons In all cases the threat comes from a source distinct from the entity that is being threatened in no case does the threat to self esteem anse from impatred self-esteern itself In all cases the response to the threat is appropriate and proportionate to the objective degree of jcopardy con fronting the individual s self-esteem

In neurotic anxiety on the other hand the essential source of the threat to self-esteem does not he outside self-esteem but is to be found in cata strophic impairment of self-esteem itself. Hence a person suffering from neurotic anxiety apparently over reaction only when considered in relation neurotic anxiety apparently over reaction only when considered in relation to the ostensible source of the threat to self-esteem—the threat lying outside self-esteem which precipitates the anxiety it is not an over reaction when it is considered in relation to the major source of threat to self-esteem which here within self-esteem itself. Highly anxious children manifest more self dissatisfaction and self-disparagement than low anxious children (Lapstit 1938 Phillips Hindsman and Jennings 1960).

The distinction between normal and neurotic anxiety can be high lighted with an analogy from heart physiology When a person has a normal undamaged heart how can he develop heart failure? It is not very easy He has to be subjected to tremendous exertion without rest prolonged exposure to heat severe pulmonary disease, and so forth The threat to cardiac adequacy when one has a normal heart, therefore hes in an objectively punshing situation Less ngorous threats to cardiac adequacy are easily compensated for because of the great reserve of power of the heart If the heart shows signs of beginning to fail when the external pressure increases, the outcome is hiardly disproportionate to the degree of strain involved But a person with a damaged heart has already exhausted all of his

But a person with a damaged heart has already exhausted all of his power to compensate for increased external demands Require him to run up a flight of stairs quickly, and he will be thrown into heart failure. In his case, the source of the threat to cardiac adequacy lies in his own damaged heart muscle, just as the source of the threat to self-esteem in a person with neurotic anxiety lies in his own damaged self esteem. Certainly he is over reacting with signs of cardiac insufficiency to a flight of stairs, just as the anxiety neurotic is over reacting to a new adjustive situation with signs of fear and further impairment of self esteem. But in neither case is the reaction disproportionate to the actual degree of jeopardy confronting the heart or self esteem.

## The Origin of Neurotic Anxiety

How do anxiety neurotics develop catastrophic impairment of self esteem so that they over react with fear to perceived threats to self esteem? A definitive answer to this question cannot be given at this time because there is as yet no definitive evidence But considerations of normal per sonality development as well as clinical study, suggest that an individual can never develop neurotic anxiety as long as he enjoys intrinsic feelings of self esteem, by which is meant a deep inner conviction that he is important and worthwhile for himself—apart from what he can do or accomplish, and apart from the position he holds in life (Ausubel, 1956). As long as he possesses this intrinsic self-esteem, failure in achieving superior completence or status is intense, deeply felt discouraging—but always peripheral to basic self esteem, and hence never catastrophic. However, if he has to rely on success in school performance or vocation for whatever self esteem he enjoys catastrophic impairment following some very traumatic failure expenence is much more possible. If such failure occurs it is not peripheral but central—since there is now no basis whatsoever (intrinsic or extrinsic) for a feeling of worth as a human being. The individuals sense of adequacy being purely a function of his competence or reputation, little self regard can remain if these are seriously undermined.

Feelings of intrinsic self esteem as we have already seen, can develop only in one way-from a child identifying in a dependent sense with his parents He can do this if he perceives that he is accepted and valued for himself. His all powerful, omniscent parents can endow all objects, including lum, with intrinsic value if they so desire. If they respond to him as a person who is worthwhile and important in his own right—just because they accept him as such—he tends to react to himself in the same way, since he has no other standards of value but theirs. He thus acquires an intrinsic sense of adequacy, a vicanous status which is derived from his dependent relationship to his parents, and which is independent of his actual com petencies. As he becomes older, he will increasingly strive for a more primary status based upon his own accomplishiments, and will develop feelings of self-esteem related to them. But there will always remain a residual sense of worth which his parents conferred on lum by fiat—when as a child he perceived this to he with in their power

As pointed out above however, not all children are fortunate enough to be accepted and intrinsically valued by their parents. Some are rejected outinght, and others are accepted but extrinsically valued, that its, accepted only in terms of their potential capacity for enhancing their parents egos by becoming important and successful individuals. Such children do not undergo dependent identification with their parents, since they cannot acquire any vicanous status or intrinsic feelings of self-esteem becomes a function of what they are able to do and accomplish, and, hence, becomes very vul nerable to catastrophic impairment

Of course vulnerability to catastrophic impairment of self-esteem does not, in itself guarantee that such impairment must inevitably occur However, this catastrophic impairment frequently occurs for another related reason It has already been pointed out that when individuals lack intrinsic feelings of self-esteem, they are compensatorily motivated to aspire to higher goals and ambitions than the general run of mankind This is hardly sur prising when one considers that the less adequate an individual feels in trinsically the more need he has to prove his adequacy to humself and others by superior accomplishments. In a learning experiment with anxious subjects, for example, it was found that their levels of aspiration, in relation to previous performance and to prior feelings of failure, were significantly higher and more tenacious than those of nonanxious subjects (Ausubel, Schiff and Goldman 1953a) This means that their aspirations were more unrealistic, that their goals were not only too high but were also extremely resistive to lowering in the face of realistic indications for so doing Sarason, and others (1958) also found that high anxious children were less task oriented and had higher achievement motivation than low anxious children

Thus it seems reasonable to expect that rejected and extrinsically valued children who have no intrinsic feelings of self-esteem, will tend to set their academic and vocational goals high, and often unrealistically high. If they happen to be extremely able individuals, all may go well, and they may achieve in accordance with their aspirations. However, there is no reason to believe that such rejected and extrinsically valued individuals tend to be more than usually gifted, and there are limits to what motivation alone can accomplish. Hence, the chances for large scale collapse of their grandiose and unrealistic aspirations are rather good, and since they have no intrinsic self esteem to fall back upon, a defeat is centrally traumatic to self esteem and commonly precipitates acute anxiety. Recovery from this condition, furthermore, tends to leave a permanently damaged self esteem or, in other words, a chronic anxiety neurosis, which may flare up at any time and be come acute when the environment becomes too threatening

## Effect of Anxiety on Learning

We have postulated that personality' (neurotic) anxiety is the phobic overreaction of an individual with impaired self esteem to the threat anticpated in adjustive situations. The threatening implications of the latter are derived from their capacity to further impair self-esteem in the face of an inner feeling of inadequacy to cope with them. Normal anxiety, on the other hand, is the fear evoked by anticipation of objectively hazardous threats to self esteem. Normal subjects do not display anxiety when confronted with ordinary adjustive situations, because they do not lack confidence in their ultimate capacity to acquire the necessary adaptive responses.

The relationship between anxiety and learning is complicated by the fact that although high anxiety individuals exhibit more than average mo itruation, (that is, although they tend originally to manifest an excess of egoenhancement drive and are further driven to achieve as the only practicable means of reducing anxiety), their lugit level of anxiety also tends to have a disruptive effect on novel problem solving Thus, it has been generally found that anxiety facilitates rote and less difficult kinds of meaningful reception learning, but has an inhibitory effect on more complex types of learning skill than on persistence (Ausubel, Schiff, and Goldman 1953a, Caron, 1963, Castenada, Palermo and McCandless, 1956, Lantz, 1945, McGuigan, Calvin, and Richardson, 1959, Marks and Vestre, 1961, Palermo, Castenada, and McCandless, 1956, Stevenson and Odon, 1965, Tomkins, 1943, Zander, 1944) The latter kinds of learning situations are obviously highly threatening to anxiety and tend to induce a disabiling level of anxiety 11 does appear however, that anxiety may enhance the learning of complex tasks when they do not seriously threaten self-esteen—when they are not in ordinately novel or significant (Van Buskirk, 1961, Wittrock and Husek, 1962), when the anxiety is only moderate in degree, or when the learner possesses effective anxiety coping mechanisms (Suinn, 1965) The learning of complex verbal materials in a typical school setting for example, seems to be a relatively familiar and nonthreatening task as compared to novel problem solving situations

These findings make sense when one considers that it is prescisely with respect to the need for improvising solutions to novel problems that the individual with personality anxity experiences feelings of inadequacy Since such problems pose an exaggerated threat to his self esteem and sensitize him to overrespond with fear when he is obliged to face up to them, it follows that he can mutigate his anxiety most easily by removing, as best as he can, the element of improvisation from the problem solving process The response set of the neurotically anxious individual, therefore, is to avoid putting his improvising ability to the test and frantically to search his available response repertory for an appropriate solution that would not involve any reorganization of existing patterns. However, if it so liappens that the problem is one that requires improvisation for solution, this in flexible response set to avoid improvisation will not only inhibit learning, but will also render learning impossible until the set is eventually aban doned

Thus, to the panic that results from anticipatory over reaction to any new situation is added the panic resulting from initial failure to make any progress toward solution. The cumulative impact of this disorganization may be disabling enough to induce blocking of response (Hartogs, 1950) which, in turn, may simulate a face saving attempt to produce any kind of response regardless of how inappropriate or unadaptive Later, with in creasing exposure to the problem—providing that the panic is not too catastrophic—the individual may become sufficiently desensitized to its unfamiliarity and fear instigating properties to recover from his disorganization and adopt a more efficacious (improvising) response set

In one experimental study of the effects of anxiety on learning (Ausubel, Schiff and Goldman, 1953a), university undergraduates who showed either low or high levels of endogenous anxiety were required to solve a stylus maze blindfolded. This situation constituted a mild form of threat to self the experimenter and to himself that he was not very good at a certain type of learning (Even rats are reputed to learn to solve maze). For all of the subjects in this study, the maze represented a novel learning task for which past experience was not only of no help but was actually a hindrance Successful solution of the problem could not be accomplished without im provision

The low anxiety subjects with normal self-esteem tended to assume that dey could learn to improvise successfully with a little practice. And if they failed, so what? So they weren t good at solving mazes blindfolded. The highanxiety subjects had a different orientation Lacking normal self-esteem, they lacked confidence in their ability to cope with new adjustive situations. They were frightened when their habitual visual learning cues were removed, when they had to improvise. And lacking any intrinsic feelings of adequacy they were naturally very dependent on the self esteem they could achieve through successful performance. Thus, they could less afford to say. So what? to failure

What were the results? The high anxiety subjects apparently over reacted to the threat to self esteem emanating from the maze situation The real threat, however, came from their own impaired self esteem And in terms of that threat they certainly did not over react. On the first trial of the maze they became panicky and fluxered making a significantly greater number of errors than the lowanxiety subjects But after the first trial the maze was no longer a new learning task requiring improvisation. It became more and more familiar and old hat By the end of ten trials, there was no longer a significant difference between the two anxiety groups

no longer a significant difference between the two anxiety groups The role of novel adjustive situations that demand improvisation, in investigating anxiety in neurotically anxious subjects was demonstrated in a corollary experiment (Ausubel Schuft, and Goldman, 1953a) When high anxiety subjects were allowed to practice on an easier maze, first with and then without vision they benefited significantly more from this advance preparation than did low anxiety subjects Consistent with these findings, highly anxious subjects slow less curiosity than nonanxious subjects (Penney, 1965) exhibit more rigidity and earlier perceptual closure (I S Cohen, 1961, Smock, 1958), and evince less preference for novel toys (Mendel, 1965) These experiments could defensibly illustrate the following facts about the nature of neurotic anxiety and its effect on learning (a) that an actual threat to the individual apart from his own impaired self-esteem is the precipitating factor, (b) that the most effective threat is a new adjustive situation requiring improvisation since it hits at the very core of impaired self esteem (when adjustive situations become routine and familiar they are no longer threatening), (c) that the mayers promes is disproportionate to the objective danger of the threat but not to the actual degree of threat experimenced, and (d) that the major source of threat in neurotic anxiety lies in impaired self-esteem lies in impaired self-esteem

# Effect of Anxiety on School Achievement

As could be reasonably anticipated, the effect of anxiety on school achievement is comparable to its effect on learning, except that on a long term basis its disruptive influence is much less intense. School achievement tasks, after all, tend to lose their threatening implications as students gain experience in coping with them At the elementary school level, anxiety

generally depresses scholasue achievement (Lowen, Zax, Klein, Izzo, and generative depresses scholastic admetsion (Contin, Law, Incine) Trost, 1965, Feldhusen and Klausmerer, 1962, Lunneborg, 1964, Reese, 1961, Sarason, Hill, and Zimbardo, 1964) In high school, as the motivational effects of anxiety become stronger relative to its disruptive effects, the nega uve correlation between anxiety and academic achievement decreases, particularly in boys, it is either weaker or entirely absent when grades are used as an index of achievement (Sarason, 1961, 1963, Walter, Denzler, and Sarason, 1964) This weak negative or zero correlation also prevails at the college level (Alpert and Haber, 1960, Grooms and Endler, 1960, Spielberger and Katzenmeyer, 1959), or is replaced by a positive relationship between anxiety and academic achievement (Lundin and Sawyer, 1965), especially among academically superior students (Spielberger, 1962) In highly structured learning tasks such as programmed instruction, a positive relationship has been reported between anxiety and achievement (Kight and Sassenrath, 1966, Traweek, 1964) This finding is consistent with the fact that anxious pupils, particularly when compulsive, do much better in highly structured learning situations where novelty and the need for improvisation are min mal

#### Anxiety and Intelligence

Research evidence indicates almost uniformly that there is a low but significant negative correlation between anxiety and intelligence (Cowen, Zax, Klein, Izzo, and Trois, 1965, Feldhusen and Klausmeet, 1962, Feld husen, Denny, and Condon, 1965, Hafner and Kaplan, 1959, Sarason, Hill, and Zimbardo, 1964. Spencer, 1957, Speiberger and Katzenmeyer, 1959, Winghtsman, 1962) These findings are consistent with the previously discussed inverse relationship between anxiety and novel problem solving, they suggest that in a iltreatening test situation, the negative effects of anxiety on complex learning tasks overshadows its positive motivational effects on test performance. Another equally plausible explanation of this relationship is that the low 1Q individual may feel generally anxious as a result of lus inferior school achievement. A less likely interpretation is that anxiety may actually depress the development of intelligence rather than merely depress performance on an intelligence test.

# Dogmatism and Authoritarianism

Dogmatism, as pointed out above, is both an aspect of cognitive sistle and an alfective social personality trait. It is self-evidently related to the formation of behefs and value judgments, inhibits problem solving and synthetic thinking, and is positively correlated with anxiety (Fillenbaum
and Jackman, 1961, Rokeach, 1960) Some of its more important components according to M Rokeach (1960), include (a) closedmindedness—un willingness to examine new evidence after an opinion is formed, a tendency summarily to dismiss evidence or logic in conflict with one's position, (b) a tendency to view controversial issues in terms of blacks and whites, (c) opinionation—a tendency to form strong beliefs, highly resistive to cliange, on the basis of equivocal evidence (d) a tendency to reject other persons because of their beliefs, (c) a tendency to isolate contradictory beliefs in logic tight compartments, and (f) intolerance for ambiguity—a need for early closure in reaching conclusions about complex issues

early closure in reaching conclusions about complex issues Authoritarianism is a related personality trait that is characterized by orthodoxy, veneration of traditional beliefs, and a tendency to overconform uncritically to the views of authority figures (Adorno, Frenkel Brunswick, Levenson, and Sanford, 1950) The authoritarian personality tends to be etilinocentric, prejudiced against minority groups and intolerant of ambi guity (Adorno, Frenkel Brunswick, Levenson, and Sanford, 1950), and he is found more commonly in lower class and low occupation groups (Adorno, Frenkel Brunswick, Levenson, and Sanford, 1950). Students making high scores on scales of authoritarianism are more likely to structure novel stimuli in rigid fashon, to reach closure more quickly, and to change their attitudes in response to prestige suggestion (Duncan, Signori, and Rempel 1964 Harvey, 1963). Winght and Harvey, 1965)

# Personality Adjustment and School Achievement

Several lines of evidence indicate that poor personality adjustment is associated with inferior academic acluevement Both teachers ratings of adjustment (Ausubel, Balthizar, Rosenthal, Blackman, Schpoont, and Welkowitz, 1954b, Ullman, 1957) and scores on the California Psychological In ventory (Gough, 1964) are moderately correlated with such crueria of success in school as grade point average, completion of high school, and graduation with honors High achievers in school indicate fewer problems on the Mooney Check List (Frankel, 1960), and are characterized by such traits as high ego integration, independence, maturity, and responsiveness to cultural pressures (d Heurle, Mellinger, and Haggard, 1959) Negative self Concept in kindergarten is predictive of poor progress in reading<sup>2</sup> (Waiten

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The identification of negative self concept at hindergation age in this study precludes the interpretation that all of the inverse relationship between personality adjustment and academic achievement can be attributed to the negative effects of school failure on the self concepts of pupils

berg and Clifford, 1964) W B Brookover, S Thomas, and A Patterson (1964) found that positive self-concept is associated with higher achievement in four subject matter areas Academic success is correlated with realistic goal setting (Bjers, 1962) and with self-confidence and clear voca tional goals (Todd, Terrell, and Frank, 1962)

It is hardly surprising, of course, that personality maladjustment is negatively related to school achievement, masmuch as all of the symptoms of such maladjustment self-evidently interfere in one way or another with the cognitive and motivational factors promoting effective long term learning First, a common complex of symptoms associated with diffuse brain damage, namely, hyperactivity, hyperirritability, distractability and emotional lability, impedes effort, attention, and persistence Second, we have already observed that, in elementary school, school achievement correlates negatively with anxiety despite the high achievement motivation charactenzing this condition Third, severe withdrawal reactions obviously render any kind of long term learning impossible Fourth, exaggerated aggressiveness leads to hostility toward the teacher, uncooperativeness, and a negainvistic attitude toward learning 3 Fifth, lack of self-confidence is associated with failure to try, easy discouragement, 'learning blocks,' and a tendency to withdraw from difficult situations Sixth, school achievement depends, in large measure, on such attributes of personality maturity as responsibility, executive independence, long term goals, impulse control, persistence and ability to defer gratification of hedonistic needs Lastly, both personality maladjustment and inferior school achievement are correlated with lower social class status and cultural deprivation, and hence with each other The more common signs of personality maladjustment associated with these conditions are low attention span, hyperactivity, aggressive reactions, low level of academic aspiration, and personality immaturity

Two other factors also help account for the inverse relationship be tween personality maladjustment and school achievement. In the first place, the cause and effect aspect of the relationship obviously works in both directions school achievement is a determinant as well as a consequent of personality adjustment. Second, it is almost impossible to eliminate the halo effect of each variable on the other, teachers tend both to downgrade the academic achievement of poorly adjusted children, particularly if they are aggressive, inattentive, or hyperactive, and to give poor adjustment sures of achievement adjustment are used.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Aggressive, acting-out high school boys tend to perceive their parents as less loving than do well adjusted boys, and also identify less with their parents (Long streth and Ruce 1964)

# The Personality Development and Mental Health Responsibilities of the School

Most reasonable persons would agree today that the legitimate functions of the school extend beyond the development of intellectual skills and the transmission of subject matter knowledge. The school also has un deniable responsibilities with respect to mental health and personality development, simply because it is a place where children spend a good part of their waking hours, perform much of their purposeful activity, obtain a large share of their status, and interact significantly with adults, agemates, and the demands of society. By virtue of their interaction with teachers and peers and of their participation in curricular and exitacurricular school activities, adolescents, for example, make significant strides toward eman cipation from parents and ulle acquisition of adult personality status Particularly during adolescence, current problems of adjustment—

Particularly during adolescence, current problems of adjustment-vocational choice, emancipation from parents, somatic deviations, relationships with peers, adults, and members of the opposite sex--are very real and important to pupils Psychologically, these developmental tasks are too urgent to be ignored. Hence, education must perforce be concerned with problems youth consider to be important. If young people perceive the school as uninterested in these problems, they react either by losing interest in the academic areas the school values or by feeling guilty for being pre occupied with supposedly trivial matters. If current concerns are not re heved, they inevitably serve as distractions from academic responsibilities. Hence, as long as the organizational, administrative, disciplinary, and

Hence, as long as the organizational, administrative, disciplinary, and interpersonal aspects of the school environment inevitably affect the mental health and personality development of its future citizens, it obviously be hooves society to arrange these matters as appropriately and constructively as possible. Nevertheless, because the mental hygiene role of the school has been oversold and misrepresented so frequently by educational theorists, it will be worth our while to consider some of the more serious misconcep tions about the mental health functions of the school

#### The Primary Responsibility of the School

To begin with, we need to recognize that the primary and distinctive function of the school in our society is not to promote mental health and personality development but to foster intellectual growth and the assimila tion of knowledge The school admittedly has important responsibilities with regard to the social, emotional, and moral aspects of the pupils de velopment, but certainly these are only supplementary to those of other socializing agents such as the home the church and the neighborhood. The schools role in intellectual development however is incontrovertibly primary. Furthermore much of the school's fegtimate concern with interpersonal relations in the classroom does not stem merely from interest in enhancing healthful personality development as an end in itself no matter how important this objective may be It also reflects appreciation of the negative effects which an unfavorable social and emotional school climate has on academic achievement on motivation to learn and on desirable attuides toward intellectual inquiry. For example if pupils feel unhappy and resentful about the discipline and social environment of the school they will neither learn very much while they are in school nor remain much longer than they have to And if they are goaded by fear to accept uncritiically the views of their teachers and to memorize materials they do not really understand they neither learn how to flumk for themselves nor build the foundations of a stable and usable body of knowledge

# The Limits of Normality

As was long true in the area of physical hygiene some educators also tend to exaggerate the seriousness and permanence of the effects on mental health of minor deviations from the norm of desirable hygienic practice There is every reason to believe however that a wide margin of safety is the rule both in physical and mental health Within fairly broad limits many different kinds of teacher personality structure and ways of relating to cluldren are compatible with normal mental health and personality development in pupils This principle applies when either mildly undesit able classroom practices prevail over an extended period of time or when more serious deviations from optimal standards occur occasionally. In gen eral children are not nearly as lragile as we profess to believe and do not develop permanent personality disabilities from temporary exposure to interpersonal practices that fall short of what the experts currently regard as appropriate Furthermore many pupils who manifest signs of behavior disturbance in school either do so only temporarily (Harris 1960 Mac Farlane Allen and Honzik 1924) or fail to show any symptoms of mal adjustment at home or in the peer group

# The Cult of Extracersion

In education as in many other vocational fields professional leaders have succumbed to the cult of the warm outgoing anniable and extroverticel personality and larse tended to regard any deviation from this standard as axiomatically undesirable from a mental hypere standpoint Formerly a pupil would be referred to the school psychologist if he was boisterous aggressive and refractory to discipline. Now it is the child who is reserved contemplative and unconcerned about the opinion of his peers who arouses the clinical concern of the child guidance specialist. Similarly many excellent teachers who happen to be shy and introverted are viewed with alarm by their psychologically oriented superiors. Yet, there is absolutely no evidence that they impart their pupils mental health even though they may conceivably be less popular as individuals than their service the colleagues and as far as pupil popularity is concerned it has been definitely established that this characteristic may be a grossly mis leading index of social adjustment. An ostensibly popular pupil may be leading index of social adjustment. An ostensibly popular pupil may be little more than a stranger in his group in terms of the depth of his at tachments or may be popular simply because he is docide conforming and willing to be directed and used by others (Writtenberg and Berg 1952) Contrarnivise the pupil who is unpopular because of temperamental shy ness or strong intellectual interests is not necessarily socially maladjusted or inevitably fated to become so (Morris Soroker and Buruss 1954)

# The Teacher's Responsibility in Handling Personality Maladjustment

It is important for teachers to recognize that their responsibility in handling personality maladjustment in their pupils is at most extremely limited In the first place the origin of serious maladjustment does not typically lie in the school but rather in the home and neighborhood and sometimes in brain injury or genically determined temperamental traits Hence antelioration of the conditional largely depends on factors outside the school environment and beyond the teacher's control Second valid diag nosis and appropriate treatment of personality maladjustment call for qual ifications that obviously extend beyond the teacher's training and compe tence

Available evidence indicates that teachers are not very successful in assessing the personality make up and adjustment of their pupils Thicy cannot predict very accurately pupils responses to questions on their hol-bies interests problems and personality characteristics (Arnos and Wash bes interests problems and personality characteristics (Amos and Wahi ington 1960 H L Baker 1938) their motivations and academic strivings (Ausubel 1951 Ausubel Schiff and Zeleny 1954b) their scores on objec-tive and projective tests of adjustment (Ausubel Schiff and Zeleny 1954b) and the extent to which they are accepted by their classifiates (Ausubel Schiff and Gasser 1952 Bonney 1947 Gronlund 1950) These Latter per ceptions become increasingly more inaccurate as pupils progress through the grades (Ausubel Schiff and Gasser 1952 Moreno 1937)

It is not difficult to find explanations for this state of aff ins 1 cachers

are simply not aware of the distinctive standards and values that operate in the lives of their pupils By the age of adolescence, the estrangement between children and their elders has made considerable progress and is often compounded by the outright hostility and anti adult attitudes mani fested by youth Channels of communication break down and teachers are obliged to interpret pupils behavior at face value or by their own standards and frames of reference They fall back upon interpretive biases from recol lections of their own adolescence and from norms of behavior that pertain exclusively to their own middle-class backgrounds. In evaluating other aspects of the adolescent s personality or adjustment, they are also not unnaturally influenced by his conformity to the requirements of the school situation As pointed out above, halo effect accounts for some of the mod erately high correlation between high school pupils school achievement and teachers ratings of personal adjustment. Teachers also tend to over value the popularity of children with whom they have satisfactory relation ships (Bonney, 1947, Gronlund, 1950)

The implications of these findings are obvious lf teachers cannot ac curately perceive the interests attitudes motivations, aspirations, and problems of their pupits, they will naturally be unable either to counsel them very intelligently or to adapt effectively the interpersonal climate of the school to the special personality needs of those who are maladjusted. Lack, ing adequate understanding of pupil behavior, they will be unable to inter pret misbehavior, to respond adequately to it, or to institute appropriate preventive and disciplinary measures. And unfortunately, although general knowledge of child development does facilistate the understanding of particular pupils, it is no substitute for adequate psychological perceptive ness or sufficient initiamize contact with pupils.

Ability to empathize with pupils does not, of course, obligate teachers to adopt their values, nor does it guarantee effectiveness in dealing with them Understanding in necessary but not sufficient for skilled interpersonal relationships or effectiveness in counseling, since many other abilities and personality traits that are probably uncorrelated with psychological sensi uvit) (poise, self assurance, finances leadership qualities) are necessary for translating accurate perceptions into appropriate interpersonal behavior or skill in guidance

Thus, the teacher's actual role in handling the behavior disorders lies in recognizing signs of serious maladjustment and in referring disturbed pupils to counselors, school psychologists, and psychiatrists. It is important, however, that they view realistically what these "experis" can typically hope to accomplish First, it is evident that counseling and psychotherapy have been greatly oversold. The analogy of mental disease to physical disease is sull quite expleministic, unce incomparably less is known about the causes, nature, and treatment of the former than about corresponding aspects of the latter Second, many of the so-called psychological "experis" in the schools have had little more clinical training and supervised clinical experence than teachers Lastly, even the well trained counselor, chincal psychoogist, and psychiatrist frequently lack the psychological sensitivity, empathy, and perceptiveness necessary for valid personality assessment. This much is evident from the fact that when counselors use impressionstic interview and anecdotal data (in addition to grades and aptitude test scores) in predicting the acdemic success of pupils, their predictions become less (rather than more) accurate than predictions based on the objective measures alone (Meehl, 1954). This, of course, does not argue for the elimination of trained clinical judgment from the assessment of personality adjustiment, but, rather, for the use of more sensitive criteria in selecting empathic clinicans Chapter 12

# GROUP AND SOCIAL FACTORS IN LEARNING

Since school LEARNING TAKES PLACE in a social context teachers must obviously be concerned with group and social factors that impinge on the learning process As a member of a classroom group a pupil's mouvation for learning the kinds of motivations he exhibits his social behavior his personality development and certainly the values and attitudes he learns are affected by his interaction with other pupils. How then is his learning in school influenced by such group variables as working with and in the presence of agemates cooperation and competition conformity to group norms relative responsiveness to peer versus adult expectations and the social-emotional climate of the classroomr. We shall also want to consider how the pupil's membership in a sex, social class ethine, and racial subgroup faffects the mourvational and attutudinal appets of school learning 'part from general classroom climate the teacher's contribution to the social context in which learning occurs—his role personality char actensities and teaching syle—will be reserved for the following chapter

It must be appreciated at this point that many group and social factors impriging on school learning—for example authoritarianism in the classroom cooperation and competition conformity and its changes with age individual differences in onentation to group experience the ahenation of pupils from adult society social class stratification racial and ethnic lactors motivational aspectis of cultural deprivation and so forth—do so quite indirectly by affecting bolit the learner's degree and kind of motivation for acquiring subject matter knowledge and his mode of assimilating cultural norms and values. Their releasance for educational psychology is therefore less immediate and more tangential than that of cognitive factors motivation or such personality factors as anxiety and dogmatism. Never theless they must sull be taken into account bolit by the teacher and by the educational psychologist in assessing all of the significant determinants of academic performance. To a certain extent, also, they must first be understood as phenomena in their own right before their impact on school learn ing can be evaluated. Hence a numinal background of developmental and social psychological data and discussion is presented below to serve this purpose. It is not intended as complete coverage of the topics in question, or as a substitute for the more definitive treatment which these topics receive in courses in developmential and social psychology where they are quite properly considered as ends in themselves and not merely in relation to their influence on academic achievement

#### Classroom Climate

Although the weight of the evidence indicates that the choice between authoritarian and democratic classroom chimates in the United States has little effect on subject matter acluevement (G C S Stern, 1963), there is good reason to believe that it has profound effects on attitudes toward school, on general social behavior in the school, and on the learning of adult values (Ausubel, 1965b, G C Stern, 1963). It seems reasonable to suppose that as children become older in a democratic society, particularly at adolescence and beyond, authoritarian controls should be progressively liberalized to meet increasing needs for self-determination and growing capacities for self direction and self-discipline. This is generally the case in most American secondary schools, but prevailing practice in many schools still falls far behind destrable standards of democratic classroom practice.

In general, overt compliance is the most common response that pre adolescents and adolescents make to excessive authornarianism in the classroom, especially if they are girls and if they come from middle class homes that place a great premum upon success in school Adolescents from other backgrounds, however, may react with open aggression and hostility to teachers, with negativism, or with passive sabotage Still others may drop out of school as soon as it is legal to do so. Yet even those adolescents who apparently become overtly reconciled to a continuation of an incongruously submissive childhood role probably do not really accept the authornari anism to which they outwardly defer, but respond with suppressed resent ment and variously negatively toned emotional reactions

The widespread immature attitudes of New Zealand adolescents toward authority illustrate some of the undestrable behavioral effects of an overly authoritarian secondary school regimen in a generally egalitarian society (Ausubel, 1965b) First, in public situations, New Zealanders tend to defer excessively to the opinions of authority figures and to overconform to their dictates Second, coexistent with this exaggerated public deference to au thority, particularly among university students, is a puerile species of defi ance, and an irresistible impulse to reject traditional values out-of hand, to take outrageously extreme positions, and to shock the sensibilities of conventional folk with sacrilege profamity and the desecration of revered symbols Third, because of resentment toward a discriminatory type of authoritarianism and overhabituation to external controls, many secondaryschool pupils fail adequately to internalize recognized social norms and individual restraints Hence they feel quite justified in violating rules and asserting themselves when authority turns its back. Finally, the distinctive feature of adolescent misbehavior in New Zealand is simply a more exaggetated and generalized expression of anti adult feeling and puerile defiance of adult authority in its most extreme form, bodgieism, it is basically a cult of exhibitionistic nonconformity, out-ol bounds loutishness, and of studiously labored rejection of adult respectability Among its multiple causes must certainly be counted widespread adolescent resentment of an inapproprately authoritarian type of discipline and subordination relative to other age groups in New Zealand society It bears some relation to the beatnik movement in the United States but occurs in a younger age group, is less intellectual in its manifestations, and is more directly aggressive rather than philosophical in its protest

Older children and adolescents also do not satisfactorily internalize values that are indoctrinated in an authoritarian fashion if the adult culture itself is organized along democratic and egalitatian lines. Under these circumstances they feel unjustly treated and discriminated against, and not only do they tend to resent the authoritarian discipline that is imposed upon them, but also to conform to adult standards only under threat of external compulsion This is particularly true if they perceive that many adults do not honor these standards but, nevertheless, presume to punish them when ever they are guilty of lapses Hence, when adults preach the virtue of hard work, ambition, responsibility, and self-denial, but do not practice these virtues themselves in occupational life, children tend to emulate their example rather than their precepts They become habituated to striving and working hard under external pressure but fail adequately to internalize these values. Thus, when they finally enter the adult vocational world and the customary authoritarian demands for conscientious effort are lifted, the tenuous structure of their disciplined work habits tends to collapse in the absence of genuinely internalized needs for vocational achievement.

Experimental studies of the impact of authontarian leadership on children's groups also point to various undesirable effects on group morale and solidarity (Lippit, 1940) in comparison with children in democratically governed groups, pupils who are subjected to autocratic control are more aggressive, direct their aggression against scapegoat group members radier than against the group leader, and adopt more submissive, placatory, and attention demanding attitudes in dealing with the leader. They also manifest less we feeling,' show less capacity for mobilizing constructive group effort in overcoming frustrating conditions, and are less capable of self disciplined work and behavior when direct supervision is removed H H Anderson (1943) obtained similar findings in studying the effects of "dominative" and 'integrative behavior by teachers.

There has been, however, a strong tendency on the part of educators to overgeneralize the significance of these findings In the first place, the authoritarian leaders in the Lippitt study were hostile and unfriendly, and tended to give disruptive commands Typically, authoritarian leadership tends to be more friendly, suble, and benevolent, and thus has less damag ing effects on social behavior and group morale Second, the effects of autocratic and democratic classroom climate are relative, in part, to the personality structure of individual students Students who have a strong need for direction and organization react favorably to a directive approach and very critically to a more permissive one (Wispe, 1951) Most important of all, it is undoubtedly ethnocentric to claim that only democratic teacher pupil relationships are compatible with normal mental health and person ality development Many examples of authoritanan Western cultures (for example, Germany, Italy, Switzerland) exist in which all of the indices of mental health and mature personality development compare very favorably with those prevailing in the United States Hence it is obviously not au incrongruous with the general pattern of unterpersonal relations in the culture at large

Children are able sausfactorily to mternalize adult personality tratts and mature attitudes toward authority, even in an authoritarian liome and school environment, providing that (a) personal, social, and working relationships among adults are similarly authoritarian, and (b) adults generally make as stringent demands on themselves as they do on young people. In countries like Germany and Switzerland these latter conditions prevail, and therefore authoritarianism in home and school has few adverse effects on mental health and personality development. In New Zealand and the United States, on the other hand, authoritarianism in the home and secondary school has more serious effects because it contrasts sharply with the egali tanan and generally relaxed character of vocational and social life in the adult world (Ausubel, 1965b)

In all cultures, however, even those which are generally authontarian, there are credible grounds for supposing that an authoritarian classroom climate would generate the same effects on thinking and problem solving as does the authoritarian personality, and lead to less effective group plan ming, teamwork, and self direction R Spaulding (1963) found that punitive teachers emphasizing shame as a technique of control tend to inhibit pupil creativity. It also seems likely that an authoritarian and punitive classroom climate would increase the anxiety level of less able and anxious pupils and make them more defensive about exposing their inadequacies.

Partly as a reaction against traditional authoritarian practices a small minority of schools and teachers under the influence of ultra permissive doctrines of child rearing have instituted a laissez faire social climate in the classroom This approach permits pupils to do as they please emphasizes freedom from restraint and discipline as an end in itself strives for lack of structure and organization in school activities and conceives of frustra tion as an unqualified evil to be avoided at all cost Under such catch ascatch can conditions aggressive pupils become ruthless whereas retiring children become even more withdrawn Observation of groups in which this pattern prevails shows that it leads incutably to confusion in security and keen competition for power among group members (R Cun ningham 1951) Pupils fail to learn the normative demands of society and how to operate within the limits these set do not learn how to deal effec tively with adults and develop unrealistic expectations of the social strue ture of vocational life

# Interaction among Pupils

Do pupils learn more effectively when they work individually or in groups. There is no single answer to this question since it all depends on the nature of the task on whether they are working with or merely in the presence of others on the size and nature of the group and on whether our criterion of superiority is a group product or the individual products of the component group members

First in performing simple or routine tasks requiring little or no think ing the concomtant activity of other similar individuals seems to serve as a sumulus generating contagious behavior and competitive striving—either when pupils work by themselves in the presence of others (Nukerji 1940) or when they work in pairs (Njers Travers and Sanford 1960) This effect is comparable to the heightened rate of activity stimulated by a pace setter

Second in novel and complex problem solving tasks where obtaining a correct solution is facilitated by generating a multiplicity of alternative hypolicies; (divergent thinking) group effort is apparently superior to in dividual effort (V Goldman 1966 Mausmeier Wirsman and Harris 1963 Lorge LJJ Varquart LJJ VE Shaw 1932 G B Watson 1928) Closer analysis however reveals that this superiority is mostly attributable to the pooling of ideas the total product of the group is nor much better than the sum of the products of its component members. Group effort is more effect tive, in other words, largely because it increases the possibility of having at least one person who can arrive independently at the correct solution This advantage however, is vitiated if the group is so congenial (Back, 1951 Shaw and Shaw, 1962), or if its leadership is so personal (Fiedler, 1958) that considerable group time and effort is diverted into purely social activity or pleasant conversation. Also if the task requires evaluation or decision making, cooperative deliberation and the reaching of consensus is usually superior because it avoids the pitfalls of idiosyncratic or extreme judgment (Barnlund 1959). Although group support undoubtedly reduces anxiety and enhances confidence in problem solving situations, it also reduces, by the same token, individual responsibility and initiative

The cohesiveness (congeniality) of the group may also affect the out come of its collaborative work in a problem solving situation. The mere presence of congenial co workers may increase the effectiveness of coopera tive effort, may enhance motivation by increasing task attractiveness, and may provide a source of mutual social reinforcement upon successful com pletion of the task (Lott and Lott, 1961, 1966). This, at least, appears to be the case for cohesive groups composed of high but not of low IQ in dividuals (Lott and Lott, 1966).

Group size is another limiting factor in the group problem solving situation In a small group each individual can make a contribution and thereby increase his problem solving skills In a large group on the other hand, the individuals opportunity for participation is not only limited by the number of participants but also by the fact that the more aggressive group members tend to take over and monopolize the problem solving ac trivity (Carter, Haythorn Lanzetta, and Mairowitz, 1951) Third, if the learning product of each group member is used as our criterion of success in the former problem solving situation, it is evident

Third, if the learning product of each group member is used as our criterion of success in the former problem solving situation, it is evident that the less able members of the group can accomplish more than they could individually, by virtue of being stimulated by and being able to adopt the ideas and strategies of the more able pupils (Gurnee, 1962). In effect, they enjoy the benefit of pupil tutors Thus, the gain in skill is always greatest among low ability pupils and among pupils working with superior partners (M Goldman, 1965).

Fourth, certain tasks (for instruce, the drafting of a report) requiring convergent flunking, intense concentration, and persistent attention to de tail can self evidently be performed more efficiently on an individual rather than on a group basis. This is obvious to anyone who has ever worked on a committee

a committee Finally, as pointed out earlier, self paced, individualized (programmed) instruction is a nucli more efficient and less time-consuming method of learning the established content of a discipline than the traditional recita iton or lecture-discussion approach used in most classrooms Discussion, on the other hand is the most effective and really the only feasible method of promoting intellectual growth with respect to the less established and more controversial aspects of subject matter. It provides the best means of broadening the pupil's intellectual horizons of stimulating his thinking through cross-fertilization of clarilying his views and of measuring their cogency against the viewpoints of others Interaction with peers further more helps the pupil overcome both his egocentricity and his childhood perception of adults as the absolute source of truth and wisdom with regard to all value judgments. He learns the extent to which both his ideas and those of the teacher represent idiosyncratic positions along a broad spectrum of opinion whose validity is indeterminable

# Induvidual Orientation to Group Experience

A brief word might be said at this point about pupils differential per sonality orientations toward group experience. The child's idiosyncratic manner of relating to significant persons in the family setting has ample opportunity to become solidified long before he is ever permitted to venture unmonitored from the home. It is hardly surprising therefore that this approach to interpersonal experience with his sarilest socializers should be generalized to other kinds of social situations. To the satellizing child the peer group provides derived status in much the same way as the parent except that the statu-giving authority resides in a corporate body of which he himself is part. By relating to it he obtains the same spontaneous we feeling that he experiences in the lamity group.

The nonsatellizer on the other hand cannot assume an internalized position of self subserviency in relation to the group The field of intra group relations like the home is no place for we feeling' it is just an other arena in which he contends for primary status prestige power and self aggrandizement. He does not subordinate himself to group interests or experience spontaneous sausfaction in gregarious activity Every social move is carefully deliberated for the possible advantages that may accrue from it and the currency of social interchange is supplied by the synthetic manu facture of attitudes remarks and behavior which can be construed as con ventionally appropriate for the specifications of a given situation. He is quite capable of course of harvesting vicarious status from identification with presugeful membership or relevence groups but since no subservience of self is required, it bears hitle resemblance to the derived status of satel lizers The prestige of family club college nationality etc. is incorporated merely as a gratuitous form of ego-enhancement or as a springboard for the realization of personal ambitions As already pointed out the non satellizing orientation to group experience tends on a normative basis in creasingly to characterize the maturing individual as he approaches adult

Conformity is a self consistent normally distributed personality trait with a fair amount of generality from one situation to another (Vaughan 1964) The tendency to conform to group opinion is greater the more attractive group membership is perceived to be (Kinoshita 1964). In general particularly during the adolescent period girls are more conforming than boys (Tuma and Livson 1960) and as one might anticipate from the data on authoritarianism conforming tendencies are greater among lower class (Turma and Livson 1960) and religious (Fisher 1964) adolescents

Conformity to group standards depends for the most part on the in ternalization of shared expectations and of a set of norms which the group members themselves help to formulate overt pressures and sheer physical force are relatively minor factors (Sherif and Sherif 1964)

The group norms that are most bunding and most consequential in the members scheme of concerns are the ones that regulate matters of solidarity among members and that set standards of conduct in the very spheres of motivational promptings that [bring] them together — The most tightly kint groups observed [are] those whose members [have] fewest stable use with other groups and insultations hence whose belonging [u] highly important to them (Sherif and Sherif 1961 pp 250 268)

Group solidarity is therefore highest in low rank neighborhoods In all groups however the range of acceptable behavior exhibits least latitude for the leader and high status members In matters related to the main tenance of group activities and of loyalty the leader is expected to be the exemplar (Sherif and Sherif 1964 179)

It is necessary for two important reasons that the peer group demand considerable conformity from its members First no institution especially if it has status-giving functions can exist for any length of time without due regard by its members for uniform regular and predictable adherence to a set of avowed values and traditions Hence in its efforts to establish a new and distinctive subculture and to evolve a unique set of criteria for the determination of status and prestige the peer group must do everything in its power to set itself off as recognizably distinct and separate from the adult society which refuses it membership. If this distinctiveness is to be actually attained widespread nonconformity obviously cannot be tolerated Second conformity is also essential to maintain the group solidarity that is necessary to offer effective and organized resistance to the encroachments of adult authority If an appeal to precedent or to a prevailing standard of adolescent behavior is to be the basis for exacting privileges and concessions from adults a solid and united front with a minimum of deviancy must be presented to the world

Because of the adolescent s marginality of status the peer group is in an excellent position to demand conformity from lim as the price of its acceptance Much more so than the child or adult, he is desperately depen-dent on the peer group for whatever status and security he is able to achieve during these hectic years of trunsition. The group implicitly and explicitly makes clear to him that it expects conformity to its standards, interests, activities, and value systems in return for the moral support, the feeling of belongingness, the attributed status, and the opportunities for carned status that it extends to him, and he in turn, like any person with marginal status, is excessively sensitive to the threat of forfeiting what little status he enjoys as a result of incurring the disapproval of those on whom he is dependent Thus, to allay the anxiety from the threat of disapproval, he tends to con form more than is objectively necessary to retain group acceptance or to avoid censure and reprisal.

After he wins an assured place for limiself in the group, still other factors reinforce conforming tendencies He learns that group approval bings a welcome reprive from anxiety and uncertainty If his group ap-proves, he can feel absolutely certain of the correctness of his position reelings of loyality, belongingness, and indebtedness also influence him to render conformity automatically as a voluntarily assumed obligation Finally, if these implicit group pressures and internalized restraints and dis positions of the individual are insufficient to keep lim in hine, explicit sance tions are imposed Depending on the seriousness of the offness and the func-tions and nature of the group, the punishment may vary from ridicule, censure, and rebuilf to physical chastisement and complete ostracism It is clear, therefore, that the adolescents marginality of status makes him prone to overvalue the importance of conformity and to exaggerate the degree of conformity required for acceptance by the pergroup Sociometric ridues and correspondingly underesumate the extent to which deviant or low presuge persons are accepted by the group (Ausubel, 1955). Some evidence also points to the conclusion that apparent disregard for the group's approval tends to enhance the individual sociometeric status by making lim appear above the need for currying favor with others (Newstetter, Feldstein, and Newcomb, 1938). Hence, many perfectly safe opportunities for the ex-pression of individuality are lost

pression of individuality are lost

In the hight of the structural properties of their peer group and of pre valing overconforming trends in the culture at large, it is small wonder that American adolescents tend to overvalue conformity and expediency and to avoid independent thinking and ideological commutment. In the adolescent peer culture of Pranie City, R J Havighurst and H Taba (1919, p 87) found that 'accepting familiar stereotypes [was] one outstand ing characteristic of most beliefs individual positions deviating from the commutation of the stereotypes [was] one outstand the generality accepted code [were] feared and shunned This [was] shown by hesitancy in expressing opinions contrary to common behefs, and by

approving wrong behavior if most of one s associates [were] involved in the act There [was] a marked tendency to subordinate individually held post tions to both adult and peer group opinion even when one s own positions location of the second state and person of the second state of the emotional detachment from moral and controversial issues and the low status accorded intellectuality and intellectual status in most peer groups

# Qualifications and Positive Ispects

Lest we tend to take too dim a view of these seemingly negative fea tures of adolescent conformity it is important that we now consider some of the more positive aspects of this phenomenon. The transfer of allegiances from parental to peer group standards constitutes more than an exchange of one type of slavish conformity for another By providing a new source of values and standards as well as experience in behaving as a sovereign person the peer group plays an important role in devaluing parents and promoting desatellization in switching his basic loyalties to the peer group the adolescent takes great strides toward emancipation. He finds a new source of basic security to supplant the emotional anchorage to parents that had hitherto kept lum confined within the dependent walls of childhood By vesting in his peers the authority to set standards he affirms his own inglit to self determination since he is patently no different from them. No longer need he implicitly subscribe to the belief that only parents and adults can determine what is right. The peer group also serves as a bulwark of strength in combating authority By pooling their resistance in groups and throwing up barriers of one kind or another against adult ference adolescents manage to exclude adults and protect themselves from

the coercions that [adults] are prone to use (Tryon 1914 p 220)

The peer group s desatellizing influence also carries over into the sphere of ideas and moral values. Its norms provide the adolescent with a new and stable frame of reference for moral judgment and conduct. It furnishes relief from uncertainty indecision guilt and anxiety about proper ways of think ing feeling and behaving Because the peer group is never dignified by the same halo of sanctity surrounding parents the adolescent can experiment more freely with functional concepts of moral law and with a more imper sonal and logical approach to value judgments. To be sure full exploitation of this new active independent and critical approach to moral values is obviously limited by his marginal status and his need to conform to peer group norms The difference however is that now he conforms to external standards because he consciously recognizes the expediency of so doing rather than because he implicitly accepts their validity

Finally the dreary picture of adolescent conformity must be qualified by certain limiting factors. In the first place, its existence tends to be restricted to the particular developmental requirements of the adolescent period that induce it. One of the surest signs of approaching adulthood is a resurgence in the legitimacy of deviancy. Second along with their conforming tendencies adolescents display a concomitant urge to be unique to achieve individuality and separateness. After the young adolescent has submerged limitself in the group to the point where he cannot be criticized for non conformity he — then proceeds to gain recognition for himself as an individual (Tryon 1914 p 223) He must be careful however to keep his urge for uniqueness and creativity within the narrow framework of acceptability recognized by the group Lastly as we know from the history of innumerable youth movements there is among many adolescents a vig orous strain of exuberant idealism and impatient dissatisfaction with many outmoded traditions and features of contemporary hie. This aspect of adolescent personality when channelled intelligently constitutes a most strategic means for effecting social change

# Conformity and Individuality A Prescription for Adolescents

Where do all of these developmental and cultural considerations re garding conformity and individuality leave us in proposing a feasible and morally defensible prescription for adolescents?

The crucial role of the peer group as a socializing agency and as a source of earned and attributed status counsels a certain minimal degree of deference to its standards during the self-limited period when such an exaggerated premium is placed on the value of conformity During adolescence deviants are not in an envisible position. In varying degrees they all face social rolicule abuse and isolation. The fortunate ones achieve some measure of status and security by forming warm attachments to agemates of them own kind. Sometimes a sympathetic adult friend or teacher will offer them affection direction and encouragement. Often however they are left to flourder uncertainly to drift further and further away from group hving to develop feelings of anxiety and inferiority to withdraw deeper and deeper into themselves or into a compensatory world of unreality.

As far as the wider community is concerned the adolescent should be encouraged to adjust sausfactorily to the kind of world that currently exists not the kind adults wish existed but as yet have been unable to create

Even while endeavoring to change them it is necessary to recognize established laws and customs irrational or otherwise (Partridge 1947) This does not imply that the status quo must be implicitly accepted for what it is but rather that a mature attitude toward social change be adopted, an attitude that does not 'encourage the adolescent to batter his head against the wall of custom simply because these customs are inconsistent.

However, this minimal and desirable degree of conformity to peer group standards and social custom is still a far cry from advocating a policy of hunting with the hounds Those who counsel adolescents would be remiss in their responsibility if they failed to appreciate the importance of nonconformity for the optimal differentiation of personality structure, for self realization, and for the development of moral courage and the ability to stand alone without group support Counselors must also be sensitive to individual differences in the need to conform The highly self assertive teen ager, for example, can only restrain his individuality to a point, and the introvert inevitably draws a line beyond which he refuses to participate in exhibitionistic activities. The adolescent who has a bighly developed set of moral or religious convictions may refuse to condone the practices of his group Other individuals may have all absorbing interests that are regarded with scorn by their agemates Finally, as has already been pointed out, the mental hygiene dangers of nonconformity and social unpopularity have been vastly exaggerated Even the peer group tolerates much more deviancy than the adolescent's anxiety and marginality of status lead him to believe

#### Adult versus Peer Group Norms

It is impossible for anyone to teach in a secondary school or college for any length of time without becoming aware of the fact that a distinctive adolescent subculture exists, and that the values of this subculture exists, and that the values of this subculture exists, and that the level test of this subculture exists, and that the level test of this subculture exists, and that the beyond such perpheral matters as dress and language and tends to be focused on the value of academic achievement. Adolescents accept scholastic achievement as necessary for college entrance and for the middle-class rewards of managerial and professional status, but they do not typically regard it as a legitimate basis for high status in the peer group or as a value worth strying for in its own right (Coleman, 1901, J B Marks, 1951) What are some of the origins of this adult youth aluenation?

Adolescents in our culture, naturally, have the same needs for greater earned status and volutonal independence that adolescents have in more pirmutive and itraditional cultures But the greater complexity of our technological society necessitates an extended period of education and economic dependence on parents, prolonged vocational training, and the postponement of marriage will beyond the age of sexual maturity. Under these or cumstances, the adolescent cannot experience any real volutional indepen dence in the adult sense of the term, and can obviously acquire only a token earned status outside the mainstream of the adult culture. He not only resents his exclusion from adult spheres of independence and status-giving activities, but also tends to resent such adult-controlled training institutions as the home, the school, and various youth organizations because they conduct their training functions entirely apart from any opportunity for limit to exercise volutional independence, or to acquire earned status within the context of the adult culture. Hence, he is alienated from adult status-giving activities and from adult training institutions, and, accordingly, from adult standards as well

This alienation from adult society, coupled with the accompanying resentment and prolonged frustration of his needs for adult volutional independence and adult earned status, has two serious consequences namely, the generation of aggressive anti adult attitudes and the compensatory formation of distinctive peer groups with distinctive standards, status-group activities, and training functions of their own. The aggressive anti adult orientation not only promotes further retaltatory rejection of adult stan dards, but also makes it more difficult for adolescents to identify with adults, to obtain any attributed status from such identification, and currently to accept adult values implicitly. The formation of peer groups, on the other hand, increases the existing adult youth alientation. Preusely how it does these things deserves more detailed scruiny.

#### The Role of the Peer Group in Adult-Youth Alienation

Because all adolescents are in the same boat, so to speak, because they share the same deprivation of their needs for adult status and independence, the same alienation from adult society, the same resentments, and the same anti adult attitudes, because they feel they are not wanted do not belong, and are excluded from the larger scheme of things, they reach out toward each other for mutual support and for providing in concert the things that they want but cannot get individually (Sberif and Sherif, 1964)

Thus since the modern urban community is unable to provide teen agers with the Lind of earned status, solutional independence, and training in social skills that they desire, the adolescent peer group is constituted to grafify, in part these crucial needs. It is the only cultural institution in which their position is not marginal, in which they are offered earned status, independence, and social identity among a group of equals and in which their own activities and concerns regin supreme. The peer group is also the major training institution for adolescents in our society. It is in the peer group that by doing they learn about the social processes of our culture They clarify their sex roles by acting and being responded to, they learn competition, cooperation, social skills vilues, and purposes by sharing the common hife' (Tryou, 1944) The peer group provides regularized media and occasions for adolescents to gratify their newly acquired desires for increased social contact with the opposite sex, as well as a set of norms governing adolescent sex behavior

By virtue of performing these essential functions, the peer group also displaces parents as the major source of attributed status during adolescence By identifying with and acquinng acceptance in the group, by subordinating hunself to group interests and by making himself dependent on group approval, the adolescent gains a measure of intrinsic self esteem that is inde pendent of his achievement or relative status in the group. This 'we feeling furnishes security and belongingness, and is a powerful ego-support and basis of loyality to group norms.

How does ill of this sucrease adult youth altenation? In the first place, the adolescents very membership in a distinctive peer group, with its own statusgiving activities, standards and training functions, puts lim in a separate subculture apart from adult society Second, since the peer group is composed of his kind of people and since he is largely dependent on it for his volitional independence for his carned and attributed status, for his sense of belongingness and for his opportunities to acquire social skills and practice his sex role, he accordingly tends to assimilate its standards. As he becomes progressively more responsive to its approval and disapproval, he becomes increasingly more indifferent to adult norms and values, to adult suggestion and to adult approval and disapproval Lastly, the peer group's exaggerated needs for rigid conformity to its norms, as well as its power to exact conformity from its members in ure turn for its unique ability to satisfy their needs further accentuate the adolescent s alienation from adult society them needs further accentuate the adolescent s alienation from adults occety

But adult youth alienation is also not an all-or none matter othat is, operating simultaneously with the various factors causing adult youth alien ation in varying degrees, there are also two general factors within each adolescent that maintain or increase his identification with adult society One of these factors stems from his ultimate aspirations for the future, the other is a legacy from his childhood. Bodi serve to counteract the seventy of his ann adult attitudes.

Thus, we must not lose sight of the fact that at the same time that adolescents particularly those from middle class backgrounds, are alienated from adult standards and preoccupied with achieving *vicarious* forms of adult status and independence in the peer group, they are similaneously engaged in and independence in the peer group, they are similaneously industeries a stepping stones to genuine adult status and independence and to full membership in adult society. Their ultimate goals are not high status in the peer group—that is, the distinction of being the best dancer, the most prestigeful aihlete, the most successful faddist, the most popular and most frequently dated girl, the inost daring drag racer, the most shockingly so phisticated or anti adult person in the crowd—but rather, well paying professional or manigerial jobs, financial security, a comfortable home in the suburbs, marriage and a family 'They also realize that attainment of these goals requires long term striving, self denial, postponement of immediate hedomstic gratifications, the approval of persons in authority, restraint of aggressive impulses, and avoidance of an unsavory or delinquent reputation Furthermore, the assimilation of new peer group values does not by any means imply complete repudiation of previously assimilated adult values

Thus, it greatly overstates the case to claim that addressents are entirely oblivious of adult approval, that they completely reject adult values, stan dards, and aspirations, and that they manifest no feelings of moral obligation to abide by earlier assurilated norms of conduct This much is clearly evident when we pause to consider that one of the principal functions of the peer group, in addition to providing its own distinctive set of standards, iss to transmit from one generation to the next the appropriate social class values, aspirations, motivational patterns, and character traits that adolescents are often unwilling to accept from parents and teachers, but *are* willing to accept from their agemates It is easy, therefore, to exaggerate the existing degree of adult youth alienation As a matter of fact, both parties tend to perceive it as greater than it actually is (Hess and Goldblatt, 1957) Indeed, where conditions are propitious, the norms of the peer group include the same intellectual concerns and excitement that prevail among the college faculty (Newcomb, 1962)

It must be admitted, however, that the progressive moral deteriora tion characterizing our culture since World War II has tended to undermine the counterbalancing effect of these two factors (aspirations for genuine adult status and previously assimilated adult values) on adult youth aliena tion First, since the adolescent preceives adults as being able to get ahead' without fully exemplifying the traditional middle class virtues, he is naturally led to believe that (a) he too can achieve the adult status and independence he craves without thoroughly acquiring these same virtues humself, and (b) adults are not really concerned whether or not he acquires these virtues Thus, he is not as highly motivated as pre war adolescents were either to develop such traits as self restraint, willingness to work hard, a sense of responsibility, impulse control self denial, personal integrity, and respect for the rights and property of others, or to seek adult approval for so doing Further, the middle class peer group, which has the responsibility for transmitting middle class standards to its members, can transmit only those standards that actually exist Second, the adolescent's realization that adults do not actually live up to the standards that he had implicitly accepted in childhood as axiomatically right and proper, tends to undermine his implicit belief in these standards and in his feelings of obligation to

abide by them. When children become sufficiently mature to interpret adult behavior for what it actually is, they are impressed more by example than by precept. Lasity, the adolescents a swareness of the greeous lack of moral courage in the adult world and of the premium that adults place on con formity and expediency furnishes hum with a very poor model for holding fast to his moral convictions in the face of group pressure

# Social Sex Role and the School

The quite different social sex roles of boys and girls at all age levels have important effects on their respective adaptations to the school environ ment By write of their differential training in the lone, girls find it much easier than boys to adjust to the demands of the elementary school We have already observed that they are more intrinsically accepted by parents, satelline more, identify more strongly with authority figures, have less in sistent needs for independence, earned status, and emancipation from the home, and are more habituated from the very beginning to docility, sedateness, conformity to social expectations, and restraint of overt physical ag gression It is hardly surprising, therefore, that boys find it correspondingly more difficult to identify with the school, with the teacher, and with classroom activities Girls play school' as readily as they play "liouse," whereas any normally robust boy would not be caught dead playing either game.

It is not only that most elementary school teachers are women, but also that feminine values prevail in the school with respect to what is taught and the kind of behavior that is expected and approved propriety, obedience, decorum, cleanliness, tubiness, submissiveness, modesty, paying attention to what one is told, remembering, facility in handling verbal symbols, and the control of fidgetienes, curosity, and aggressiveness. Grifs also receive much more approval and considerably less scolding and reproval from teachers (Meyer and Thompson, 1956). In terms of cultural expectations and peer group norms, success in school is much more appropriate for the female than for the male sex role in elementary and jumor high school Ar this age level yeater desire for approval from authority figures and for the vicarious status that this confers. It is not at all surprising, therefore, that boys furnish a dibehavior problems, the unattentive, and the dropouts.

Beginning in middle adolescence, however, cultural expectations change radically Academic achievement becomes a more acceptable male virtue and, accordingly, the achievement gap between boys and girls begins to close Boys with low intrinsic self esteem and high anxiety seek more than do their female counterparts to find compensatory ego-enhancement and anxiety reduction in school achievement, and gifted boys tend to maintain their high IQ s better in late adolescence and adulthood

#### Social Class Stratification and Education

The social class membership of a pupil has important implications for his school achievement, his aspirations for academic success, his achievement indivation, and his attitudes toward school 1t is true, however, that social class differences in these areas are becoming increasingly less distinct now as college education is becoming more available to and prevalent among lowerclass groups (Havighurst and Neugarten, 1962) Nevertheless, there is still a moderately ligh relationship between socioeconomic status and school achievement (Havighurst and Breese, 1947, Havighurst and Janke, 1944, Janke and Havighurst, 1945, Pierce Jones, 1959a and b), and by the time pupils reach junior high school age this relationship is greater than that between IQ and achievement (Kahl, 1957) But it is important to note that the characteristic impact of social class membership on school achievement (Bavighurst, 1958), or in upwardly mobile populations (Udry, 1960) Apparently, the limiting effects of social class conducioning cease to operate as fully beyond certain cruical ability and achievement levels Once students exceed these levels, they seem to be influenced more by the new student subculture with which they identify than by their social class origins. The difficulty for lower class pupils, of course, lies in entering college in the first place. Typically, because of either financial or motiva tional areasers of most intellectually able lower class youth (Havighurst and Neugarten, 1962).

Recent research (Ausubel, 1965a, Hanson, 1965, Sherif and Sherif, 1964) has made it clear that youth of all socioeconomic ranks has assimilated the scholastic and vocational aspirations associated with material affluence in modern Western society. It is not the appropriate aspirations that are lacking therefore, but rather those factors that are necessary for their implementation, namely, underlying needs and motivations for achievement, supportive personality traits, and percerved pressures and opportunities for academic and occupational success (Ausubel, 1965a, Rosen, 1964). In the first place, lower class parents do not place the same value that middle class parents do on education, financial independence, social recognition, and vo cational success. Hence, they do not *really* encourage, to the same extent the implementation of these aspirations by voicing appropriate expecta tions, making unequivocal demands, dispensing suitable rewards and punish ments, and insistiog on the development of the necessary supportive traits (Ausubel, 1955a)

Second, since lower-class adolescents are understandably dubious about the attainability of the promised rewards of striving and self-denial for persons of their status, they do not develop the same internalized needs for vocational achievement and presuge, and thus see less point in developing to the same degree as their middle-class contemporaries the supportive middle-class personality traits necessary for the achievement of academic and vocational success (A. Davis 1913) These supportive traits include habits of initiative and responsibility and the 'deferred gratification pattern'' of hard work, renuncitation of wimediate pleasures, long range striving, im pulse control, thrift, orderliness, punctuality, restraint of sexual and aggressive urges, and willingness to undergo prolonged vocational preparation (A. Davis, 1915), Havighurist and Taba, 1949, Schneider and Lysgard, 1953)

It is hardly surprising therefore, that lower-class children are less interested in reading than are middle-class children, take their school work less seriously, and are less willing to spend the years of their youth in school in order to gain higher presuge and social rewards as adults. Lacking the strong ego-involvement which middle-class pupils bring to school work and which preserves the attractiveness of academic tasks despite failure experience, they more quickly lose interest in school if they are unsuccessful

Lower and middle-class adolescents differ markedly both in their social value systems and in their vocational interests Middle-class youths and their parents are more concerned with community service, self realization, altruistic values, and internalized standards of conduct (kahn, 1959, Steffler, 1959), and prefer demanding, responsible, and presugeful occupational pur suits (Pierce Jones, 1959) and b, Sewell, Haller, and Strauss, 1957). They also make higher vocational interest scotes in the literary, estiletic, persuasite, scientific, and business areas than do lower-class adolescents. The latter adolescents and their parents, on the other hand, place greater stress on such values as money, security, respectability, obedience, and conformity to authority, and tend to prefer agricultural, mechanical, domestic service, and clerical pursuits. In the school environment they respond more than middleclass pupils do to such learning incentives as praise and material rewards (Terrell, Durkin, and Wiesley, 1959, Zigler and de Labry, 1965, Zigler and kanzer, 1962)

The working class mother s desire for unquestioned domination of her olfspring, her preference for harsh, pumitive, and suppressive forms of control, and her tendence to maintain considerable social and emotional distance between hierself and her children are probably responsible, in part, for the greater prevaluese of the authoritarian personality syndrome in lower than in middle-class children (Dickens and Hobart, 1959, 1 Hart, 1957, Lipset, 1959) Lower-class children iend to develop ambivalent attitudes toward authority figures and to cope with this ambivalence by making an exaggerated show of overt, implicit compliance, by maintaining formally appropriate social distance, and by interacting with these figures on the basis of formalized role attributes rather than as persons. Their underlying hostility and resentment toward this arbitrary and often unfair authority is later expressed in such displaced forms as scapegoaung, prejudice, extremist political and religious behavior, ethnocentrism, and delinquency (Dickens and Hobart, 1959, Hart, 1957, Lipset, 1959) They are coerced in school by the norms of their peer group against accepting the teacher's authority, seeking her approval, or entering into a satellizing relationship with her.

# Social Class Bias of the School

Most teachers in American schools have middle-class backgrounds But even if they do originate from other social class environments, they still tend to identify with the school's implicit mission of encouraging the development of middle-class values Tbus, quite apart from the issue of whether this mission is appropriate and desirable for our culture, teachers find it difficult to understand the goals, values, and behavior of pupils from other social class backgrounds. Normal ethnocentric bias predisposes them to believe that their own class values are self-evidently true and proper, and that deviations therefrom necessarily reflect waywardness. On the other band, since middle-class boys and girls behave in accordance with their expecta tions and accept the standards of the school, teachers are usually as preju diced in their favor as they are prejudiced against children from other social strata.

Understanding the background and values of lower-class children does not, of course, imply acceptance of their attitudes and behavior when these are in conflict with the objectives and standards of the school It merely implies sufficient awareness of relevant background factors to make possible intelligent interpretation of the behavior of lower-class pupils and the avoid ance of discriminatory attitudes and practices toward them In addition to their natural inclinations to reward conformity to middle

In addition to their natural inclinations to reward conformity to middle class ideology, teachers are influenced by other pressures, both explicit and implicit, in giving preferential treatment to pupils whose families enjoy high social status. Middle and upper-class parents are active in civic and school affairs, members of school boards, and leaders in parent teacher associations. Even il no explicit pressures are exerted, teachers and school administrators, knowing on what side their bread is buttered, are disposed to see things their way. Teachers are also intumdated somewhat from taking action against refractory but popular members of leading student chques who, when supported by their cliquemates, may be surprisingly rebellious (Hollingshead, 1949) Under such circumstances, many teachers are reluctant to force a showdown that would provoke the enmity of pupils who are influential in their own right as well as through the position of their parents

The organization of the high school also tends to favor the retention of middle-class pupils and the earlier dropping out of lower-class pupils A disproportionate number of the latter are placed in slow learning sections—not only on the basis of low ability and motivation, but also, more in formally, because of their social background (Hollingshead, 1949, Havig hurst and Neugarten, 1962) Similarly, a disproportionate percentage of lower-class pupils are found in the vocational commercial, and general high are school curriculums rather than in the college preparatory curriculum Thus, as a result of being typed and sugmatized as members of these low prestige groups, and of enjoying relatively low scholastic morale lower-class pupils are more disposed to drop out of school

The values of the dominant peer group in high school are predomi nantly based upon middle class norms and standards, chief of which is acceptance of the importance of getting good grades (Havighurst and Taba, 1949) Middle class adolescents participate more in extra curricular activities and occupy the choice elective and activity positions (Havighurst and Taba, 1949, Hollingshead, 1949, H P Smith, 1945), and evidence suggests that pupils whose behavior conforms best to the extracurricular norms and ex pectations of the school also do better academically (Weinberg, 1964). More important, perhaps, are the subtle and intargible barriers to participation in the more numate crowds and cliques, very little crossing of social class lines occurs in clique organization (Hollingshead, 1949). Bojs and grifs from lower social class strata bitterly resent the patronizing and condescending attuiteds of their more fortunate contemporaries. They feel snubbed, un wanted, and left out of dungs When this situation becomes too intolerable it undoubtedly influences dier decision to leave school (Havighurst and Taba, 1949, Hollingshead, 1949). Dojs and (Havighurst and Taba, 1949, Hollingshead, 1949). Dojs and class [1940).

#### Racial Factors in Education

All of the foregoing properties of the lower class environment also apply to the segregated Negro community. Most authorities on Negro family life agree that well over 50 percent of Negro families life at the very lowest level of the lower-class standard (M C Hill, 1957). In addition, however, Negro families are characterized by a disproportionate number of illegal and loosely connected unions (M C Hill, 1957). Illeguitimacy is a very common phenomenon and is associated with relatively little social stigma in die Negro community (Cavan, 1959).

Negro families are much more unstable than comparable lower-class

white families Homes are more likely to be broken, fathers are more fre quently absent, and a matriarchal and negative family atmosphere more commonly prevails (Dai, 1949, Deutsch, and others, 1956, M C Hill, 1957) Thus, the lower class Negro child is frequently deniced the benefits of biparental affection and upbringing, he is often raised by his grandmother or older sister while his mother works to support the family deserted by the father (Deutsch, and others, 1956) One consequence of the matriarchal family climate is an open preference for girls Boys frequently attempt to adjust to this situation by adopting feminine traits and mannerisms (Dai, 1949)

1949) Negro family life is even more authoritarian in nature than is that of the lower social class generally 'Children are expected to be obedient and submissive" (Af C Hill, 1957), and insubordination is suppressed by harsh and often brutal physical punishment (Dai, 1949), M C Hill, 1957) 'South ern Negro culture teaches obedience and respect for authority as a main spring of survival 'Greenberg and Fane, 1959) Surveys of high school and college students show that authoritarian attitudes are more prevalent among Negroes at all grade levels (Greenberg, Chase, and Cannon, 1957, Greenberg and Fane, 1959, Smith and Prothro, 1957) Being a Negro ale to a more altication for the survey for the survey.

and Fane, 1959, Smith and Profilino, 1957) Being a Negro also has many other implications for the ego development of young children that are not inherent in lower class membership. The Negro child inherits an inferior caste status and almost inevitably acquires the negative self-esteem that is a realistic ego reflection of such status Through personal slights, blocked opportunities, and unpleasant contacts with white persons and with institutionalized symbols of race inferiority (ægregated schools, neighborhoods, amusement areas)—and more indirectly becomes aware of the social significance of racial membership (Goff, 1949) The Negro child perceives limiself as an object of dension and disparage ment, as socially rejected by the prestigeful elements of society, and as un worthy of succorance and affection (Deutsch, and others, 1956), and having no compelling reasons for not accepting this officially sanctioned negative evaluation of himself, he develops a deeply ingrained negative self image (V W Bernard, 1958, Wertham, 1952)

In addition to suffering ego deflation through awareness of his inferior status in society, the Negro child finds it more difficult to satellize and is demied much of the self esteem advantages of satellization. The derived status that is the principal source of children's self esteem in all cultures is largely discounted in his case since he can satellize only in relation to superordinate individuals or groups who themselves possess an inferior and degraded status Satellization under such conditions not only confers a very limited amount of derived status but also has deflationary implications for self esteem. We can understand, therefore, why young Negro children resist identifying with their own racial group why they seek to shed their identities (Deutsch, and otners 1956) why they more frequently choose white than Negro playmates (Stevenson and Stewart, 1955) why they prefer the skin color of the culturally dominant caste (Clark and Clark 1947 Goodman, 1952) and why they tend to assign negative roles to children of their own race (Stevenson and Stewart, 1958). Such tendences persists at least into late adolescence and early adult life insofar as one can judge from the attitudes of Negro college students. These students tend to reject ethnocenture and ant white ideologies and to accept authoritarian and anti Negro propositions (Steckler, 1957)

# Educational Achievement of Negro Children

Partly as a result of unequal educational opportunities Negro children show serious academic retardation They attend school for fewer years and, on the average learn much less than white children do (Ashmore, 1954, Bullock, 1950, Cooper 1964 Osborne 1960) One of the chief reasons for this discrepancy is the inferior education and training of Negro teachers who themselves are usually products of segregated education. The inequality of educational facilities exists not only in the South but also in the urban North, where, for the most part segregation in fact prevails (Smuts, 1957) Eighty four percent of the top 10 percent of Negro graduates in one southern high school scored below the national mean on the Scholastic Aputude Test (Bullock 1950) Thus the incentive of reaching the average level of proficiency in the group is not very stimulating for Negro children, since the mean and even the somewhat superior child in this group are still below grade level Teachers in segregated schools also tend to be overly permissive and to emphasize play skills over academic achievement, they are perceived by their pupils as evaluating them negatively and as more concerned with behavior than with school work (Deutsch and others, 1956)

Even more important perhaps as a cause of Negro educational retarda tion is the struation prevailing in the Negro home. Many Negro parents have had little schooling themselves and hence are unable to appreciate its value. Thus they do not provide active, wholeheartical support for high level academic performance by demanding conscientious study and regular at tendance from their children Furthermore, because of their large families and their own meager schooling they are less able to provide help with lessons Keeping a large family of children in secondary school constitutes a heavy conomic burden on Negro parents in view of their low per capita income and the substantial hilden costs of free education The greater frequency of broken homes unemployment, and negative family atmosphere, as well as the high rate of pupil tumover (Conant, 1961, Sexton, 1959) are also not conducive to academic admement.

Negro pupils are undoubtedly handicapped in academic attainment by

a lower average level of intellectual functioning than is characteristic of comparable white pupils in both Northern and Southern areas, particularly the latter, Negro pupils have significantly lower IQ s (Carson and Rabin, 1960, Dreger and Miller, 1960, Osborne, 1960) and are retarded in arith metic reading language usage, and ability to handle abstract concepts (Bullock, 1950, Osborne, 1960) The extreme intellectual impovenshment of the Negro home over and above its lower social class status reflects the poor standard of English spoken in the home and the general lack of books, magazines, and stimulating conversion

# Educational and Vocational Aspirations

All of the factors inhibiting the development of achievement motiva tion and its supportive personality traits in lower class children are intensi fied in the segregated Negro child His over all prospects for vertical social mobility, although more restricted, are not completely hopeless. But the stigma of his caste membership is inescapable and insurmountable. It is inherent in his skin color, permanently ingrained in his body image, and enforced by the extra legal power of a society whose moral, legal, and re ligous codes formally proclaim his equality

B C Rosen compared the educational and vocational aspirations of Negro boys (age 814) and their mothers to those of white Protestant Americans, French Canadians, American Jews, Greek Americans, and Itahan Americans. The mean vocational aspiration score of his Negro group was significantly lower than the mean scores of all other groups except the French Canadian Rosen concluded that although Negroes have been

exposed to the laberal economic ethic longer than most of the other groups their culture, it seems is least lakely to accent achievement values. The Negro s history as a slave and depressed farm worker and the sharp discrepancy between his experience and the American Creed would appear to work against the achieve ment values of the dominant white group Typically the Negro life situation does not encourage the belief that one can manipulate his environment or the convic tion that one can improve his condition very much by planning and hard work (Rosen 1959 p 55)

Negroes who might be expected to share the prevalent American emphasis upon education face the painfully apparent fact that positions open to educated Negrocs are scarce This fact means that most Negroes in all hickhood do not con stder high educational aspirations realistic, and the heavy drop-out in high school suggests that the curtailment of educational aspirations begins very early (Rosen 1959 p 58)

Ethnicity was found to be more highly related to vocational aspirations than was social class, sizeable ethnic and racial differences prevailed even when the influence of social class was controlled. These results are consistent with the finding that white students tend to prefer very interesting jobs, whereas Negro students are more concerned with job security (Singer and Steffre, 1956)

#### Sex Differences

Gurls in the segregated Negro community show much greater superiority over boys in academic, personal, and social adjustment than is found in the culture generally (Deutsch and others, 1956). They not only outperform boys academically by a greater margin, but also do so in all subjects rather than only in language skills (Deutsch, and others, 1956). They have lingher achievement needs (Gaer and Wambath 1956). Grossack, 1957), have a greater span of attention are more popular with classmates, show more mature and realistic aspirations assume more responsible roles, and feel less depressed in comparing themselves with other children (Deutsch, and others, 1956). Substantially more Negro girls than Negro boys complete every level of education in the United States (Smusis 1937).

Adequate reasons for these differences are not difficult to find Negro children in this subculture live in a matriarchal family atmosphere where girls are openly preferred by mothers and grandmothers, and where the male sex role is generally deprecated The father frequently deserts the family and in any case, tends to be an unreliable source of economic and emotional security (Dai, 1949, Deutsch and others, 1956) Hence, the mother, assisted perhaps by her mother or by a daughter, shoulders most of the burdens and responsibilities of child rearing and is the only dependable adult with whom the child can identify In this environment male chauvinism can obtain little foothold The preferential treatment accorded girls is even extended to opportunities for acquiring ultimate primary status If the family pins all of its hopes on and makes desperate sacrifices for one child, it will often be a daughter in preference to a son Over and above his handicaps at home, the Negro boy also faces more obstacles in the wider culture in realizing his vocational ambitions, whatever they are, than the Negro girl in fulfilling her adult role expectations of housewife, mother, nurse, teacher or clerical worker (Deutsch, and others, 1956)

# Implications for Education

Before Negroes can assume their rightful place in a desegregated American culture important changes in the ego structure of Negro children must first take place. They must shed feelings of inferiority and self derogation, acquire feelings of self confidence and ractal pride, develop realistic aspirations for occupations requiring greater education and training, and develop the personality traits necessary for implementing these aspirations Such

Recal Factors in Education 443 changes in ego-structure can be accomplished in two different but comple mentary ways First, all manifestations of the Negro's inferior and segregated caste status must be swept away—in education, housing, employment, religion, travel, and exercise of civil rights This in uself will enhance the Negro's self esteem and open new opportunities for self fulfillment. Second, through various measures instituted in the family, school, and community, character structure, levels of aspiration, and actual standards of achievement can be altered in ways that will further enhance his self-esteem and make it possible for lum to take advantage of new opportunities DESERREATION Desegregation, of course, is no panacea for the Negro child's personality difficulties. In the first place, it tends to create new prob-lems of adjustment, particularly when it follows in the wake of serious as their cultural importerishment, their helplessness or apathy toward learn-ing and their distruist of the majority group and their middle class teachers', nor can it compensate for 'oversized classes, inappropriate curriculums, in adequate counseling services, or poorly trained or demoralized teachers' (V W Bernard, 1958, p 158) Yet it is an important and indispensable first step in the reconstitution of Negro personality, since the school is the most strategically placed social institution for effecting rapid change both in ego structure and in social status. A desegregated school olffers the Negro child his first taste of social equality and his first experience of first class cuttenship. He can enjoy the sumularing effects of competition with white and chances for academic and vocational success. Under these curring and user they are differed one downerse and new screens to monitor, and the user them they the differed and can use them as realistic spartsticks in measuring his own worth and chances for academic and vocational success. Under these curematances, fullicitioned achieverus and his courtstored stores and chances for academic and vocational success. Under these circumstances.

and chances for academic and vocational success Under these encumstance, and chances for academic and vocational success Under these encumstances, educational achievement no longer seems so pointless, and aspirations for higher occupational status in the wider culture acquire more substance. It is sito reasonable to anticipate that white children will be prejudired and continue to discriminate against their Negro classmates long after de segregation accords them equal legal status in the educational system Atti-tudes toward Negroes in the South, for example, are remarkably stable, even in periods of rapid social change involving desegregation (Young, Benson, and Holtzman, 1960), and are not highly correlated with anti Semuc or other ethnocentric trends (Geenberg, Chase, and Cannon, 1957, Kelly, Ferson, and Holtzman, 1958, Prothin, 1952) Prejudice against Negroes is deeply rooted in the American culture (Raab and Lipset, 1959) and is con linually reinforced both by the socie conomic gain and by the vicarious ego enhancement it brings to those who manifest it (V W Bernard, 1958, O M Herr, 1959, Rosen, 1959) It is hardly surprising, therefore, that racial prejudice is most pronounced in lower social class groups (Westie, 1952), and that these groups constitute the hard core of resistance to desegregation (Killian and Haer, 1958, Tumin, 1958) Increased physical contact between

white and Negro children does little to reduce prejudice (Neprash 1958 Webster 1961) but more intimate personal interaction under favorable circumstances significantly reduces social distance between the two groups (Kelly Ferson and Holtzman 1958 Mann 1959 Yarrow Campbell and Yarrow 1958)

COMMUNITY ACTION The support of parents and of the Negro com munity at large must be enlisted if we hope to make permanent progress in the education of Negro children This is the case because the character of the ghetic community largely determines what goes on in the slum school It is therefore wholly unrealistic to contemplate significant change in the school achievement of Negro children without involving the Negro family and community (Conart 1961)

Whatever can be done to strengthen family life and to give the fathers a more important role in it will make a significant contribution to the development of Negro potential (Smuti 1957 p 462)

Working with mothers and getting them to adopt a more positive attitude toward school is an important first step in improving the educa usual adhevement of urban Negro children (Conant 1961) Typically only 10 percent of Negro parents are high school graduates and only 35 percent complete elementary school (Conant 1961) Thus enrollment of parents in adult education programs would significantly raise the cultural level of the Negro home and stimulate an interest in newspapers magazines and possibly even books One of the troubles is that when the children leave the school they never see anyone read anything—not even newspapers (Conant 1961 p 25) The Higher Horizons project in New York City is a good example of a recent attempt to discover academically talented children in slum areas and encourage them to aspire to college education This program embodies cultural enrothment improved counseling and instruction and the sympathetic involvement of parents

COUNSELING Because of current grave inadequartes in the structure of the lower-class urban Negro family the school must be prepared to com pensate at least in part for the deficiencies of the home that is to act so to speak for the parents Teachers in predominantly Negro schools actually perform much of this role at the present time. In the lower grades as a matter of fact they are quite successful as mother surrogates. As Negro children approach adolescence lowever peer-group loyalities become accendent over the affinitive drive for school achievement inspired by the substitute mother role of Negro teachers and schoolwork progressively deteriorates (Conant 1951)

It is apparent therefore that trained counselors must assume the role of parent substitute during preadolescence and adolescence. They are needed to offer appropriate educational and vocational guidance, to encourage worthwhile and realistic aspirations, and to stimulate the development of mature personality traits. In view of the serious unemployment situation among Negro youth, they should also assist in job placement and in cushion ing the transition between school and work. This will naturally require much expansion of existing guidance services in the school Research has shown that Negro children s distrust of white counselors

Research has shown that Negro children s distrust of white counselors and authonty figures in general makes it extremely difficult for a white counselor to detelop an interpersonal relationship with a Negro student such that the latter can gain appropriate insight into his problems. How can the counselor ever hope to view the personal or social worlds as his client does as he must necessarily do if he wishes to be effective in the counseling situa ton—if a white person can only imagine but never really know how a Negro actually thinks and feels or how he perceives most personal and social problems? "The cultural lenses which are formulated from unique milieus are not as freely transferable as it is assumed, or as we are led to believe' (W B Phillips, 1959, p. 188)

# Mouvating the Culturally Deprived Pupil

We have already considered the cognitive characteristics of culturally deprived pupils as well as various instructional measures that can be taken to prevent and ameliorate their educational retardation. In the present context, it is important to realize both that not all lower-class children are culturally deprived and that cultural deprivation is not restricted to urbain lium environments. Lower class status is a necessary but not a sufficient condution of cultural deprivation. In addition, a culturally deprived home is characterized by extreme intellectual impoverishment, and by what O Lewis (1961) calls the "culture of poverty". This implies more than economic impoverishment. It also includes attitudes of helplessness, dependency, and marginality, a highly depressed level of aspiration, and a feeling of aliena ion from the culture at large. Much lower-class Negro culture in the United States, especially that which has been untouched by the civil rights more emit, is representative of the culture of poverty Other examples are found among migrant workers, families for whom relief is an established way of hife, and families hiving in chronically depressed and relatively isolated rural areas

It only remains in this section to examine some motivational considera tions that apply to culturally deprived pupils. The problem of reversibility is particularly salient here, inasmuch as the environment of cultural deprivation typically stunts not only intellectual development but also the development of appropriate motivations for academic achievement

#### Intrinsic Motivotion

The development of cognitive drive, or of intrinsic motivation for learning (for the acquisition of knowledge as an end in itself or for its own sake), is the most promising motivational strategy which can be adopted in relation to the culturally deprived child I is strue, of course, in view of the auti intellectualism and pragmatic attitude toward education that is char acteristic of lower-class ideology that a superficially better case can be made for the alternative strategy of appealing to the job-acquisition, retention, and advancement incentives that now apply so saltently to continuing edu cation because of the rapid rate of technological change Actually, however, intensic motivation for learning is more potent, relevant, durable, and easier to arouse than its extensic counterpart.

Meaningful school learning, in contrast to most rote kinds of laboratory learning requires relatively little effort or extransic incentive and, when successful, furnishes its own reward. In most instances of school learning, cognitive dove is also the only immediately relevant motivation, since the greater part of school learning cannot be rationalized as necessary for meeting the demands of daily living Furthermore, it does not lose its rele vancy or potency in later adult life when utilitan an and career advancement considerations are no longer applicable Lastly, as we know from the high dropout rate among culturally deprived high school youth, appeals to extransic motivation are frequently not very effective because of the prevail ing social-class ideology Among other reasons, this ideology reflects a limited time perspective focused primarily on the present, a character structure that is ovented toward immediate rather than delayed gratification of needs, the lack of personality traits necessary to implement high academic and vocational aspirations due to the absence of necessary family, peer group, and community pressures and expectations, and the seeming unreality and impossibility of attaining the rewards of prolonged staving and self-denial in view of current living conditions and family tircumstances, previous lack of school success, and the discriminatory atutudes of middle-class society

It must be conceded at the outset that culturally deprived children typically manifest little intrinsic motivation to learn. They come from family and cultural environments in which they veneration of learning for its own sake is not a conspicuous value, and in which there is little or no tradition of scholarship. Moreover, they have not been notably successful in their previous learning efforts in school Aveettheless, we need not necessarily despair of motivating them to learn for intrinsic reasons. Psychologists have been emphasizing the motivation learning and the interest activity sequences of cause and effect for so long that they tend to overlook their reciprocal aspects Since motivation is not an indispensable condution for short term and limited quantity learning, it is not necessary to postpone learning activities until pupils develop appropriate interests and motivations. Often, as pointed out above, the best way of notivating an unmotivated pupil is temporarily to by pass the problem of motivation and to focus on the cognitive aspects of teaching. Much to his surprise and to his teachers, he will learn despite his lack of motivation, and from the satisfaction of learning and thus satisfying latent cognitive drive, he will characteristically develop the motivation to learn more on the same basis

Paradoxically, therefore, we may discover that the most effective method of developing intrinsic motivation to learn in a culturally deprived pupil is to concentrate to teaching him as effectively as possible in the absence of motivation, and to rely on the cognitive motivation that is developed retro actively from successful educational achievement. This is particularly true when a teacher is able to generate contagious excitement and enthusiasm about the subject he teaches, and when he is the kind of person with whom culturally deprived children can identify. Masculnizing the school and dramatizing the lives and exploits of cultural, intellectual, and scientific hereos can also enhance the process of identification. At the same time, of course, we can attempt to combat the anti-intellectualism and lack of cultural tradition in the home through programs of adult education and cultural enrichment

#### Extrinsic Motivation

As previously indicated the current situation with respect to developing adequate motivations for higher academic and vocational achievement among culturally deprived duidren is not very encouraging But just as in the case of cognitive drive, much extrinsic motivation for academic success can be generated retroactively from the ego enhancing experience of current success in school work. Intensive counseling can also compensate greatly for the absence of the appropriate home, community, and peer group support and expectations necessary for the development and implementation of long term vocational ambitions. By identifying with a mature, stable striving, term vocational ambitions. By identifying with a mature, stable striving, term vocational ambitions. By identifying with a mature, stable striving, term vocational ambitions. By identifying with a mature, table striving, term vocational ambitions is dentifying with a mature, table striving term vocational ambition by identifying with a mature, table striving to internalize long term and realistic aspirations, as well as to develop the mature personality traits necessary for their implementation. Hence, as a result of achieving current ego enhancement in the school setting obtaining positive encouragement and practical guidance in the counseling relation slup and experiencing less rejection and discrimination at the hands of school personnel, higher vocational aspirations appear to be more real istically within their grasp. Further encouragement to strive for more am bitious academic and vocational goals can be provided by making available abundant scholarship aid to universities community colleges, and technical
institutes, by acquainting culturally deprived youth with examples of successful professional persons originating from their own racial, ethnic, and social class backgrounds, and by involving parents sympathetically in the newly fostered ambitions of their children. The success of the Higher Honzons Project in New York City indicates that an energetic program organized along these lines can do much to reverse the negative effects of cultural deprivation on the development of extrinsic motivations for aca demic and vocational achievement

With regard to aversive motivation, it can be argued, of course, that a long history of school failure has a demonstrably negative effect on the academic motivation and achievement of culturally deprived pupils, alien ates them from school and school work, and increases their desire to drop out as early as possible It is self-evident, however, that failure and fear of failure cannot motivate academic striving when pupils have never ex perienced any success in school have given up hope of succeeding, have disinvolved themselves from the school situation, and have internalized no aspirations for academic success But the remedy does not he in removing the threat of failure from the category of respectable motivations Nor does it he in the self defeating practice of social promotion which fools nobody, least of all the child who is ostensibly rewarded for failing to learn. To be sure, his ultimate academic achievement might be slightly higher if he moves ahead to the next grade instead of repeating the same one, and he may be better adjusted socially by not being socially stigmatized as an oversized dullard by his younger classmates Nevertheless he is still acutely aware of his actual failure in school acquires unrealistic perceptions about the competence reward relationship in the real world, and enters high school as a rebellious semi literate The more constructive remedy is to change the preschool, classroom family, and social environment of the culturally de prived child, as well as his personality structure, so that academic success not only becomes a realistic possibility for him but also becomes internaliz able as a realistic aspiration. When this happens, he too will be positively motivated, as other pupils are, by desire for knowledge as an end in itself, by ego-enliancing rewards, and by aversive motivations as well

# Chapter 13

# TEACHER CHARACTERISTICS

IT SEEMS SELF EVIDENT that the teacher should constitute an important variable in the learning process From a cognitive standpoint it should certainly make a difference, in the first place, how comprehensive and cogent his grasp of his subject matter field is Second, quite indepen dently of his degree of adequacy in this regard, he may be more or less able to present and organize subject matter clearly, to explain ideas lucidly and incisively, and to manipulate effectively the important variables affecting learning Third, in communicating with his pupils, he may be more or less capable of translating his knowledge in a form appropriate for their degree of cognitive maturity and subject matter sophistication Certain key aspects of the teacher's personality would also seem, on a logical basis, to have an important bearing on learning outcomes in his classroom Theoretical considerations suggest that chief among these would be his degree of commitment to or ego involvement in the intellectual development of his pupils and his ability to generate intellectual excitement and intrinsic motivation for learning Apart from these crucial cognitive and personality attributes that impinge directly on the learning process, a broad range of personal characteristics should be reasonably compatible with effectiveness in teach ıng

Actually, very httle is known about which characteristics of teachers make for success in the teaching fearing process. In part, this situation is a reflection of the difficulty of measuring the aforementioned teacher attributes that seem self-evidently related to pedagogic competence, and of the consequent lack of research evidence on these significant variables. In part, also, it is a reflection of the undue emphasis that has been placed over the past three decades on those personality development of children. In the mental health and personality development of children In addition, much of the existing research evidence in this area tends to be ambiguous equivocal, and uninterpretable because of the absence of a satisfactory enternon against which to measure teacher effectiveness Ratings of teacher performance are notonously unreliable, superficial, subjectivistic, and capricious, and the achievement test scores of pupils, as we shall see later, are limited in depth scope, and validity

But even though teacher training institutions tend to overemphasize the importance of these personality factors, there is some evidence that pupils are primarily concerned with their teachers pedagogic competence or ability to teach, and not with their role as kindly, sympathetic, and cheer ful adults (P H Taylor, 1962) Despite the recent trend in such fields as government and business administration to place ability in getting along with people ahead of professional competence, it is obviously a cause for some concern when professional personnel in any field of endeavor are judged mainly on the basis of purely personal qualities Clearly, since teach ers deal with impressionable children and affect their personality development they should not have unstable or destructive personalities Never theless, the principal criterion in selecting and evaluating teachers should not be the extent to which their personality characteristics conform to some theoretical ideal promoting mental health or personality development, but rather their ability to stimulate and competently direct pupil learning ac tivity

In this chapter it will also be convenient to consider the role and im pact of different styles of teaching on learning as well as the problem of classroom discipline

#### The Roles of Teachers

One approach to evaluating teachers characteristics in terms of their relevance for teaching effectiveness is to consider both the different roles that teachers play in our culture as well as the relative importance of these various roles. In recent times, the scope of the teacher's role has been vasily expanded beyond its original instructional core to include such functions as parent surrogate, firend and confidante, counsellor, adviser, representative of the adult culture, transmitter of approved cultural values, and facilitator of personality development, but without in any sense disparaging the reality or significance of these other subsidiary roles, it is nevertheless undemable that the teachers most important and distinctive role in the modern classroom is still that of director of learning attentiet? Unfortunately, however,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In directing pupil learning activities, the teacher's chief function no longer is or should be the gring of information As emphasized above this latter function can be performed more efficiently by appropriately programmed instruction materials.

as viewed in retrospect by students, teachers are apparently not impressively effective in any of their roles. One sample of college students, for example, reported that only 8.5 percent of their teachers had an important influence on their intellectual or personal development, no appreciable influence in this regard was attributed to over three quarters of the teachers in question (Allport, 1964)

One interesting study of adolescent pupils' perceptions of teachers indicates that teachers are seen as playing three major kinds of roles-as friends, opponents and manupulators of status in learning situations (R Cunningham, 1951) As friends, they are "older and wiser" persons, helpful counsellors, heroes, givers of security, confidantes, and occasionally "pais" As opponents they are cast as 'kill post' who arbitrarily interfree with legui mate pleasures, as 'enemies to be fought and 'outwitted," and as demons of power to be feared, respected, and placated. Much of this latter role obvously represents a displacement of lossitie feelings from original parent targets Teachers also share much of the brunt of adolescents general anti adult orientation. In the learning aspects of the school situation they are perceived as 'efficient organizers in the direction of work projects, as necessary evils' in the acquisition of knowledge, as 'stepping stones to future status rewards, as dispensers of approval and disapproval, and as moral arbiters who can absolve from guilt as well as point the accusing finger

# Cognitive Abilities

At first glance it might seem that the intelligence of teachers should be highly related to success in teaching. Nevertheless teacher effectiveness, as measured by pupil gains in achievement and by principals' and super visors ratings, is only negligibly related to teachers' intelligence (Barr, and others, 1958, Morsh and Wilder, 1954). In all probability, therefore, intelligence operates as a limitung factor in its influence on teaching success A certain minimal level of intelligence is obviously necessary for teaching effectively. But beyond this critical point, the intelligence of teachers may not be significantly related to learning outcomes in pupils, other more important cognitive and personality factors account for most of the difference in effectiveness between successful and unsuccessful teachers.

It is self-evident that a teacher cannot furnish adequate feedback to students or clarify ambiguities and misconceptions unless he has a mean ingful and adequately organized grasp of the subject he teaches Yet there are no really adequate measures of the teacher's actual grasp of his subject matter field in terms of such crucial dimensions as comprehensiveness, cogency, stability, lucidity and precision of concepts, integration of rela tionships between component aspect of the field, awareness of significant theoretical issues and underlying philosophical assumptions, appreciation of methodological and epistemological problems and so forth. Hence al though such factors presumably influence many significant aspects of the pupils mastery of subject matter and affect his general level of interest in and intellectual excitement about a given discipline we know little that is definite about these important relationships Obviously of course the same difficulties that stand in the way of measuring these significant cognitive variables in teachers create obstacles in measuring corresponding learning outcomes in pupils Actual investigation along these lines has therefore been restricted to the study of relationships between relatively formal and super ficial aspect of teachers and pupils mastery of subject matter

In general degree and quality of teachers academic preparation as indicated by grade point average amount of work taken in the major field and achievement test scores bears only a low positive relationship to pupil learning outcomes and supervisors ratings of success in teaching (Barr and others 1958). On theoretical grounds however it seems somewhat unlikely that these aspects of academic preparation are not more highly related to success in teaching than they appear to be The empirically demonstrated low relationship may conceivably reflect in part the superficiality and low intrinsic validity of both the pupil and teacher measures of subject matter mastery. It is also possible of course that academic preparation like mitel ligence may influence teaching effectiveness only when it is below a certain critical level

Since as one might reasonably anticipate the provision of effective feedback depends on clarity and fluency of teacher expression the learning of facts by pupils is significantly related to clarity and expressiveness in the teacher (Solomon Rosenberg and Bezdek 1964) Consistent with this find ing is the fact that ideauonal fluency correlates significantly with ratings of teaching effectiveness (Knoell 19-36)

It stands to reason that teachers who display skill imagination and sensitivity in organizing learning activities and in manipulating learning straibles should promote superior learning outcomes in pupils. This ability after all is a central feature of the teaching process and lience should be ported positive relationship between orderliness in teachers and reading achievement in pupils (Spaulding 1963) the research evidence is at best parse and tangential Pupils within a given classroom who pudge the teacher as orderly and systematic in his classroom management and arrangement of learning activities report greater accomplialiment of work than those of learning activities report greater accomplialiment of work than those of learning activities report greater accomplialiments of the teacher in this regard (Cogan 19-8) their classroom belravor is also more productive at the elementary school level (Ryans 1961) Teachers rated as superior by their principals tend to be characterized more than are teachers rated as inferior by a pattern of orderly systematic, responsible and business-like behavior in their classroom procedures (Ryans, 1960) Finally, teachers who are adept at diagnosing learning difficulties and at appreciating the relevance of particular instructional materials for the acquisition of particular learn ings are more successful than less adept teachers in terms of pupil achueve ment (Fattu, 1963)

users (ratus, 1903) Unfortunately, no evidence is currently available about the relation ship between the teacher's effectiveness and his ability to adapt the com munication of ideas to the pupil's level of intellectual maturity and subject matter sophistication Particularly at the elementary school and less advanced levels of instruction, this ability should be significantly related to the acquisi tion of clear, stable, and unambiguous meanings

#### Personality Characteristics

A tremendous literature has accumulated over the past half century on the personality characteristics of teachers Very little of it, however, is illu minating insofar as it indicates the kinds of traits that are associated with success in teaching For the most part, the personality of teachers has been studied either as an end in itself or in relation to those aspects that influence Success entry of the second se

It is incontrovertible that pupils respond affectively to the personality characteristics of a teacher, and that this affective response influences their characteristics of a teacher, and that this affective response influences their judgments of his instructional effectiveness (F W Hart, 1934) They not only admire teaching skill, clarity, task orientation, and good classroom con only admire teaching skill, clarity, task orientation, and good classroom con tool, but are also highly appreciative of fairness, impariality, patience, cheerfulness, and sympathetic understanding. In addition they approve of teachers who are interested in pupils and who are helpful, kindly, and con aderate of their feelings (F W Hart, 1934, Leeds, 1954). On the other side of the coin, they dislike reluctance to bestow praise, favoritism, punitive ness, irritability, fusiness, garrulousness, bossiness, and birtitleness of temper Thus, from the standpoint of simple congeniality, it can certainly do no harm and may do some good if a teacher possesses those characteristics that make him well liked by his pupils Nevertheless, from the standpoint of his principal role in our culture, it is self evidently more important that a teacher be instructionally effective rather than that he be liked or popular In general, teachers personality characteristics have not been highly correlated with effectiveness in teaching. The two principal exceptions to this generalization are warmith and understanding, on the one hand, and a

tendency to be stimulating and imaginative, on the other Thus, since a wide variety of personality traits appears to be consistent both with instructional effectiveness and with normal personality development and mental hygene in the classroom, a teacher should not try to remake his personality to conform to the theoretically ideal cluster of characteristics in these re spects—even if it were possible to do so. The more realistic and defensible course of action for him is to make the most effective use of those personality assets that he enjoys

Teachers who are warm and understanding tend to gratify the affiliative drive of pupils This is particularly important for the many elementary school pupils who seek in teachers a parent surrogate and a source of acceptance and approval indicative of derived status. It becomes less important in secondary school and mixersity when affiliative drive constitutes a less salient motivation for learning than the growing need for ego-chhancement and earned status. The warm teacher can be identified with easily by pupils. He provides emotional support, is sympathetically disposed toward pupils, and accepts them as persons. Characteristically he distributes much praise and encouragement and tends to interpret pupil behavior as charitably as possible He is relatively unauthoritaman and is sensitive to pupils' feelings and affective responses. For all of these reasons he tends to score high on the Minnesota Teacher Attitude Incentory which is keyed in this direction, and to promote more wholesome self concepts in elementary school pupils and other observers (Cook, Leeds, and Callis, 1951, McGee, 1955, Ryans 1960, Solomon, Rosenberg, and Beetdel, 1964)

At all grade levels, including the elementary school, iteacher warmth is less important for pupils whose motivational orientation to learning is largely orginitive or ego-enhancing rather than affiliative For such pupils, liking of a teacher is not related to the latters degree of warmth or to his score on the Minnesota Teacher Autuide Inventory (Della Piana and Cage, 1955) In sharp contrast, pupils who are highly concerned with their inter personal relationship to and feelings for a teacher tend to like teachers who are characterized by warmth (who make high scores on the Minnesota Teacher Attuiude Inventory), and to dislike teachers who are not.

As a result of identifying with a warm teacher, a pupil is obviously more disposed to assimilate his values Theoretically, also, he should be more highly motivated to learn and thus to attain a higher level of academic achievement. But the evidence tends to be equivocal on this point (Flanders, 1960, Medley and Mitzel, 1959) In any case, however, teacher warmth is significantly related to the amount of work performed by pupils (Cogan, 1958), to pupils 'interest in science in general science classes (H B Red, 1961), and to the 'productiveness' of pupil behavior in the elementary school (Ryans 1961) P S Sears (1963) has presented some evidence which suggests that pupil achievement is more creative when teachers are warm and encouraging

Ability to generate intellectual excitement and intrinsic motivation for learning is another personality characteristic of teachers that appears to have significant implications for their instructional effectiveness. Teachers who are lively, stimulating imaginative, and enthusiastic about their subject are judged as more successful by principals and other experienced observers (Ryans, 1960). Under this kind of stimulation, pupil behavior is also more productive, both in the primary and secondary school (Ryans, 1961), and greater gains in pupil comprehension are made (Solomon, Rosenberg and Bezide, 1964).

Perhaps the most important personality characteristic of teachers in fluencing their effectiveness is the extent of their personal commitment to the intellectual development of pupils. There is general agreement that this is a central component of a teacher's professional motivation II determines in large measure whether he will expend the necessary effort to teach for real gains in the intellectual growth of pupils or will merely go through the formal motions of teaching. Unfortunately, however, since it is a very elusive factor insofar as reliable and valid measurement is concerned, we have no objective evidence regarding its relationship to success in teaching

# Teaching Style

Much has been written and much pseudo-controversy has arisen about matters of teaching style " This confusing debate, largely plagued by am biguity in the meaning of terms, by the emotional use of slogans, and by the absence of definitive evidence, is completely unresolved and promises to yield few clear implications for teaching practice Perhaps the most de fensable conclusion that can be drawn at this point is that variability in teaching style is both inevitable and desirable Styles of teaching vary, in the first place, because teachers' personalities vary. What works well for one teacher may be completely ineffective for another A teacher should there fore adapt his instructional style to strengths and weaknesses in his back ground, personality, and preparation This does not mean, of course, that all techniques of teaching are equally effective or that pedagogic technique is not teachable. It cannot be assumed, to begin with, that a given teacher necessarily chooses the style that is most appropriate for him, and beyond the assistance that can be given in making this choice, most prospective teachers can be helped to use their styles more effectively, that is, can be provided with certain relevant techniques or shown how to use them to greater advantage

It is also desirable for teaching styles to vary because of variability in

pupil needs and characteristics. One important pupil characteristic previously stressed in this regard is the difference in learning styles between stellizers and nonsatellizers. Other important characteristics are intelligence, anxiety level, interest in subject matter, the prevailing degree of authoritarianism in the adult child relationship that is typical of a given social class background, and the student's degree of independence and security (Wispé, 1951) Lastly, appropriate teaching style is always relative to the particular educational objective that is being striven for at a given moment, that is, the efficient transmission of established knowledge, the generation or modification of attitudes, the improvement of problem solving abilities, or the exploration and refinement of alternative viewpoints in controversial areas of knowledge

#### Lecture versus Discussion

Most of the discussion about teaching style has centered around the leoture versus discussion issue Most of the studies concerned with this problem report little difference between the two methods in terms of studient mastery of subject matter (G G Stern, 1963, Wallen and Travers, 1963), where differences do appear they are usually in favor of the lecture method facilitates problem solving and the application of knowledge, B S Bloom (1953) re ported that this method sumulates more relevant student thinking Even when great reliance is placed on lecturing, it is evident that some discussion is necessary if students are to receive adequate feedback and if the teacher is to ascertain whether his listeners are following him

The choice between lecture and discussion methods depends both on the personality of the teacher and on whether the topic in question is more or less factual or controversial Some teachers are more capable than textbook writers of interpreting, integrating, and drawing together scattered materials from diverse sources and of presenting alternative viewpoints in a highly organized and incisive fashion, but are relatively incapable of and feel very uncomfortable about directing discussion Others are masterful in guiding discussion along fruitful lines or in using a Socratic type of quesuoning The unique advantages of discussion, particularly in controversial and poorly established areas of knowledge have been described in another context. It cannot be too strongly emphasized, however, that discussion techniques cannot be expected to enhance learning outcomes in a given area unless students possess the necessary background information prerequisite for intelligent and informed discussion. When this prerequisite condition is lacking, discussion understandably amounts to hitle more than the sharing of ignorance, prejudice, platitudes, preconceptions, and vague generalities

#### Group-Centered versus Teacher Oriented Approaches

Group-centered as opposed to teacher oriented, teaching styles place greater emphasis on student activity, on pupil participation, initiative, and responsibility in setting course objectives, in determining course content, and in evaluating learning outcomes, and on the teacher's role as a non directive group leader. These styles of teaching apparently do not differ siginficantly from teacher-directed approaches with respect to student achieve ment or hking for subject matter (R C Anderson, 1955, Spaulding, 1963, G G Stern, 1963), but are superior with respect to such outcomes as in creased group cohesion (Benne and Levit, 1953, Lappitt, 1940, Tizard, 1953), less dependence on the teacher (Asch, 1951, Lappitt, 1940), and improvement in group and adjustment skills (Asch, 1951, Lappitt, 1940). Democratic teach ing however, does not increase creativity or improve pupils self concepts (Spaulding, 1963)

In a group-centered program care must be taken neither to confound democratic discipline with a laissez faire approach nor to abdicate the school's primary responsibility for organizing the curriculum Students should not be given a great deal of responsibility for structuring courses or for evaluating learning outcomes unless their background in the field is adequate and unless they have prior experience in independent study and group-centered techniques. On the whole, students who prefer non directive approaches tend to be more secure and independent (Patton, 1955, Wispe, 1951), to be more flexible, to have more self insight, and to be better able to cope with ambiguity (McKeache, 1962) It is also unwise for teachers to adopt a nondirective teaching style either when they feel temperamentally uncomfortable with it or when pupils are generally insecure, compulsive, or of lower class origin

#### School Discipline

Since a certain minimal level of order and decorum is necessary for efficient school learning discipline is a real and prevalent problem in the class from It is a serious concern of most teachers and especially of those who are beginning their teaching careers (Eaton, Weathers and Phillips, 1957, Ladd 1958), it is not just a problem of the ineffective or maladjusted teacher Viewed in this context of relevance for classroom learning it is evident that discipline should be as impersonal and task-oriented as possible. That is objectionable pupil behavior should be proscribed, punished, and prevented primarily because it interferes with classroom learning and not because it is personally distateful or threatening Personal punitiveness on the part of teachers leads to exagerated pupil perceptions of the seriousness of mis behavior, less perceived teacher fairness (Kounin, Gump, and Ryan, 1961), more aggressive kinds of misconduct, more conflictful pupil attitudes about misbehavior, and less concern with learning and distinctive school values (Kounin and Gump, 1961)

In contrast to disciplinary practices in other countries, the typical Amer ican approach to school discipline is impressively incidental Classroom discuplure in the United States does not connote explicit subjection to authority and implicit habits of obedience that are enforced by a heavy handed set of controls and punishments, it does not imply an easily identifiable atmo sphere of classroom control which the teacher maintains with much delib part of the state of the same sense that he strives to have his pupils under stand and assimilate the subject matter he teaches. Our teachers, rather, tend to feel that the cause of discipline is adequately served if pupils exercise sufficient self control and observe a minimum set of rules with sufficient decorum to enable classroom work to proceed in an orderly, efficient man activities to chance clusterious work to protect in an orderly, content each ner. They do not in other words strive deliberately for discipline as an explicit goal in its own right. They assume instead that good discipline is ordinarily a natural by product of interesting lessons and of a wholesome teacher pupil relationship, that the vast majority of pupils respond positively to fair and kindly treatment and that respect for the teacher is a usual accompaniment of the latter's superior knowledge, experience, and status as a leader, and does not have to be reinforced by such artificial props and status symbols as differences in clothing mode of address, and fear of the strap

#### Science or Opinion

Discipline today is much less a science than a matter of opinion. It not only shifts in response to various social economic and ideological factors, but also manifest all the cyclical properties of fads and fashions. Objective scientific evidence about the relative ments of different types of discipline is extremely sparse. Indeed it is highly questionable to what extent valid empirical data are obtainable and even relevant in matters of discipline. Whether or not particular disciplinary practices are appropriate depends, in the first place, on the particular values, institutions and kinds of personal relationships prevailing in a given culture, and second, any definitive empirical test of appropriateness would have to be conducted over such au extended period of time that its conclusions would tend to be rendered obsolete by intervening changes in significant social conditions For all pracrationally defensible and self consistent position hased on value preferences, on relevant considerations of child development, and on individual experiBecause discipline cannot be placed on a largely scientific basis, how ever, does not mean that one position is as good as another or that no public policy whatsoever is warranted. Society is continually obliged to resolve issues of much greater moment with even less objective evidence on which to base a decision. Under the circumstances all we can reasonably expect is greater humility and less dogmatism on the part of those engaged in for mulating disciplinary policy. Thus the most disturbing aspect of the entire problem is not the fact that there is precious little scientific evidence to support the disciplinary doctrines expounded in our colleges of education and educational journals and textbooks, but rather the ubiquitous tendency to represent purely personal opinions and biases as if they were incontrovert ibly established findings of scientific research

# The Definition and Functions of Discipline

By discipline is meant the imposition of *external* standards and controls on individual conduct Permissiveness, on the other hand refers to the absence of such standards and controls To be permissive is to let alone,' to adopt a larsez faire policy Authoritananism is an excessive, arbitrary, and autocratic type of control which is diametrically opposite to permissive ness Between the extremes of larsez faire permissiveness and authoritari anism are many varieties and degrees of control. One of these, to be de scribed in greater detail below, is democratic discipline. When external controls are internalized we can speak of self discipline, it is clear, nonethe less, that the original source of the controls, as well as much of their later reinforcement are extrinse to the individual

Discipline is a universal cultural phenomenon which generally serves four important functions in the training of the young First, it is necessary for socialization—for learning the standards of conduct that are approved and tolerated in any culture Second, it is necessary for normal personality maturation—for acquiring such adult personality to tolerate frustration self reliance, self control, persistence, and ability to tolerate frustration These aspects of maturation do not occur spontaneously, but only in response to sustained social demands and expectations. Third, it is necessary for the internalization of moral standards and obligations or, in other words for the development of conscience. Standards obviously cannot be internal uzed unless they also exist in external form, and even after they are effectively internalized universal cultural experience suggests that external sanctions are still required to insure the stability of the social order. Lastly discipline is necessary for children s emotional security. Without the guidance provided by unambiguous external controls they tend to feel bewildered and apprehensive. Too great a burden is placed on their own limited capacity for self control. From the standpoint of school learning as pointed out above discipline is also necessary for the orderly regulation of classroom activities

#### Democratic Discipline

The proponents of democratic classroom discipline believe in imposing the minimal degree of external control necessary for socialization person ality maturation conscience development classroom learning and the emotional security of the child. Discipline and obedience are regarded only as means to these ends and not as ends in themselves. They are not struct lor deliberately but are expected to follow naturally in the wake of firendly or at ways of showing who is boss but only as the need arises—when they are not implicitly understood or accepted by pupils.

Democratic discipline is as rational nonarbitrary and bilateral as possuble It provides explanations permuts discussion and invites the participa iton of children in the setting and enforcement of standards whenever they are qualified to do so. Above all it implies respect for the dignity of the individual makes its primary appeal to self-controls and avoids exaggerated emphasis on status differences and barriers between free communication Hence it repudates harsh abusive and vindictive forms of punishment and the use of staream ridicule and inumdation

The aforementioned attributes of democratic classroom discipline are obviously appropriate in cultures such as ours where social relationships iend to be egalitarian This type of discupline also becomes increasingly more feasible as children become older more responsible more capable of self-control and group control and more capable of understanding and formulating rules of conduct based on concepts of equity and reciprocal obligation But contrary to what the extreme permissivists would have us believe democratic school discipline does not imply freedom from all external constraints standards and direction or freedom from discipline And under no circumstances does it presuppose the eradication of all distunctions between pupil and teacher roles or require that teachers abdicate responsibility for making the final decisions in the classroom

# Distortions of Democratic Discipline

Many educational theorists have misinterpreted and distorted the ideal of democratic discipling by equating it with an extreme form of permissive ness. These distortions have been dogmatically expressed in various psychologically unwound and unrealistic propositions that are considered sacrosance in many teachers colleges Fortunately however most classroom teachers

have only accepted them for examination purposes—while still in training —and have disearded them in actual practice as thoroughly unworkable According to one widely held doctrine, only "positive' forms of dis-cipline are constructive and democratic It is asserted that children must be guided only by reward and approval, that disapproval, reproof, and punishment are authoritarian repressive, and reactionary expressions of adult hostility which leave permanent emotional scars on children's per sonalities What diese theorists conveniently choose to ignore, however, is the fact that it is impossible for children to learn what is not approval and tolerated, simply by generalizing in reverse from the approval they receive for behavior diat is acceptable. Even adults are manifestly incapable of learn ing and respecting the limits of acceptable conduct unless the distinction between what is proscribed and approved is reinforced by punishment as well as by reward Furthermore, there is good reason to behave diat ac when as by reward Furthermore, there is good reason to believe diat ac knowledgment of wrong-doing and acceptance of punishment are part and parcel of learning moral accountability and developing a sound conscience Few if any children are quite so fragile diat they cannot take deserved re-proof and punishment in stride

proof and punsiment in stride A second widespread distortion of democratic discipline is reflected in die notion popular among educational theorisis that there are no culpably misbehaving children in the classroom, but only culpably aggressive, un sympathetic, and punitive teachers If children misbehave, according to this point of view, one can implicitly assume that they must have been provoled beyond endurance by repressive and authoritarian classroom discipline Similarly, if they are disrespectful, then the teacher, by definition, must not have been deserving of respect It is true, of course, duat much pupil mis-conduct is instigated by harsh and abusive school discipline, but there are also innovements one can for a financh behavior their one completely also innumerable reasons for out-of bounds behavior that are completely also innumerable reasons for out-of bounds behavior that are completely independent of the teacher's attitudes and disciplinary practices. The mis-behavior of pupils is also influenced by factors originating in the home, the neighborhood, the peer group, and the mass-media. Some children are emotionally disturbed, others are brain-damaged, and still others are aggres-sive by temperament, and there are times when even the best behaved chil-dren from the nicest homes develop an arressible impulse—without any provocation whatsoever—to test the hunts of a teacher's forbearance.

Both of the aforementioned distortions of classroom democracy are both of the atorementioned distortions of classroom democracy are often used to justify the commonly held behef among educational theorists that pupils should not be reproved or punished for disorderly or discourte ous conduct One can, for example, observe classrooms where everybody talks at once where pupils turn their backs on the teacher and engage in private conversation while the latter is endeavoring to instruct them, and where pupils verbally abuse teachers for exercising their rightful disciplinary prerogatives Some educators contend that all of this is compatible with wholesome, democratic teacher pupif relationships. Other educators deplore this type of pupil behavior but insit, nevertheless, that punishment is un warranted under these circumstances. In the first place, they assert, reproof or punishment constitutes a negative and hence axiomatically undesirable approach to classroom management, and, second, the misbehavior would assuredly have never occurred to begin with, if the teachers a stituteds habbeen less autocratic or antagonistic. The arguments of the second group of educators have already been answered, and to the first group it can be said that rudeness and unruliness are not normally desirable classroom behavior in any culture.

When such misconduct occurs, pupils have to be unambiguously in formed that it will not be tolerated and that any repetition of the same behavior will be punished. This action does not preclude in any way culter an earnest attempt to discover why the misbehavior occurred, or suitable preventive measures aimed at correcting the underlying causes. But, by the same token the mere fact that a pupil fias a vafid psychological reason for musbeliaving does not mean that lie is thereby absolved from moraf account ability or rendered no longer subject to punshment Still another related distortion of democratic discipline is reflected in

Sull another related distortion of democratic discipline is reflected in the proposition that it is repressive and authoritarian to request pupils to apologize for discourtcous behavior or offensive fanguage However if we take seriously the idea that the dignity of the fuman being is important, we must be willing to protect it from affront, and apology is the most estilized and effective means mankind has jet evolved for accomplishing this goal. In a democratic society nobody is that important that he is above apologizing to those persons whom fite wrongfully offends Everybodys dig inty is important—the teacher sa weff as the pupil's. It is no fees wrong for a pupil to abuse a teacher than for a teacher to abuse a pupil

If apologies are to have any reaf significance in moral training, however, it is obvious that, even though they are explicitly requested, they must be made voluntarily and must be reflective of genuine appreciation of wrong doing and of sincere regret and removies Purely formal and inechanical statements of apology made under coercion are fess than worthless Apol ogies are also without real ethical import unless their basis is reciprocal, that is, unless it is fully understood that under comparable circumstances the teacher would be willing to apologue to his pupils

#### What Needs To Be Done

In seeking to correct these undesirable permissive distortions of classroom democracy, it would be foofhardy to return to the equally undesirable opposite extreme of authoritarianism that flourished in this country up to a quarter of a century ago, and still prevails in many Western nations Democratic school discipline is still an appropriate and realistic goal for American education, hence there is no need to throw away the baby with the bath water. It is only necessary to discard the aforementioned permis sivist doctrines masquerading inder the banners of democracy and behav ioral science, and to restore certain other traditional American values that have been neglected in the euthusiasm of extending democracy to home and school

More specifically, we first have to clear up the semantic confusion. We should stop equating permissiveness with democratic discipline, and realistic adult control and guidance with authoritarianism. Permissiveness, by definition, is the absence of discipline, democratic or otherwise. We should cease instructing teachers that it is repressive and reactionary to reprove or punish pupils for misconduct, or to request them to apologize for offensive and dis courteous behavior.

Second, we should cease misinterpreting what hitle reputable evidence we hate about discipline, and refram from misrepresenting our personal biases on the subject as the indisputably established findings of scientific research. The available evidence merely suggests that in our type of cultural setting, authoritarian discipline has certain undestrable effects—not that the consequences of laissez faire permissiveness are desirable. As a matter of fact, research studies show that the effects of extreme permissiveness are just as unwholesome as are those of authoritarianism. In the school situation a laissez faire policy, as pointed out above, leads to confusion, insecurity, and competition for power among pupils. Assertive pupils tend to become ag gressive and ruthless, whereas returng pupils tend to withdraw further from classroom participation. The child who is handled too permissively at home tends to regard himself as a specially privileged person. He fails to learn the normative standards and expectations of society, to set realistic goals for limiself, and to make reasonable demands on others. In his dealings with adults and other children he is domineering, aggressive, petulant, and ca pircious

Third, we should stop making teachers feel guilty and personally re sponsible for all instances of misconduct and disrespect in the classroom We do this whenever we take for granted, without any actual supporting evidence, that these behavior problems would never have arisen in the first place if the teachers involved were truly deserving of respect and had been administering genuinely wholesome and democratic discipline

Finally, teachers colleges should terminate the prevailing conspiracy of silence they maintain about the existence of disciplinary problems in the public schools. Although discipline is the one aspect of teaching that the beginning teacher is most worried about he receives hitle or no practical instruction in handling this problem. Colleges of education, as pointed out above, rationalize their inadequacies in this regard by pretending that disciplinary problems are relatively rare occurrences involving the disturbed child, or more typically the disturbed teacher. Due respect for the facts of life, however, suggests that prospective teachers today not only need to be taught more realistic propositions about the nature and purposes of demo cratic discipline, but also require adequately supervised, down to-earth experience in coping with classroom discipline

# DISCOVERY LEARNING

# Chapter 14

# LEARNING BY DISCOVERY

LEARNING BY DISCOVERY has its proper place in the repertoire of accepted pedagogic techniques available to teachers. For certain desig nated purposes and for certain carefully specified learning situations, its rationale is clear and defensible. But learning by discovery also has its own elaborate mystique. Its legitimate uses and advantages have been unwarrantedly extrapolated to include educational goals, levels of intellectual maturity, levels of subject matter sophistication, and levels of cognitive functioning for which it is ill adapted-and for reasons which derive from sheer dogmatic assertion, from pseudonaturalistic conceptions about the nature and conditions of intellectual development, from outmoded ideas about the relationship between language and thought, from senumental faittasies about the nature of the child and the aims of education, and from uncritical interpretation of the research evidence. The chief aim of this chapter is to distinguish between the psychological rationale and the psychological mys tique of the so called discovery method of teaching-because there is a pressing need in these troubled times to dispense with sentimental fantasy and euphoric slogans and to get on with the realistic business of education This means helping schools do well the kinds of jobs that schools can really do best namely developing more efficient and appropriate ways of selecting organizing and presenting significant knowledge to students so that they can learn and retain it meaningfully-both as an end in itself and as a basis for future learning and problem solving

## Historical Antecedents

Before attempting to set forth the rationale and mystique of the dis covery method it might be helpful briefly to consider the more important of the numerous educational movements and currents of thought from which it has evolved. Some of its historical antecedents are relatively recent whereas others have flourished for centurines. Unfortunately also not all of these precursory trends are logically compatible with each other

The progressive education movement obviously furnished several major strands in the design of the discovery method. One aspect of this movement was a growing dissatisfaction with the empty formalism of much educational content in the latter part of the nineteenth century and the early part of the twentieth century with stuliifying drill and catechism like methods of teaching with the curriculum's lack of relatedness to the everyday experi ence of the child his physical world and social environment and with pupils rote verbalization and memorization of ideas for which they had no adequate referents in experience. Overstatement of the realities underly ing this dissatisfaction constituted the basis of the later mystique that all verbal learning is little more than glib verbalism and parrot like recitation Thus led in turn to the exaggerated empliasis that progressivists placed on direct immediate and concrete experience as a prerequisite for meaningful understanding on problem solving and inquiry and on incidental learning and learning in natural uncontrived situations From this type of emphasis grew activity programs and project methods and the credo of learning for and by problem solving as the principal objective and method respectively of the educational enterprise Two final by products of this point of view were deification of the act of discovery associated with the inductive and incidental learning methods of teaching and extrapolation to the secondary school and university student of the elementary school child's dependence on recent concrete-empirical props in the comprehension and manipulation of ideas As we shall see later both of these developments became extremely important components of the mystique of learning by discovery

Such modern proponents of the discovery method as G 'Hendrix ac knowledge their historical and heological kinship to the progressive education movement but are quick to discontate themselves for some of the basic assumptions made by the inductive and incidential learning approaches to instruction Hendrix (1961 p 296) quite rightly points out that the main fallacy of the inductive approach hes in the teachers use of the pupil's ability to verbalize a discovery as the criterion by which [ability] recognizes that discovery has taken place. That in referring to the incidential learning that purportedly occurs in the tourse of a pupil's involvement in a project or activity program Hendrix (1961 p 293) correctly berates the advocates of this method because all too often they took no responsibility for seeing that instances of the same generalization came along close enough together for the learner to become aware of entire concepts or principles

A second aspect of the progressive education movement relevant to the evolution of the discovery method was the child-centered approach to in struction that originated in the educational philosophies of Rousseau and Froebel The adherents of this approach emphasized the importance of structuring the curriculum in terms of the nature of the child and of his participation in the educative process, that is, in terms of his current interests, his endogenously derived needs, and his state of intellectual and emotional readiness According to this point of view, the educational environ ment facilitates development best by providing a maximally permissive field that does not interfere with the predetermined process of spontaneous maturation The child himself, it is asserted, is in the most strategic position to know and select those educational ingredients that correspond most closely to his prevailing developmental needs, and hence are most conducive autonomy and self-discovery, and regard as little short of sacrilege any form of guidance or direction in learning, and particularly the communication of insights or generalizations by teachers to pupils Herein lies, in part, the origin of the mystique that expository teaching is inherently authoritarian on developmental grounds, and that self discovered insights are uniquely and transcendentally endowed with meaning and understanding that can be achieved through no other means Hendrix (1961, p 296), for example, castigates didactic exposition of generalizations as "authoritarian" and as only 'satisfying to someone who is already aware of the ideas being presented This same mystque also underlies the quite different educational doctrine that it is authoritarian (undeinocratic) for a knowledgeable person to communicate his knowledge to other persons lacking his particular back-ground of thought and study, and that the latter individuals can learn more through 'democratic discussion "

These two strands of the progressive education movement—emphasis on the child is direct experience and spontaneous interests, and insistence on autonomously achieved insight free of all directive manipulation of the learning environment—set the stage for the subsequent defication of problem solving, laboratory work, and naive emulation of the scientific method Many mathematics and science leachers were rendered self conscious about systematically presenting and explaining to their students the basic concepts and principles of their fields, because it was held that this procedure would promote glib verbalism and rote memorization. It was felt that if students worked enough problems and were kept busy pouring reagents into a sufficient number of test tubes, they would somehow spontaneously discover in a meaningful way all of the important concepts and generalizations they needed to know in the fields they were studying

Of course, one had to take pains to discourage students from rotely memorizing formulas, and then mechanically substituting for the general terms in these formulas the particular values of specified variables in given problems. This would naturally be no less rote than formal didactic exposition. Hence, in accordance with the new emphasis on meaningful problem solving students ceased memorizing formulas, memorizing instead type problems They learned how to work exemplars of all of the kinds of problems they were responsible for and then rotely memorized both the form of each type and its solution. Thus equipped it was comparatively easy to sort the problems with which they were confronted into their respective categories and spontaneously proceed to discover meaningful solutions provided of course that the teacher played fair and presented recognizable exemplars of the various types<sup>1</sup>.

Similarly as the terms' laboratory and scientific method became sacrosanct in American high schools and universities students were coerced into minicking the externally conspicuous but inherently trivial aspects of scientific method. They wasted many valuable hours collecting empirical data which at the very worst belabored the obvious and at the very best helped them rediscover principles which could easily be presented verbally in a matter of minutes. Actually they learned precious hitle subject matter and even less scientific method from this procedure. The unsophisticated scientific mind is only bewildered by the natural complexitues of empirical data and learns much more from schematic models and diagrams Following laboratory manuals in cockbook fashion without adequate knowledge of the relevant methodological and substantive principles involved confers about as much genuine appreciation of scientific method as putting on a white lab coat and doing a TV commercial for a patent remedy

Partly as a result of die superstitious faith of educators in the magical efficacy of problem solving and laboratory methods we have produced in the past four decades millions of high school and college graduates who never had the foggiest notion of the meaning of a variable of a function of an exponent of calculus of molecular structure or of electricity but who have done all of the prescribed laboratory work and have successfully solved an acceptable percentage of the required problems in differential and Ohms law

One basic lesson that some modern proponents of the discovery method have drawn from diss educational disaster is that problem solving in itself does not guarantee meaningful discovery Problem solving can be just as deadening just as formalistic, just as mechanical just as passive and just as rote as the worst form of verbal exposition. The types of learning out comes duat emerge are largely a function of the structure the organization and the spirit of the problem solving experiences one provides. However an equally important lesson which these same proponents of the discovery mediod refuse to draw is that because of the educational logistics involved even the best program of problem solving experience is no substitute for a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In some instances, transferal-liky did not even extend to a change in alge traic notation E. L. Ti orndike (1922) found that some students who could square (x + y) could not square  $(B_1 + B_2)$ 

minimally necessary amount of appropriate didactic exposition. But this minimum will neer be made available as long as we adhere to the standard university formula of devoting one hour of exposition to every four hours of laboratory work and priper and penel problem solving

Historically, the discovery method may also be considered, in part a revolt against the prevailing educational psychology of our time, which is largely an eclecuc hodge podge of logically incompatible theoretical proposi tions superimposed upon a sterile empiricism. Perhaps the most significant example of this self defeating effecticism has been the stubborn attempt made by various psychologists to integrate Thorndikian connectionism and a widely extrapolated neo Behaviorism with the major tenets of progressive education But the glaring contradictions that resulted from the effort to reconcile such antithetical sets of principles as the Law of Effect, drive reduction, stimulus response and rote learning theory the transfer of identical elements, and trial and error learning, on the one hand, and progressivist viewpoints regarding the understanding of ideas, active inquiry, and autonomous discovery, on the other hand, tended to alienate some of the more independent minded educational psychologists in the progressive education camp. Some delected to psychoanalysis, spawning a weird synthesis of Deweyism and Freudianism, whereas others were attracted by the greater emphasis on cognition and insightful problem solving which characterized such field theorists and Gestale theoreticians as Tolman, Lewin, Kohler, Wertheimer, and Katona Also included among the defectors were many vigorous supporters of the discovery method, who viewed the extrapolation of rote learning theory to verbal classroom learning as sufficient proof of the essentially rote nature of verbal learning, and as ample justification for designing nonverbal discovery techniques of teaching

A final current of educational thought influencing the evolution of the discovery method is the militant sentimentality underlying the currently popular educational objective of making *every* child a crutcal and creative thinker This objective is, in part, a wish fulfilling extension of our present day preoccupation with actualizing the creative potentialities of gifted children. But it also harks back to certain conceptions within the mental measurement movement and to the official environmentalistic bias of pro gressive education.

## Psychological and Educational Rationale of the Discovery Method

An all or none position regarding use of the discovery method is war ranted by neither logic nor evidence The method itself is very useful for certain pedagogic purposes and in certain educational circumstances. The objectionable aspects of the method are certain unwarranted assumptions, overstated claims, inadequately tested propositions, and, above all, some of the reisons advanced for its efficacy

It is evident that the young human being must receive considerable instruction but also that he should be eternally vigitant in making additional observations. His hife is a complicated blending of instruction and discovery. Many facts will be evagaged almost unknowingly in inductive reasoning the process of bringing together a number of experiences and extracting from them some common factor. This issue becomes then not instruction versus discovery since both are essential, but a consideration of the relative importance to be accorded each in the educational process (failer) [1949 p. 457].

What are some of the legitimate claims, the defensible uses, and the palpable advantages of the discovery method? In the early, unsophusticated stages of learning any abstract subject matter, particularly prior to addless cence, the discovery method is extremely helpful It is also indispensible for tesung the meaningfulness of knowledge and for teaching scientific method and effective problem solving skills. As an adjunctive pedagogic technique it can be very useful for increasing the meaningfulness of material presented primarily by expository methods. Finally, various cognitive and motivational factors undoubtedly enlance the learning, retenion, and transferability of potentially meaningful ideas learned by discovery.

Occasional use of inductive discovery techniques for teaching subject matter content is didactically defensible when pupils are in the concrete operational stage of cognitive development. It is true of course, that only the availability of some concrete-empirical experience is necessary to gen erate the semi abstract or intuitive level of meaningfulness characteristic of this stage of cognitive development. Hence, either simple verbal exposition, using concrete-empirical props, or a semi-autonomous type of discovery, accelerated by the judicious use of prompts and lunts is adequate for teaching simple and relatively familiar new ideas. But when the learning task is more difficult and unfamiliar, autonomous discovery probably enhances intuitive meaningfulness by intensifying and personalizing both the con creteness of experience and the actual operations of abstracting and gen eralizing from empirical data In these circumstances also, the time-cost disadvantage of discovery learning is relatively less serious, since the timeconsuming concrete-empirical aspects of learning must take place anyway, and since a large volume of subject matter cannot be covered in any case during the elementary school period

In lever degree, this same rationale also applies to adolescents and adults who are relatively unsophisticated in the basic concepts and terminology of a given discipline. The older individual, however, has the benefit of greater general cognitive sophistication and Inguistic facility, as well as of past successful experience in meaningfully relating abstractions to each other without the aid of concrete empirical props Hence, he will move through the intuitive, subverbal plasse of insightful understanding much more rapidly than the comparably unsoplusticated child, and, unlike the latter, will soon dispense with this plase entirely

The discovery method also has obvious uses in evaluating learning out comes and in teaching problem solving techniques and appreciation of scientific method. There is no better way of developing effective skills in hypothesis making and testing, desirable attutudes toward learning and inquiry, toward guessing and hunches, toward the possibility of solving problems on one's own , [and] attitudes about the ultimate orderliness of nature and a conviction that order can be discovered (Bruner, 1960, p. 120). As a matter of fact, this is the major rationale for laboratory work. In addition, independent problem solving is one of the few feasible ways of testing whether students revily comprehend the ideas they are able to verbalize

Finally, in spite of the inconclusive empirical evidence, when all is said and done and one has properly discounted the exaggerated claims made for the unique virtues of learning by discovery, as well as the fanciful reasons offered for these virtues, it still seems plausible to suppose that the greater effort, motivation, excitement, and vividness associated with independent discovery lead to somewhat greater learning and retention. One might expect the advantages conferred by discovery techniques to be even greater with respect to transferability, since the experience gained from formulating a generalization from diverse instances obviously facilitates the solution of problems involving this generalization

The crucial points at issue, however, are not whether learning by discovery enhances learning, retention, and transferability, but whether (a) it does so sufficiently, for learners who are capable of learning concepts and principles meaningfully without it, to warrant the vasily increased ex penditure of time it requires, and (b) in view of this time cost consideration, the discovery method is a feasible technique for transmitting the substantive content of an intellectual or scientific discipline to cognitively mature students who have already mastered its rudiments and basic vocabulary. It is largely to an exploration of these issues that the remainder of this chapter is devoted

## Psychological and Educational Lumitations of Learning by Discovery

For purposes of analysis, the psychologically and educationally unten able arguments advanced in support of learning by discovery can be con veniently considered under the following twelve headings. All real knowl edge is self-discovered. Meaning is an exclusive product of creative nonverbal discovery. Subverbal awareness is the key to transfer. The discovery method is the principal method for transmitting subject matter content. Problem solving ability is the primary goal of education. Training in the heuristics of discovery is more important than training in subject matter. Every child should be a creative and critical thinker. Expository teaching is authoritarian. Discovery organizes learning effectively for later use. Discovery is a prime source of intrinsic motivation and self-confidence. Discovery is a prime source of intrinsic motivation and Discovery ensures conservation of memory.

# All Real Knowledge Is Self Discovered

The most general and metaphysical of the twelve propositions is the familiar assertion that to really possess knowledge or acquire an idea, the learner must discover it by himself or through his own ninsight. This proposition stems in part from the defication of the act of creative discovery in the problem solving activity program approach to teaching and from John Dewey's extreme preference for problem solving ability rather than ability to acquire knowledge as the proper criterion of intelligence. It is also partly derived from the child-centered and clent-centered doctrines that the in dividual himself is best equipped to regulate the process of learning about lumself and his universe and therefore that any tampening with this autonomy is by definition detimental to learning outcomes

More recently a sentimental type of Rousseaucen mysticism and primi usism has become fashionable and has been superimposed upon the afore mentioned ideological substrate. It is best exemplified by J Bruners state ment that

If man a intellectual excellence is the most his own among his perfections, if is also the case that the most uniquely personal of all that he knows is that which lie I as discovered himself. [Discovery creates] a special and unique relation between the knowledge poisseed and it exposessor. The transition to adult most mosters an introduction to new realms of experience the discovery and exploration of new mysteries, it the gamming of new powers. This is the heady stuff of education and it is its own reward (Broner 1961 app 22, 76).

In accordance with this conception of the true nature of benuine knowl edge Bruner formulates the objectives of education as follows

School should provide not simply a continuity with the broader community or with everyday experience. It is the special community where one experiences discoveryly it is use of unellingence where one leaps into new and unimagined realman of experience experience that is discontinuous with what were thefore. Education must also seek to develop the processes of intelligence so that the individual is capable of going beyond the cultural ways of his social world able to innovate in however modesi a way so that he can create an interior culture of his own. For whatever the art, the science, the literature the history and the geography of a culture each main must be his own artist his own scientist, his own historian his own maxigator (Bruner 1961b pp 76 59).

It is perfectly true of course that one cannot simply soak up one s culture like a piece of blotting paper and expect it to be meaningful. But who advocates doing anything of the kind? The very processes of perception and cognition necessarily require that the cultural stimulus world must first be filtered through each individual s personal sensory apparatus and cognitive structure before it can have any meaning. Meaning can never be any timing more than a *perconal* plenomenological product that emerges when potentially meaningful ideas are integrated within an individually unique cognitive structure Invariably therefore the adinevement of meaning requires translation into a personal frame of reference and reconclination with established concepts and propositions. All of this goes on in any program of meaningful expository teaching and is obviously a far cry from the straw man picture of passive absorption which Bruner draws to disparage this method and thereby enhance the relative attractiveness of learning by discovery Most of what anyone *really* knows consists of insights discovered by others that have been communicated to lum in meaningful fashion

Quite apart from its lack of face validity the proposition that every man must discover for himself every bit of knowledge that he really wishes to posses is in essence a repudiation of the very concept of culture For perhaps the most unsque attribute of human culture which distinguishes it from every other kind of social organization in the animal kingdom is pre cisely the fact that the accumulated discoveries of millennia can be trans mutted to each succeeding generation in the course of childhood and youth and need not be discovered anew by each generation. This miracle of culture is made possible only because it is so much less time consuming to communi cate and explain an ider meaningfully to others than to have them rediscover it by themselves

The infant is born into a logically ordered world abounding in problem solu tions accumulated during the long span of markind's sopiourn on earth and this distilled wisdom called culture constitutes his chief heritage Were it wiped away he would become in all respects a wild animal even less well equipped to cope with nature than are the insunct aded beats of the jungle An individual is tagacious in direct proportion to the facility with which he can acquire and use existing knowledge for even the most binliantly endowed person can make but few valuable original discorreis (Statel 1949 p 455)

Within each generation therefore we can only expect a given individual to internalize meaningfully a reasonable fragment of the total fabric of the culture that is expounded to him by the various educational agencies If we are at all concerned with the breadth of his knowledge we cannot possibly expect him to discover everything he is expected to know The obligation of going beyond one's cultural heritage and contributing some thing new is an obligation that applies to an entire generation not to each of its individual members Hence as we shall see later the school cannot realistically set for itself the goal of having *each* child leap into new and unimagined realms of experience and emerge with ideas that are dis continuous with what went before. The school can only hope to help one child in a million

# Meaning Is an Exclusive Product of Creative, Nonverbal Discovery

A related proposition that relies somewhat less on flat epistemological assertion and is more naturalistically grounded holds that abstract concepts and propositions are forms of empty verbalism unless the learner discovers them directly out of his own concrete empirical nonverbal experience Another slightly different way of expressing the same idea is to say that

them directly out or his own concrete empirical nonverbal experience Monther slightly different way of expressing the same idea is to say that Generalizations are products of problem solving and are attainable in no other way (Brownell and Hendrickson 1950 p 119) The assertion that abstract concepts and generalizations are forms of

The assertion that abstract concepts and generalizations are forms of gib verbalism unless the learner discovers them humself rests we have seen on (a) a misrepresentation of verbal learning as a passive rote phenomenon (b) confusion between the reception discovery and the rote meaningful dt mensions of learning and (c) unwarranted generalization to adolescents and adults of children's dependence on concrete-empirical props in comprehending and manipulating abstract ideas. Meaningful knowledge is not an exclusive product of creative nonverbal discovery. For potentially meaning ful presented material to become meaningful knowledge the learner need bitrarily within his cognitive structure.

Discovery enflustasts tend to confuse the act of discovery with the act of understanding H Taba (1962) for example states that the act of discovery occurs at the point in the learner s efforts at which he gets hold of the organizati, principles embedded in any concrete instance can see the relationship of the facts before him understands the why of the phenomena and can relate what he sees to his prior knowledge. Actually this is a definition of all meaningful learning irrespective of whether it is reception or discovery learning Discovery enthusiasts also tend to deny the transition foor concrete to abstract cognitive functioning and insist that mature learners cannot inderstand an abstract versal proposition without first relating it to concrete empirical experience and translating it into subverbal terms Thus G Hendrix (1950, p 337) asserts that "a cognitively sophisticated student, who is sufficiently skillful in interpreting sentence structure as well as referential symbols, can read a sentence which expresses a generalization and then construct or find enough examples of his own to make the general ization an organic part of himself—that is, to acquire the subverbal thing prerequisite to meaning of the sentence"

# Subverbal Awareness Is the Key to Transfer

We have seen, up to this point, that the reasoning underlying the mystique of discovery as a prerequisite for meaning, has rested either upon bald metaphysical assertion, or upon unwarranted pseudonaturalistic assumptions regarding the nature of understanding and knowledge. Hendrax tried to fill this theoretical void by constructing a more systematic and so phisticated pedagogic rationale for die discovery method than had been attempted heretofore. She did this by adapting to the problem of transfer the time honored labeling theory of the function of language in thought Hendrax denies that verbal

generalizing is the pnmary generator of transfer power As far as transfer power [14] concerned the whole thing [15] there as soon as the non-verbal aware ness [dawns] The separation of discovery phenomena from the process of composing sentences which express those discoveries is the sig new breakthrough in Pedagogical theory (Hendrix, 1961, pp 292, 290)

The key to transfer, ' Hendrix (1947, p 200) states, is a "subverbal in ternal process—something which must happen to the organism before it has any new knowledge to verbalize Verbalization, she asserts further, is not only unnecessary for the generation and transfer of ideas and understanding, but is also positively harmful when used for these purposes Language only enters the picture because of the need to attach a symbol or label to the emerging subverbal insight so that it can be recorded, verified, classified, and com municated to others, but the entire substance of the idea inheres in the subverbal insight itself. The resulting problem then, according to Hendrix (1961, p 292), becomes one of how to plan and execute teaching so that language can be used for these necessary secondary functions "without dam age to the dynamic quality of the learning itself

The principal fallacy in Hendrix' line of argument, as we have seen above, hes in her failure to distinguish between the labeling and process functions of language in thought. Furthermore, it should be self evident that discovered generalizations are available for transfer only if and not until after they are discovered.

The unqualified generalization that verbalization of an insight prior to use inhibits transfer, lacks both logical cogency and empirical support Nonverbal understanding of principles undoubtedly exists, especially in children and unsophisticated adults, as a precursor to some verbal understandings (Hull, 1920, Luchins and Luchins, 1947) This, of course, does not mean that nonverbal concept meanings and propositions are actually used in the generation of new insights such a feat would be very difficult, as already explained, because ideas that are not represented by words lack sufficient manipulability to be used in any complex type of thought process It merely suggests that a preliminary intuitive (subverbal) stage exists in the product of thought when the emerging new insight is not clearly and precisely defined. However, when this product is eventually refined through verbalization it acquires thereby much greater transfer power. The verbal reason of the thought process itself, and is not to be confused with the still later representational process of noming verbalized meanings as a result of which the latter meaning become more manipulable for subject. Thus several experiments on children's ability to solve transposition.

Thus several experiments on children's ability to solve transposition and discrimination problems (Spiker and Terrell, 1955, Weir and Siternson, 1959) show that verbal insights are more transferable than subscrbal in sights Knowledge of underlying verbal principles also enhances problem solving (Ewett and Lamber, 1932) and the learning of relevant motor skills (Ervin 1960c) and when distinctive verbal responses are available, they tend to facilitate concept acquisition and conceptual transfer Verbal gen eralization is particularly important for concept attainment in cognitively sophisticated learners (Heidbreder and Zimmerman, 1955). In a wellcontrolled recent experiment R. Vi Gagné and E. C. Smith (1962) demonstrated the facilitating effect of verbalization on the discovery of general principles and their use in problem solving Finally, merely informing tends to increase transfer significantly (Dorsey and Hopkins, 1930). As the child enters school he encounters concepts of much greater

As the trind enters school he encounters concepts of much greater abstractness and complexity, for instance, concepts of addition, multiplica iton, government, society, force, velocity, digestion, that transcend his im meaningful grasp of such abstractions directly, that is, through direct abstract verbal exposition, he must first acquire a minimal level of sophisti cution in the particular subject matter area, as well as graduate into the next higher level of intellectual development, that is, throsge difficult of operations in the meantime he often finds difficult to verbalize precisely,<sup>3</sup> and even though convincing empirical evidence is still lacking.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It is important to differentiate between the intuitive subverbal insights of the adolescent and those of the elementary school duild. Because the latter uses con-

it is reasonable to suppose that preliminary acquisition and utilization of this semi abstract level of insight both facilitates learning and transferability, and promotes the eventual emergence of *full* abstract understanding (Hendrix, of course, would say that *full* understanding was already attained in the semiabstract phase, providing that the understanding was discovered rather than presented. She would also insist that the older individual s verbalization of his understanding detracts from its transferability)

Now, assuming for the moment that Hendrax (1917) experimental find ings are valid, how can we explain the fact that immediate verbalization of newly acquired subserbal insight apparently renders that insight less transferable than when verbalization is not attempted? First, it seems likely that premature verbalization of nonverbal insight, before such insight is ade quately clear, stable, complete, and consolidated further by extensive use, may interfere with its more adequate emergence and consolidation at this level, as well as encourage rote memorization of the marginal and ineptly stated verbal proposition. Even more important, however, is the likelihood that a verbally expressed, and only marginally competent—possesse less functional utility and transferability than the ordinarily more primitive and less transferable subverbal insight that is more adequate in these latter re spects. This is particularly true in the case of children, because of their limited linguistic facility and their relative incompetence in formal propositional lorge.

Drawing these various strands of argument together, what can we legitimately conclude at this point? First, verbalization does more than just encode subverbal insight into words. The use of manipulable words to represent ideas makes possible, in the first place, the very process of transforming these ideas into new insights, and the verbalization of emerging subverbal insights into sentences is an integral part of the thought process that greatly enhances the precision and explications of its products. It therefore makes possible a qualitatively higher level of understanding with greatly enhanced transfer power Second, direct acquisition of ideas from verbally presented abstract propositions, presupposes both that the learner has at

crete empirical props in relating potentially meaningful concepts and propositions to bis cognitive structure, the initially resulting subserbal meanings are inherently initiative (particularistic, semi abstract and semi precise) on developmental grounds Thus even if they are verbalized later they can never transcend this initiative level The adolescent, on the other hand, relates potentially meaningful concepts and propositions to his cognitive structure without the use of concretic-empirical props hence the subverbal meanings that initially emerge are not initiative on developmental grounds and can be refined—in precision, clarity, explicitness and generality —through the later process of verbalization tained the stage of formal logical operations, and that he possesses minimal sophistication in the particular subject matter in question. The typical elementary school child, threffore, tends to be himited to an intuitre, semi abstract awareness of difficult abstractions. The older, cognitively mature individual, however, who is also unsophisticated in a particular subject matter area, is able to dispense with the semi abstract phase of awareness rather quickly—as soon as he attains the necessary degree of sophistication, and once he attains it, he probably short circuits the semi abstract phase completely. Lastly, premature verbalization of a nonverbal insight, when this latter insight is still incomplete, unclear, and inadequately consolidated, probably decreases its transferability. This phenomenon can be explained by means of the general developmental principle, that an ordinarily higher and more efficient stage of development dual than ordinarily more primitive and less efficient phase of development Running, for example, is eventually more efficient than creeping but if a 1 year-old infant had to run for his hife, he would make better progress creeping.

G Hendrix, however, comes out with somewhat different and more sweeping conclusions from the same set of data First, she regards nonverbal awareness as containing within itself the entire essence of an emerging idea, and insist that language merely adds a convenient symbolic handle to thus idea Second, she generalizes children a dependence on a preliminary semi abstract stage of understanding to all age levels, to all degrees of subject matter sophistication, and to all levels of ideational difficulty Actually, thus semi abstract stage is highly abbreviated, both for young children learning less difficult kinds of abstractions and for older, cognitively mature individ uals working in a particular subject matter area in which they happen to be insophisticated, and it is bypassed completely when this latter sophisticat ton is attained Finally, she interprets her experimental findings regarding the inhibitory effects of immediate verbalization on the transferability of substrate of an idea and the essential basis of its transfer power are present in their entirely show that a relatively clear and consolidated subverbal insight is more functional advarentes emerges. More probably verbal insight is more functional and transferable than an ambrguous, inept, unconsolidated, and marginally competent verbally expressed idea

Unlike Hendra, therefore, we may conclude that secondary school and college students, who already possess a sound, meaningful grasp of the rudiments of a discipline like mathematics, can be taught this subject meaningfully and with maximal efficiency, through the method of verbal exposition, supplemented by appropriate problem solving experience, and that the use of the discovery method in these circumstances is inordinately time-consuming, wasteful, and rarely warranted. Why, then, do discovery techniques seem to work so well in programs such as the one devised by the Umversity of Illinois Committee on School Mathematics? For one thing, the students entering the program, being victims of conventional arithmetic teaching in the elementary schools, do not have a sound, meaningful grasp of the rudiments of mathematics, and have to be re-educated, so to speak, from scratch For another, we have a very strong impression that as the program develops, the discovery element becomes progressively attenuated, until eventually it is accorded only token recognition Lastly, stripped of its quite limited discovery aspects, the UICSM approach is a much more systematic, highly organized, self consistent, carefully programmed, ab stractly verbal system of verbal exposition than anything we have known to date in secondary school mathematics. If it proves anything, the success of this program is a testimonial to the feasibility and value of a good program of didactic verbal exposition in secondary school mathematics, which pro gram is taight by able and enthusiastic instructors, and in its early stages, makes judicious use of inductive and discovery techniques

# The Discovery Method Is the Principal Method for Transmitting Subject Matter Content

Educators who are convinced that abstractions are mere glib verbalisms unless independently discovered by the learner, have no other logical alter native than to advocate the use of discovery techniques—in high school and university as well as in the elementary school—as a principal method of transmitting the substantive content of subject matter J A Easley (1958, 1959), for example, argues strenuously for reorganizing, in whole or in part, the curriculum of science, mathematics, and other secondary school and college level subjects along the lines of inductive discovery. He also insists that nonverbal understanding and application of principles should be required of and demonstrated by students before they are permitted to use them in verbal form

From a practical standpoint, however, it is impossible to consider the pedagogic feasibility of learning by discovery as a primary means of teaching subject matter content without taking into account the inordinate time cost involved This disadvantage is not only applicable to the type of discovery where the learner is thrown entirely on his own resources, but also applies in lesser degree to the continved' or 'arranged type of discovery Con siderations of time cost are particularly pertunent in view of our aforemen toned developmental conclusion that the discovery approach offers no strik ing learning advantages expect in the very limited case of the more difficult learning task, when the learner is either in the concrete stage of cognitive development, or, if generally in the abstract stage, happens to lack minimal ophistication in a particular subject matter field Also, once students reach secondary school and university the time cost disadvantage can no longer be defended on the dual grounds that the time consuming aspects of dis covery learning (the need for concrete empirical props) must take place any way and that in any case elementary school pupils cannot be expected to cover a great deal of subject matter Subject mutture tuber that initiation the expected to also more time consuming and confer a qualitatively inferior type of under standing than does the verbal expository approach which can be successfully employed once students reach the abstract stage of cognitive development

standing than does the verbal expository approach which can be succession, employed once students reach the abstract stage of cognitive development. Thus whereas the relatively frequent use of discovery techniques in the transmission of complex and abstract subject matter content can be defended in the elementary school on the grounds that the acquisition of abstract understanding it is difficult to rationalize the same practice in high school and beyond it is true as already pointed out that the utilization of subverbal imsight by older individuals might be temporarily helpful in the early unsophisticated stages of learning a difficult new discipline. Never theless since discovery methods are uncomparably more time consuming that didactic verbal exposition and since the cognitively mature individual does not linger very long in the unsophisticated state that is benefited by prior acquisition of such insights the use of these methods as a primary means of transmitting subject matter content is as unfeasible as it is unnecessary If secondary school and university students were obliged to discover for themselves every concept and principle in the syllabus they would never get much beyond the rudments of any discipline. However as its similarly the case in the elementary school teachers who do not regard completely autions mous discovery as starosance could greatly mitigate the time communing disadvantage of discovery methods by the judicious use of prompts or hints

Some discovery enthusiants (Bruner 1960 Suchman 1961) grudgingly admit that there is not sufficient time for pupils to discover everything they need to know in the various disciplines and hence concede that there is also room for good expository teaching in the schools. In practice however this concession counts for hitle because in the very next breath they claim that the acquisition of actual knowledge is less important than the acquisition of ability to discover knowledge autonomously and propose that predagogy and the cirriculum be reorganized accordingly. Hence in spite of the formal bow they make to didatic exposition it is clear that the acquisition of subject matter. There is after all only so much time in a school day. If the school takes as its principal function the development of discovery and inquiry skills how much time would possibly remain for the teacing, of subject Discovery methods of teaching are often based on the naive premise that autonomous problem solving necessarily proceeds on the basis of in ductive reasoning from empirical data Actually, even young children usually start with some preconceptions or spontaneous models derived from their own experience or from the prevailing follorer. Hence when they are sup-posedly discovering principles inductively, they are really attempting to use empirical experience to confirm their existing preconceptions. It is un promising to base a teaching program on the expectation that children can invent modern scientific concepts, because their spontaneously invented concepts present too much of a block. A more realistic approach 'is for the tradeut to introduce, modern scientific conception for the life. for the teacher to introduce modern scientific concepts (and) follow the introduction with opportunities for the children to discover that new observations can also be interpreted by use of the concept (Atkin and Karplus, 1962)

Karplus, 1962) Still another disadvantage in using a discovery approach for the presentation of subject matter content inheres in the difficulties caused by children is subjectivism and by their exaggerated tendency to jump to con-clusions, to overgeneralize on the basis of limited experience, and to consider only one aspect of a problem at a time (Inhelder and Praget, 1958 Karplus, 1962a and b, Praget, 1932) It is true that one objective of the elementary science curriculum (to enhance appreciation of scientific method) implies an effort to educate them out of these tendencies But it is one thing to do so as part of a limited laboratory program, and quite anolier to struggle full time with this handicap as children are required to self-discover everything they have the learner. have to learn

have to learn It is also completely unrealistic to expect that subject rather content ean be acquired incidentally as a by product of problem solving or discovery experience, as, it is hypothesized, occurs in the typical activity program or project method Such incidental teaching pays too little attention to graded and systematically organized content, to substantive and programmatic aspects of presentation, and to practice and feedback variables Finally, one might reasonably ask how many students have the ability to discover everything diey need to know Although the ability to under stand original ideas worth remembering is widely distributed, the ability to generate comparably organial ideas autonomously is manifested by rela tively few persons, that is by gifted individuals In conclusion, after the elementary school years, verbal reception learn ing constitutes the most effective method of meaningfully assimilating the substantive content of a discipline Problem solving and sub-erbal methods are developmentally and pedagogically unnecessary and are too time consuming to accomplish this objective efficiently However, the method of verbal reception learning will be restored to its rightful place in classroom

instruction only when it is related to relevant but still to-be conducted research on the nature and conditions of long term meaningful learning of large bothes of verbally presented material

#### Problem-Solving Ability Is the Primary Goal of Education

A fifth proposition underlying the learning by discovery thesis is the behef that the development of problem solving ability is the primary goal of education Implicit in this proposition is the assumption that the objectives involved in developing problem solving ability on the one hand and in acquiring a body of knowledge on the other are more or less coextensive, and therefore that the learner somehow manages to acquire all of the important subject matter content he needs to know in the course of learning how to discover knowledge autonomously. Actually however although these two sets of objectives are related and in a sense mutually supportive they are far from being identical. Hence it cannot be assumed that methods promoting one objective necessarily promote the other and that the process and goal of education are one and the same thing as Bruner (1961a) claims they are

In the first place quite apart from its frequent usefulness in problem solving the acquisition of knowledge as an end in useff must be considered the major gool of education Dexpite the fact that a large proportion of what human beings learn in the course of a lifetume has no immediate utility and is not applicible to any pressing problem of adjustment people are never theless strongly motivated to learns of that they can better understand them selves the universe rund the human condition. Much of this kind of knowl edge however would have to be dismissed as worthless if utility for problem solving purposes was invariably considered the criterion for desig nating worthwhileness for learning. Hence if we are concerned with the acquisition of knowledge as an end in itself we cannot leave its implementia tion to problem solving and discovery techniques. The use of these tech inques as alreidy pointed out furthers the problem solving objective of culturions is not very efficient for transmitting subject matter content creamstances is not very efficient for transmitting subject matter content

Second the actual objective of typical problem solving activity in most individuals is the solution of various everyday problems in hving rather than the discovery of ide is or insights sufficiently important to be included in their permanent store of knowled<sub>8</sub>e<sup>2</sup> For as stated previously although

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The inductive derivation of concepts and generalizations from diverse in stances is an exception to this statement but is only a compression feature of concept attainment during childhood (before a really large quantity of subject matter incorporated).
the ability to understand original ideas worth remembering is widely dis tributed, the ability to generate comparably original ideas autonomously is manifested by only relatively few persons, that is, by gifted individuals. It is true, of course, that 'arringed' or 'contrived' rediscovery would require considerably less giftedness, but even the use of this expedient on the part of the relatively more able (if not gifted) segment of the population would be so time consuming as to render learning by discovery an impractical method of learning everything they need to know

In the realm of educational theor, if not in actual practice, the impact of Deweys exaggerited emphasis on problem solving still continues to disturb the natural balance between the transmission of the culture and the problem solving objectives of education Enthusiastic proponents of the discovery method still assert that more basic than the attainment of con cepts is the ability to inquire and discover them autonomously (Suchman, 1961)

These somewhat extreme value judgments regarding the principal function of the school inspire, in turn, correspondingly one sided proposals with respect to curriculum and pedagogy J R Suchman, for example, contends that the schools must have a new pedagogy with a new set of goals which sub-ordinates retention to thinking Instead of devoting their efforts to storing information and recalling it on demand, they would be developing the cognitive functions needed to seek out and organize information in a way that would be most productive of new concepts' (Suchman, 1951)

The development of problem solving ability is, of course, a legitimate and significant educational objective in its own right Hence it is highly defensible to utilize a certain proportion of classroom time in developing appreciation of and facility in the use of scientific methods of inquiry and of other empirical, inductive, and deductive problem solving procedures But this is a far cry from advocating that the enhancement of problem solving ability is the major function of the school. In addition, to acquire facility in problem solving and scientific method, it is not necessary for learners to rediscover every principle in the syllabus Since problem solving ability is itself transferable, at least within a given subject matter field facility gained in independently formulating and applying one general ization is transferable to other problem solving ability would ultimately defeat its own ends It would leave students with msufficient time in which to learn the content of a discipline, and hence, despite their adeptines at problem solving they would be unable to solve simple problems involving the application of such content. Thus although actual practice in the process of formulating and testing hypotheses and in applying general principles to particular problem subutives, is necessary for enhancing problem solving ability much teaching for problem solving necessarily involves the efficient transmission of fundamental widely generalizable principles that are clearly understood and can be stably retained

Traching for crucial hinking and teaching for problem solving are really somewhat grandiose slogans although obviously much more real situe than teaching for crucial hinking. To be sure the crutical thinking and problem solving abilities of most pupils can be improved. But this is not the same thing as asying that most pupils can be trained to become good crucial thinkers and problem solvers Potentalities for developing high levels of these abilities are admittedly much less rare than corresponding potentialities for developing creativity. Accettibless there are no good rea sons for believing that they are any commoner than potentialities for developing high general incliquence Variability in genic endowment is probably responsible for more of the measured variance in critical thinking or problem solving ability than is variability in educational experience

Aptitude in problem solving also involves a much different pattern of abilities than those required for understanding and retaining abstract ideas The ability to solve problems calls for qualities (flexibility resourcefulness improvising skill originality problem sensitivity venturesomeness) that are less generously distributed in the population of learners than the ability to comprehend verbally presented maternals. Many of these qualities also can not be taught effectively. Although appropriate pedagogic procedures can improve problem solving ability the number of persons who can be trained to be good problem solving ability the number of persons who can be trained fields. Thus to ignore the latter individuals and concentrate solely on producing talented problem solvers would be educationally indefensible.

Hence a valid distinction can be drawn between doing' and under standing. Understanding is a facessary but not a sufficient condition for meaningful problem solving (die kind that involves genuine appreciation of underlying principles—not trial and error procedures or simply pragmatic rules of practice). Thus pupils can genuinely understand a proposition with out being able to apply it successfully in particular problem situations be cause such application requires additional knowledge skill ablify experience or personality traits that are not inflerent in the understanding by itself. Conversely, doing if it is rote or mechanical in nature does not necessarily either presuppose or enhance understanding.

Many current writes (Bunner 1961b J X. Easley 1958 Hibbs 1961 Suchman 1961) in the field of science education express the view that the principal objective of science instruction is the acquisition of general in quiry skills of appropriate attitudes about science and of training in the heuristics of discovery implicator explicit in this view is the belief either that the particular choice of subject matter choice to implement these goals is a matter of indifference (as long is it is suitable for the operations of inquiry), or that somehow in the course of performing a series of unrelated experiments in depth, the learner acquires all of the really important subject matter he needs to how Thus, A R Hibbs (1961) states. It does not matter whether the student learns any particular set of facts, but it does matter whether he learns how much fun it is to learn—to observe and ex periment, to question and analyze the world without any ready made set of answers and without any premium on the accuracy of his factual results, at least in the field of science

In our opinion, any science curriculum worthy of the name inust be concerned with the systematic presentation of in organized body of knowl edge as an explicit end in itself Even if it is relatively superficial and orga mized on an intuitive basis, as it must be in the elementary school, the science curriculum should make a start in this direction and give the stu dent a feeling for science as a selectively and sequentially organized structure. This is no less important than imparting the view that science is a method of inquiry.

Another significant difficulty with this approach is that its proponents tend to confuse the goals of the scientist with the goals of the science stu dent They assert that these objectives are identical, and hence that students can learn science most effectively by enacting the role of junior scientist But are the goals of the research scientist and of the science student really identical? The scientist is engaged in a full time search for new general or applied principles in his field. The student, on the other hand, is primarily engaged in an effort to learn the same basic subject matter in this field which the scientist learned in his student days, and also to learn something of the method and spirit of scientific inquiry Thus while it makes perfectly good sense for the scientist to work full time formulating and testing new hypoth eses, it is quite indefensible, in our opinion, for the student to be doing the same thing-either for real, or in the sense of rediscovery Most of the stu dent's time should be taken up with appropriate expository learning, and the remainder devoted to sampling the flavor and techniques of scientific method It is the scientist's business to formulate unifying explanatory prin ciples in science It is the student's business to learn these principles as meaningfully and critically as possible, and then, after this background is adequate, to try to improve on them if he can If he is ever to discover, he must first learn, and he cannot learn adequately by pretending he is a junior scientist By so pretending he would fail to acquire the minimal degree of subject matter sophistication in a given discipline that is necessary for abstract intellectual functioning in that discipline, much less make original tesearch contributions to science

It is true that some amount of training in the self direction of learning is necessary as preparation for the years when students will no longer be in

~ \*\*\*

school But knowing how to find the best maternal available on a given topic is not the same as discovery learning or even the same as finding and inte grating all ol the primary sources by oneself. For most individuals, at any stage ol life, secondary sources typically present interpret, and integrate knowledge

# Training in the "Heuristics of Discovery" Is More Important than Training in Subject Matter

Some advocates of the discovery method favor a type of guided practice in the heuristics of discovery dust is remainiscent of the faculty psy chology's approach to improving overall critical lunking ability through instruction in the general principles of logic. Once the heuristics of discovery are mastered, they constitute according to J S Bruner (1961a), 'a style of problem solving or inquiry that serves for any kind of task one may en counter. Similarly, J R, Suchman's Inquiry Training Program 'is not proposed as a new way to teach scence but as a way of teaching basic cognitue skills. [that belongs] in the science program and in every other curric ulum area that requires in teasoning and the formulation and testing of hypotheses. (Suchman 1961)

The principal difficulty wide this approach as the faculty psychologists discovered is that critical thinking ability can be enhanced only within the context of a specific discipline Grand strategies of discovery, like scientific method do not seem to be transferable across disciplinary lines-either when acquired within a given discipline or when learned in a more general form apart from specific subject matter content This principle has been confirmed by countless studies and is illustrated by the laughable errors of logic and judgment committed by distinguished scientists and scholars who wander outside their own disciplines The only kinds of transfer that have been empirically demonstrated in problem solving situations are the transfer ol specific skills, the transfer ol general principles, and the transfer of general approach or orientation to a specified class of problems. Hence crit ical thinking caunot be taught as a generalized ability, in practice, it can be enhanced only by adopting a precise, logical, analytic, and critical approach to the teaching of a particular discipline, an approach that losters appreciation of scientific method in that discipline Also, from a purely theoretical standpoint alone, it hardly seems plausible that a strategy of inquiry, which must necessarily be broad enough to be applicable to a wide range of disciplines and problems, can ever have, at the same time, sufficient particular relevance to be helpful in the volution of the specific problem at hand And from the standpoint of elementary school children, one wonders

The doctrine of formal discipline is still very much alive

whether principles of inquiry pitched at this level of abstraction could be meaningful enough to be used successfully in problem solving

The rapid rate of obsolescence in science is often offered as a rationale for the heuristics of discovery approach to science teaching. Since the content of what is taught today will be obsolescent in fifteen years, the argu ment runs, students should be taught the process rather than the content of science Actually the rate of obsolescence in science is vastly exaggerated Although the specifics of science change rapidly, basic principles tend to manifest impressive longevity. This argument is also strikingly reminiscent of the objection small boys offer to washing their faces daily, namely, that they will only get dirty the next day Obsolescence is a fact of life that must always be kept in mind, but this does not render futile the assimilation of the current content of knowledge or counsel exclusive attention to the process whereby knowledge is acquired. It merely presupposes a readiness to revise those aspects of one's knowledge that gradually become outdated A related argument invokes the allegedly rapid rate of forgetting in school learning Actually, however, meaningfully learned subject matter exhibits impressive longevity-even over a period of years (Ward and Davis, 1938, Tyler, 1930, 1934)

# Every Child Should Be a Creative and Critical Thinker

Discovery methods are often rationalized in terms of the currently fashionable slogan that the school's clucif responsibility is to make every child (or nearly every cluid) a crucial and creative thinker. This incredible notion is based on the highly questionable assumption that all discovery activity, irrespective of degree of originality, is qualitatively of one piece, on a watered down, more 'democratic definition of creativity, broad enough to include any type of independent discovery, on the belief that the very multiplicity of human abilities gives every individual a good chance, genic ally speaking, of being creative in a teast one area, and on nave clean slate conceptions of human plasticity which maintain that even if a given child has no creative potentialities, good teachers can take the place of missing genes.

J S Bruner is an eloquent spokesman for this point of view

Intellectual activity anywhere is the same, whether at the frontier of knowledge or in a third grade classroom. What a scientist does at his desk or in the laboratory, what a literary critic does in reading a poem are of the same order as what anybody else does when he is engaged in like activities—if he is to achieve understanding The difference is in degree not in kind. The schoolboy learning physics is a physi cist, and it is easier for him to learn physics behaving like a physicst than doing something else (Bruner, 1960, p. 14). J R Suchman (1961) also explains that the ultimate goal of his Inquiry Training Program is for children to discover and formulate explanations which represent the causality of a single instance in terms of broad universal principles and generalizations. This is the unification of concepts for which the scientist strives. It can and in our opinion should be the ultimate goal of children s inquiry as well.

We will consider in another context the overall plausibility of this proposition 1 conly remains to point out here that from the standpoint of enlightened educational policy in a democracy the school should concentrate its major efforts on teaching both what is most important in terms of cultural survival and cultural progress and what is most teachable to the majority of its clientele. As improved methods of teaching become available most students will be able to master the basic intellectual skills as well as a reasonable portion of the more important subject matter content of the major disciplines 1s it not more defensible to an at this realisting goal which lies within our reach than to focus on educational objectives that presuppose exceptional endowment and are impossible of fulfillment when applied to the generality of manking? Voudi at not be more realistic goal strive first to have each pipil respond meaningfully actively and critically to good expository teaching before we endeavor to make him a creative thinker or even a good critical thinker and problem solver

We are by no means proposing a uniform curriculum and pedagogy for all children irrespective of individual differences. By all means let us provide all feasible special opportunities and facilities for the exceptional child But in so doing let us not attempt to structure the learning environ ment of the nonexceptional child in terms of educational objectives and teaching methods that are appropriate for either one child in a hundred or for one child in a million

## Expository Teaching Is 1uthoritarian

Valocates of the discovery method also take advantage of the opprobrium associated with authoritarianism in education to discredit didactic exposition and to lurther their own cause. In doing this they not only rely on the straw man technique of representing a highly exaggerated tell m and drill approach as typical of expository teaching, but also assert that expository teaching is indirectinally authoritarian. When a teacher stands in front of a classroom and presents facts concepts and principles he is according to G Hendrix and others behaving in an authoritarian fashion This is presumably so because he is allegedly coercing purpils by the prestige of his position and by his gover to dispense reward and punishment into unquestioning] accepting on faith his own version of the truth instead of giving them an opportunity to discover it for themselves Brunet (1961b) puts it this way Insoftr as possible, a method of instruction should have the objective of leading the child to discover for himself. Telling children and then testing them on what they have been told inevitably has the effect of producing bench bound learners whose motivation for learning is hkely to be extrinsic to the task at hand—pleasing the teacher, getting into college, artificially maintaining self-esteem

In the first place, this distressing picture of expository teaching is a bit overdrawn We do not deny that schools and colleges abound in such teachers But this characterization is certainly not true of all didactic exposition, nor is it inherent in the method itself Second there is nothing inherently authoritarian in presenting or explaining ideas to others as long as they are not obliged, either explicitly or implicitly, to accept them on fauth Didactic exposition has always constituted the core of any pedagogic system, and probably, always will, because it is the only feasible and efficient method of transmitting large bodies of knowledge. The deference to author ity implied in accepting already discovered knowledge. The deference to author proposition presented by their instructors before accepting it they would never progress beyond the rudiments of any discipline. We can only ask that established knowledge be presented to them as rationally and nonarburarily available approximation of the truth.

# Discovery Organizes Learning Effectively for Later Use

We turn now to the last four propositions advanced in support of learn ing by discovery These propositions were recently propounded by J Bruner (1961a) and, taken together, may be said to constitute a proposed psycho logical, rather than philosophical, rationale for the discovery method First, Bruner hypothesizes that emphasis upon discovery in learning has precisely the effect upon the learner of leading him to be a constructionist, to organize what he is encountering in a manner not only designed to cover regularity and relatedness but also to avoid the kind of information drift that fails to keep account of the uses to which information might have to be put

However, learning by discovery, in our opinion does not necessarily lead to more orderly integrative and viable organization, transformation, and use of knowledge It does so only insofar as the learning situation is highly structured simplified and skilfully programmed to include a large number of diversified exemplans of the same principle, carefully graded in order of difficulty But under these circumstances one must in all fairness attribute these latter outcomes to the teachers or textbook writers orga nization of the data from which the discovery is to be made rather than to the act of discovery uself As a matter of fact *pure* discovery techniques as employed by scholars and scentusts could lead only to utter chaos in the classroom. Put a young physics student into a balthub and he is just as likely to concentrate on the soap bubbles and on the refraction of light as on the displacement principle that he is supposed to discover. In the UICSM program therefore students are given a prearranged sequence of suitable exemplars and from these they spontaneously self discover the appropriate generalization. Elementary school pupils in the Inquiry Training Program are similarly shown a care fully prepared demonstration film illustrative of a given principle in physics and are then permitted tu ask questions answerable by jess or no. Under both of these condutions pupils are engaging in true autonomous discovery in the same sense that a detective independently solves a crime after a benevolent Providence kindly gathers all of the clues and arranges them for him in the correct sequence. This type of discovery is obviously a far cry from the kind of discovery that takes place in research laboratories As j C Stanley observes.

If as a few ultra progressive educators seem to imply education were solely discovery then teachers would no lorger be necessary. Usually these theoraers are thinking of classroom situations in which the process of discovery resembles an Easter egg hunt or the piecing together of a 1 graw puzzle the participants make discoverse that could hardly have come about without previous structuring of the situation. The teacher activity which is simolded in acting up good learning situations as a truly a form of instruction as is direct teaching from lesson plans. Simply turning children loose without direction and expecting each of them to discover for limited in more transmitted would probably prove decidedly ineffective and wasterial of time (1519 p. 155).

Now in making these observations we certainly do not wish to create the unpression that we quarrel with the UICSM method of inducing discovery or that we favor the use of raw unselected and unorganized data in discovery programs. We quarrel only with Bruner's interpretation that the organizing and integrative effects of learning by discovery are attribut able to the act of discovery rather than to the structure and organization which are put three by the programmers of such curriculums as the UICSM and the Physical Science Study Committee courses in secondary school math ematics and physics respectively.

Concern with the structure of a discipline is certainly not indigenous to the discovery mitched as 11 laba (1962) seems to imply. It is also the basis of all modern approaches to expository tracking or reception learning In fact concern with presenting the unifying principles of a discipline is the main substantive rationale of expository teaching. The more unstructured discovery methods on the other hand tend to ignore the particular substantive content of a discipline as long as it can be used to further problem solving or inquiry processes. In Suchman's Inquiry Training, for example, there is no attempt to present systematically the content of a sci-entific discipline. Content is largely a matter of indifference, or incidental to the process of discovery. Any Luid of content is as good as any other as long as it lends itself to discovery and inquiry Hence unsystematic and haphazard sampling of scientific content is characteristic of his Inquiry Training Program

Training Program Learning by discovery is not necessarily antithetical to programmed instruction, despite the howls of anguish which teaching machines frequently elicit from discovery enthusiasts. True, the more unstructured kinds of discovery methods (for instance, the Inquiry Training Program), which demand more genuinely autonomous (unprompted) discovery on the part of the learner, are incompatible with the ruleg type of programming (Homme and Glaser, 1960) in which a verbal rule is stated at the outset and the learner is then tested on his ability to apply this rule correctly to various relevant examples. Advocates of these kinds of discovery methods also profer to give a dia learner grame scope for undergradient thubling than also prefer to give the learner greater scope for independent thinking than is implied in the use of closely graduated steps in programmed sequences On the other hand, highly structured discovery methods, such as the UICSM which lead the lettine to a desired generalization through the use of care fully graded problem examples are quite compatible with a programming technique that follows the same general procedure R M Gagné and L T Brown (1961) recently conducted an experiment in which one group of learners (guided discovery) was required to discover a principle after working a hierarchy of problems which reduced the learning task to a grad uated series of sequential steps

### Discovery Is a Unique Generator of Motivation and Self Gonfidence

J S Bruner (1960, 1961a and b) and other discovery enthusiasts (Hen drix, 1961, Suchman 1961) perceive learning by discovery as a unique and unexcelled generator of self confidence of intellectual excitement, and of motivation for sustained problem solving and creative thinking We have already acknowledged that discovery techniques are valuable for acquiring desirable attitudes toward inquiry, as well as firm convictions about the existence and discoverability of orderliness in the universe It is also reason able to suppose that successful discovery experience enhances both these attitudes and convictions and the individual's feeling of confidence in his own abilities On the other hand there is no reason to believe that discovery methods are unique or alone in their ability to effect these outcomes As every student who has been exposed to competent teaching knows,

the skillful exposition of ideas can also generate considerable intellectual

Learning by Discovery

excitement and motivation for genuine inquiry, although admittedly not quite as much perhaps as does discovery Few physics students who learn the principle of displacement from expository teaching will run half naked through the streets shricking Eurela But then again, how many students of Archimedes ability are enrolled in the typical physics or mathe matics class? How comparable to the excitement generated by discovering a general formula for finding the number of diagonals in an n sided polygon after working problems one through nucle through and selfcer Archimedes Junior's motivation and selfconfidence if, hmmelf soaling wet?

Careful study of the psychological experiment cited by Bruner (1961a), by way of illustrating the allegedly unique motivational and inspirational values of discovery methods leaves one no more convinced than one was before Bruner describes a psychological experiment in probability learning with a two-choice apparatus in which the payoff sequence is arranged at random and there is no pattern. Some subjects quickly begin to each on to the fact [and right] so in this case] that things are happening quite by chance [and] very soon revert to a much more primitive [and em souls, however, persist in believing that there is some pattern to be found in the sequence is that regularities are discoverable? and hence keep trying one unsuccessful hypothesis after another, in each of which the of times it pays off.

What has all this to do with the subject at handr asks Bruner

For the person to search out and find regularities and relationships is his environment he must be armed with an expectancy that there will be something to find and once aroused by expectancy he must device ways of searching and finding One of the dhef enemies of expectancy is the assumption there is nothing one can find in the environment by way of regularity or relationship (Bruner, 1961a p 24)

We can thoroughly appreciate the logic of this argument, but we still cannot see what relevance it has for the issue regarding the unique motiva tional virtues of the discovery metided All Bruner is saying here is that, in the absence of a firm convertion about the existence of discoverable regu irral and error behavior—just like Thorothkes cats in the puzzle box. But why should discovery methods necessarily inspire any more confidence in the existence of discoverable regularities in the universe than the method of didactic exposition which, after all, is dedicated to the presentation and explication of these regularities? It is true that successful discovery experience strengthens such confidence, but unsuccessful experience has precisely the opposite effect—as demonstrated by the resurgence of magical and superstituous thinking that follows in the wake of failure to find patterns of order liness in nature

# Discovery Is a Prime Source of Intrinsic Motivation

A related inotivational proposition put forth by Bruner (1961a) states that "to the degree that one is able to approach learning as a task of discovering something rather than learning about it, to that degree will there be a tendency for the child to carry out his learning activities with the autonomy of self reward or, more properly, by reward that is discovery it self." Bruner feels that learning by discovery frees the child from the imme date control of such extrinsic inotives as high marks, desire for parental and teacher approval, and a need to conform to the expectations of authority figures. In support of this hypothesis, he cites research data showing that early 'overachievers' in school tend to be conformists, to overdevelop rote ablitues, and to be deficient in analytic and critical limiting ability

In our opinion, liowever, there is no existing or necessary association between a discovery approach to learning and intrinsic motivation, on the one hand, and a reception approach to learning and extrinsic motivation, on the other. But because of certain cultural influences on personality development in our type of social system, we would tend to postulate precisely the opposite kind of relationship, namely, that discovery learning is more often associated with extrinsic motivation than is reception learning. Whether an individual primarily manifests intrinsic or extrinsic motivation in learning it seems to us, is largely a function of two factors (a) low much intrinsic self esteem he possesses, and hence how great his relative need is for compensatory extrinsic status, and (b) the strength of his cognitive needs in their own right, that is lins need to acquire knowledge and to understand his environment, as influenced by genic and temperamental determinants and by previous satisfactory learning experience

On these grounds, we would think that a more plausible interpretation of Bruner's data is that it is the learner who is lacking in intrinsic self esteem who develops an overpowering need both for such external symbols of achievement as high grades and teacher approval and for the glory and prestige associated with independent discovery in our culture. Hence the overachiever is typically a child who is deficient in intrinsic self esteem. He relies unduly on rote memorization both because it is the surest route to the lingh marks and the teacher approval he craves, and because (on account of his anxiety and impaired self esteem) he lacks the self-onfidence to improvise

valid evidence of this nature is virtually nonexistent. It appears that the various enthusiasts of the discovery method have been supporting each other research wise by taking in each other's laundry, so to speak, that is, by citing each other's opmions and assertions as evidence and by generalizing wildly from equivocal and even negative findings.

In view of the apparently sound theoretical reasons listed earlier (under "Psychological and Educational Rationale of the Discovery Method) for predicting modest advantages in learning retention, and transferability attributable to the use of discovery techniques these largely equivocal and negative findings are somewhat disappointing. In many cases, of course, findings are equivocal simply because of failure to control such other rele vant variables as the rote meaningful. the inductive deductive, the verbal nonverbal and the intra material orizanization dimensions of learning, while varying the reception-discovery factor. In other instances, it is quite possible that negative findings are less indicative of inadequacties in the underlying theory than of inadequices in research design, which unfairly load the dice against the possibility of confirming hypotheses. And as far as long term curriculum studies are concerned, one might anticipate that any short term advantages accruing from the use of discovery techniques would be more than offset by its time-consuming aspects and the consequent low rate of acquiring subject matter content

### Long Term Studies

Despite their frequent espousal of thiscovery principles, the various curriculum reform projects liave failed thus far to yield any research evi dence in support of the discovery method. This is not to say that the evidence is support of the theorem in the last is not to say control of a dence is negative but rather that there just is not any evidence, one way or the other-notwithstanting the fact that these projects are often cited in the discovery literature under the heading, research shows For one thing, the sponsors of some of these projects have not been particularly con cerned about proving the superior efficacy of their programs, since they have been thoroughly convinced of this from the outset Hence, in many instances they have not even attempted to obtain comparable achievement test data from matched control groups And only rarely has any effort been expended to prevent the operation of the crucial Hawthorne effect ' that is, to make sure that evidence of superior achievement outcomes is attributable to the influence of the new pudagogic techniques or materials in question, rather than to the fact that the experimental group is the recipient of some form of conspicuous special attention, that something new and interesting is be ing tried, or that the teachers involved are especially competent, dedicated, ing tree, or that the reacters involved the expectancy comparent, tochearco, and cuthusiastic, and receive special training attend expense free conven-tions and summer institutes, and are assigned lighter teaching loads.

But even if the sponsors of the curriculum reform movements were all imbued with missionary research zeal, it would sull be impossible to test the discovery hypothesis within the context of curriculum research. In the first place, a large number of other significant variables are necessarily oper ative in such programs. The UICSM program, for example, not only relies heavily on the principle of self discovery of gener-lizations but also on an inductive approach, on the problem solving use of subserbal insight, on abundant empirical experience, on careful sequential programming, and, above all, on precise, self consistent, unambiguous and systematic verbal formulation of basic principles. To which variable or to which combination of these variables and the. Hawihorne effect, should the success of dus program be attributed? For retions enumerated earlier in this chapter, we would nominate the factor of precise and systematic verbal formulation rather than the discovery variable (Students enrolled in the UICSM program learn more mathematics, mo uro opmion, not because they are required to discover generalizations by themselves, but because they have at their disposil a systematic body of organizing, explanatory and integrative prin ciples which are not part of the conventional course in secondary school mathematics. These principles illuminate the subject for them and make it much more meaningful, coherent, and excising.

A number of long term current, and externs fA number of long term currentlum studies in the older Interature are frequently cried as providing empirical support for the discovery method Using basically identical research designs T R McConnell (1984), C L Thiele (1988), and E J Swenson (1949) compared the so called drill' and 'generalization' methods of teaching number facts to second grade pupils The drill approach empliasized rote memorization and mechanical repeti tion of authoritatively presented facts and rules whereas the generalization method stressed meaningful perception of relationships and derivation diso had the added benefit of concrete props in the McConnell study, and of organized grouping of materials in the Swenson study A well known study by G L Anderson (1949) was also conducted along very similar lines but used fourth erade pupils

Noticing rade pupils Needless to say, the generalization method was found to be superior in all four studies, except in criterion situations calling for immediate and automatic recall of knowledge relatively unchanged in form from that learned in the training situation. Much more salient than the discovery variable in each of these studies however, is the rote meaningful factor, and in two of the studies, the differential availability to the generalization group of visual aids or of organized grouping of learning materials further complicated interpretation of the findings. It should also be remembered that it is precisely in relation to this age group of young learners first enter ing the stage of concrete logical operations and still completely unsophis ticated in a new difficult and visuract subject matter that the efficacy and feasibility of the discovery method are least disputed. The time cost factor is relatively unimportant at this age level because time consuming concrete empirical props must be used in any case because large bodies of subject matter cannot be learned through expository teaching anyway and because the sem abstract intuitive understanding of abstract ideas at this stage of development is often facilitated by discovery learning. However, it would reception learning of twelfth grade mathematics is less efficacious than learn ing by discovery. Preliminary findings of the Inquiry Training Program (Suchman 19:9) 1952; also fail to support the discovery hypothesis

#### Short Term Studies

The well known Gestalt writings on insightful problem solving by W Koller (1925) M Wertheiner (1939) K Duncker (1945) and G Katona (1910) are traditionally cited in the discovery literature as supportive of the discovery method of teaching Actually however the Gestalt emphasis on insight deals only with the rote meaningful dimension of problem solving and has no bearing whatsoever on the relative efficacy of the expository (reception) and discovery approaches As pointed out earlier both reception and discovery learning may each be rote or meaningful depending on the conditions under which learning occurs. The Gestalt theorism merely insist that the concept of insight is more valid than the Thorndikian trial and error or the Huilian point of wew in explaining problem solving behavior that lies within an organisms is verbal or subverbal reasoning ability.

Nohler's Wertheimer's and Duncker's monographs also do not really report research findings in the usual sense of the term. They are rather elaborate and sophisticated analyses of the nature and conditions of in sightful problem solving from the Cestalt point of view which use observa nons informal experiments ancedotes and demonstrate that understand ing of a principle's upper discussion batter the memorarise that understand ing of a principle as opposed to rote memorarismo feads to superior reterr tion and transfer. One experiment in particular shows that a rotely memoriced verbal principle is less transferable to new problems than is mere empirical experimence with problems exemplifying the principle in question But this indicates only that understanding of a principle even when unverbalized is more transferable to note memoration. If does not suggest

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> If e research issues related to these studies and some of the implications of it e findings are discussed under the heading of prompting and guidance in practice

diat newly emerging nonverbal awareness is always more transferable than verbal understanding

This latter study by Katona is reminiscent of G Hendrix previously discussed experiment (1917), but Hendrix carried the design and argument one step furdier. She also included another control group of subjects who first acquired meaningful nonverbal awareness of a principle, and then attempted immediate verbalization. She showed that her experimental subjects, who were sent out of the room while these control subjects were at tempting to verbalize nonverbal awareness, were not only superior in transfer power to the control subjects who had merely learned the principle through verbal exposition, but were also superior to this other control group which had acquired nonverbal awareness *prior* to verbalization Hen drix interpreted her findings to mean diat die full transfer power and substance of an idea are already present in the emerging subverbal insight, and diat this dawning subverbal awareness, when left unverbalized, is *invariably* more transferable dian when put into words. We have already explained in detail why we think that premature verbalization of insight reduces transferability, and why we believe diat verbalization of insight reduces transferability, and why we believe diat verbalization in subset consider meth odological and statistical aspects of Hendrix' experiment. In reporting lier study, Hendrix frankly acknowledged the dificulty of devising body 'a good behavioral test for the achievement of unverbalized is for the order workshift.

In reporting her study, Hendrix frankly acknowledged the difficulty of devising body 'a good behavioral test for the achievement of unverbahzed awareness," and a suitable test of transfer There was also the formidable problem of deciding "whicher subjects were obtaining the correct answers dirough counting or through applying the generalization" (1947, p. 203) With respect to the maintenance of necessary controls, Hendrix freely ad mitted, furthermore, that it was difficult to prevent communication and discussion among members of the different method groups in the time inter val between learning and testing," and to administer the various tests and experimental procedures without revealing to the subjects that an experi ment was in progress (1947, pp. 203 204)

In addition to all of these acknowledged measurement, evaluation, and control problems, only 40 subjects were available for all 3 groups, and even this relatively small number was achieved only by pooling results from three very different kinds of experimental populations, for whom a test of homogeneity of variance was not even reported Both the small experimental population, and the undetermined comparability of its three separate com ponents, rendered untenable Hendrix' assumption that random assignment of subjects to the three treatment groups equalized these groups with respect to the influence of the uncontrolled variables

w the initial of the uncontrolled variables Lastly, the difference on the transfer test between the 'verbal exposition' group and the 'nonverbal awareness group' was only significant at the 12 level, and the corresponding difference between the 'nonverbal awareness group and the group which had verbalized their nonverbal awareness was only significant at the 33 level Neither of these levels of significance is regarded very seriously by either statisticians or educational research workers. Taking all of these factors into consideration therefore the experimental foundations for the far reaching conclusions which Hen drix draws from these findings can hardly be considered impressively firm

We come finally to a series of experimental studies in which varying amounts of guidance were furnished to different groups of subjects in problem solving situations C. L. Stacey (1949) studied the effects of directed versus independent discovery on solving a group of meaningful problems each of which required subjects to identify the one item in a set of five that did not belong. He found that active participation and selfdicovery were more efficacious for learning than was passive participation involving only recognition or identification of information presented to the learner This finding of course was wholly predictable since the fostering of such com plete passivity in problem solving experience as providing the correct answer for each problem as well as the reason for same is self-evidently inadvisable and is seldom if ever practiced today. But even so surprisingly enough significant differences were not found between these extreme treatment groups on a transfer test.

Using similar kinds of material but with college students rather than sixth grade pupils R C Crag (1956) obtained results even less favorable for the discovery method His directed group which received a brief verbal explanation of principles during the training period, learned and retained significantly more principles than did his independent group which had no help whatsoever in the training situation As in the Statey study however the two groups were not significantly different with respect to mean score on a transfer test J E kittell's (1957) findings in a similar type of experiment with sixth grade pupils were if anylung even more damaging to the discovery cause than were Craigs. The group in his experiment which received an intermediate amount of guidance but neverthe less an amount which was somewhat greater than that received by Craig's directed group (xplanation of principles plus organization of materials) was superor in learning retention and transfer to groups receiving either less or more direction. Pooling the findings of these three studes therefore the evidence supports the conclusion that in this type of problem solving principles facilitates learning retention and possibily transfer more than euther the provision of less guidance or the furnishing of specific rules for

CALL of the protection. CALL of the protection of S Meyers (1958) conducted a coding study with college students which was txj heath designed to rebut the Craig and kit tell findings However their subjects exhibited significantly better learning

on problems where the coding rules were given than where they had to be independently derived Furthermore on a delayed transfer test there was no difference whatsoever in the number of correct code identifications made Independently derived Authention of a denset destinate test there was no difference whatsoever in the number of correct code identifications made for the problems learned originally will the rule given and the problems learned originally by independent derivation of the code. Nevertheless on the grounds that the gain from the first to the second test was greater for those problems where the rule had been independently derived the in vestigators concluded that principles which are independently derived the in vestigators concluded that principles which are independently derived are more transferable than principles for which the rule is given. This in our opinion, is equivalent to saying that of two matched race horses trained by methods A and B respectively who are tied at the end of the criterion race the horse trained by method B is really superior because at the half way mark he was one lap behind the horse trained by method A but never theless caught up to him by the end of the race. Other studies in this area by B Y Kersh (1958–1962) yielded results practically identical to those of Craig Kittell and Haselrud and Meyers on the test of original learning but results opposite to those of Kittell on the delayed retest By using an ingenious research design however hersh and mouvation ou the part of the independent discovery group to con unue practicing the task during the test retest interval Kersh concluded that discovery experience in utself does not enhance understanding or mean ingfulness<sup>a</sup>.

ingfulness 6

Inguiness \* In another group of studies on the effects of varying amounts of guid ance on problem solving either no differences were found between treat ment groups or a limited amount of guidance (guided discovery) was found to be superior both to no guidance whatsoever or to complete guid ance J Moss (1960) I Maltzman E Eisman and L O Brooks (1950) R M Tominison (1962) and R H Forgus and R J Schwartz (1957) re-ported no significant differences in delayed retention and transfer between layers the superior bar of the superior for layering groups. W F ported no significant differences in delayed retention and transfer between direct detailed <sup>7</sup> and guided discovery types of learning groups W E Ray (1957) and J D Rowlett (1960) on the other hand found that guided discovery was superior to direct detailed instruction in remembering and transferring principles of micrometer use and orthographic projection. In a recent study of programmed learning R M Gagné and L T Brown (1961) reported that a small step guided discovery method of programming

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> G L Larson (1963) found that at least part of the superior retention of Kersh's discovery group was attinbutable to the Zeigarnik effect—a tendency to remember more incompleted than completed tasks Craig's (1965) findings suggest that providing continuum tasks and not stating the rule at the conclusion of initial learning rather than discovery enhance motivation to learn in this context

<sup>7</sup> A relatively complete explicit step-by step type of guidance

was superior both to the ruleg method and to a large step prompted-discovery procedure <sup>8</sup> B R Corman s findings (1957) were differentiated with respect to the ability level of lus subjects bighly explicit instructions were most effective with hus more able subjects whereas his less able subjects benefited equally from more and less explicit instructions C N Grote (1960) found that the direct-detailed method was superior for high ability students and that the learning a lever principle

The issue of expository teaching versus independent discovery in the learning retention and transfer of principles is still very much in doubt because of the non comparability of the various studies serious deficiencies in research design and the failure to hold constant or take into account rote meaningful inductive-deductive verbalization ability level cognitive maturity subject matter sophistication and motivational variables in gen eral the research findings support E. L. Thorndike's well known conclusion that refusal to supply information on the ground that the learner will be more profited by discovering the facts limitself runs the risk, not only of excessive time-cost but also the strengthening of wrong habits (1935 p 147) Providing guidance to the learner in the form of verbal explanation of the underlying principles almost invariably facilitates learning and retenuon and sometimes transfer as well Self-discovery methods or the furnishing of completely explicit rules on the other hand are relatively less effective

The most efficacious type of guidance (guided discovery) is actually a variant of expository teaching that is very similar to Socratic questioning it demands the learner is active participation and requires him to formulate his own generalizations and integrate his knowledge in response to carefully programmed leading questions and it is obviously much more highly structured than most discovery methods with the possible exception of the UICSM Further research is needed to determine whether guided discovery is superior to simple didactic exposition in terms of relative effectiveness for the time cost involved when such lactors as cognitive maturity subject matter sophismation and verbal ability are varied. To be definitive such research must also deal with large segments of instructional material and not merely with short term problem-solving exercises in the laboratory

<sup>• 5</sup> Vi Ervin (1960c) used a similar guided discovery approach in teaching elementary whood children the verbal principles underlying various motor per formances. The children were helped by leading questions to formalize the print ciples from their own observations. This method of instruction resulted in greater transfer than did a nonverbal type of guidance.

tive structure This latter concept influences him to be differentially aware of certain properties of a house as a stimulus object and to ignore others to interpret the resulting sensory experience in the light of certain preconceived enternal attributes of house and to infer the existence of other attributes that he actually does not experience as sensory impressions but simply assumes are present on the basis of the object s designated membership in the generic category of house

In short because of the influence of concepts within his cognitive structure man experiences a highly simplified schematic selective and gen eralized considerus representation of reality rather than a complete and fauthful sensory representation of it Nevertheless this conscious experience is much more detailed particularistic and idiosyncratic in its denotative and connotative aspects than the culturally standardized meanings which its corresponding generic terms have for lum and which he actually uses—in acquiring new knowledge (via reception learning) in thinking and problem solving and in communicating with others

#### Consequences of Conceptualization for Cognitive Functioning

The simplified and generalized representation of reality that is achieved through the existence and use of concepts makes possible the invention of a language with relatively uniform meanings for all members of a culture thereby facilitating interpersonal communication (Vgotsky 1962) Equally important it also makes possible (a) the establishment in cognitive structure of inclusive and generic constructs (and of propositional combinations of them) in relation to which new correlative and derivative meanings can be acquired and retained more efficiently as part of an organized body of knowledge <sup>1</sup> and (b) the manipulation interrelation and reorganization of ideas involved in the generation and testing of hypotheses and hence in meaningful problem solving

By setting up equivalences that is by grouping related items of experience into categories defined by the criterial attributes of their members concepts therefore standardize and simplify the environment and lience facilitate reception learning problem solving and communication Because it is cumbersome and cognitively mefficient to deal with commons objects events man resorts to categorization responding to heterogeneous objects

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is oold be recalled at this point that although the first phase of the assimilation process facilitates the acquisition and retenion of meaningfully learned knowledge at compared to the face of rotely learned materials the same trend to want the simplif calion and generalization of ideas in cognitive structure (by te douing them to a least common conceptual denominator inhat is to the ideational import of an established anchoring ulea) also accounts for the forgetting attribut able to oblightance and same and the simplifies the simple of the simp

or events as a class or as members of a class Concepts free thought learning and communication from the domination of the physical environment They make possible the acquisition of abstract ideas in the absence of concrete empirical experience-ideas that can be used both to categorize new situations under existing rubrics and to serve as anchoring foci for the assimilation and discovery of new knowledge Finally the grouping of con cepts into potentially meaningful combinations is responsible for the genera tion and understanding of propositions

# Are Concepts Related to Physical Reality?

It would be quite fallacious however to assert that conceptual reality bears no resemblance whatsoever to the real world A more supportable appraisal of the relationship between conceptual and phenomenological reality would be to characterize the former as a selectively schematic version of the latter Out of the many possible and logically defensible ways of delineating categories into which objects and phenomena manifesting cer tain designated criterial attributes in common can be sorted as members of generically more inclusive classes a given culture chooses a particular set of alternatives In this choice distinctive values attitudes toward life social and economic institutions and ways of institutionalizing interpersonal relationships-as well as sheer random decision historical accident and the patterning influence of earlier forms of the language itself-all play signif scant roles But despite noteworthy intercultural differences in conceptual meanings the impressive degree of cross cultural uniformity in the denota tive meanings and syntactic functions of analogous words in different lan guages clearly indicates the prepotent constraining influence exerted both by similar physical functional and relational properties of objects and events in the real world and by the inherent logic of classification In short con ceptual reality is far from being either a capricious or illogical representa tion of the physical world

Thus the veridicality of a concept-the extent to which it identifies salient and significant aspects of experience with objective reality-is an important dimension of concept generation. In formulating new concepts one can choose to focus on criterial attributes that are more or less central more or less subjective more or less characteristic or more or less idiosyn cratic The verificality of a concept determines in large measure its useful ness both in the structure of knowledge and for purposes of learning prob lem solving and communication

# Are Concepts Themselves Real?

The reified notion implicit in classical Greek metaphysics that con ceptual meanings or essences of things are axiomatic givens and exhibit a separate existence in their own right, apart from the physical objects from which they are selectively schematized is both scientifically and philosophically unsupportable As abstractions, concepts obviously represent only one of many possible ways of defining a class and enjoy no actual existence in the physical world Psychologically speaking however, concepts are real in the sense that (a) they can be acquired, perceived, understood, and manipulated as if they enjoyed an independent existence of their own and (b) they are perceived and understood, both denotatively, and in terms of their syntactic functions in much the same way both within a given culture and from one culture to another For example, culture itself is an abstrac tion (concept) that has no independent existence of its own since it consists merely of modal attitudes typical ways of thinking and characteristic ways of institutionalizing interpersonal relationships in a particular society Yet "culture as an entity, is psychologically real Even though it is an abstrac tion that has no physical reality apart from the totality of the behaviors, attitudes, and values of its individual cattiers, the distinctive properties of a given culture (although only statistical abstractions in their own right) constitute reliably identifiable perceptual and cognizable conceptual entities about which there is much consensus of judgment? and which also in fluences the lives of its members in many predictable and uniform ways Further, 'culture as a generic idea is a very useful tool both for acquiring and discovering new knowledge

The concept meanings represented in a given language, therefore, may be thought of as both a product or reflection of culture and as a patterning or limiting factor in the cognitive development of the individual carriers of the culture it reflects the idiosyncratic kinds of, and approaches to, categorization, as well as the characteristic attitudes, values, and ways of think ing that prevail in a given culture. Once constituted, then, the structure of a language and the conceptual and syntactic categories it contains, definitely influence, in turn the perceptual and cognitive processes of the developing individual He learns to perceive, think and acquire new meanings selec tively in terms of the classificatory schemes available to him in his mother tongue, if the latter fails to recognize certain conceptual distinctions, he is greatly handicapped in making them himself Thus, characteristic patterns of thought in a particular culture affect the nature of the language that evolves and the language reciprocally patterns and limits perceptual and cognitive experience and the types of thinking in which individual members of the culture engage

<sup>2</sup> Undergraduate students for example can predict with almost uncanny accuracy like preuse degree of orthodoxy or heterodoxy characterizing the mean beliefs of their clasmates with regard to detailed issues relating to nucl controversial areas as theology and immortality (durudet and Schpoont 1937)

Problems in the Acquisition and Use of Concepts

In considering the role of concepts or generic meanings in human cognitive functioning it is evident that two quite different kinds of psy chological problems require explanation First there is the problem of how concepts are acquired and the different kinds of psychological processes in volved in such acquisition Second there is the equally important problem of how concepts once acquired influence (a) the perceptual categorization of experience (b) the acquisition and retention through reception learning of new conceptual and propositional meanings and (c) meaningful problem solving (discovery learning)

Subsidiary issues requiring consideration include (a) alternative theories regarding the nature and acquisition of concepts (b) developmental changes in acquiring concepts (changes from one age level to another) (c) character istic sequential changes occurring in the cognitive properties of a given concept from early to late stages in its acquisition within a particular age level (d) reasons for discrepancies between the culturally standardized mean ing of a conceptual term and the actual meanings it elicits in different indi viduals (e) different ways of classifying concepts (f) the role of language in concept acquisition and (g) the influence on concept acquisition of such factors as age experience IQ sex the availability of concrete-empirical experience positive versus negative instances relevant and irrelevant ex persence contiguity and sequence of exemplars learning set opportunities for application and the homogeneity or heterogeneity of exemplars

# Different Ways of Acquiring and Using Concepts

It is obviously one thing to acquire a concept and quite another to use it in categorizing naive sensory impressions in learning related new mean ings and in solving problems Let us consider in general terms some of the principal ways in which conrepts are acquired and used reserving for later sections more detailed discussion of underlying processes and developmental differences

# The Acquisition of Concepts

We have already distinguished in another context between two principal types of concept acquisition namely conrept formation and concept assimi lation as typifying respectively the acquisition of concepts among preschool and older individuals

Concept formation is characteristic of the preschool child's inductive<sup>3</sup> and spontaneous (untutored) acquisition of generic ideas (for instance house dog) from concrete-empirical experience. It is a type of discovery learning involving at least in primitive form such underlying psychological processes as discriminative analysis abstraction differentiation hypothesis generation and testing and generalization. Less typically in real life situations and in the laboratory it is also exhibited by older individuals—but at a much higher level of sophistication with respect to the component psychological processes involved.

Most of our information about the nature of concept formation both in young children and in individuals of school age and beyond comes from laboratory type situations in which the learning task calls for the inductive identification of the common criterial attributes of a class of stimuli from a large array of instances which vary in regard to both criterial and non criterial attributes The experimenter for example may present the subject with an array of squares circles and triangles each of which is either red blue or vellow and then say I have a particular idea in mind either a particular form (square circle or triangle) or a particular color (red blue or vellow) Each of the cards in the display in front of you has one of these forms which is colored red sellow or blue. You can point to any one of these cards in any order you choose and I will tell you whether it is or is not an example of the idea I have in mind. From the answers I give you, you will eventually be able to determine which particular idea-one of the three forms or one of the three colors-I am thinking of Your job is to discover this by using as few cards and asking as few questions as possible Let us suppose that the experimenter had a square in mind From his response of yes this is an example to red yellow and blue squares and No this is

not an example to red yellow and blue triangles and circles the subject finally discovers that square is the concept that the experimenter was thinking of

Vany other research designs have been used in the experimental in vestigation of concept formation. Only one additional method will be described here. This method involves a training session in which the subject first learns the nonsense-syllable names of different classes of stimuli by being presented with different exemplars of each class each exemplar being appropriately labeled with its class mane. He is then tested for knowledge

As pointed our earlier the use of the term inductive oversimplifes the secual process of concept formation. It we problem solving or concept formation situations are approached from scrath-by generating, new problems by generat ing hypothese deviced from existing concepts or proportions in this cognitive structure. These latter hypotheses may be influenced initially or later on by the disunctive factures of the current problem strateging.

of the criterial attributes of each class by being asked to name correctly other exemplars of these same classes

In real life situations, of course, concept formation is both a more pro longed and less orderly process For example, as a result of being exposed to many different sizes, shapes, and colors of both dogs and other animals, a preschool child eventually acquires a concept of dog that is both generic in nature and a reasonable facsimile of the cultural consensus regarding the nature of the concept in question Both the component psychological pro cesses underlying concept formation, and developmental changes in the cognitive properties of concepts and in the processes involved will be dis cussed in subsequent sections

Characteristically, liowever, older (school age) children as well as adolescents and adults, acquire new concepts through a process of concept assimilation That is, they learn new conceptual meanings by being pre-sented with the criterial attributes of concepts and by relating these attributes to relevant established ideas in their cognitive structures. In the concrete operational stage, as pointed out previously, the assimilation process requires the facilitating influence of concrete empirical props namely, exemplars of the criterial attributes which are related to cognitive structure in conjunction with the attributes they exemplify Learning the meanings of concept names on the other hand, involves a process of representational learning that typically follows concept assimilation itself. In other words, these children learn new generic terms either by being presented with their definitions or by encountering them in context-by representationally equating the meanings of new generic terms with the emergent new con ceptual meanings in cognitive structure that are elicited by the combination of already meaningful words contained in the terms definitions or con textual cues

Since the necessary definitions and the appropriate context are presented rather than discovered, concept assimilation is typically a form of meaning ful reception learning but inasmuch as it still involves various active cogni tive operations, it cannot be considered either a passive or perceptual phenomenon In certain instances however where the meaning of a new word is not more or less self evident from its context, the learning process is not much different from that involved in concept formation 'The learner must go through much of the same processes of abstracting differentiating hypothesis generating and testing and generalizing before the new meaning emerges

# The Use of Concepts

Once acquired concepts serve many purposes in cognitive functioning At the simplest level of utilization they are obviously implicated in the

perceptual categorization of incoming sensory experience such as would be involved in perceiving a particular house as an exemplar of the more general class Simple forms of reception learning (where a particular more or less obvious and representational new member of a class is presented as illustrative or supportive of an existing concept in cognitive structure) are also reflective of perceptual categorization. Still another perceptual use of evising concepts in cognitive structure is exemplified by the immediate (perceptual) comprehension of the meanings of previously learned and al ready meaningful concepts and propositions when they are encountered on subsequent occasions

Cognitive utilization of existing concepts is exemplified by that type of reception learning in which less self-evident exemplars of a known generic class must be identified as such (cognitive categorization) and in which instantiated under more inclusive conceptual or propositional entities Mean inglial discovery learning represents another cognitive use of a learner's existing reperiors another cognitive out control of a learner's existing reperiors another cognitive use of a learner's existing reperiors another cognitive use of a learner's existing reperiors another cognitive use of a learner's existing reperiors of concepts II is exemplified by both (a) simpler kinds of problem solving operations in which solution of the problem at hand merely requires that the learner be able to formulate it as a special case of an already meaningful and more general concept or proposition as well as (b) the more complex kinds of problem solving in which existing concepts and propositions must be extended claborated qualified or reorganized so as to visits the particular requirements of the means-end relationship which the learner is obliged to discover

It is evident from the above discussion that the distinction between the acquisition and use of concepts is in a sense somewhat arbitrary since one of the principal lunctions of existing concepts in cognitive structure is to facilitate the acquisition of new concepts more so in the case of concept assimilation than in the case of concept formation. Nevertheless this ditinction is still useful manuch is it is consistent with the distinction that has been maintained throughout this solume between the original aquisi tion of a given item of knowledge and its subsequent use in the acquisition of further knowledge it gets at the very essence of the transfer process and of the centril role of cognitive structure variables in this process. Furthermore existing concepts are utilized in many ways other than merely in facilitating the acquisition of new concepts number in the perceptual categorization of experience in problem solving and in percenting the meanings of previously learned.

It should also be appreciated that problem solving on the one hand and concept formation and utilization on the other overlap in many ways Simple concept formation—both the spontaneous inductive variety in reschool dulidern as well as those aspical instances of concept assimilation in which new generic meanings are acquired through a discovery like process, after being encountered repeatedly in diverse verbal contexts—is actually one type of problem solving Acquired concepts are also used in both simple and more complex varieties of meaningful problem solving to discover new concepts. When, for example, the learning of certain presented ideas requires drastic reorganization of existing concepts in cognitive struc ture (for instance, the formulation of a new concept that is sufficiently inclusive adequately to subsume two or more otherwise irreconcilable presented ideas), the reorganization process constitutes a form of problem solving Bint this association between problem solving and the formation and use of concepts is by no means invariable. Not all problem solving (for instance, mare learning, perceptual motor learning, simple discrimination learning) typically involves the acquisition or use of concepts, and not all acquisition and use of concepts (for example, perceptual actegorization, simple deriva tive subsumption, perceptual appreliension of the meanings of previously learned symbols, most instances of concept assimilation and the use of newly assimilated concepts in the reception learning of related new ideas) involves

Principles differ from concepts in that they involve meaningful relational combinations of concepts that are propositional in nature. In other words, a principle, by definition, is a composite idea Although many concepts, especially those of a higher-order nature, involve one or more relationships between lower-order concepts (velocity, for example, involves a relationship between time and distance, and 'acceleration' is a concept in which velocity is related to time) any given concept is only a unitary generic idea.

# The Nature of Generic Meaning

A distinction has already been drawn between simple symbols referring to particular objects or events and generic symbols referring to classes of objects Actually, of course, most of the words used in ordinary language, except for proper nouns and such and with the exception of words used by very intellectually immature children, are primarily generic symbols. Such words therefore, represent clearly defined concepts with distinctive criterial attributes of their own. How then can we explain the generic meanings elicited by the conceptual use of terms in contradistinction to the character istic kinds of meanings elicited by terms referring to particular objects<sup>5</sup> Obviously, since the type of meaning experience that emerges, depends on the type of cognitive content that is evoked by the eliciting symbol, the difference between the meaning experiences, elicited respectively by particular and conceptual terms, must be sought in the type of cognitive content each category of term evokes Thus paralleling the difference in the use of the terms themselves the cognitive content corresponding to a conceptual term is generic rather than particularistic in nature. Instead of consuing of a concrete image of a first-order relatively concrete concept such as chair or dog or (b) various combinations of first-order or higher-order conceptual meanings in ways that constitute the criterial attributes of more abstract and complex concepts such as chief of state or chief executive of a republic. In the case of president

The generic nature of the cognitive content of conceptual terms na turally reflects the prior occurrence and effects of the distinctive cognitive processes involved in concept formation. When a child through hypothesisgeneration and testing abstracts for example the criterial attributes of dog from diverse examples of dogs differentiates them from those which are not criterial properties to all members of the class it is evident that the resulting cognitive content has to be generic in nature. The last step in concept formation is establishing representational equivalence between the generic symbol (the concept nime) and the generic cognitive content it evokes. This is not actually part of the concept formation process itself but is an example of *refersentational* learning that occurs *after* thus process is

### Concept Assimilation versus Concept Formation

In most instruces of concept attainment after early childhood particularly in the school environment the criterial attributes of concepts are not discovered inductively birongli a process of concept formation but are either presented to learners as a matter of definition or are implicit in the context in which they are used. Concept attainment, therefore largely becomes a matter of concept samilation.

Since the older learner of school age and beyond does not typically acquire a given concept through such processes as abstraction differentia tion and generalization where does the potential generic meaning expressed in its presented criterial attributes come from? Evidently when an individual learns the meaning of a new concept as a consequence of didactic exposition its corresponding generic cognitive content implicitly reflects the previous occurrence of these latter processes in the historical evolution of the lan gunge. That is since his cultural forehears did the abstracting differential ring and generalizing for him in coloring the concept (namely in discovering its criterial attributes) its symbolic term subsequently effects generic cognitive content after he currently assimilates the presented criterial at itbutes in question.

Thus in concept assumilation, just as in concept formation, the learner s representational equation of a particular arbitrary term (the concept name) representation in equition of a particular arbitrary term (the concept name) with its corresponding generic meruing for him, is merely a form of repre-sentational learning that follows the concept attainment process. The more critical preliminary operation, whereby the learner acquires the new con-ceptual meaning through reception learning moles the acquisition of the new generic content itself. The most significant aspect of the concept as similation process, in other words involves relating in nonarbitrary, sub stantive fishion, to relevant established ideas in the learner's cognitive structure the potentially meaningful generic content contained in the term's definition or contextual cues (its criterial attributes) The phenomenological emergence of the new generic meaning in the learner is a product of this interaction It reflects both (a) the actual content of the new concept's criterial attributes and of the anchoring ideas to which they are related and (b) the kind of relationship (derivative claborative qualifying or super ordinate) established between them

The acquisition of concepts by reception learning is not simply a process of pressue absorption It is true that there is not the same kind of intensive discriminative analysis abstraction, generalization, and differentia tion as in concept formation, this is precluded by the presentation to the learner of the concepts' criterial attributes. Nevertheless concept assimilation is typically characterized by an active process of relation to, differentiation from, and integrative reconciliation with existing relevant concepts. The more active this process is, the more meaningful and useful assimilated concepts are

As we shall see later, concepts acquired by assimilation undergo both contemporaneous and developmental change The former change encom passes the modifications in meaning that occur over the relatively brief time span during which the concept is first acquired and then consolidated The latter change, on the other hand reflects the long term effects on con and ratter enange, on the other name reneets the long term effects on con-cept meaning wrough by developmental alterations in cognitive functioning and by increasing subject matter soplistication. These effects, as L. S. Vygotsky (1962) notes, are reciprocil in nature, that is systematic instruction in concepts influences as well as reflects developmental changes in cognitive functioning. P. S. Treyberg (1966) has shown that the level of concept de velopment, expressed in Pragetian terms, correlates more highly with mental than with chronological age When measures of this level are combined with than with chronological age when measures of this level are commented with mental age, the combined score accounts for a significantly larger portion of achievement test variance in spelling arithmetical computation, and arithmetical problem solving than does mental we alone It should be borne in much that principles of concept formation based on laboratory studies, are not necessarily coextensive with or even analogous

to principles of concept assimilation in mastering subject matter material

In the first place the kinds of variables influencing the processes involved in conceptualization and thus underlying the *discovery* of the criterial attributes of concepts are quite different from the kinds of variables influencing the meaninglin reception learning of the same criterial attributes. Second it presumably should make some difference whether the learning task in volves merely the short term acquisition of single somewhat contrived concepts in a laboratory setting or whether it involves the long term acquisition of a complex network of interrelated concept sharacterizing an or gained body of knowledge. The principles of concept assumilation that are relevant to school learning discussed in earlier chapters. In learning a new concept is much or more depends on evisiting properties of cognitive structure on the developmental status of the learner and on lus intellectural ability as on the nature of the concept used and the way in which at is presented.

### Other Theoretical Views of Concept Acquisition

According to most neobeliassoristic theorists (Goss 1961b Osgood 1953 V Statts 1961) a concept is nothing more than a common response to a class of objects or stuations presenting some common attributes. Neobeliastorists would say that a concept exists for example, when different kinds of dogs elicit the same overt or implicit response and when this re sponse is different from that evoked by cars. The leart of their view is that the entire class of objects or events constituting a concept evokes the same common mediated response typically implicit or verbal. Hence a given term that is experienced respectedly and continuously with different exemplars of the same significate would esentually come to elicit this common response and thus would exhibit conceptual or generic properties.

The principal difficulty with this view of course is that it posits no differentiated cognitive content of a generic nature to account for the denota integeneric meaning, clicited by a conceptual meaning has no generic response which is allegedly the carrier of conceptual meaning has no generic properties in and of itself it is metrely exocable by variable members of a class of significates sharing common attributes. The theoretical difficulties that arise—in accounting for the denotative aspects of meaning and for the explicit and sharply delineated content of awareness exokes by a mean inglial symbol—when meanings generic or otherwise are not referable to differentiated content in cognitive structure have already been discussed

A second difficulty is that although not allowing that concepts are products of certain distinctive cognitive processes (for instance abstraction differentiation, generalization) neobeliaviorists fail to specify satisfactory alternative mechanisms whereby concepts acquire their generic properties Since the criterion of concept acquisition does not involve any generic cognitive content, only requiring a common response to a family of stimuli, conceptual behavior, from a neobeliavioristic standpoint, is nothing more than a differentiated conditioned response that is generalized to a class of sumulus objects having identical elements. That animals and infants are capable of such behavior is perfectly clear. It is less self evident, however, why such behavior should be equated with concept acquisition, inasmidu as it does not reflect the essential conceptualing operation of abstracting criterial attributes from perceptually unrelated exemplars of a category. The neobehavioristic explanation, in other words, cannot account for the in clusion in a concept of physically dismilar exemplars of the class

Iduston in a concept of physically dissimilar security account account of the class Information theory (E B Hunt, 1962) rejects the conditioning para digm of the neobelia iorists and their mediating responses It substitutes instead computer like 'information processing units which serve as mediators by operating on positive exemplars of a concept by means of designated rules for decision making The limitations of this approach have already been considered in another context

# Sequential Stages in Concept Acquisition

Concept formation consists essentially of a process of abstracting the essential common features of a class of objects or events that vary contextually, in other noncinternal respects, or along dimensions other than the particular ones under scrutiny Typically these "common features" are not discrete elements shared by a number of stimulus patterns, but are compar able configurations or sets of relationships Component psychological processes involved in the most highly developed form of concept formation include the following, more or less in the sequence given (a) discriminative " analysis of different stimulus patterns, (b) the formulation of hypotheses regarding abstracted common elements, (c) subsequent testing of these hypotheses in specific situations, (d) selective designation from among them of one general category or set of common attributes under which all of the variants can be successfully subsumed, (c) relation of this set of attributes to relevant anchoring ideas in cognitive stitucture, (f) differentiation of the new concept from related, previously learned concepts, (g) generalization of the criterial attributes of the new concept to all members of the class, and (h) representation of the new categorical content by a language symbol that is congruent with conventional usage. The last menuoned form of repre sentational learning that follows conceptual learning has already been discussed, ordinarily it constitutes the final step in concept formation. In instances where the verhal symbol is simply learned by rote in the absence of the preceding steps it has no ideational referents and does not represent a senuine concept

In concept formation the learner generates hypotheses or problem solving propositions which aim at defining the abstracted criterial attributes of the concept to be learned. To be potentially meaningful a given hy pothesis must embody a means-end celationship, that is the hypothesized criterial attributes must be exemplifiable in the specific exemplars. The tetial process of explicitly confirming or disconfirming that such is the case occurs during hypothesis testing. Finally, the confirmed criterial attributes are related to relevant ideas in cognitive structure and thereby become meaningful that is constitute the meaning of the concept after they have been assimilated.

The anchoring ideas in cognitive structure to which the criterial at tributes of new concepts are related naturally vary with the abstractness and complexity of the concept in quesiton. When the referent of a concept is a perceptible object or event its criterial attributes are related to a common perceptual core of the object or event. In the case of a relatively simple but superordinate concept such as vegetable the anchoring ideas at least mitially are probably mere exemplats of the class (carrois peas turnips) which are simple concepts in their own right. The criterial attributes of the same concept at a later stage of development or of more abstract concepts with nonperceptible referents on the other hand are assimilated by those anchoring ideas to which the set of abstracted attributes ( something edible not tasty but good for you on the case of vecetable ( ) is relatable

The actual process of concept formation is undoubtedly facilitated by the child's acquisition of the general idea of categorization. The development of this insight is similar in nature and in fact is related to the acquisition of the insight that everything has a name. The latter insight it will be remembered is an outgrowth of the realization that (1) all signifi cates with approximately the same perceptual core have the same name and (b) significates with basically different perceptual cores have different names Simple naming itself therefore constitutes a primitive (perceptual) or precisegorical type of concept formation. The more advanced idea of cate gorization concervably arises from the gradually-developing insight that adults also use words in a categorical sense that is to include exemplars that do not share a common perceptual core As the child comes into contact with such categorical words as vegetable fruit play work tov and so forth he acquires the insight that a given word can be used to represent a class of significates with perceptually dissimilar core. This general mucht in turn motivates him first to identify some physically dissimilar exemplars of simple categorical concepts (for instance to discover that car rots peas, and tamps are vegetables) and later to discover the abstracted criterial attributes both of such concepts and of even more abstract generic ideas that have no perceptible referents. Once several categorical ideas are actually acquired they obviously serve as models or paradigms for later instances of concept formation.

Contemporaneously as a concept is required certain characteristic changes gridually take place (V spotsky 1962). In becomes increasingly less global less impressionistic and less diffuse (5 C Fisher 1916) the learner focuses progressively on more salent criterial attributes Generic mental content also tends to be emptied of particularistic attributes and to become more abstract and general in nature. The identification of relevant criterial attributes similarly becomes more precise and refined noncriterial attributes are slongled off and new criterial attributes are added. Distinctions from related concepts also tend to become sharper. Idiosyncratic and subjectivistic elements become less prominent as the learner's version of the concept elements unreusingly to conform to a culturally strandardized consensus. Lastly new contextual variation in the same and related disciplines (Rowe 1966) Nevertheless unique individual experience still tends to give an idiosyn cratic denotype and context for tor most concepts.

### Conceptual Terms and Cognitive Content

It is evident that the use of the same conceptual terms by different members of a given culture does not necessarily imply uniformity of the underlying cognitive content. The most obvious reason for this variability inheres in the idiosyncratic nature of both experience and of the cognitive structures to which potentially meaningful concepts are related. A second reason is more reflective of developmental immaturity Cognitively im mature and intellectually unsophisticated individuals have no other choice but to use conventionally standardized conceptual terms with precise generic meanings to represent meanings of their own which may be vague diffuse imprecise under or over inclusive and often only semigneric our perior ceptual in nature

The commonness of misconceptions during childhood may be attributed to several factors. First children do not have the cognitive sophistication and the cumulative background of experience necessary for the complete development of many concepts. The pressure on children to mouth inadequately understood concepts and at the same time to conceal their lack of inderstanding further encourages the development and perpetuation of misconceptions. Some children who have unordinate intolerance for ambiguity are predisposed toward acquiring misconceptions since they are prone to reduce the threat and discomfort of tentativeness by resorting to premature conceptual closure (Leviti 1953) Second many of children s misconceptions are derived from erroneous and incomplete information or from misniterpretation or uncriterial acceptance of what they read or are told Thus is especially true in a socially taboo area such as sex which has both a rich folklore and a special mythology for children Such misconceptions are highly resistive to extinction since they tend to be insulated from the corrective influences of social verification Still another group of child hood misconceptions can be traced to confusion between words with differ ent meanings that either look or sound alike

Since there is often a time lag between the correction of misconceptions and the revision of language usage it cannot be assumed that conceptual confusion necessarily exists in all instances where words are used inappro prately On the other hand some instances of incorrect diction that seem to be largely linguistic in origin may have a conceptual basis. The common tendency for children to use tell instead of ask for example may indicate lick of cognitive appreciation of the distinction involved rather than a mistake in concept naming. It may also indicate that althought some ego expansive children appreciate the distinction they conceive of themselves as telling in situations where others would be aslang.

# Classification of Concepts

Perhaps the most important disunction that can be drawn among concepts is that between the conjunctive and disjunctive varieties. In the case of conjunctive concepts all of the essential criterial attributes must always prevail. All of the criterial attributes of disjunctive concepts on the other land need not always be present or may be present in varying degrees they are in other words either or in nature (for instance a real number is either a rational number or an irrational number). A third type of concept relational it sometimes recognized it however is really only a subtype of the other two major categories since many conjunctive and disjunctive concepts in the mode infinult to acquire than are conjunctive concepts (Bruner Goodnow and Austin 1956 Vallace and Seclirest 1961) Concepts also vary in complexity in breadily or inclusiveness and in degree of abstractness (the tragibility or perceptibility of their referents)

# Strategies in Concept Formation

J S Bruner J J Goodnow and G A Austin (1956) have indentified various strategies used in the inductive acquisition of conjunctive concepts

In conservative focusing, the subject begins with one typical exemplar and then successively searches for other exemplars, one at a time, that are similar in all respects but one. In this way he gradually distinguishes between those attributes that are criterial and those that are not Progress is relatively slow but little risk is involved. In focus gambling, the procedure is very much the same except that the subject successively looks for exemplars that change more than one attribute at a time. This strategy naturally involves more risk but leads correspondingly to more rapid progress Successive scanning is the most risky strategy. Here the subject attempts to choose in one operation an entire series of exemplars, each containing the correct combination of criterial attributes.

Under conditions of high cognitive strain,-when there is considerable pressure to attain a concept quickly, the tendency to use risk taking strategies understandably increases. Irrespective of the strategy employed, however, most subjects tend to ignore negative information or to shy away from con cept attainment by exclusion (Braley, 1963, Bruner, Goodnow, and Austin, 1956) They rely instead on confirmation of positive instances L S Braley attributes this plienomenon to the greater demands that the use of negative information makes on memory storage The essential difference between positive and negative information is the fact that a positive instance indi cates explicitly that at least one of the attributes of the instance is criterial, whereas a negative instance indicates that none of the attributes are criterial Thus, in the first case, knowing that one of a given set of attributes must be criterial, enables one to eliminate as exemplars of the class all later instances that do not exhibit at least one attribute of this set In the second case, knowing that none of a given set of attributes is criterial, merely enables one to eliminate all later instances that exhibit any attribute of this entire set, it furnishes useful information but does not provide a positive "lead as a hasis for further search

### Language and Concept Acquisition

The capacity for inventing and acquiring language is one of the most distinctive features of human development. It is undoubtedly both a prerequisite for the original development of culture and a necessary condition for the subsequent acquisition by the individual of the complex cognitive, social, and moral products of the culture in which he lives Without lan guage the development and transmission of shared meanings, values, and traditions would be impossible People would be unable to communicate with each other except in face to face situations, individual relatedness to and interaction between groups could not take place in the absence of physical proximity, and all of the countless intellectual, interpersonal, and institutional manifestations of cultural existence that depend on verbal con ceptualization would be inconceivable

In many respects the speech behavior of infrahuman organisms resembles that of children in the early stages of language development. Thus untuitored minals vocalize spontaneously minite sounds in their environment and communicate effectively with each other. Many animals can also be trained to react differentially to different verbal enes to minic human words and to make appropriate vocal responses to different situations. True representational symbolism however in the sense dust an arbitrary pattern of stimulation is used to signify the meaning of a totally intrelated and dis similar referent that may also often be remote and abstract is unknown at the infra human level at most animals use symbols to represent relatively immediate concrete and physicaffy similar referents. Verbal conceptualiza toon and the use of symbols to represent ideas that transcend concrete experience are undoubledly nonexistent at the infrahuman heed. Furthermore only humans can be said to posses a true (invented) language the symbolic meanings of which are socially rather than genically determined and which manifests an organized synactical structure.

Why only human beings have developed a true language is attribuitable to several factors. First they possess an elaborate vocalizing mechanism capable of great versatility in sound production, tend to babble spontaneously as infants and are relauvely proficent at tunitry. Much more important is their immeasurably greater capacity for representational symbolism for verbal conceptualization and lor handling abstract ideas Lastly because they live in cultural aggregations they are able to standardize and per petitate shared meanings for the verbal symbols they invent

It seems probable that both human infants and infrahuman primates develop rudimentary precategorical concepts that subsume significates with a common perceptual core Because of the absence of language however the processes of abstraction differentiation and generalization are exceed ingly primitive Generic meanings largely consist of modal or generalized mages abstracted from objects and events that are physically similar Sym bols are not used representationally either in the process of conceptualiza tion in the attainment of generic cognitive content or in the labelling of concepts It is largely because of their unique ability to acquire abstract concepts (which itself is so largely dependent on language) that human beings are singularly capable both of meaningful reception learning and of meaningfully solving complex relational problems without coming into direct contact with the objects and pbenomena involved The actual roles of (a) representational symbols in facilitating the transformational opera tions involved in conceptualization and (b) verbalization in refining the product of these transformational operations were discussed in another context. Evidence indicative of the facilitating effect of language on concept acquisition has already been cited

It has already been conceded that intuitive (semi abstract and often subherbal) concepts exist, particularly in childhood, and afterwards in the early unsophisticated stage of acquiring a new discipline 4 such concepts are intuitive and relatively particularistic in nature because their acquisition is dependent on the availability of concrete-empirical ptops. They are functional for purposes of problem solving and further reception learning, but are not nearly as precise, transferable, or efficient for these latter purposes as are the truly abstract and verbal concept meanings that succeed them As suggested earlier, however, when they precede the later developmental acquisition of their abstract verbal equivalents they often enhance meaning fulness and lieb prevent rote assimilation of new conceptual meanings

In conclusion therefore, language plays a central facilitating role<sup>5</sup> in the acquisition of concepts In the first place, contrary to J Plagets (1964) view, language—by virtue of the crucial contributions that both the representational power of symbols and the refining aspects of verbalization make to the process of conceptualization—obviously determines as well as reflects the mental operations (level of cognitive functioning) involved in the acquisition of abstract and higher-order concepts Second, as will be pointed out later, the very process of concept assimilation through definition and context would be utterly inconceivable without language Lastly, language helps insure a certain amount of cultural uniformity in the generic content of concepts, thereby facilitating mitrepresonal cognitive communication

### Developmental Aspects of Concept Acquisition

General developmental changes in concept acquisition have been largely covered already in considering the concrete abstraction dimension of cogin tive development. From the pre-operational stage to the abstract operational stage, three are progressive gams in the level of abstraction at which the

0



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Prior to being verbalized new concept meanings also typically exist for a short while on a sub-erbal level—even in sophisticated older learners. These subverbal concepts are less transferable than their verbal successors, except perhaps under conductions of premature verbalization.

<sup>5</sup> It should be appreciated of course that language is neither necessary nor sufficient for concept attainment Nonverbal children as well as infrahuman mam mals can acquire rudimentary concepts. It is also possible to attain a concept with out realizing it or giving it a name. Even aphases can utilize concepts in problem solving.
process of concept acquisition occurs in the fevel of abstraction of the concept meanings that emerge from this process and in the abstractness and complexity of the kinds of concepts that lie within the child's grasp. As indicated earlier these changes may be grouped under the three qualitatively distinct stages of cognitive development delineated earlier

During the pre-operational stage the child is fimited to the acquisition of primary concepts—those concepts whose meanings he learns by first explicitly relating their criterial attributes to the exemplars from which they are derived before relating these same attributes to cognitive structure Generally speaking the first of these two operations is performed during the hypothesistesting aspect of concept formation It is theoretically possible however and it does occasionally happen that he is presented with the criterial attributes of a new concept but under these circumstances the latter attributes would not be relatable to his cognitive structure unless he were first able to test them explicitly against particular exemplars of the concept In any case since intimate contact with multiple particular exemplars of the concept is necessary for concept acquisition both the concept unalization process itself and its products (the acquired new concept meanings) take place at a low level of abstraction

The pre-operational child's dependence on concrete-empirical experi ence also typically limits lum to the acquisition of those primary concepts whose referents consist of percepuble and familiar objects and events (such as dog house ) This is the case because only with respect to such concepts are there both sufficient available exemplars and exemplars at a sufficiently low level of abstraction for fum to handle at his level of cognitive maturity When he is an adolescent or an adult he may of course discover new primary concepts whose exemplars are themselves highly esoteric abstractions but at the pre-operational stage the exemplars of such concepts are neither as alable nor usable for purposes of concept formation This does not mean that the exemplars of concepts must necessarily be nonrepresenta tional in nature that is consist of actual objects or events Exemplars may also be verbal (consist of concept names) as in the previously cited examples of such low-order superordunate concepts as vegetable and work providing that (a) the concepts they represent are known and have perceptible referents themselves ( carrot bean housekeeping nursing ) and (b) the criterial attributes of the superordinate concept whether discovered or presented are explicitly related to them (the exemplars)

The concrete-operational child's acquisition of concepts proceeds at a much higher level of abstraction and yields correspondingly more abstract concept meanings. He is able to cope with secondary concepts whose mean ings he learns without actually coming into contact with the concrete empirical experience from which they are derived. Since such concepts are acquired by assimilation (by reception fearming) he is merely presented with their criterial attributes, either definitionally or by context. But he does not have to first relate these attributes to priticular exemplars of the concept before the attributes become relatable to his cognitive structure, he depends instead on the use of concrete-empirical props (exemplars of the *attributes*). It has already been explained why the use of such props implies a much higher level of conceptualizing operation than the corresponding use of exemplars of the concept itself. Nevertheless the process of conceptualiza tion is constrained by the particularity of the input data, and typically yields a semi abstract and subserbal type of concept meaning. Only the less com plex kinds of secondary concepts, not too remotely removed from the learner's orbit of personal and vicanous expenence can be acquired at this tume.

The highest level of abstraction in concept acquisition is reached dur ing the stage of abstract logical operations. The criterial attributes of complex and higher-order scenolary concepts can be related duretly to cognitive structure without any concrete-empirical props whatsoever, and the emerging products of conceptualization are redefined by verbalization to yield precise, explicit, and genuinely abstract generic ideas

Concepts are generally attained more rapidly and efficiently with in creasing age (Rossi, 1964, Yudin and Lates, 1963) In addition, several qualitative trends consistent with the stages delineated above have been adequately established

## Increased Abstractness and Precision

One of the most significant developmental trends in concept acquisition consists of a gradual shift from a precategorical to a categorical basis of classifying experience, or from a relatively concrete to a truly abstract basis of categorizing and designating generic meanings. In the precategorical stage, conceptualization does not proceed beyond the step of discriminative analysis (Bruner and Olver, 1963, A E Goldman and Levine, 1963, Reichard, Schneider, and Rapaport, 1944, Russell and Saadeh 1962, Sigel 1953, Vygotsky, 1962, Wallon, 1952, Werner, 1948) Objects and events are grouped in terms of their immediately perceived properties rather than in terms of their class membership. Thus preschool children are likely to classify objects on the basis of nonessential, incidential features spatial and temporal contiguity, or similarity of structure and location During the elementary school years similarity of structure and function becomes a more important classificatory criterion. With advancing age, however as the child approaches adolescence, and as he becomes verbal directed and freed from dependence on concrete empirical experience in lus conceptualizing operations, categorical classification on the basis of abstract criterial attributes becomes the dominant mode of organizing experience. At first concrete images are employed to represent a general class of perceptible objects. But these are gradually replaced by more abstract representational symbols detached from the sumulus properties they signify (Malrieu 1900 Piaget 1900 1994). Werner 1948). Various dimensional properties (for instance size form color) also tend at first to be restricted to the particular objects in relation to which they are originally experienced With increasing age they become conceptualized and attain indepen dent status in their own right. They can then be applied to any relevant object or situation Concomitantly, new and more inclusive higher-order abstractions tend to be formed out of existing first-order concepts (Bruner and Oliver 1963 Piaget 1930 Welch 1940).

It is clear therefore that concepts are cumulative precipitates of cognitive experience and that later meanings are not only built upon but absorb earlier and simpler ones (Strauss 1952) Conceptual development involves a continuous series of reorganizations in which existing concepts are modified as they interact with new perceptions ideational processes affective states and value systems Increasing cognitive sophistication also diffue synetistic and flexible (Schuessler and Strauss 1950 Vinacke 1951) Older children for example are less disposed to regard conceptual opposites (for instance ugliness and beauty) as reified entures than as opposite ends of a conceptual continuum They not only generate concepts of much greatert scope and inclusiveness but also make finer distinctions between closely related concepts (for example dog and wolf') In the same way subcon cepts develop within concepts (terrer and beagle within dog')

It is important to appreciate that children's use of culturally standard ized conceptual terms does not necessarily imply that these terms represent ibe same generic meanings that they do lor adults in the culture. The dil ficulty arises from the fact that children have no other terms to represent their immature conceptualizations and hence are obliged (and are encour aged) to use prevailing biguistic terms Thus dog to a toddler is typically a mover noun (rather than a concept) designating one particular

Daddy does not refer to an adult male who is paternally related to him but rather to the most familiar adult male in his social environment. Later on as the child attempts to generalize his existing concepts to new experience dog represents any quadruped and Daddy any adult male Gen eralization or extension of use occurs on the basis of the objective affective or functional similarity of a new object or attuation to the object or situation originally designated by the word in question (Lewis 19:0). Typically this extension is over inclusive and requires differentiation and restriction to a much leser extent it is also under inclusive and requires widening After the true criterial attributes of a class are properly abstracted over inclusive applications are appropriately restricted and under inclusive applications are appropriately extended. Developmentally speaking therefore this problem is one of fitting conventional symbols which have culturally standardized generic meanings to individual cognitive experience unul symbol concept relationships for the individual come to approximate cor responding relationships holding for the culture at large

symbol concept relationships for the individual come to approximate cor responding relationships holding for the culture at large R Bown (19583) points out that the development of concepts does not necessarily proceed from the concrete (subordinate) to the abstract (superordinate) To the extent that prit of the process of conceptual devel opment consists of differentiating subconcepts out of more inclusive cate gories (for instance carp and perch out of fish ) this contention is undoubtedly valid Nevertheless it must be appreciated that fish to a toddler is not the same superordinate concept that it is to an adult Actually at first it is not a concept at all but rather a particularistic term referring to one or more exemplars of fish and later before a categorical concept subconcepts cin be truly differentiated from a more inclusive concept the latter itself must first be acquired by a conceptualizing process in which concrete (precategoricit) criterial utributes are progressively replaced by attributes that are more obstract or categorical in nature

#### More Concept Assimilation and Less Concept Formation

Paralleling general trends in cognitive development reception learn ing gradually becomes ascendent over discovery learning in the acquisition of concepts Beginning with the child's entrance into school an increasing proportion of his concepts are acquired by definition or use in context. But it is only as he approaches adolescence that such nonspontaneous concepts manifest true categorical and generalized meaning. Prior to this time (during the stage of concrete logical operations) they are still somewhat partic ularistic and intuitive in nature because of their dependence during acquisition on concrete empirical props

sition on concrete empirical props It is not difficult to understand why concept assimilation gradually be comes the predominant mode of concept acquisition once the child reaches school age whereas concept formation although possible at any age level is generally speaking most characteristic of the pre-operational or preschool stage of cognitive development Concept assimilation characterizes the ac quisition of secondary concepts in presupposes sufficient intellectual matturity to relate to cognitive structure the abstracted criterial attributes of a new generic idea even though they (the attributes) are not first in intimate association with the multiple particular exemplars of the concept from which they are derived. Since this degree of maturity does not exist before school age, and only does then when the child has the benefit of concrete empirical props the principal alternative open to the preschooler is to discover the criterial attributes of concepti by himself, using the necessary conceptualizing operations of abstraction, differentiation, hypothesis gen eration and testing, and generalization in so doing the is obviously himited to the more simple kinds of primary concepts whose referents are either perceptible and familiar objects or events, or known concept words that represent such referents But the criterial attributes of a concept that are discovered through concept formation obviously meet the developmental conditions for relatability to cognitive structure, masmuch as they have been abstracted from and tested against particular exemplars of the concept of the concept during the process of conceptualization. Hence there is no problem with respect to the potential meaningfulness of criterial attributes that he discovers by himself.

However once the child can meaningfully relate to his cognitive structure the criterial attributes of a new concept without first relating tilem to multiple particular instances that exemplify it the can acquire concepts much more efficiently By the time he reaches this stage of development, he has also already acquired for the most part, the available supply of primary concepts with familiar and perceptible referents He would thus find at relatively difficult to discover by humself (to acquire by concept formation) the more abstract and complex concepts the attains relatively easily through concepts usin are available to them when they enter school, most individuals discover very few concepts by themselves thereafter. Contributions to cut ture s store of more difficult concepts are made by its more grited members over the course of generations, and become readily available to all other adequately mature members through concept asimilation

During the elementary school years it thus appears that progressive development of the ability to assimilate concepts depends on the same three aspects of cognitive and language development that generally bring about the transition from concrete to abstract cognitive functioning (a) gradual acquisition of an adequate working body of higher-order abstractions that provide the component properties and relational elements constituting the criterial attributes of more difficult concepts, (b) gradual acquisition of 'transactional terms, that is of substantive words such as state,' 'condibasis property, quality, and relationship" and of functional tion or syntactical terms such as conditional conjunctives and qualifying expres sions that are necessary for bringing abstractions into relationship with each other in ways characteristic of the dictionary definition of new con cepts, and (c) gradual acquisition of the cognitive capacity itself, that makes possible the relation of abstract ideas to cognitive structure without the benefit of concrete-empirical props

It is important to recognize and take account of the highly significant interaction that takes place between many assimilated concepts and their subserbl or intuitive precursors As L S Vygotsky (1962) notes, the elementary school child, in acquiring assimilated concepts, is greatly assisted by the existence in his cognitive structure of analogous spontaneous concepts at the pre operational level which he uses nondeliberately and with relatively little cognitive anareness <sup>6</sup> These provide a springboard for the acquisition of "scientific concepts" and for their "downward exemplificauon and everyday reference. But although these spontaneous concepts un doubtedly enhance the meaningfulness of their analogous assimilated counterparts, and probably discourage rote reception learning, they may also, because of their primacy and vividness, interfere with the learning of more precise and categorical enterial attributes. The same kinds of relationships also indoubtedly prevail between the more precise and abstract concepts acquired at the secondary school level and their more intuitive elementary school precursors

In teaching scientific concepts therefore, it is essential to take account of the nature of their spontaneous precursors, that is, explicitly to contrast the two sets of criterial attributes and to indicate why the adoption of the more abstract and precise set is preferable. Within the limits imposed by developmental readiness, systematic verbal instruction in abstract concepts at the elementary school level, combined with appropriate use of concrete empirical props, is pedagogically feasible and can greatly accelerate the acquisition of higher order concepts (Arnsdorf, 1961, O. L. Davis, 1958) It is innecessary and educationally wasteful to wait for such concepts to evolve spontaneously from direct experience. Further, many abstract con cepts (for instance, "pliotosynthesis," 'ionization') can only be acquired verbally since they are not susceptible to direct experience. Other, more concrete concepts (house,' dog," 'red, "hot), on the other hand, are practically meaningless in the absence of actual experience with the objects or phenomena in question.

# Increased Awareness of Conceptualizing Operations

Both J Piaget and L S Vygotsky agree that awareness of the cognitive operations involved in concept acquisation does not develop until the child approaches adolescence and has been exposed to considerable systematic instruction in scientific concepts

 $\tau$  Vygotskys term for assimilated concepts in contradistinction to concepts ac quired by concept formation ( spontaneous concepts )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Work for example 15 both a spontaneous concept acquired from direct experience and a more formal abstract concept with precise enternal attributer

In operating with spontaneous concepts the child is not conscious of them because his attention is always centered on the object to which the concept refers never on the act of thought useff

A concept can become subject to consciousness and deliberate control only when its part of a system In the scientific concept that the child acquires in school the relationship to an object is mediated from the start by some other concept A superordinate concept implies the existence of a series of subordinate concepts and it also presupposes a hierarchy of concepts at different levels of generality. Thus the very notion of a scientific concept implies a certain position in relation to other concepts. The rudiments of systematization first enter the child's mind by way of his context with scientific concepts and are transferred to everyday concepts changing their psychological structure from the top down (Vy gots/ 156° pp 29 29.3).

Awareness of concept acquisition develops late Vygotsky (1962) be lieves because it requires awareness of similarity. This in turn presupposes a more advanced structure of generalization and conceptualization than awareness of difference. Nevertheless even though a child cannot use a word like because deliberately in a test situation and does not really grasp causal relations except in a very primitive and initiative sense he is able to use because correctly in everyday conversation. The rules of syntax too can generally be employed correctly by young children despite complete lack of awareness of the nature of these rules. However deliberate use of such words as because is possible in relation to scientific concepts because the teacher working with the pupil has explained supplied information questioned corrected and made the pupil explain. (Ngotsky 1962 p 107). It is hardly surprising therefore that awareness of concept acquisition and deliberate use of concepts arise earlier in relation to scientific than to spon taneous concepts.

#### Factors Influencing Concept Acquisition

#### Experience, Intelligence, Sex

By virtue of the very way in which concepts are generally formed it is inevitable that the acquisition of particular concepts is dependent on a rich background of relevant experience (Seria 19.3) Concepts in early and middle childhood especially reflect the cumulative impact of first hand concrete-empirical experience over extended periods of time Hence there tends to be a higher relationship between degree of experience (as indicated by school grade and chronological age) and scores on concept tests than between the latter scores and IQ (Deutsche 1937 Vinacke 1951) For this reason also genuine understanding of such concepts as are involved in the appreciation of temporal and sociological relationships cannot be materially increased by exposing children to brief special periods of essentially second hand, verbal practice in school (Eaton 1944, Pistor, 1940) at the very least, systematic didactic instruction using concrete empirical props is necessary When abstractions are introduced premiturely, some children become quite adept at mouthing them and at the same time, concealing their lack of true understanding. This obviously becomes a fertile source for misconceptions and uncritical acceptance of ideas

Although superior mental age, in the absence of corresponding life experience (chronological age) provides little advantage in comprehending abstractions, such comprehension is definitely related to 1Q within a given grade level (Braun 1963 Elkind 1961, Osler and Shapiro, 1961, Osler and Weiss, 1962, Serra, 1953) The correlation between concept scores and either vocabulary or verbil intelligence is lugher than the correlation between these scores and non-trobal intelligence (Deutscle, 1937 H N Hoffman 1955) Apart from conditions of actual cultural deprivation, cultural or social class environment does not have much effect on ability to concept unlike (Deutsche, 1937), but does sensitire the individual to particular areas of conceptual experience Thus it is likely that conceptual learning ability is not a unitary trait, it varies with differential patierns of experience Whatever sex differences appear in concept acquisition appear to conform to this explanation (Elkind 1961, L A Olson 1963)

## Heterogeneity of Instances

Provided that sufficient redundancy or repetition is present to insure adequate unit mastery (overlearning), the defining attributes of a concept are learned most readily when the concept is encountered in a large number of different contexts (Callantine and Warren, 1955, Duncan 1958, Haygood and Bourne, 1964, Hull, 1920, Johnson and Zara, 1960, Lloyd, 1960, Mor risett and Hoyland, 1959, Sassentant 1959, Shore and Sechrest, 1961, C Stern, 1965, Wittrock and Twelker, 1964) By de emphasizing the particularity of single or homogeneous instances, multicontextual learning facilitates the abstraction of commonality, strengthens the generality and transferability of the resulting concept, and endows it with greater stability Small and barely discriminable differences among instances on the other hand, increase the difficulty of concept attainment (Sechrest and Lasa, 1965) In practice the proper balance between heterogeneity and consolidation can be achieved by promoting mastery within a given context or subcategory of exemplars before proceeding to another context.

# Positive versus Negative Instances /

The weight of the evidence indicates that positive instances lead more effectively than negative instances to concept acquisition (Braley, 1963 Hov land, 1952, Hovland and Wess 1953 L. A Olson, 1963) In part, this re fleets the greater amount and the more explicit nature of the information conceyed by positive instances and the smaller burden they place on mem ory (Brale), 1963, Hovland and Wess 1953) More important perhaps is a disinclination on the part of most learners to make use of potentially useful information presented in negative instances and to adopt a strategy of exclusion (Bruner Goodnow, and Austin 1956) J. Huitenlocher (1962), as a matter of fact, found that a negative followed by a positive instance was the most effective combination. With increasing practice, the initial difference between the relative effectiveness of positive and negative instances tends to become progressively smaller (Freibergs and Tulving, 1963). Fryatt and Tulving 1963) This suggests that teachers should explicitly train pupils to make more effective use of negative instances in acquiring new concepts

#### Relevant versus Irrelevant Information

Increased salience of relevant dimensions of a concept tends to facilitate concept acquisition whereas an increase in the amount or salience of irrele vant information has precisely the opposite effect (Hargood and Bourne, 1964, O. L. McConnell, 1964 Rasmussen and Archer 1961, Thysell and Schulz, 1964, Walker and Bourne, 1961). Irrelevant information obviously complicates the task of concept acquisition by increasing the learners task of identifying relevant criterial attributes. As might be readily predicted, relevant information is more effective when it is obvious rather than sublice (Archer 1962, L. A Olson, 1963).

#### Contiguity and Set

When an entire array of instances is simultaneously available to the learner rather than being presented successively, concept acquisition is sig miscantly facilitated (Bourne and Jennings, 1963, Bourne and Parker, 1964, Bourne Goldstein, and Link 1964, Kates and Yudin, 1964) This effect pre sumably reflects the avoidance of memory loss and the possibility of closer grouping during the process of abstracting the criterian attributes of a concept A set or onentation to respond conceptually to simult also facilitates the acquisition of concepts (Della Piana, 1957, Shaffer, 1961, Siegel and Siegel 1965) Chapter 16

# PROBLEM SOLVING AND CREATIVITY

PROBLEX SOLVING REFERS to any activity in which both the cognitive representation of prior experience and the components of a cur rent problem situation are reorganized in order to achieve a designated ob jective Such activity may consist of more or less trial and error variation of available alternatives or of a deliberate attempt to formulate a principle or discover a system of relations underlying the solution of a problem (in sight) When the activity is limited to the manipulation of images, symbols, and symbolically formulated propositions and does not involve overt ma inpulation of objects, it is conventional to use the term *limiting* IT is clear, however, that depending on the approach taken, thinking may either em ploy the method of insight or may be merely an implicit variety of the trial and error percedure Whether insight or trial and error learning is employed in the solution of a particular problem is a function of both the kind of problem involved and of the age prior expenence, and intelligence of the subject

Problem solving, of course, involves discovery learning. The important distinction between meaningful discovery learning and meaningful reception learning has been discussed both generally, in terms of its wider implications for education, and in more explicit detail in earlier chapters. The different pedagogic ways in which these two varieties of meaningful learning can be related to each other in classroom learning and the manner in which they are sequentially interrelated during the different phases of problem solving—in understanding or formulating the problem, in generating a solution and in incorporating the latter solution into cognitive structure—have also been made explicit.

Thus despite the significant differences between these two kinds of meaningful learning—in terms of both underlying process and role in edu cation—it is importing to bear in mind both their interdependence and the commonalities they share in contrast to rote learning Discovery learning is meaningful when the learner nonarbitrarily and substantively relates a potentially meaningful problem setting proposition to his cognitive structure for the purpose of generating a solution that, in turn, is potentially meaningful (relatable to his cognitive structure on the same basis). It there fore implies, under these conditions all of the essential elements that are implicated in meaningful learning generally a meaningful learning set, as ladic ideas in the learners cognitive structure. The distinctive and significant way in which it differs from meaningful reception learning is that the principal content of what is to be learned is not presented to the learner, but must be discovered by him before it can be incorporated into his cog intervestingful

#### The Nature of Problem Solving

In terms of approach, two principal kinds of problem solving may be distinguished, both of which occur at all age levels. The trial and error approach consists of random or systematic variation, approximation, and correction of responses until a successful variant emerges. The insightful approach, on the other hand implies a set that is oriented toward discovery of a meaningful means-end relationship underlying the solution of a problem. It may involve either simple transposition of a previously learned principle to an analogous new situation, or more fundamental cognitive restructuring and integration of prior and current experience to fit the demands of a designated goal Characteristically, insightful solutions appear to emerge suddenly or discontinuously. They are also invariably accompanied by at least some implicit appreciation of the principle underlying the solution of a problem-even if it cannot be successfully verbalized. This understanding is demonstrated functionally both in being immediately reproducible upon subsequent exposure to the same problem, and in be ing transferable to related problems Hence, not only is insightful solution frequently a reflection of transfer or application of relevant established principles to new variants of the same problem, but transferability itself is perhaps the most important criterion of insight Precisely verbalized understanding of a general principle greatly facilitates (through transfer) the solution of particular problems exemplifying it

The utilization of hypotheses is a necessary but not a sufficient condition of insightful problem solving However, it does not, in and of itself provide assurance that an insightful approach is being taken toward solving a par ticular problem Unless hypotheses incorporate means-ends relationships, they may merely represent systematic trial and error elimination of avail able alternatives. The absence of overt trial and error also does not neces sirily imply insightful problem solving, trial and error manipulation in this instance may simply be covert or implicit in thought. On the other hand, insightful solutions are not always complete, perfect or immediate. They often appear after a protracted period of inauspicious search consumed in pursuing unpromising leads.

Tral and error learning is more or less inevitable in problems where no meaningful pattern of relationships exists or is discernable Hence, it is generilly characteristic of motor learning and of the solution of most mazes and complex puzzle box problems. It occurs most efficiently when the subject is both aware of the direction and extent of his deviations from the desired solution, and is permitted to execute the necessary correction and approximation by himself Copying for example, is a much more successful way of learning to write than is tracing. This does not necessarily mean, however, that either verbal coaching (explicit pointing out of errors, suggesting more effective techniques) or drill aimed at specific disabilities (Lehman and Cole, 1928) is not efficacious in the learning of motor skills. As is true of rote discovery learning generally, the occurrence of positive transfer in maze learning is not attributable to the application of relevant, previously learned principles, but rather, to elimination of initial warm up time and to such factors as general familiarity with and orientation to, the type of approach necessary.

Insightful problem solving is obviously a type of meaningful discovery learning in which problem condutions and desired objectives are nonarbitranily and substantively related to existing cognitive structure. It involves "going beyond the information given (Bartlett, 1958, Bruner, 1957)—trans forming information by analysis synthesis hypothesis formulation and test ing, rearrangement, recombination, translation and integration. As pointed out previously, however, it does not necessarily imply completely autonomous discovery Typically, as a matter of fact problem solving in the class room constitutes a form of guided or arranged discovery

room constitutes a form of guided or arranged discovery Much that passes for meaningful problem solving is simply a species of rote discovery learning I is exemplified by the ubiquitous 'type problem approach to the teaching of mathematics and science. There is nothing wrong of course, with solving problems by genuinely indentifying them as exemplars of a larger class to which certain principles or operations apply —providing that one understands the principles in question, why they apply to the particular case, and the relationship between the principles and the manipulative operations used in the application All too frequently, how ever, this is not the case. In most mathematics and science classrooms the solving of type problems involves little more than rote memorization and application of formulas rote manipulation of symbols and the use of in trinsically irrelevant cires for identifying problems as members of a class

# Insight Process versus Product

Insight can be thought of in terms of process or product As product insight refers to certain distinctive characteristics of the end result of mean ingful problem solving as process it refers to a distinctive method of or approach to problem solving

Insight as product possesses the following characteristics (a) subjective a pleased lecting of apt discovery of seeing the light or Eureka (b) ob jective immediate reproductibility and transposability. In the first case we are dealing with a largely affective reaction to the learning product in the second case we are specifying what we can do with insight once it is achieved. More significant however both for learning theory and educational practice is to indicate how insight is acquired in the first place and how it differs from other types of problem solving.

Because of common misconceptions about the nature of insight it might be helpful to summarize this discussion by specifying what it does not involve First contrary to Gestalt formulations its emergence depends on more than just the structure of the problem task it is by no means inde pendent of the learner s prior experience Second it rarely appears abruptly and immediately-despite subjective feelings to the contrary. More commonly it follows a period of fumbling and search of gradual emergence of a correct hypothesis Thus the emergence of insight is reflective of a process of progressive clarification about means end relationships in which the for mulation testing and rejection of alternative hypotheses plays a crucial and integral role in the appearance of correct solutions Insightful problem solving-like other forms of learning-does not conform to the all-or none paradigm Third an hypothesis oriented approach while characteristic of insightful problem solving does not necessarily guarantee that an insightful approach is being used Hypotheses can be generated on a purely pragmatic or empirical basis without my intention of coming to grips with the basic cause and effect issues underlying a given problem and they can also lead to successful problem solving without any genuine understanding of why the solution is successful Lastly insightful problem solving does not in any way presuppose completely autonomous discovery

In conclusion insight as a process of problem solving distinct from blind trial and error solution of a problem implies the existence of a set oriented toward hypothesis generation and testing for the purpose of under standing the significant mensiond relationships in a particular problem. The set is not just to vary responses by approximation and correction until a successful variant eventually appears. Once the insight emerges, there must be conscious awareness of its existence, significance, and atailability rather than mere blind advocacy of a successful variant just because it works' without any understanding of why Ability to verbalize solutions undoubt edly reflects greater original completeness and clarity of insight, both of which are further refined by verbalization itself, it therefore implies greater transferability. Unreportability of insight however, does not necessarily imply either lack of awareness or inability to transfer (Griffin and Beier, 1961)

## Logic and Thought

A commonly held position in psychology today—particularly among psychologists who have had some philosophical training—is that logic and thought are more or less coextensite and that thought consists of the cog mittive exemplification of abstract logical processes in particular individuals It is true that by virtue of his cognitive capacities man has both discovered logic and has learned how to use it in drawing valid interferences from premises and data. Nevertheless the position that logic and thought are one and the same constitutes an unwarranted superimposition of an ab stract and idealized state of affairs onto the reality of cognitive functioning —it equates thought itself with one of its specialized tools and products Although J. Prager (1957a) explicitly denies that logic and thought are one and the same, both his extreme emphasis upon the purely logical appetts of thought, and the fidelity and symmetry with which the logical operations he identifies in children is hought parallel the formal structure of rules between logic and mathematics imply greater perceived coextensiveness between logic and thought than he explicitly acknowledges

Actually much thought involves very little logic. It is not illogical but alogical That is most persons can be reasonably logical about affectively neutral issues when the occasion arises for the application of logic, but in many everyday aspects of thought, the need for and the opportunity of exercising logic simply do not arise Many of the problems with which human beings are typically confronted either cannot be reduced to terms that are susceptible to logical proof or cannot be solved merely by invoking the application of rules of inference to data. It is not implausable to suppose, therefore, that we have somewhat unrealistically oversold the role of logic, and have correspondingly underestimated the role of other factors in typical instances of human problem solving by (a) using the mathematical or log ical problem or the scientific experiment as the paradigm for all problem solving tasks and (b) modeling the general operations of hought after the more formal and specialized operations that serve as rules of inference in mathematics logic and science. The kinds of insightful problem solving in which human beings engage are both more extensive than the paradigm allows and less abstract formal and regorous than the model suggests

# Types of Thinking

Conventional distinctions between inductive and deductive and be tween divergent and convergent thinking tend to be somewhat mislicading First as already pointed out it is seldom the case than an individual approaches a problem with no general hypotheses whatoover to direct the interpretation of data. It is a gross oversimplification therefore to insist that when thinking inductively he proceeds from priticular instances to generalizations generating hypotheses solely from the data uself. At the very most it would be warranted to claim that in inductive thinking both the initial general hypotheses that are generated as well as the final hypoth esis that is selected are typically less familiar and less well-established than in deductive thinking. Second in most instances of problem solving irrespective of whether it is called divergent or convergent thinking the typical sequence of problem solving operations involves the generation of multiple hypotheses (that are less enable (convergent thinking).

#### The Role of Cognitive Structure in Problem Solving

That existing cognitive structure plays a key role in problem solving is evident from the fact that the solution of any given problem involves a reorganization of the residue of past experience so as to fit the particular requirements of the current problem situation Since ideas in cognitive structure constitute the raw maternal of problem solving whatever transfer positive or negative occurs obviously reflects the nature and influence of cognitive structure variables

The possession of relevant background knowledge (concepts principles transactional terms available functions ) in cognitive structure particu Jarly if clear stable and discriminable facilitates problem solving (Saug stad 1955 Saugustad and Rashem 1960) Without such knowledge as a matter of fact no problem solving is possible irrespective of the learner's degree of skill in discovery learning without it he could not even begin to understand the nature of the problem confronting him. Still another cog nitive structure source of positive transfer inheres in applicable general elements of strategy orientation and set that reflect pror experience with related problems. Finally cognitive structure is related to problem solving in a repository as well as in a determinative sense the substantive or methodological product of a problem solving process is incorporated into cognitive structure in accordance with the same principles that are operative in reception learning

Cognitive structure also provides an abundant source of negative trans fer in problem solving One type of negative transfer reflects the preserva tion of inapplicable habitual sets (*Emstellungen*) derived from prior expenence with similar problems (Luchuns 1946) The solution of novel problems obviously requires both improvisation and a search for new directions—a requirement that is often interfered with by a tendency to use the same approach that was found successful in previous problem solving experience (Mater, 1930) The latter exprenence thus generates both helpful and inter fering sets whose relative strength is a function of such factors as primacy.

A second, related source of negative transfer in cognitive structure is commonly referred to as functional fixedness (Chown, 1959, Duncker, 1945) This term describes an inability to conceive of other possible uses or functions of an object in problem solving because of the pre-emptive influence of the more conventional or established use (for instance, the failure to use a pair of pliers as a weight in a pendulum problem) Functional fixedness is increased when the conventional use of an object is experienced first rather than later in the conventional uses during the training period (Flavell, Cooper, and Losselle, 1958)

1900), and is reduced by experience with innusual uses during the training period (Flavell, Cooper, and Losselle, 1958) A final type of negative transfer in problem solving merely reflects the prevalence of certain general reductionistic trends found in the thinking of most persons within a given culture for instance, conceptualizing problems in terms of single rather than multiple causality, the tendency to think in terms of all-or none and dischoromous (either-or) propositions and the preference for conceiving of variability in categorical, as opposed to con tinuous, terms

#### Language and Thought

The developmental relationship between language and thought is still a controversial and unresolved 'chicken—or egg type of problem It is clear at any rate that language and thought are not coextensive Language can obviously be exhibited without thought and vice versa (Vygotsk), 1962) Although simpler kinds of reasoning depend merely on realitively concrete perceptual, and imaginal operations—and are evident in action prior to the emergence of verbal thought—the ability to think in abstract terms obvi ously requires the use of abstract concepts and symbols, only the most primitive kinds of problem solving are possible without language. of manipulable representational symbols in facilitating the transformational aspects of thought and the role of verbalization in refining the produces of thought have been discussed in another context. It is also possible that premature verbalization of insight may impair its transferability because incomplete unclear and unconsolidated verbalized solutions are obviously less functional for purposes of transfer than subverbal solutions that are more adequate in these respects.

Thus the role of language in the facilitation of thought is very similar to its role in concept acquisition. It not only facilitates ideational problem solving (Gagne and Dick 1962) but also the solution of motor and per ceptual problems (Egstrom 1961 Ray 1957). Hypotheses can be formulated and tested nucli more precisely and expeditionsly when they are expressed in verbal form.

### Alternative Views on Problem Solving

Neobehavioristic theorists (Lerlyne 1994 1965 Cofer 1997 Valizman 1955 Orgood 1957) tend to conceptualize thinking as a complex form of effective labit strength which is produced by mediated generalization (Malizman 1955) Depending on whether a juven stimulus checits a single response or multiple responses convergent and divergent mechanisms re specifiely are said to exist. Vechanisms that are mediated by a distinctive common response are conceived of as belonging to the same habit family in essence then problem solving is regarded as a temporal sequence of responses connected in chain like fashion through a verbal mediator. Un answered by this theoretical formulation of thinking are such crucial questions as the basic difference between mightful and trial and-error problem solving the role of existing background ideas in cognitive structure the way in which ideas are reorganized in problem solving and the entire problem of conscious awareness of the process and content of thought

Gesalt theorists (Duncker 1915 katona 1940 kchler 1925 Wert heimer 1950) den jihai thinking is nothing more than the associative chain ing of response emphasizing instead the central role of a reorganization of ideas evenuating in insight According to them the achievement of insight depends solely on the structure of the problem and is an all-or none phenomenon essentially dissontinuous with prior unsuccessful trials in a given problem solving experience. Insight deals not with items and blind connections between items but with the contents and the results of the operations thus understanding the reasonable inner relations between operations and results is the distinguishing feature of insight (Wertheimer 1959). Wertheimer regards thinking as conforming to an organic railier than a mechanical mode of attack if the learner first defines the goal occurring in concept acquisition Especially in the area of thinking and problem solving, it is important to distinguish between those developmental changes that are qualitative in nature and those that are merely quantitative Despite ] Plaget s (Inhelder and Plaget 1958) assertions to the contrary, the weight of the evidence points to the conclusion that some kinds of thought processes, logical operations and problem solving strategies are employed at all age levels differing principally in degree or complexity (Burt, 1919, Long and Welch, 1941a and b Welch and Long, 1943, Werner, 1948) For example, equivalence, discriminative, and eliminative logical operations seem to be qualitatively similar at all age levels once they emerge The older child's greater competence in using these operations largely depends on his superior ability to think abstractly and to generalize Similarly the use of trial and error and insightful approaches to problem solving does not under go qualitative change from one age level to the next Neither approach can be said to be characteristic of children at a designated stage of intellectual development, both are found at all age levels The choice between the two approaches depends mainly, on the intrinsic difficulty and complexity of the problem, on the individual's prior background of exerience and general degree of sophistication in the problem area, and on the susceptibility of the problem to logical analysis and an hypothesis-oriented mode of attack. It is true that older children, on the whole, tend more to use an insightful approach, but this is so only because their greater capacity for abstract think ing makes such an approach more feasible

On the other hand certain qualitative changes in thinking do occur with increasing age These are gradually occurring changes in kind that emerge after a certain threshold value of change in degree has been reached One such change consists of a gradual transition from subjective to objective thought, - of an emergent ability to separate objective reality from subject use needs, wishes, and preferences This trend is responsible for the striking decline that occurs during the elementary school years in autistic, animistic, ethnocentric, magical, anthropomorphic, absolutistic, and nominalisuc

A second qualitative change in thought is reflective of the transition from concrete to abstract cognitive functioning, and illustrates all of the characteristic features of this transition. Because the pre-operational child cannot meaningfully manipulate relationships between secondary abstrac tions, his thought processes are necessarily conducted at a low level of abstraction and also yield products at a correspondingly low level Thus he

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Evidence of such thinking can of course, be found at older age levels also but is much less flagrant and tends to occur under more atypical conditions, such as confrontation with unfamiliar phenomena or problem areas

manipulation of near images pendent both on the over manipulation of objects and on the internal cepts As a result of this developmental constraint has thought does not explicit conservation and has problem solving efforts are relatively de cepts As a result of this developmental constraint has thought does not explicit conservation and has problem solving efforts are relatively de cepts As a result of this developmental constraint has thought does not explicit construction and has been applied by the thought does not constraint problem solving and the problem solving the objects and the over manipulation explicit constraints and the over the over the object explicit constraints and the over more than the object explicit constraints and the over more than the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the over the over the over the over the explicit constraints and the over the explicit constraints and the over the

The concrete operational child can meaningfully manipulate relation ability between secondary abstractions and can, therefore, perform those lingued operations reflective of this expanding. However the s dependent an abstractions) His thought processes are thrus conducted at a qualitatively indicat fevel than those of the preceptational child, but are still constrained abstractions) His thought processes are thrus conducted at a qualitatively indicat fevel than those of the preceptational child, but are still constrained abstractions) His thought processes are thrus conducted at a qualitatively abstractions with the constrained and the processes are the conducted at a qualitatively abstractions. His thought processes are thrus conducted at a qualitatively abstractions with the constrained operations of the abstractions and the processes are the conducted at a qualitatively abstraction of the products of the processes are able to be abstractions and abstractions and the processes are able to a strateging the processes abstractions and the processes are able to a strateging the processes abstractions abstractions abstractions able to a strateging the processes abstractions able to a strateging the processes are able to a strateging abstractions able to a strateging the processes able to a strateging the processes are able abstractions able to a strateging the processes able to a strateging the p

Only in the singe of abstract logical operators, when relationships between secondary concepts can be meaningfully manipulated without any between secondary concepts can be meaningfully manipulated without any auch thought can therefore be fermed through verbalization to yield adeas auch thought can therefore be fermed through verbalization to yield adeas auch thought can therefore be fermed through verbalization to yield adeas table of development is capable of solving problems by formulating general threa are truly explicit, pretrac, abstract, and general The individual at this principles in terms of general telanonships between all possible and ity theorem and the solver and the solver and the solver and the different combinations of abstract variables

### All Level Trends in Problem-Solumb Ability

The increasing ability of children to solve more complex problems with dynamic gage thas been demonstrated both for trial radeutor learning (Ahann, 1954) and for such tess of insighting learning as the double altera ion problem (Gelterman, 1931, Hodge, 1954). Instance and Kates, 1965, Ehrenfreund, 1951, other relational problems (Ehrund, 1966, Heidherder, Ehrenfreund, 1951), other relational problems (Ehrund, 1966, Heidherder, Britenfreund, 1951), other relational problems (Ehrund, 1966, Heidherder, Ehrenfreund, 1951), other relational problems (Ehrund, 1966, Heidherder, Brinds (Alatireson, 1931), Younger children profit leas from Innis (Vield problems (Alatireson, 1931), Younger children profit leas from Innis (Vield and Long, 1943) and are leas able to generalize or transposuon and Britterman, 1955, Nain, and Long, 1943), They have more difficulty with problems at higher levels of absuraction (Burt, 1919, Welch and Long, 1944), and with levels of reasoning gorations (Cong, and Long, 1944), with problems at mgher problems demandung the integration of two isolated experiences (Alater, problems demandung the integration of two isolated experiences (Alater, problems demandung the integration of two isolated experiences (Alater, problems demandung the integration of two isolated experiences (Alater, problems demandung the integration of two isolated experiences (Alater, problems demandung the integration of two isolated experiences (Alater, problems demandung the integration of two isolated experiences (Alater, problems demandung the integration of two isolated experiences (Alater, problems demandung the integration of two isolated experiences (Alater, problems demandung the integration of two isolated experiences (Alater, problems demandung the integration of two isolated experiences (Alater, problems demandung the integration of two isolated experiences (Alater, problems demandung the integration of two isolated experiences (Alater, problems demandung the integration of two isolated experiences (Alater, problems inheres in the advantages that the ability to use verbal symbols provides for the process of gener itization for generating hypotheses for process information and for employing effectent strategies (Neir, 1961)

# Age Level Trends in Problem-Solving Approach

With advancing up as might readily be anticipated, the frequency of overt thal and/error approaches to problem solving declines (G V N Hamilion 1916 Vium 19.1 Nelson 1936) Hypothesis oriented approaches become more complete (Alpert 1928) These trends obviously reflect in part, increasing ability to generalize and to manipulate abstract symbols As K. Lewin (19.1) points out they also reflect the widening and greater differen tition of the child's life space. In the detour problem for example older children focus less exclusively on the obtrusively obvious barrier and the focus and the shortest.

Dider childrun vie more ware that younger children of the existence of a problem when exposed to one (Heidbreder, 1928) Their plan of attack is more systematic and their solutions tend to be more flexible and less stereotyped and perseverative (Elkuid 1966 G V N Humilton 1916, Luidley, 1837, Maier, 1956 Rasheim 1965) Since their knowledge tends to be or gamer diriterius of more highly systemitted turbusive and self consistent categories they adopt a less fragmented approach to problem volving and because they are better, ible to bring prior experience to lear on a cirrent problem (Naier 1936) they pofit more from past imstakes (Lindley, 1897) vounger children on the other band are limited by their inability to focus on more than one aspect of a problem at a time (Praget 1952a) by the dif luxiness of their thinking (Praget 1951b) by their low Irostration toltrance, and by their reluctance to accept the minimum ble givens of a problem on concrete imagery and the physical presence of objects in dienxel (1954). Heir formulations are more dependent on concrete imagery and the physical presence of objects in dienxel tes brief if producins (Praget 1951b). Heir formulations are more dependent on concrete imagery and the physical presence of objects in direct cess heined it producins (Praget 1951b). Meet robations (and heine erronic tigs) rula (actegorical propositions (Praget 1951b). Weich and Long 1913) Finally after solving a problem they acted by capable of verbabilizing (and heine erroniering) the underlying principles (Hendbreder 1923 Praget, 1951b). Roberts 1910)

# ige Level Trends in the Objectualy of Thought

The programme decline in the egocements and subjectivism of chil drens thought is one of the two principal aspects of cognitive development

accounting for age level changes in the quality of problem solving. The grow ing child becomes more asset eo flue own thought processes and better able to distinguish between external reality and lus own experience, between 'the age and the thing agnifed,' and between thought processes and peet of subgreater preference and fess more informed less a matter of subpretive preference and fess more of autistic premises (Heidbreder, 1927, Praget, 1928), 1929). Logical inforence becomes less a matter of subpretive preference and fess more of autistic premises (Heidbreder, 1927, Praget, 1928). The more analycertistic advectation for these organised with the child is development of nonons of causality

children s thinking as defined eather nowerer, is incompatible with the existence of certain qualitative stages in (Dennis, 1912, Deutsche, 1937, M E Oakes, 1946) None of these facts, shows much specificity and dependence on particular relevant experience more, changes tend to occur gradually and the quality of causal thinking Joung children (Dennis, 1943, Hazhitt, 1930, M E Oakes, 1946) Further 1940), some adolescents and adults even give responses characteristic of levels (Deutsche, 1937, Grigsby, 1932, M E Oakes, 1916, R W Russell, between age groups All kinds of causal explanations are found at all age 1321' H E Oares, 1946) On the other hand, much overlapping prevails mercessing ale in the quality of children's causal explanations (Deutsche, Even Paget's severest critics concede that there is gradual improvement with the age of 8 to 10 (Colten and Hansel, 1955, Lacey and Dallenbach, 1940) antecedent-consequent relationships in the adult sense of the term prior to Crigsby, 1932, Mogar, 1960, R W Russell, 1940) and rarely appreciate through gross qualitative stages of causal thinking (Dennis, 1942, 1943, contradictory in support of J Pragets sien, children do seem to pass Considered in this light, the evidence regarding stages' becomes less

Externatization and objectification are relatively early steps in the development of ideas of causality (Priget 1954a). The infant must learn to distinguish between independent systems of cause and effect in the external distinguish between independent systems of cause and effect in the external ins needs, that parents are mediators of need antifaction, and that he is bus needs, that parents are mediators of need antifaction, and that he is certuinely dependent on them (Ausubel, 1958), but although magnesi ins needs, that parents are mediators of need antifactions, 1943, Praget, 1993, R. V. Wassell, 1940), at by no means disappeats, even in adults (Dennis, executively dependent on them (Ausubel, 1958), but although magnesi 1993, R. V. Wassell, 1940), at by no means disappeats, even in adults (Dennis, and more linghly formalized, inta is, magnesi properties and base attributed more to special words, objects, rituals, and bangs, and leas to wishing. Concominnity, mechanical and abunding to wores are distributed more to special words, objects, rituals, and bangs, and leas to wishing concominnity, mechanical and abunding to wores are distributed more to special and antificialistic' interpretations of autifications of autifications of autificialistic' interpretations of autifications and antificialistic' interpretations of autifications and antifications and antificialistic' interpretations of autifications and antifications and antifications of autifications and antifications and autifications of autifications and autifications and autifications of autifications and autifications and autifications and autifications and autifications and autifications of autifications and autifica

vi frequery

By animism J Piaget means the 'tendency to regard objects as living and endowed with will" (Piaget, 1929) The related concept of artificialism refers to a type of personification in which creative activity in nature is attributed to some human agency rather than to naturalistic phenomena. At first, according to Piaget, the child regards everything that is active, whole, and useful as alive Later, hie is attributed only to moving objects. The sull more sophisticated child applies the criterion of spontaneous movement. Finally, only plants and animals, or only animals, are considered to be alive Other investigators (Huang and Lee, 1945, Klingensmith, 1955) have shown that when a child states that somethang is 'alive, he mostly means that it is active, and does not necessarily attribute to it the anthropomorphic characteristics of feeling, seeing, knowing, thinking, wanting, breathing,

Animistic tendencies are also not restricted to children, but are mani fested even by educated adults in our culture when required to explain events completely beyond their sphere of experience and competence (Haz htt, 1930, M E Oakes, 1946) This suggests that the crucial factor in causal thinking is making a judgment of relevance between antecedent and consequent. For the unsophisticated child (or adult) antecedence in itself, as well as animistic, magical, and artificialistic connections between antecedin and consequent, seems to be sufficient criteria of relevance (Piaget, 1952) Given the benefit of increased incidental experience and instruction, however, he learns to avoid attributing causal significance to irrelevant and purely temporal antecedents of consequences, and to avoid generalizing the expectation of similar consequences in all situations superficially similar to a particular cause-ffect sequence (Ausubel and Schiff, 1954)

### Factors Influencing Problem Solving

Much can be learned about process factors influencing problem solving by comparing the respective performances of sourcessful and unsurcessful problem solvers (Bloom and Broder, 1950) To begin with successful problem solvers flounder less, they are more decisive in choosing "some point at which to begin their attack." In many instances this simply reflects greater attention to and comprehension of the directions Second, they focus more on the problem to be solved rather than on some irrelevant aspect of the problem Third, they can better bring to bear on the problem the relevant knowledge they possess They perceive more clearly the implications and applicability of their knowledge to the problem at hand, and are less con fused by a dange in working or notation Fourth, they exhibit a more active and vigorous process of search. Their approach is less passive, superficial, and

### Duncan, 1959, O W McNemar, 1955)

#### Task Factors

Practice shift a variety of problems in a great data tends to enhance transfer in problem solving (C P Duncan, 1958) Heterogeneity of exemplats prearmably discourages blind preservation, forces the subject to remain alert and attentive, and increases the generative and hence the transiterishifty of non is highful (Overing and Travers, 1966) because it adds variety to the problem task As pointed out previously however, the transiter effects of interogeneity are negative unless mastery within each problem type is deterogeneity are negative unless mastery within each problem type is deterogeneity are negative unless mastery within each problem type is deterogeneity.

The development of problem solving ability obvously requires ong term experience in coping with problems for reasons already given, some of this experience should be autonomous or unguided There are good facilitates problem solving (see also liturate and foos, 1956, Anter, 1950, Alalternan, Eisman, Brooks, and Smith 1956, N R. Marks, 1951, Reid facilitates problem solving (see also liturate and toos, 1956, Anter, 1950, Alalternan, Eisman, Brooks, and Smith 1956, N R. Marks, 1951, Reid facilitates problem solving (see also liturate and toos, 1956, Anter, 1950, Alalternan, Eisman, Brooks, and Smith 1956, M R. Marks, 1951, Reid facilitates problem solving effective in developing problem solving ethicit relay on certain general liturate about the strategies employed and the solver of the solver and the strategies employed and the solver solver and the strategies employed and the solver and the strategies and the strategies employed and the solver and the strategies and the strategies employed and the solver and the strategies and the strategies employed and the solver and the strategies and the strategies employed and the solver and the strategies and and the solver and the strategies and the st

Although research findings are equivocal, concretences of the problem in problem solving (Cobb and Bremese, 1952, Cobb, 1956) Theoretical in problem solving (Cobb and Bremese, 1952, Cobb, 1956) Theoretical considerations suggest that concretences makes more of a difference in the case of young children and when the problem area is particularly unfamiliar Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem and Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the problem (Hoffman, Prior experience with a simpler version of the prior experience with a sinterversion

Витке, and Mater, 1963), as well as specific experience with objects in un

related situations (Birch and Rabinowitz, 1951), tend to induce negative transfer. They apparently establish a perseverative, interfering set related to functional fixedness.

#### Intrapersonal Factors

Intelligence is one of the most important determinants of problemsolving ability For one thing, reasoning power is a prominent component of all mieligence tests For another, many other intellectual abilities measured by the intelligence test (comprehension, memory, information processing, ability to analyze) affect problem solving IQ is positively related to both trial and error (Munni, 1951, Nelson, 1956) and insignitual problem solving (Gellerman, 1931, Harootuman and Tate, 1960, Munn, 1951). How ever, for those kinds of problem solving that depend on cumulative medental experience, for example, cauxit thinking (Deutsche, 1937) and appheations of the lever principle (G M Peterson, 1932), grade in school is a more significant correlate of success than either IQ or scoeceonomic status Brightness level also affects approach to problem solving When mental age is held constant in a categorization problem, older (and duller) children adopt a more concrete and less self-consistent approach use more categories, and are more immediate minded' They also find it more difficult to shift from one basis of categorization to another (Kounin, 1943). It cannot be emplassized too strongly that the possesion of relevant background knowledge is an important determinant of problem solving capacity Hemistic kill is no substitute for submantive knowledge in most everyday and academic publiem solving tasks. This sample principle, how

It cannot be emphasized too strongly that the possession of relevant background knowledge is an important determinant of problem solving capacity Henrisic skill is no substitute for substantive knowledge in most everyday and academic problem solving tasks. This simple principle, how ever, is frequently overhooked when findings from laboratory studies are uncritically extrapolated to real life situations it is typically forgotien that problem tasks in the laboratory are dehiberately selected on the basis of relative independence from antecedent televant experience. Nevertheless, understanding of relevant principles and concepts, while necessary for problem solving is not a sufficient condution, many other cognitive and person ality variables are implicated. Thus, although successful problem solving unambiguously indicates that understanding is present.

Other cognitive traits such as open mandedness, flexibility, capacity for generating multiple and novel hypotheses, attentiveness, incisiveness, problem sensitivity, intellectual curiosity, and ability to integrate ideas influence problem solving in rather self evident ways. Cognitive style, as suggested previously, is obviously a relevant factor, particularly with respect to general strategies of problem solving. Although evidence is lacking, it seems revison able to suppose that problem solving ability is not a highly generalized trait.

אוווות של מרכיני מרכיז סל ומשמת בתובר סו ווב המכיני בארירוביני. איוווים איוויות של מרכיני בארירוביני.

tor ambiguity perseveration, disposition to improvise, premature closure, and intolerance because of us relationship to rigidity, construction of the cognitive field, enect on problem solving, particularly in the case of novel and difficult tasks, effect may be anticipated Anxiety level, as pointed out earlier has a negative complacency, and when self criticism becomes self derogation, the opposite Pearson, and Welch, 1966), when self confidence borders on dogmatism of but when renturesonieness or decisiveness approaches impulsiveness (Kagan, 1962) facilitate problem solving when present in a moderate to ligh degree, ness, self confidence, and self critical ability (A Alpert, 1928, Kempler, and personality traits such as high kinetic level, decisiveness venturesome (Balurick, Titts, and Rankin, 1952, Easterbrook 1950) Many temperamental construct the cognitive field and to promote rigidity and preservation French and Thomas, 1958), but excessive drive or emononality tends to tolerance affect problem solving outcomes in a positive way (A. Alpert, 1928, Mouvational traits such as drive, energy level, persistence, and frustration mechanical puzzle problems (Munn, 1954) and in arithmetical reasoning dunking (Russell, 1910) are not significant, but boys tend to surpass guils in Sex differences in verbal problem solving (Munn, 1954) and causal

Personality variables undoubtedly mieract with such sumatorial factors as success and failure Success experience enhances self confidence, venture someness, and disposition to improvise, whiereas failure keypenence has the opposite effects (Rlinne, 1955) A mild degree of failure, however, may prove salutory by interessing drive, attentiveness, and willingness to consider other alternatives (George, 1964)

# The Trainability of Problem Solving Skills

The usue of whether, and to what extent, problem solving shills are whether transmission of the contrast matter problem solving shills are bluch of the contraston stems from failure clearly to specify the different bluch of the contraston stems from failure clearly to specify the different care yet extrapolate findings from failure matter by but to proture susceptibility to training Equally important in this regard is the tentre susceptibility to training Equally important in this regard is the constration of the most part, also, investigators have tended to ignore to long term changes in problem solving expactly both in a calcium and the problem of the generality of the effects of training the problem of the generality of the effects of training the problem of the generality of the effects of the innustion of the generality of the effects of the innustion of the generality of the effects of the innustion of the generality of the effects of the innustion of the generality of the effects of the innustion of the generality of the effects of the innustion of the generality of the effects of the innustion of the generality of the effects of the innustion of the generality of the effects of the single of the set of the general set of the generality of the effects of the single of the general set of the generality of the effects of the single of the set of the general set of the generality of the effects of the single of the set of the general set of the generality of the set of the generality of the set of the

is to mattrict the learner in various general principles that have emerged Perhaps the most widespread approach to training in problem solving from theoretical analysis of the thinking process and from comparative observations of successful and unsuccessful problem solvers Such general hints include the following formulate and delimit the problem before try ing to solve it, avoid the narrowing of attention to a single aspect of the problem, go beyond the obvious, be aware of and avoid the possibility of functional fixedness and negative transfer, abandon unpromising leads and explore other alternatives, question the reliability and representativeness of your data, make explicit the assumptions underlying any set of premises, distinguish clearly between data and inference, make use of the information derived from disconfirmed hypotheses and accept with caution conclusions that agree with your own opinions Some success has been reported for this approach (Bloom and Broder, 1950, Mater, 1930) It must be appreciated, however, that such instruction while having applicability to almost all problems, is so general in nature that its usefulness in any particular problem is rather limited The aspects of problem solving that are more specific to a given discipline undoubiedly influence problem solving outcomes more significantly than do these lines about problem solving in general

Short term training programs designed to develop or enhance specific kinds of thinking ability have not been generally successful (Ausubel and Schuff, 1954, Ervin 1960b, Smedslund, 1961, Wohwill and Lowe, 1962) However, long term and intensive training, using programmed instruction techniques, has led to the acquisition, retention, and transfer of rather complex problem solving skills in first grade children (R. C. Anderson, 1965) Similarly, training in using alternative solutions has been shown to enhance positive transfer in problem solving (Ackerman and Levin, 1958, Riopelle, 1953 Schroder, and Rotter 1952) In all of those studies, of course, the generality of the transfer effect was minimal. More ambitious training programs striving for more generalized enhancement of thinking include R Suchman's Inquiry Training Program, M L. Abercrombie's group discussion approach, brainstorming techniques (Parnes and Meadow, 1959) and R. S Crutchfield's (1966) provision of systematic, long term prac tice and feedback in exercises designed to enhance productive thinking None of these investigators, however, has been able to demonstrate any impressive degree of transfer to problem solving situations in other contexts, disciplines or subdisciplines. Their efforts, in other words, loundered on the improbable thesis that there is such a thing as a general heuristics of discovery Discredited theories in psychology, such as the doctrine of formal discipline, tend to die hard. They are periodically revised under other more palatable rubrics and slogans B O Smith s (1960) approach, based on training in the logic and heuristics of particular disciplines is more consonant with what is known about scientific method, the heuristics of problem solv ing, and the transferability of problem solving skills. However, he has yet to adduce empirical support for his formulations

Bui ng all of these objectives much use can be made of skillful Socratic question theoretical sophistry, and uncruical extrapolation and analogy in further ranguage is used precisely and students are sensitized to verbal magic, quecipine and with its characteristic strategies of discovering knowledge paste theoretical, epistemological, and methodological problems of cach warranted and unwarranted inferences They are familiarized with the to distinguish between hypotheses, assertions, and facts, as well as between encouraged Pupils are taught to recognize and challenge resumptions and regrative reconciliation of ideas relorinulated in idiosyncratic language is concepts is emplussized, a critical, questioning attitude is fostered and in stressed, explicit delineation of similarities and differences between related more autonomous problem solving experience Precise definition of terms is torn of reception learning supplemented by both guided discovery and Much of such teaching can be employed within the framework of an active a critical approach to the teaching of particular subject matter disciplines On both theoretical and practical grounds it can never amount to more than more than an illusory goal and a recurrently fashionable slogan in education The teaching of critical thinking in a generalized, global sense is little

To summatrice, the chief sources of variance in problem solving ability of a discipline, (b) such counces of variance in problem solving ability of a discipline, (b) such councils, cognitive determinants as problem semiturity, ong making and miciblectual curtosity, cognitive style, general knowledge about effective problem solving in particular discriminants as problem solving for the spin and micible and the cases of such determinants as problem solving effective problem solving in particular discriminants approach to the vari mig in problem solving in particular discriminants approach to train and strategy of problem solving in particular discriminative past exdimension of the varimeter solved and the cases of such determinants as problem solving periodical that problem solving in particular discriminants approach in the set of effective problem solving and strategy of problem solving in particular discrimination.

# Creativity

Creatury is one of the vegence, most ambiguous, and concentrate because of the conceptual concentration and the current and because of the conceptual confusion surrounding this term, many otherwise domaining facts and catchpirases on the current elecational address and most because of the conceptual confusion surrounding this term, many otherwise domaining that and the current mecuaring the factors of the presence of the conceptual confusion surrounding this term, many otherwise the current of the conceptual contration of the current of the current of the conceptual content of the current of the current of the conceptual content of the current regarding the nurturance of creativity, and many otherwise well trained educational and school psychologists have deluded themselves into behaving that they are able to identify pupils with unusual potentialities for creativity

Much of the semantic confusion regarding the term "creativity' stems from failure to distinguish between "creativity' as a trait inclusive of a wide and continuous range of individual differences, and the "creative person" as a unique individual possessing a rare and singular degree of this trait, that is a degree sufficient to set him off qualitatively from most other individuals in this regard The same difficulty also exists with respect to 'intelligence, but gives rise to less confusion because the term is more familiar Everyone agrees that all degrees of intefligence exist, that even an imbecile exhibits some manifestations of intelligent behavior But when we refer to an "intelligent person, we mean only someone who is at the upper end of the distribution of IQ scores, someone who exceeds a hypothetical cut-off point separating intelligent individuals from the general run of mankind Thus, although creativity undoubtedfy varies along a continuum, onfy the rare individual who makes a singularly original and significant contribution to art, science, literature, philosophy, government, and so forth, can be called a creative person The creative person is, by definition, a much rarer individual than the intelligent person. Thousands of intelligent individuals exist for every one who is truly creative

It is important, therefore, to preserve the criterion of unique and singular originality in designating a person as creative All discovery activity is not qualitatively of one piece In the course of growing older, for example, every infant inevitably discovers that objects continue to exist even when they are out of sight, this discovery, liowever, fardly manifests the same quality of creativity as Einstein's formulation of the theory of relativity. Similarly, a sixth grade pupil may exhibit some degree of creativity in composing a song or writing a poem, but this does not mean that his accomplishments differ from Each's and Shakespeare's merely in degree rather than in kind. The fact that it is often difficult to measure originality, and that great discoveres may frequently go unrecognized for decades or cen itures, does not detract in the least from the existence of qualitative differ ences in creative achievement A creative person must do more than simply produce something that is noted or original in terms of his *som* if the more than simply

A truly creative individual, therefore, is rare not primarily because he lacks appropriate experience to develop his creative potentialities, but because he is, by definition, at such an extreme point in the distribution of creative potentialities that fire is qualitatively discontinuous from persons exhibiting lesser degrees of creativity. This is not to deny the important role of the environment in the development of creativity; many potential Motaris, for example, have spent their lives as peasants and cobblers But even assuming an optimal environment, creative individuals would still

for their development are present in other works, is general rule principle determinant of creative persons in other works, is general ruleinna a pecified range of environmental inductors. These as immung rather than as directive factors A good environment is less of a formative inductor in the actualization of a formative inductor in the sectialization of the present of personal inductors. These of a formative inductor in the sectialization of the personal inductors and the personal inductors. These of a formative inductor in the sectialization of the personal as the personal inductors. These of a formative inductor is a formative inductor of the personal inductors and the personal is a formative inductor of the personal inductor in the sectial personal inductor is a formative inductor of the personal inductor of the personal inductor of the personal is a formative inductor of the personal inductor of the personal is a formative inductor of the person

employed to measure this ability provements (Cullord, Wilson, Christensen, and Lewis, 1951) have been as unusual uses, consequences, impossibilities, problem situations and im as the distinctive attribute of creative thinking and such Guilford type tests Christensen, 1959) Mudi stress also, is currently laid on divergent thinking Culford, Wilson, Christensen and Lewis, 1951, Kettner, Culford, and uonal fluency, and problem sensitivity (Cuilford and Merrifield, 1960, spility, spontaneous flexibility, word fluency, expressional fluency, associa such component trates or abilities as originality, redefinition, adaptive flex 1960) These latter aspects of intellectual functioning presumably include the hypotheses (Torrance, Yamamoto, Schenetzlu, Palamutlu, and Luther, potheses, and communicating the results, possibly modifying and retesting clements, forming ideas or hypotheses concerning them, testing these hy of creative thinking as the process of sensing gaps or disturbing, missing traits Typical of the latter conception of creativity is Torrance s definition supportive intellectual abilities personality variables and problem solving talent in a particular field of endeavor), and as a general constellation of ticularized and substantive capacity (a rate and unique manifestation of ativity reflects the failure to distinguish between creativity as a highly par A second source of semantic confusion regarding the concept of cre

However, without dentying in any way the scuence of general sugpointe ability, it must be instead that such probabilitues do not consultue the essence of creative ability, and personality capacity related to incertificies, is a partucularized intellectual personality related to reative ability, the instantive content for a nucleatural and personality traits, and with in the substantive content of a given field of human endexior, rather than a set of general, content for entellectual and personality traits, and with in the substantive content of a given field of human endexior, rather than a set of general, content for entellectual and personality traits, and with in the substantive content of a given field of human endexior, rather than a set of general, content for entellectual and personality traits, and with in developing maghts sensitivities, and appreciations in a circumscraft on developing maght sensitivities, and appreciations in a circumscraft on developing maght sensitivities, and appreciations in a circumscraft on developing maght sensitivities, and appreciations in a circumscraft on developing maght sensitivities, and appreciations in a circumscraft on developing maght sensitivities and appreciations in a circumscraft on developing maght sensitivities and appreciations in a circumscraft on developing maght sensitivities for attuate and with an an of other supporties and and of other supporties abilities as well, undoubtedly facilitates the setualisation of particularized and suband substantive and substants and sub-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It may sometimes happen of course that a single individual possesses more

measures of creative aptitude, on the other hand, exhibit satisfactory generality over component elements, and can, therefore, be considered reflective of a stable cognitive trait that both plays a supportive role in creative per formance and is independent of mitellingence

Much more important than the relationship between intelligence and supportive measures of creativity is the relationship between intelligence and true substantive creativity. The evidence invariably shows that creative individuals in art, literature and science are more intelligent than non creative individuals (Drevdahl and Cattell, 1958, Hitt and Stock, 1965), and that high IQ persons contribute much more than their share of notable and original discoveries in the various disciplines (Ternian and Oden, 1959) This suggests, of course, that intelligence like other supportive cognitive traits, makes possible and implements the expression of substantive creativity (Price and Bell, 1965) In other words, a certain minimal degree of intelligence above the average is necessary for the actualization of creative poten tialities But above this critical level the relationship between intelligence and true creativity is approximately zero (Drevdahl, 1956, MacKinnon, 1962, Terman and Oden, 1959) The noncreative high IQ individual who does very well on academic tasks and is vocationally successful, but who never generates an original idea, is a very familiar figure in our culture Contrari wise, many highly creative individuals do not sport spectacularly high IQ s

# Creativity and Academic Achievement

Research findings on the relationship between creativity and academic achievement tend to be contradictory Some investigators (Cline, Richards, and Needham, 1963, Getzels and Jackson, 1959, 1962, Torrance, 1960a, Yamamoto, 1964 a, b, and c) report that scores on Guilford and Torrance type creativity tests correlate just as highly with criteria of academic achievement as do intelligence test scores They find no difference in academic achievement between high creativity groups and high intelligence groups despite a mean difference of about 20 points in IQ between the two kinds of groups High creativity individuals are also significantly superior to low creativity individuals on all sub-tests of the Iowa Test of Educational De velopment when the effects of totelligence are controlled statistically (Yamamoto, 1961c) I Flescher (1963), however, obtained no significant relation ship between creativity test scores and academic achievement scores, and M P Edwards and L E Tyler (1965) found that a high intelligence group of ninth graders was superior to a high creativity group on both achieve ment test scores and grade point average

Flescher's (1963) data provide a possible means of reconciling these contradictory findings Since his measures of creativity were unrelated to intelligence test scores, it could be argued that the positive correlations be

with pupils who ranked high in scholastic aptitude alone upper third of the distribution in both scholastic aptitude and creativity, Tyler (1965) did find when comparing minih grade pupils who were in the ativity and school grades This is precisely what MI P Edwards and L. E and Jackson, 1962) we can anticipate a negative relationship between cre rupuve of classroom routine, and hence often urntate their teachers (Getzels a matter of fact, since creative students tend to be nonconforming and dispacify for making original or creative communions to that discipline As subject matter discipline does not in any way presuppose conspicuous ca should be related to acadenuc achievement, masmuch as mastery of a given are not On purely deductive grounds it seems quite unlikely that creativity some of these tests may be positively related to achievement whereas others subility, in view of the low intercorrelations among creativity tests, is that superior in achievement to low creatives (Yamamoto 1964c) Another pos the effects of meelligence are statistically controlled, high creatives are still latter studies However this cannot be a complete explanation because when scant relationship between creativity and intelligence measures in these tween creativity and achievement in the other studies reflected the signif

#### Rersonality Correlates of Creativity

centered, exhibitionistic, and prone to retreat to the role of observer They relations with others they are unconventional, rebellious, disorderly, self spectracess and greater femining than noncreative individuals In their the whole, they exhibit higher ego strength and self acceptance, more introdetermination, industry, independence, individualism, and entinusiasm On teally sensitive Their self image abounds in such traits as inventiveness, uonally mature, renturesome self sufficient, and emotionally and acsthet nant, and have a sense of desuny about themselves They tend to be emomotivational standpoint they are ambitious, achievement-oriented, domi In general they delight in paradoxes and in reconciling opposites From a dalil, 1956 Drevdahl and Cattell, 1958, MacKunnon, 1960, 1961, and 1962) than in theoretical ideas and symbolic transformations (Barron 1963, Drev and are less mierested in small details and in the practical and concrete and tolerant of ambiguity, liave wide ranging interests, prefer complexity, from within), skepucal and verbally lacile They are flexible, open minded, maghtful, independent in judgment, open to new experience (especially On the cognitive side, creative individuals tend to be original, perceptive, viduals who have achieved success and recognition in their chosen fields are consistent with what one would expect of original and talented indi such areas as art architecture, literature and science In general, these traits tensues of persons who have been rated by competent judges as creative in Considerable research has been conducted on the personality charac

tend to make deviant scores on the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality In ventory, but this is undoubtedly more reflective of complexity of personality, candor, lack of defensiveness, and openness to experience than of genuine personality distortion (Barron, 1963, Drevdahl, 1956, Drevdahl and Cattell, 1958, Hammer, 1961, MacKinnon, 1960, 1961, 1962)

Of somewhat less psychological significance are the personality characteristics associated with the supportive cogniture criteria of creativity M A Wallach and N Kogan (1965) found that their high creatives tended to be broad rather than narrow categorizers, to be tolerant of an unconventional type of hypothesizing about the world, and to be responsive to affec use aspects of the environment. Their high-creative ligh intelligence group were light in self confidence and self-esteem and low in defensiveness, en joyed a high sociometric tatus actively sought the companionship of others, and exhibited a light attention span and ability to concentrate, but at the same tended to display more than their fair share of attention seeking and disruptive behavior. On the other hand high creatives who were low in mielligence exhibited the opposite set of characteristics except for attention seeking, disruptive behavior in the classoom Anxiety level was middling for the high creative groups when it was either very high or very low, it appeared to depress creativity. This suggests either that a moderate degree of anxiety is productive of moderate anxiety.

## Identification of Creative Potentialities

It follows from our substantive conception of creativity that potentiality for creativity can be measured only in terms of capacity for sustained and highly original achievement in an umportant area of human endeavor AI though knowledgeable experts can reliably and validly identify creativity after it has matured and eventuated in a substantial body of work or per formance, the identification of creative potential prior to actualization is a much more difficult matter Satisfactory methods are not yet available Self-stumates are invariably inflated and have little validity (Feldhusen, Denny, and Condon, 1965, Richards, Chie, and Needham, 1964) Teacher estimates are not much more subsfactory because they are based on general ued impressions, they exclude that in terr rater reliability (Piers, Daniels, and Quakenbush, 1960) The only feasible approach seems to le in expert judgments of actual work products taking inexperience, immaturity, and varjing rates of development into consideration (Exiner, 1965)

Some shortcomings of Guilford and Torrance type tests, emphasizing divergent thinking as measures of creative potential have already been considered in the first place, they do not exhibit an independent common quality, generally correlating as highly with intelligence as they do among

but rather tarnous supportive cognitive trains of divergent limiting are molect miniably containing the such factors as verbal fluency and glubness upon intered self expression, impulsivity and deficient self entical ability. Third, these instruments have not been validated agrinst substantive creativity in breaktive validaty inasmuch as they do not measure substantive creativity interest is a construment in they do not measure substantive creativity predictive validation inaginated agrinst substantive creativity predictive validation in the substantive creativity interesting and and agrinst substantive creativity interesting and agrinst substantive creativity interesting and agrinst substantive creativity interesting and agrinst substantiation and agrinst substantive interesting agrinst substantiation agrinst substantive creativity interesting agrinst substantiation agrinst substantive creativity interesting agrinst substantiation agrinst substantiation agrinst interesting agrinst substantiation agrinst substantiation agrinst interesting agrinst substantiation ag

M = V Violada and N Regar (1965) densed a measure of creative potential based upon the total number and unquences of relevant associa tions under conditions that maximize tash—as opposed to ego orientation independent of intelligence, exhibits higher generabity of function, and is first interaction of intelligence, exhibits higher generabity of function, and is less influenced by containmaning factors Howerer, the same limitations first infortulation incongratity between resulting in the same limitations intelligence is intore containing to evaluative and antielligence ist sorres into a distribution incongratity between creativity and intelligence ist sorres into a distribution incongratity for evaluative and nonerlikance satual inclusive factors of the abilities measured by the two kinds of institutions.

In recent years, much interest has been expressed in the use of eurosity as an index of creative potenuality At the very most however, curosity can be regarded as a support ve motivational variable that is possibly related to creative achievement, evidence regarding this relationship is not yet avail able Afeasurement is also a difficult problem. Teacher, peer, and self atungs (Afaw and Maw, 1961 and 1962) are of questionable reliability and validity (Afaw and Maw, 1961 and 1962) are of questionable reliability and validity Generality of function is another serious problem. For a first posteral lactor of curosity could be adultified, it would probably have intel psychological ignificance, since it is also forel of curosity in particular substantive areas that affects the productivity or creativity of an individual s work that affects the productivity or creativity of an individual s work

# Fostering Creativity in the School

Much militant sentimentality underlier the currently populat educe to an objective of making verry public a creative thinker and of helping hum discover discontinuously new ideas and ways of looking at things. This objective, is in part a wish fulfilling extension of our present day procecupaion and to the naive conception of human plasticity which holds that, even in and to the naive conception of human plasticity which holds that, even and to the naive conception of human plasticity which holds that, even and to the naive conception of human plasticity which holds that, even and to the naive conception of human plasticity which holds that, even any and to the naive conception of human plasticity which holds that, even that a child has no creative potentiatines, inspired to echang can rease the any set of the second set of the gende, catalytic ending can be rease the any set of the naive conception of human plasticity which holds that, even the construction of the gende, catalytic influence of sensitive or the event echang can be and any set of the set of the second set of the set of the event echang can be and any set of the set of the set of the set of the event echang can be any set of the event echang can be any set of the event echang set of the set of the set of the event echang set of the event echang set of the set of the set of the event echang set of the set of the set of the event echang set of the set of the set of the event echange set of the set of the set of the event echange set of the set of the set of the event echange set of the set of the set of the set of the event echange set of the set of the set of the event echange set of the set of the set of the set of the event echange set of the set of the set of the set of the event echange set of the set of the set of the set of the event echange set of the set of the set of the set of the event echange set of the event echange set of the event ec induce teaching to coax it into glorious bloom. This notion has received some indirect support from recent developments in the mental measurement movement. If, for example, we accept the premise that the structure of intellect can be analyzed into a multiplicity of separately identifiable cognitive abilities or factors—as many as 120 according to J. P. Guilford (1959) —the conclusion seems inescapable that simply on the basis of probability, almost every child is destined to become a genus with respect to at least one factor, and even if a particular child were to receive an unlucky shake of the gene dice, a benevolent educational environment would certainly make up for the difference.

The currently popular objective of teaching for creativity"-to make every child an original and creative thinker-is, therefore, based on one or more of four untenable propositions. The first proposition assumes that every child, by definition has potentializes for unique creativity providing that they are not stilled by the educational system This, of course, is sheer sentimentality since such potentialities are extremely rare. The second proposition is reflective of the naive view of human nature which asserts that even if a child has no creative potentialities, inspired and sensitive teaching can compensate for missing genes. The third proposition, ignoring the distinction between creativity and the creative individual, advances a watered-down, democratic definition of creativity that employs an intraindividual criterion of originality and assumes that all creativity is qualstatively of one piece By the very same token, however, if this criterion of creativity is used, the educational objective of making every pupil a creative iodividual becooles so watered down that it becomes virtually meaningless The final proposition simply rests on the previously discussed assertion that the supportive creative abilities are coextensive with substantive creativity.

Research on training for originality has yielded very limited success Subjects can be trained to respond with more unusual associations, to generate more notel ideas, or to suggest more unusual uses (Anderson and Anderson, 1963, Cartiedge and Krauser, 1963, Crutchfield and Covingion, 1963, Freedman, 1965, Maltrman, 1960, Mednuck, Mednuck, and Jung, 1964), and, in some instances, transfer to related linds of problem solving does take place Such transfer, however, occurs in a very restricted range of contexts and sometimes does not occur at all (Anderson and Anderson, 1963, Maltzman, Belloni, and Fishbein, 1964). Moreover, this kind of transing implicates various supportive trans of creativity rather than substantive creativity transing that depend on classroom milieu (G I Brown, 1964) of the study transm (bast depend on classroom milieu (G I Brown, 1964), 054) or the communication of a set of principles about how to be creative (Torrance, 1961).

Some research data are available on the school and family backgrounds of students who make high scores on the supportive aspects of creativity.

The latter individuals 'tend to diverge from stereotyped meaningy, to more anyay from the model provided by tenders to seek out careers that more anyay from the model provided by tenders to seek out careers that do not conform to what is expected of them (Ceters's and Jackson, 1962). (1962) The parents of these students tend to be expressive and nondom ranging and to nork in occupations permitting much autonomy (Weiberg 1962) The parents of these students tend to be expressive and nondom ranging and to nork in occupations permitting much autonomy (Weiberg and Springer, 1961) They focus on such qualities as the child's openness to experience, his raterest, is and enthusiasm for the, rather than on academic success, cleanliness, good manners, and studiousness (Geetels and Jackson, 1962)

unique levels of creative potential ttalities It can of course, also help in the realization of average and less pression in those rate individuals who already possess the necessary poten such creativity is a rare gift The school can help only in actualizing its ex our schools than among any other population of human brings Actually, lat creativity can be anticipated no more frequently among the clientele of are, by definition, sparsely distributed in the population, instances of singu ment in children of average endowment Since unique creative potentialities nques that we could devise could sumulate singular creative accomplish opinion, to suppose that even the most ingenious kinds of teaching tech tialities do not exist in the first place Hence it is totally unrealistic, in our But it cannot actualize potentialities for unique creativity if these poten lenging for pupils with creative gifts and by rewarding creative achievement ston, by making room in the curriculum for tasks that are sufficiently chal saidre boutennities for spontanent, initiative and individualized expresobviously help in the realization of existing creative potentialities by prowith respect to this kind of creativity are severely limited The school can of the human condition would seem to indicate that the training possibilities sense of singularly onginal achievement? A decent respect for the realities How reasonable is the goal of reaching for creativity, that is, in the

Research (ells us data children and adulta declop patte far line ille met fully met advection of the second second second second second and the second mark splitter and second second second second second second second the brack of investigation of these abultues be channang abultues as well as suggesting that in declopping to the creative during abultues as well in the brack of investigation of these abultues be channang and ways donot currentla at all feeds of chancerons are designed to declop path the brack of investigation of the creative during abultues as well as donot currentla at all feeds of chancerons are designed to declop path the brack of the main region of the creative during abultues as well as the second second are designed at the out path and the second second are as a second of the second second and the second second second are as a second second second second second the second second second second second second second second second the second second second second second second second second second the second sec

How important, then, one might legumately size, is it to identify path with the creator potentialitier Persons belonging to the genus will but school of thought would argue that these potentialities will be actualized interpretive of what the school does or fails to do The realization of creative  $\pi$  potentialities, however, like the expression of any genically determined tendencies, is seldom an all-or none proposition. It is true that in certain in stances genic factors are so prepotent, or all of the relevant personality, motivational, family, peer, and cultural variables are so overwhelmingly favorable, that a successful outcome is almost inevitable. But in many other instances the influence of these variables is more equivocal, and a successful outcome linges on the guidance, sumulation, and encouragement that is forthcoming from such agencies as the school
# MEASUREMENT MEASUREMENT

# VND EAVTRVLION OF MEASUREMENT PRINCIPLES

An interpret to the system of the system of the status of class more forming and hence of educational psychology as well if we are really scrout forming and hence of educational psychology as well if we are really scrout about education, we must have precise ways both of measuring learn mit outcomes in individual students and of accritanting whether they are do more than merely inform us whether students are actually being edu us to monitor and dutu inner quality control ore in the educational enter these Thus, at any given point in mue, they must enable us to know how do more than merely inform us whether students are actually being edu consoner by introducing new reaching control ore in the educational enter effective our educational program is, and if we hope to improve learning outcomes by introducing new reaching control ore in the educational enter effective our educational program is, and if we hope to improve learning outcomes by introducing new reaching control ore in the educational enter effective our educational program is, and if we hope to improve learning outcomes by introducing new reaching control ore in the educational enter effective our educational program is, and if we hope to improve learning outcomes by introducing new reaching control ore in the educational enter effective our educational program is and if we hope to improve learning outcomes by introducing new reaching control ore in the educational enter effective our educational program is and if we hope to improve learning outcomes by introducing new reaching and in the learning outcomes by introducing new reaching the education enterenter outcomes in the state and the interventional enter effective out educational program is and if we hope to improve learning outcomes by introducing new reaching and if we hope to entrologing in the education interventional enterted and the interventional enterted and the intervention enterenter education enter enters and the education enterted and the interventing and the enterted and th

Sconnife research an education, sa m any empirical and experimental discipline, is completely unitatikable in the absence of reliable and valid measuring instruments and the data that they provide it is clear, therefore, welcome regular and systematic testing rather than to regard it as a threat, welcome regular and systematic testing rather than to regard it as a threat, inclusion or a distribution from more important matters an inclusion or a distribution from more important matters and inclusion or a distribution from more important matters

Letting to objectives both from the standpoint of student achievement and non finally it is important to evaluate ultimate learning outcomes in ward the goal during the course of learning—both as feedback and more outcomes one destres to induce and then structure the effects of progress accordingly Second at its accessary to determine the degree of progress to accordingly Second at its accessary to determine the degree of progress to accordingly Second at its accessary to determine the degree of progress to the structure to a state and more the structure to a state and more accordingly Second at its accessary to determine the degree of progress accordingly second at its accessary to determine the degree of progress accordingly second at its accessary to determine the degree of progress accordingly second at its accessary to determine the degree of progress accordingly second at its accessary to determine the degree of progress accordingly second at its accessary to determine the degree of progress accordingly second at its accessary to determine the degree of progress accordingly second at its accessary to determine the degree of progress accordingly second at its accessary to determine the degree of progress accordingly second at its accessary to determine the degree of progress accordingly second at its accessary to determine the degree of progress accordingly second at its accessary to determine the degree of the deg from the standpoint of teaching methods and materials. With this type of feedback information we are then in a position either to modify the instructional program, or to redefine our goals if we are convinced that they are unrealistic. Such evaluation is typically longitudinal or extended in nature since the effects of a curriculum on the educational product are not ascer tainable immediately. These kinds of evaluative studies, therefore, involve the systematic collection of large quantities of data over many consecutive years.

In this chapter we can briefly consider only such general issues as the purposes and limitations of measurement and evaluation, the requirements that an effective measuring instrument must meet, the nature of standard ized tests, the interpretation of test scores, and various informal methods of measurement and evaluation Detailed discussion of these issues as well as particular aptitude and achievement tests both individual and group, more properly belongs in a separate course on tests and measurements

#### The Purposes of Measurement and Evaluation

In general, the function of evaluation is to determine the extent to which various significant educational objectives are actually being attained To evaluate is to make a judgment of worth or merit, to appraise educational goals. Apart from ascenaring whether such goals are being realized, any assessment of the outcomes of schooling is meaningless. No educational outcome is good or bad in and of itself. Its worth can be considered only in terms of how far it accomplishes the ends we are striving to achieve through education All too frequently however, educational joictures are not clearly or explicitly formulated in advance. Thus it is small wonder that neither the instructional program nor the learning outcomes that are being evaluated bear much relation to the goals that are professed

It follows therefore, that the educational enterprise cannot be conducted efficiently unless it is directed toward the achievement of certain designated goals. Only after formulating clearly what it is we hope to accomplish through our educational efforts are we in a position rationally to determine the content and methods of instruction and to evaluate the outcomes of such instruction. It is probably true that educational objectives can be meaningfully expressed only in such behavioral terms as understand ings appreciations capabilities, attitudes and so forth. But if these behavioral goals are to have any real meaning and impact on education, we must go beyond a formal taxonomy of cognitive and alfective objective that inean different things to different persons and try to reach consensus on the pro-

cesses underlying the behaviors in question The next step is to devise an appropriate instructional program that can realize the objectives we desig and valid meaturent in addition to starthatistic discupg we have to con affect such methods of sealuation as essay and oral examinations, observation, affect such methods of sealuation as essay and oral examinations, observation, and valid meaturent in a disconcencially defensible and subject to reliable and valid meaturent in an accurate and such even or such and valid meaturent in an accurate and such even or a such and the appraisal of work products

It is often held that the determination of educational objectives is the exclusive propagative of the educational philosophice Howerer, it would seem that as a social actentiat concerned with how knowledge is acquired, with the nature and finnise of human expections and with developmental damages in cognitive processes, the educational psychologiet is in as strategic damages in cognitive processes, the educational psychologiet is in as strategic damages in cognitive processes, the educational psychologiet is in as strategic a position (and hence as entitled) as anyone to express a value pudgment a position (and hence as entitled) as anyone to express a value pudgment a position (and hence as entitled) as anyone to express a value pudgment regarding the objectives of education in the first possible should didite only between knowing what is possible and knowing what is desirable indices who are in the best postion to know what as possible should didot indices who are in the best postion to know what as possible should didot indices who are in the best postion to know what as possible should discally indices who are in the best postion on know what as possible should discally indice who are in the best postion on know what as possible should discally indices who are in the best postion to know what as possible should discally indice who are in the best postion of know what as possible should discally indice who are in the best postion of those what is most desirable educational psychologies is able to translate in the first postible should discally into what when the education of the postition of the postible and knowing what is most desirable educational psychologies is able to translate in the first ductor while the discally and the postible should discally into which when the discally the discally the starteducational psychologies is able to translate the discally ductor the discally discally the discally discally the discally discally discally discally discally discally discally discally discally discally

#### To Facilitate Student Learning

The primary purpose of evaluation is to monitor the subarticities to mark of whether the and application is to monitor the subarticities for a fulficities in the angle and applied and and the subarticities and interval purpose of the instantiation program of material in mompetent teaching, in madequate suddent moral certy facts systematic in mompetent teaching, in madequate suddent moral correction and these has a summary and applied and and the control presuppose systematic in mompetent teachings in madequate suddent moral correction of a subarticities in the subarticities in moral certain control and the of whether this indicets in madequate suddent hermitig is no assesses the extent to which and applied and and the control prevalent in mompetent teachings in madequate suddent moral correction for assesses the extent to which a model of the subarticities and applied assesses the extent to which and applied and the subarticities and applied assesses the extent to which a subarticities and applied and assesses the extent to which a subarticities and applied assesses the extent to which and applied as a product suddent learning is no assesses the extent to which a subarticities and applied assesses the extent to which a subarticities and applied assesses the extent to which a subarticities and applied assesses and applied and applied as a subarticities and applied assesses and applied and applied as a subarticities and applied assesses applied as a subarticities and applied as a subarticities and and applied as a subarticities and applied as a subarticities and assessessesses and applied as a subarticities as a subarticities and applied as a subarticities and applied as a subarticities as a subarticities and applied as a subarticities and applied as a subarticities as a subarticities and applied as a subarticities and applied as a subarticities as a subarticities and applied as a subarticities and applied and applied as

Restance to evaluation largely reflects a long lustory of nonacceptance of this latter proposition in certain educational curies in fact, itso of the principal astromptions underlying the child centered approach to education (progressive education) are that (a) the really important objectives of edu estion are initiargible and untestable, and (b) the application of objective estion are initiargible and untestable, and (b) the application to fould estimate and antestable, and (b) the application of objective standards of assessment to pupple learning is indistently repugnant to and undards of assessment to pupple learning is underently repugnant to and incompatible with the ethos of a humanistic education. These arguments have been further bolstered by the assertion that genuine measurement is possible only in the physical sciences (B O Smith 1938) and by calling attention to limitations and abuses of measurement in education (see below) In our view this position stems fargely from a sentimental and semi mystical approach both to children and to the educational process The fact that educational objectives have been vaguely stated in the past or that measure ment of educational outcomes has hitherto focused on relatively trivial aspects of school learning does not mean that this must necessarily be the case Nor does the fact that behavioral measurement cannot possibly yield data that are as piecise reliable and valid as in the physical sciences pre clude the construction of adequately reliable and valid measuring instru ments in psychology and education or their usefulness in evaluating student performance and instructional programs Finally the fact that any aspect of the educational program necessarily has its hintations and is subject to abuse does not mean that it should be discarded as valueless. It merely argues for intelligent and sophisticated use of measuring instruments based ou awareness of their limitations and of the possibilities of rbuse

Apart from its monitoring function evaluation facilitates student learn ing in miny ways fu the first place it encourages teachers to formulate and clarify their objectives and to communicate their expectations to still deuts Irequently of course examination content reflects no explicit set of teacher goals or is even in direct conflict with professed goals. Neverthe less nothing indicates more unambiguously what knowledge and skills teachers regard as important than the kinds of examination questions they set It has been shown that students distribute their study time and appor tion their learning effort in direct proportion to the predicted likelihood of various topics and kinds of information being represented on the exam or various topics and kinds of mitorin their scales of the degree of explicit ination (Kenslar 1961) These predictions are based on the degree of explicit or implicit emphasis placed on a given topic on examination limits on student folklore on the teacher's reputation and on knowledge of or ex perience with previous examination questions. They include not only experturber with persons examination questions a net interference not only an pectations with respect to topical coverage but also expectations regarding the kinds of inastery to be demonstrated—factual recall evidence of com prehension critical analysis or interpretation application problem solving ability to marshaf evidence and synthesize knowledge and so forth ft is evident therefore that if teachers wish to influence learning outcomes in particular ways by the kinds of evaluative devices they use they must for inulate their objectives clearly communicate these objectives explicitly to students (instead of trying to outwit them) and construct reliable and valid measuring instruments that test the degree to which these objectives are realized. Educational objectives no matter how prosecorthy simply 5) by the board if if ey do not receive representation in the scheme of evaluation of the scheme of the scheme

נוזכא כשו קונכנ נוזכ דיווס סן נכשנשוטל נושו ושירכ לשכב שומט זות זו כסטושותחוכשנכת שקכלחשנכן אשק שטוכולשנכק סט כאשוושנוסטצי

Second, the examination useff is a significant learning experience (F and Second, the examination useff is a significant learning experience of in advance of being rested, and also performs a comparable review timetion during the course of the test. Teedback from an examination confirms, used strond, 1912) i This correctors enters acores a week later (Plowman used strond, 1912) i This correctors enters exores a week later (Plowman inple choice test significantly intereases reteat scores a newers (Koober inple choice test, significantly intereases reteat scores a newers (Woober and Strond, 1912) i This correctors enters exores a newers (Neober inple choice test, and differential about incorrect answers (Koober and Strond, 1912) i This correctors enters acores a new later (Plowman and Strond, 1912) i This correctors enters a new later (Plowman inple choice test, and differential denter acores a new later (Plowman and Strond, 1912) i This correctors enters acore answers (Koober and Strond, 1912) i This correctors enters acore a new later (Plowman and Strond, 1912) i This correctors enters acore a new later (Plowman and Strond, 1912) i This correctors enters a new later (Plowman and Strond, 1912) i This correctors enters a new later (Plowman and Strond, 1912) i This correctors enters acore a new later (Plowman and Strond, 1912) i This correctors enters a new later (Plowman and Strond, 1912) i This correctors enters a new later (Plowman correction acore and the correctors enters a new later (Plowman correction acore acore and the correctors a strond acore and the correct answers (Neober and Value acore acore acore and the correctors and the correctors and the correctors and the correctors acore a new later (Plowman corrector acore acore acore acore acore and the correctors acore acore and the correctors acore acore acore acore and the correctors acore acore acore (Plower (

Third, as pointed out eather, examinations play a significant mor value for in a cloool learning Within himts, desire for academic success, feat of failure, and avoidance of guilt and anxiety are legitimate mouves in an academic setting it would be hildly unrealistic to expect students to examinations. Frequent quixing markedly facilitates clastroom learning examinations. Frequent quixing markedly facilitates clastroom learning (Fitch, and others, 1951, Kitchairrel, 1959, Ross and Henry, 1939)

appraising their abilities and achievement validly and realistically included the complete their complete their complete their complete the nucliarly important one students complete their formal schooling it is including methodical concentration of increasing students' capacity for including methodical concentrations and the particular and including methodical concentrations and the particular also part of the long range objective of increasing students' capacity for including methodical particular and the particular and also part of the long range objective of increasing students' capacity for also particular and the particular and the particular and also part of the long range of particular and the particular also part of the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the particular and the also part of the particular and the particular and the part of the particular and the also part of the particular and the also part of the particular and the also part of the part of the part of the part of the partic

#### To Facilitate Teaching

Alessurement and evaluation provide teachers with essential feedback the teacher provide teachers with curve the second feedback in the teacher provide teachers and organizes matterial, how calcult in guither explaints idea, how well he communicates with individuals who are less sophisticated than he is, and how effications and its instructional tech ing further explication, clarification, and review, and is invaluable who are dagnosis of learning difficulties, both individuals and group The objective diagnosis of learning difficulties, both individual and group The objective diagnosis of learning difficulties, both individual and group The objective commission is also a necessary corrective against index up of the evaluation is also a necessary corrective against index of the subjectivity and untered and the subjectivity and individuals with an effective diagnosis of learning difficulties, both individuals and group The objective commission has an effectively and reward for docility and measures commission for a subjectivity and an effectivity and an evaluation is also a necessary corrective against index of the effective diagnosis of learning difficulties, both individuals and group The objective commission of more unformal methods of evaluation which are frequently distributed by and the subjectivity and an evaluation which are frequently distributed by the subjectivity and are subjectivity and an evaluation of the subjectivity and and and and an evaluation which are frequently distributed by the subjectivity and an evaluation which are frequently distributed by the subjectivity and an evaluation which are frequently distributed by the subjectivity and an evaluation which are frequently distributed by the subjectivity and an evaluation which are frequently distributed by the subjectivity and an evaluation which are frequently distributed by the subjectivity and an evaluation which are frequently distributed by the subjectivity and an evaluation which are frequently distributed by the subjectivity and an ev

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See also the long term effects of feedback Chapter 8

#### To Appraise Curricula and to Make Curriculum Judgments

As indicated previously measurement and evaluation are essential for monitoring a curriculum—for assessing the merit of a particular sequence and organization of courses embracing designated subject matter content instructional materials and methods of teaching. The data they furnish are also helpful in making such administrative decisions as the grade placement of subject matter and the optimal sequencing of courses. It goes without saying that research both on curriculum and on the learning process itself would be impossible without reliable and valid measures of learning out comes

#### To Assist in Guidance Counseling and the Individualization of Instruction

Systematic measurement and evaluation of aptitude achievement, motivation personality attitudes and interests is necessary for individualizing instruction and for purposes of individual guidance and counseling. We must know the current aptitude levels of pupils and the current state of their subject matter knowledge before we can prepare curriculum mate rials appropriate to ability levels [and] adapt teaching methods to the learn ers and the content to be learned. (AdLins 1958) In the absence of such information intelligent decisions also cannot be made about grade place ment grouping the pacing of study promotion choice of course academic and vocational goals and remedial work. These data finally are essential for reporting pupil progress to parents and for explaining to them the basis on which particular decisions are made

#### Limitations and Abuses of Evaluation and Measurement

In the long history of the measurement movement in education many objections have been raised both to the goals of educational measurement and to the effects produced by particular techniques of measuring learning out comes. Some of these objections do in fact identify galpable limitations abuses and shortcoming. Others are based on sentimental and semi mystical conceptions of the educative process. It is important to scrutinize these objections carefully and to distinguish between those which are based on therein limitations and shortcomings of educational measurement and those which are based either on correctable abuses or on attainable capabilities that are as yet unrealized

First it is argued that educational tests tend to evaluate the more

is any truth, and saily measurable as opposed to such more significant outcomes of education as genuine understanding, originally, problem working ability, ability to think independently, ability to retreve unformation, ability, ability to think independently, ability to retreve unformauon, ability to synthesize knowledge, and so forth This critician bowerer, are now available which measure both comprehension of general principles and ability to interpret and abilities as cognities that objective tests are now available which measure both comprehension of general principles are now available which measure both comprehension of general principles are now available which measure both comprehension of general principles are now available which measure both comprehension of general principles are now available which it is ture that value representing your are now available which it is ture that is and there dest unstupes are now available which it is ture that is and the more are now available which measure both comprehension of general principles and abilities as cognues as the reaction of such may are now available which it is ture that is a secured that objective tests are now available which is a negative of the supposed to a used un are now available which is a second that objective tests are now available which is a second that objective tests are now available which are available as of the second area of education. These meduate observations were of used un area of education and abilities are cognue to a single area of educations. The second area of the second area of educations are intervention and area of educations are second area of the second area of educations. The second area of the second area of educations are second area of the second area of educations areas and area of the second area of educations areas areas areas areas areas areas areas areas area of the second areas area

becomd, it is frequentially to correome becomd, it is frequently alleged that educational measures fail to test affect attainment of objectives that are tatoxymenate to a particular school regards the use of national sandarhised tests as coextensive anth educational regards the use of national sandarhised tests as coextensive and tetusental measurement. There is no uncompatibility between using tests standardized on a broad, representative sample and tests prepared especially for a particular school system, school, eurraculant, or classroom Where advisable boul binds of measures can and should be used

of measurement and to combat test score and degree worship wherever and eational insututions, but to increase public sophistication about the nature to abolish measures of aptitude and achievement or to cease evaluating edu inspection of every apple Nevertheless the solution to this dilemma is not of ten apples in a barrel than on the evidence available from an individual tor his chents, would not dream of placing greater reliance on a spot check ins law school grades than to his success over the years in gaming acquitials שורכעונים נס נוף החווכרוגן נוסש אווכף ש כנושושון ושאוכו בנשקחשוני שיון נס purpose of symbols tend to get lost in time The same persons who pay more ment is in a sense meanible in a complex society where the meaning and nce a protession Thus percession of the nature and function of measure trinsically more valid long term evidence of scholarship and funess to prac on a test score or on a diploma from a presuguous transition in an matter as soon as their grades are recorded, and society places greater weight When this happens, cognitive drive attrophies, pupils lose interest in subject cies, and scholastic achievement they are intended to sample and represent displacing in importance and presumed validity the knowledge, competen Third, test scores and school marks often become ends in themselves,

The lendency to regard test scores as ends in themselves and as more whenever they appear

important than the knowledge they represent is much more a reflection of undesirable social attitudes about the real value of scholarship than a cause of such attitudes or an inevitable product of measurement and evalua tion Thus overemphasis on the competitive aspects of testing and on the use of test scores for creating a meritocracy or a pseudo-scientific rank ordering of persons tells us much more about the kind of society that sance tions such practices than about the potential abuses of measurement Simi larly if teachers are guided in their choice of subject matter content solely by the desire to prepare students for standardized tests and even go to the extent of coaching them on type questions it is more rational to blame the existing values of parents educators and school boards than to blame the tests themselves. Almost every aspect of culture-government mass media industry commerce recreation sex drugs religion--is just as subject to ahuse and perversion as are measurement and evaluation. It makes more sense in our opinion to prevent such abuses by increasing the level of public enlightenment about the relevant issues involved in intelligent use than by abolishing or outlawing the practice in question

Fourth advocates of child centered seaching and client-oriented counsel ing insist that genuine learning independent thinking and creativity are possible only in a nonevaluative classroom atmosphere They assert fur thermore that evaluation induces tension anxiety excessive competitive ness and overemphasis on extrinsic motivation. In our opinion, this position greatly overstates the case. It is true that unintelligent and authoritarian use of evaluative techniques may encourage uncritical acceptance of ideas suppress originality and generate undesirable levels of anxiety competitive ness and interpersonal tension Nevertheless a reasonable degree of evalua tion is still absolutely essential not only for monitoring and motivaung learning but also for setting necessary and desirable standards of critical and original thinking. In a completely nonevaluative setting creative effort is dissipated in amorphous undirected and undisciplined output. Freedom from anxiety is also an unrealistic goal since no significance or creative achievement is possible without some degree of anxiety the very act of aspiring to master a body of knowledge or to create something original raises the possibility of failure and depression of selfesteem and hence is anxiety producing by definition

As already pointed out moderate emphasis on competitiveness in school facilitates achievement and self actualization and prepares students realisucilly for the world of work in Viestern culture Similarly extrinue and effort. School marks provide students with tangible evidence of success in mastering the curriculum are an important current source of status and being made toward the ultimate achievement of vocational goals and adult status.

572

× /

Fifth, evaluation has frequently been misused by teachers as a means of rewarding students for conformity and docihity and of punishing them for nonconformity and independence of thought. In many schools and universities it is still employed as a weapon for controlling and intimidating students, for frightening and impressing them (as well as colleagues), and for making them feel inadequate subservient and deferential. It is these very same teachers who conceive of examinations as a contest in which students are to be outwitted and trapped into error Needless to say however, this crude abuse of evaluation hardly constitutes a valid argument for noo evaluative teaching

Sixth, it can be claimed with some justification that good scores on achievement tests are beyond the reach of low ability students. In a very real sense then, the imposition of absolute standards of final achievement, or the use of grades based on relative standing in the class depresses their self-esteem and discourages them from putting forth their best efforts. Such detrimental effects, however, can be largely mitigated by concomitant evalua tion in terms of their ability level or in terms of progress from initial levels of performance. These two different bases of evaluation are by no means mutually exclusive. We need to know low well students are progressing both in terms of their own potentialities and in terms of group norms. Fur thermore, the negative impact of informing students that they are inferior to their peers in ability and achievement has undoubtedly been exaggerated. Realistic awareness of our relative intellectual status among our peers is a fact of life to which all of us must eventually adjust—and the sconer the better for everyone concerned. There is no profit cuher in sugar-coating the truth or usefit delusion.

Finally, measurement and evaluation often fail to facilitate learning or teaching because they provide no meaningful feedback. This is particularly true when only final examinations are given and when only composite scores are reported to students without comment, explanation specification of component strengths and weaknesses or opportunity for identifying and correcting errors Such examinations encourage cramming provide an un representative picture of student achievement, and abet 'book slamming' as soon as the grades are in Any defensible program of evaluation therefore relies on periodic and frequent iesting—before, during and at the eod of instruction, uses several kinds of measures reports scores in differential ra ther than composite terms and stresses the feedback and diagnosue fuoction of tests

## Requirements of an Effective Test

Any effective test irrespective of whether it is objective and standard ized, on the ooe hand, or informal and 'teacher made,' on the other, must be valid reliable representative and feasible and should also discriminate adequately between individuals or groups of individuals tested

#### Validity

The validity of a test refers to the extent to which it measures what it purports to measure. The question of validity is always relative to the stated objectives of a test. A test that is valid for one purpose (for instance to screen out gross personality deviates) is not necessarily valid for another (for example to make precise assessments of personality status to make a specific diagnosis of behavior disorder to make predictions of individual outcome).

The problem of validity arises in the first place because psychological and educational measures tend to be indirect and inferential rather than based on direct behavioral samples of the trait or ability in question An achievement test for example merely assumes that ability to answer cor recily a particular set of subject matter items is really reflective of degree of mastery of a designated discipline or subdiscipline unfortunately there its no more direct way of measuring knowledge. If on the other hand we endeavor to measure the trait of academic lionesity by assessing behavior in controlled situations in which cheating on examinations can be detected unbeknown to and unsuspected by the subjects (Canning 1926 Hartshorne and Vay 1928) the question of test validity is irrelevant the only relevant question in these circumstances is that of reliability—will equivalent degrees of academic honesity be exhibited in a later time sample of the same situation in different but comparable samples of the same situation in related but different situations?

The more indirectly and inferentially a test score is related to the trait or ability it purports to measure the more important the issue of validity becomes. Thus although an achievement test score is admittedly not coextensive with degree of mastery of a discipline it involves much less in directness and inference for example than does an intelligence test score. In the latter situation, the trait used is much more of a hypothetical and debatable construct the test is used to measure it are much less hongeneous and much less self-evidently related to the trait and there is a much greater presumption of the predictive value of the test score (of the constancy of the trait over age).

Several different types of validity have been delineated. A good test is characterized by at least one and hopefully by more than one of these types Content validity is a form of face validity that is invoked for many psychological and educational tests. An admexement test lor example may be claimed as valid on the face of things if it contains an adequate and representative sample of items—both in terms of the particular subject matter knowledge it purports to measure and the kinds of competencies or under standings that purportedly reflect such knowledge

Concurrent validity is present when test scores correlate reasonably well with some contemporaneous criterion of behavior preferably ratings based on direct observation Typically the question of current validity arises when some short cut method of assessment is devised to replace a more exhaustive and time consuming measure. The difficulty in these instances is one of finding an appropriate criterion that is relevant reliable and valid itself School grades for example are a commonly used criterion for determining the validity of academic aptitude and achievement tests despite the fact that they are usually less rehable and less valid than the tests themselves and are also influenced by such extraneous factors as the motivation deportment doclity and conformity of pupils and the personal and social class biases of teachers. An added difficulty is the lack of comparability between the grades awarded by different schools and teachers. This is shown by the spectacular increase in the correlation between dight school and college grades when the former set of grades is rendered comparable from one school to another (Bloom 1964) Before any evidence of concurrent validity is applicable in is also necessary to demonstrate that one s population is comparable in all relevant respects to the sample on which the instrument was validated When the criterion behavior to which test scores are related is some

When the criterion behavior to which test scores are related is some future measure of performance we deal with *predictive* validity If scho lastic aptitude scores for example correlate reasonably well with later school grades or academic achievement scores the aptitude test may be said to exhibit predictive validity. The problem of finding a suitable criterion still remains A test measuring aptitude for medicine may yield scores that correlate satisfactorily with grades earned in medical school but to what extent are these scores related to success in the practice of medicine? Not only is it very difficult to measure professional success in medicane but there are also many different criteria of success varying for the most part with the individual's particular career choice (general practice specialty practice research teaching writing public health hospital administration).

A final type of validity that is often invoked when the other three evidences of validity cannot be demonstrated—either because the universe of content cannot be adequately specified or because of the absence of a suitable criterion—is known as construct validity. This is based on logically defensible inferences from experimental or other evidence. In the case of an achievement test the failure of a totally naive student population to obtain better than chance scores would provide one form of such evidence. Other kinds of relevant evidence would include improvement in mean test scores from one grade level to another in such hierarchically ordered competencies as reading and mathematics and 's strong positive relationship between aputude and achievement at each grade level VALIDITY IN ACHIEVEMENT TESTING From the standpoint of meaningful verhal learning, a truly valid test of subject matter achievement measures whicher mastery of a designated body of knowledge is sufficiently stable, clear, and well-organized to reflect the structure of ideas in a given or sub discipline, to make long term retention possible, and to serve as a foundation for further learning in the same discipline. Modern achievement tests, therefore, emphasize understanding of the more significant ideas within each discipline, and of the relationships among them, rather than rote mastery of discrete facts. Nevertheless, despite this landshibe emphasise on genume the functional retention and organizational strength of knowledge because they are immediate tests of understanding and application. Every teacher knows that any reasonably bright student can do enough cramming before an announced quarterly of final test to make a passing score, event hough the sume test questions would elicit only a blank stare several days later

Thus, conventional retention tests, covering previously studied material and administered at the end of a given course of instruction, are not truly reflective of the later availability of this material for new learning and problem solving purposes Because a short retention interval cannot adequately test the organizational strength and viability of newly acquired knowledge, and because of the contaminning influence of rote memory over short time intervals, such conventional measures of retention are often musleading They fail to distinguish adequately between the student who merely understands and retains material well enough at the moment of testing to answer role and meaningful questions correctly, and the student whose understanding and retention are sufficiently stuble on a long term basis to serve as a springboard for learning new, sequentially related material Both individuals may frequently make identical scores on immediate tests of retention Problem solving or application items provide a partial solution to this difficulty since they are less influenced by rote memory and also directly test ability to use and apply retained knowledge But since successful prob lem solving also depends on many other traits (venturesomeness, flexibility, perseverence, problem sensitivity) that are unrelated to the functional availability of knowledge, success or failure on such items is as much a reflection of the influence of these latter traits as of the availability of usable knowl edge

Three other solutions to this problem of achievement test validity are available, none of which is mutually preclusive of the others or of the use of problem solving items First, the programmed instruction approach, which implies testing, feedback, and consolidation after *each* unit (topic, chapter) of subject matter maternal, provides adequate safeguards for the true stability and clarity of knowledge and insures against the dangers of eramming and rote learning. If students are given such tests weekly, quarterly and final examinations serve more of a review function and become truly valid measures of subject matter mastery. Second, comprehensive tests of achievement that are given six months to several years after the completion of a course also measure the functional retenuon of genuine knowledge as well as discourage the 'book slamming phenomenon Such delayed tests, however, obviously become measures of cramming ability unless they are preceded by weekly, quarterly, and final examinations

Finally, perhaps the most valid way of testing the organizauonal strength and viability of knowledge is not to test retention in itself, or to use problem solving items, but to measure retention in the context of sequential learning—in situations where ability to learn new material presupposes the availability of the old The' transfer retenuon test (Ausu bel and Fitzgerald, 1962) constitutes a new approach to the problem of measuring functional retention. It attempts to do this by measuring the extent to which retained knowledge of subject matter is sufficiently stable and well-organized to be available as a foundation for learning new, se quentially dependent material that could not be efficiently learned in the absence of such availability. At the same time, of course, it also provides a measure of knowledge available for problem solving because if retained knowledge is available for new sequential learning, it is reasonable to assume that it is also available for problem solving

The transfer retention test may be administered in addition to or in dependently of the conventional retention test. When used for routine course examinations, the test procedure requires that students study an unfamiliar new learning passage that is sequentially related to and presupposes knowl edge of the previously studied material on which they are being examined. Their scores on a test of this new material are 'transfer retention scores' and measure the functional availability of the previously learned material for new learning

Only value tests of achievement can be used to evaluate the worth of a new curriculum or course of study Thus, for the reasons given above, the ability of students to make satisfactory scores on *immediale* tests of under standing and application does not constitute proof that the maternal is adequately learnable, lucid, or properly programmed It is not surprising, therefore, that when the learnability of curriculum maternal is assessed by conventional tests of achievement, these latter tests often give spurious and misleading impressions of genume learnability. This is apparently the case when the yellow and blue BSCS versions are evaluated by means of the conventional achievement tests

Achievement test data show that the three BSCS versions are approximately as 'learnable' as conventional textbools. It was demonstrated, for example, that students using the BSCS texts score somewhat higher than students using conventional texts on a final Comprehensive BSCS Test and somewhat lower on a final Cooperative Biology Test (Wallace, 1963) In the first place, it is questionable how well such final tests really measure due learnability of subject matter content. Most adequately motivated students can learn,' for examination purposes, large quantities of overly sophisti cated and poorly presented miterials that they do not really understand, unfortunately, however in such circumstantess little evidence of retention is present even a few days later Second one of the main objectives of any far, rather than merely to approximate the level of academic achievement attained in conventionally taught courses.

Achievement tests also tend to lose validity if they contain items that presuppose knowledge of materials that are not ordinarily included in the scope of the discipline or subdiscipline which they are designed to measure Many tenders for example, believe that they can discriminate more ade quately between bright and average students if they use such questions Actually the reverse is true because these items either cannot be answered correctly by any students or else measure knowledge of some other field of study. A good examination should emulate a good detective story the solut itom of problems should not depend on information that is unavailable to students or that they are not expected to learn

The validity of an achievement test depends in part on how well tt tests the actual competencies that are demanded of an individual in those real life performances for which he is being trained or educated. This is the issue of concurrent or predictive validity For example a multiple-choice examination on rules of the road may exhibit good content validity, but obviously has less concurrent and predictive validity in relation to current or ultimate driving performance than an appropriate road test Similarly, patients do not enter a hospital tagged with alternatives of probable diag nosis, indicated diagnositic procedures, and rational therapeutic measures from which the physician makes the most appropriate choice. He is not only obliged spontaneously to generate relevant diagnostic hypotheses, order relevant tests, eliminate all other diagnostic possibilities than the one he designates as most probable, and prescribe appropriate treatment but in most instances he must also elicit the pertinent facts of clinical history, obtain significant data from observation and physical examination, and inter pret the results of diagnostic tests. It is apparent, therefore, that multiple choice tests, valuable as they are, cannot possibly serve as complete substitutes for open ended and practical examinations in the measurement of clinical competence in medicine

#### Rehability

Any measuring instrument if it is to be used with confidence must exhibit a satisfactory degree of accuracy or reliability. That is, it must yield self consistent scores If a clinical thermometer on three successive determina tions, for example, yielded readings of 97°, 103°, and 996° for the same patient, it would not be considered very rehable Reliability of course, is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for using a test A highly reliable test may be totally invalid or may not measure anything that is psycho logically or educationally significant. The reliability of a single test score is expressed quantitatively in terms of the instruments standard error of measurement. If the standard error of measurement, for example, is 25, we can say that there are approximately two chances in three (more pre casely 68 in 100) that the true score falls between 725 and 775 when the obtained score is 75 By definition, an unreliable test cannot possible be valid. The necessary degree of reliability, however, depends on the use that is made of test scores. If they are used for purposes of individual assessment and guidance, a much higher degree of reliability is obviously necessary than if they are used for gross screening or research purposes.

Three types of coefficients are used to express the reliability of most psychological and educational tests The coefficient of equivalence is the correlation coefficient that results when scores derived from comparable sets of items are correlated This can be determined from equivalent (parallel) forms of the same test, or if only one form exists by correlating scores derived from one randomly drawn half of the test (for example, odd stems), with scores derived from the other half of the test (even stems) The latter coefficient of reliability is known as split half reliability, it also reflects, of course, internal consistency or generality over items, and is there fore, often referred to as a coefficient of internal consistency It represents a measure of reliability in terms of the equivalence between two halves of a homogeneous test, thus, it is primarily used when a parallel form of the test is not available for determining degree of equivalence between two different sets of items purportedly measuring the same ability or behavior Since the split half coefficient of rehability is obtained by correlating only half of the total number of available items in the instrument against the other half, it furnishes an underestimate of the instrument's actual coeffi cient of equivalence Thus to estimate the reliability of the full length in strument, a correction formula (Spearman Brown) is frequently applied Various mathematical formulas (for instance, Kuder Richardson Formulas 20 and 21) have also been devised for arriving at a more generalized estimate of generality over, or intercorrelation among homogeneous test items

The coefficient of stability, on the other hand, measures consistency over time or short term constancy of a trait when the same set of items is used It is determined from successive administrations of the same test Over short intervals of time the ability or trait being measured can be regarded as not undergoing significant change Over longer intervals, however, a loss of stability is more reflective of developmental changes in the nature of a trait or of inconstancy in rate of growth than of test unreliability The coefficient of generality finally reflects the self consistency of a test when it is composed of heterogeneous but related measures of the sume trait Tests of intelligence and of creatusity for example typically consist of a battery of sub tests each of which measures a different freet of the trait in question. When the scores on these sub tests are intercorrelated the average intercorrelation may be taken as the coefficient of generality. Unless this coefficient is reasonably high it is obstoady unwarranted to regard the various sub-tests an measuring anything in common

The length of a test is the most important single factor influencing its reliability Obviously the shorter a test is the more likely it is that test scores will be influenced by chinne sampling or situational factors. Fullure to allow sufficient time for most students to complete a test has the same effect on reliability as reducing the number of items. The reliability of a test is also decreased by incacturate or subjective scoring and by the presence of items that lack discriminating power (see below). Lastly inadequate or fluctuating moutation may impair test reliability. The inference that a test score actually measures true capacity rather than mere performance on a single occasion presupposes that the subject is store are list. As pointed out previously aptitude and adine-tement test score are list. Chilable (and hence less valid) in the case of culturally disadvantaged pupils because of their unresponsiteness to speed pressure and their generally low level of test motivation.

The effect of severe anxiety on aptitude and achievement test per formance is somewhat indeterminable. In general it tends to depress per formance allowing much depends on the novely of test items familiarity with testing and the adequacy of coping mechanisms. Since high levels of anxiety can throughly disrupt the higher mental processes and even block any kind of response whatsoever it is not only important to allay test any kind of response whatsoever it is not only important to allay test and validity of test scores that are undily influenced by anxiety. Both of these latter requirements can be taken into account by giving frequent tests instead of basing grades completely on final examinations. When students are tested frequently they tend to be less anxies because of preser famili-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> J T Hastings measured test anxiety by a questionnaire method as early as 1914 Since then standardized measures of test anxiety have been developed (Sara son and others, 1960) Although high tensions do not necessarily accompany low examination scores nor contrarwise pupils showing higher tensions as measured by the questionnaire at the time of an examination produce results which tend to deviate further from prediction than do the examination results of those who give evidence of lower tensions (Hastings 1944 p 161) W J Mckeachte D Polite and J Speisman (1955 p 93) showed that giving students an opportunity to write comments [about multiple choice questions] aids not only in reducing the threat but also in channeling the release of anxiety

arity with the test situation emotional desensitization to it, and the realiza tion that their entire fate does not depend on a single score. At the same time, the availability of many scores discounts the significance of any single score that is invalidated by extreme anxiety

#### Representativeness

Representativeness Almost all psychological and educational measures are based on the principle of sampling Since it is virtually impossible for example, to test a student for mastery of all of the facts, concepts, and principles in a given course of study, we typically select a sample of such content as a basis for assessing the universe from which the sample is drawn For this procedure to be logically defensible, at least two important conditions must be met (a) the sample must be adequately representative of the universe, and (b) within the constraints imposed by the requirements of representativeness and significance the sample must be randomly drawn The reasons for these conditions are rather self-evident if, for example, all of the examination items test knowledge of only one chapter of an assigned textbook or if the items on each chapter cover only a restricted segment of its content, the resulting achievement test score can hardly be claimed to measure knowledge of the textbook in question. Not only would such an achievement test lack content validity, but it also would inevitably (and on a purely chance basis) overestimate the knowledge of some students and underestimate the knowl edge of others. Nevertheless many achievement tests particularly those that are teacher made, do not meet these two conditions representativeness and areadomicess randomness

randomness Two other unfortunate practices also commonly result from failure to appreciate the nature of a test as a representative sample. Teachers who give hints about examination questions or who repeat the same questions year after year obviously render untenable the inference that scores on such an examination are actually representative of the students. Knowledge: An even more serious error is committed by individuals who regard test scores based on a representative simple of items that are inferentially related to a given trait or ability, as more valid measures of the trait or ability in question than is direct heaviered over a period of years. This situation anses statt or ability, as more valid measures of the trait or ability in question than is direct behavioral evidence over a period of years. This situation arises when test scores degrees or licenses are regarded as status symbols rather than as fallible sampling and inferential measures of competence. The IQ and the M D are two such measures that have achieved almost magical or sacred status in our culture

Total evaluation is feasible for certain aspects of competence or achieve *Total* evaluation is feasible for certain aspects of competence or achieve ment and can be used concurrently with a sampling approach A teacher, for example, may wish to evaluate *all* of his students homework assignments, laboratory reports, histology drawings workshop products, or chinical per

formances All other factors being equal such measurement not only ex hubits a lugh degree of validity and reliability but also tends to motivate students consistently to put forth their best efforts and to generate a lugh degree of responsibility and accountability for performance

#### Discriminating Power

An obvious attribute of an effective test is ability to distinguish maximally between individuals who vary with respect to the true or competence being measured. In large part of course this attribute depends on the discriminating power of the component items and accounts for as well as reflects the reliability and validity of the instrument. To some exient, how ever it depends on the distribution of the total scores and on whether the test provides adequate ceiling for superior persons in the group A normal distribution of scores for example provides maximum discrimination at both ends of the scale (where there are few scores spread out thinkly) and less discrimination at the middle part of the scale (where many scores are bunched together) whereas a rectangular distribution of scores (an equal number of scores at all points on the scale) provides equal discrimination over the entitie range A skewed distribution (where as discrimination number of scores pile up at one end of the scale) on the other hind is most discriminating at the end where diver are few scores and least dis criminating at the opposite end

An effective test must also have sufficient ceiling to permit the superior individuals in a group to stand out as sitch. Obvionsly if an achievement test is easy enough for the avertage person in the group to achieve a score of 90 percent it is accordingly impossible to distinguish between more and less knowledgeable students. Maximum distriminability generally prevails when the average score is approximately 50 percent. Adequate ceiling how ever should be provided by including a wide range of items carefully graded in difficulty rather than depend on a cruterion of speed since the ability to answer questions quickly also reflects factors biscaffy unrelated to superior competence or aputude Difficulty level can be manipulated by varying such factors as abstractness complexity familiarity and degree of understanding required (mere comprehension versus application interpretation inference, analysis or synthesis)

#### Feasibility

In addition to such theoretical considerations as validity reliability representativeness and discriminating power various practical matters must be taken into account before one can decide whether a proposed test is feasi ble First how significant is the information it yields that is how useful is It in interpreting the pupils abilities, knowledge, and personality traits and in making educational and vocational decisions? Trivial test duta are worth less irrespective of how reliable, valid or discriminating they may be A feasible achievement test, for example, should provide differential feedback to both students and teachers about relative strengths and weaknesses in learning and teaching, as well as suggest reasons for same. Otherwise it is useless for diagnostic and remedial purposes Second a feasible test should be suitable in form and content for the age range of students for which it is intended. A third practical consideration is the cost of a test and the amount of time required to administer, score, and interpret it Fourth how ob jective is the scoring and how strughtforward is the interpretation of the results? Is special training required to score and interpret the test? Does the test manual provide directions for administration and scoring a table of norms, and guidance for interpreting scores?

#### The Standardized Objective Test

Objective tests, although difficult and time consuming to construct, owe their great popularity in education to several factors First and foremost, perhaps, is the fact that subjectivity and viriability in scoring are eliminated Precise and invariable criteria for scoring—typically a scoring key designat ing the correct answers—are available in advance Second, the items are carefully and systematically selected so as to constitute a representance sample of the content to be covered and of the competencies to be evaluated This implies precise advance specification of educational objectives—both in terms of the particular facts, concepts, principles and applications which the student is expected to master, and of the ways in which such mastery is supposed to be exhibited Since the totality of desirable knowledge in a given area obviously cannot be tested, great care must be taken to secure a representative sample of significant (non trivial) items that is both ade quately comprehensive and places the desired relative weight on component topics. Herein lies the other great advantage of objective tests the brevity of each item and the speed with which it can be answered permits a more comprehensive and systematic sampling of knowledge than is possible by any other means. Although the abhity to recognize a correct alternative does not necessarily imply ability to recall it spontaneously, the correlation between the two abhities tends to be reasonably good (Plumlee, 1947, R. W Tyler, 1934a)

An additional advantage in this connection is the possibility of refining An additional advantage in this connection is the possibility of refining the items, after initial use, for clarity and discriminability and of thus in creasing test reliability and validity. Self-evidently, items that are answered correctly by all or most students are too easy to have any discriminating power for oppoute reasons the same conclusion applies to items that are answered incorrectly by all or most students. Further a good item is obviously one that is answered correctly more frequently by the more knowledge able students (those making high total scores) than by the less knowledgeable students and answered incorrectly more often by the less knowledgeable than by the more knowledgeable students<sup>3</sup> Items that fail to meet these cruteria are either deleted rewritten less ambiguously or replaced by other items.

Analysis of the relative frequency with which wrong alternatives are chosen may also reveal either ambiguities in wording or the existence of a prevalent preconception or misconception If the latter is the case the item serves a useful diagnostic function and should not be altered. In fact a multiple-choice item should be deliberately written so as to contain at least one wrong alternative that reflects a common but of misinformation or a misconception. The adequacy of learning and teaching can then be evaluated just as validly by the good students greater avoidance of such sucker alternatives as by their greater tendency to choose the correct alternative more frequently than poor students there are grounds for believing that the item in question is misleading or ambiguous.

Objective tests are also typically standardized with respect to the con dutions of administration—the instructions or to finarking the allowable help the permissibility of making calculations or of marking the alternative and so forth thereby insuring comparability of scores Finally most stand ardized tests that are published provide the user with a table of norms based on a large and representative sample. This makes possible the conversion of faw scores into percental scores or grade equivalents.

#### Criticisms

In recent years objective tests have been subjected to vigorous criticism (Black 1963 Vi L Gross 1962 B Holfman 1969) some warranted but much based on misunderstanding of their nature functions and inherent limitations First despite considerable improvement in this respect over the past decade many objective tests still measure rote recognition of relatively trivial and disconnected items of knowledge rather than genume comprehension of broad concepts principles and relationships and ability to in terpret facts and apply knowledge Paradoxically this shortcoming of objective tests has been magnified by the programmed instruction movement with its emphasis on small frame and step size

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In practice this item analysis function is performed by comparing the num ber of right and wrong responses on each item that are of tained by the upper and lower fifths or quarters of the distribution of total test scores

Second, because of unskillful construction of test items the correct answer is sometimes identifiable by means of unintentional hints, for ex ample, the self evident implausibility of the wrong alternatives, the use of such words as "always". Both of these deficiencies are easily correctable by using greater care in item construction by selecting more significant test items, by stressing items that require understanding thought, and insight, by including application and problem solving items and by placing greater reliance on delayed retention and transfer retention scores. The multiple choice format minimizes the role of guessing I should be noted at this point, that unskillfully constructed essay and problem solving tests may also place a premium on the regurgitation of rotely memorized knowledge and on rote application of "type problem solutions

Third, the correct answer in multiple-choice tests may sometimes be either arbitrary or depend on abstruse har splitting. In some instances it may also favor the less knowledgeable or more shallow thinker and penalize the more sophisticated student who takes into account more subtle and penetrating considerations.

Fourth the great emphasis placed on time pressure tends to favor the glib confident, impulsive, and test wise student, and to handicap the student who either is inclined to be cautious thoughtful and self-critical, or is un sophisticated about testing Ideally, a valid test of either scholastic aptitude or acidemic achievement places greater weight on power than on speed (Yates, 1961), discriminating ability is attained by providing a wide and carefully graded range of difficulty, with ample time for most students to complete the test, rather than by including twice as many items as the average student has time to answer In our opinion, the current emphasis on speed in most standardized tests of achievement detracts from their validity by placing a premium on factors that are intrinsically unrelated to genuine mastery of subject matter

Lastly, the limitations of stardardized testing must always be borne in mind For example, multiple choice tests by definition, cannot measure students' ability spontaneously to generate relevant hypotheses, to collect valid laboratory or clinical data, to marshal evidence in support of a proposition, to design an original experiment, to structure a cogent argument, or to do creative work. Other kinds of measuring devices, however, are avail able to test the attainment of these latter objectives

# Interpretation of Achievement Test Scores

In general there are three different ways of interpreting achievement test scores The first method judges a student's performance against the standard of his own ability level as determined by his score on an aputude test, a pretest, a prior achievement test, or an initial achievement test in the course. This is important for both student and teacher since it indicates the extent to which normally expected progress is being made in the course. The second method assesses the adequacy of a student's performance in relation to that of his peers, it is necessary both for grouping pacing, and the individualization of instruction as well as for making important de cisions about his educational and vocational fauture. As pointed out above, Fado these approaches is concerned with a relative standard of perform ance but in one case the individual series as his own standard and in the other his performance is related to group norms.

In some instances however, an absolute standard of performance is indicated which is quite independent of the performance of others or of the individual srelative standing in the group. This is the case, for example, where mastery of a given topic subject or skill is a prerequisite for more advanced learnings and where a certain minimal level of competence is necessary before an individual can be entrusted with certain vocational roles such as lifesarer on a beach physician plarmacist secretary, railway engi neer, or airplane pilot R. Glaser (1963) designates such scores based upon an absolute standard of quality as criterion referenced measures in contrast to norm referenced measures based upon a relative standard. Achievement test scores typically formits both kinds of measures have scores or percentage scores are criterion referenced measures whereas percentile scores (scores indicative of the percentage of a designated population of scores exceeded by the percentile score in quesition) are norm referenced measures.

In using the norms of standardized tests it is important to make sure that they are based on a simple that is both large enough to insure stability and adequately representatise of the universe in which they purportedly pertain. The particular norms used must also be relevant in the sense that they are based on groups of individuals who are comparable to the individuals we are testing For example in interpreting the addice-energy the scores of a particular twelfthigrade group in an American high school, we would want to use the norms of American twelful graders generally, plus such other differential norms that apply to our group as sex, region, state, urban or unral area public or private high school. For guidance purposes (grouping choice of courses college application), it would also be helpful to use the local school norms as well as the curoff scores employed by sari ous colleges in selecting candidates for admission

## Other Methods of Evaluation and Measurement

Because of limitations on the kinds of objectives that standardized short answer tests can measure, other methods of evaluation and measure ment are used concomitantly in most educational settings. Thoughtful teachers do not place excessive rehance on standardized objective tests

#### Essay or Discussion Questions

Essay examinations, despite their many disadvantages have a signif icant place in the evaluation program of a school They are partuclarly useful (a) where spontaneous recall of information and spontaneous gen eration of hypotheses are important aspects of the competencies being mea sured (for instance, formulation of diagnostic hypotheses differential diag nosis), and (b) in less well-established areas of knowledge where there is no single right answer. In addition, they test a students ability to organize ideas and marshall evidence, to construct a cogent argument, to evaluate ideas critically, and to express himself clearly and convincingly Essay type questions also provide greater scope for original and independent thinking, and give some insight into the cognitive styles problem sensitivities, and problem solving strategies of students. On the whole they are better suited than short answer questions for measuring students grasp of the structure of a discipline

of a discipline On the other hand they are much less satisfactory than short answer tests for measuring knowledge of more established concepts principles, and information in a given subject matter field particularly where there is no premium on ability to recall and transform ideas spontaneously. Since only a few questions can be asked on any examination, sampling of content is neither comprehensive nor representative, and scoring tends to be laborious and subjective, hence both reliability and validity are often unsatisfactory Further, essay examinations encourage bluffing, circumlocution, padding and discursiveness on the part of students, and tend disproportionately to reward those students who write neatly, excel in the mechanics of English composition (spelling, punctuanon, diction, and style) and eich the tiews and biases of their teachers Finally, the very ease of constructing essay examinations encourages a rather cavalier and slipshod attitude toward evaluation on the part of those who use them Many of the alorementioned disadvantages can be mingated, however,

Many of the alcrementioned disadvantages can be mingated, however, by following a few simple rules By indicating explicitly the scope and dimensions of the expected answer, much of the ambiguity and vagueness of the global discussion question can be eliminated As a matter of fact, short essay type questions that are relatively limited and specific in scope may exhibit considerable reliability and validity, but by the same token they may also fail to test some of the distinctive competencies that the essay examination is designed to measure. This format however, is particularly appropriate for problem solving exercises in such applied fields as methcine, where problems of differential diagnosis, the search for additional needed information, the interpretation of data, and proposed remedies can center on each of several short case presentations. To minimize the strong chance factor in the particular questions that are selected in an essay examination students may be given some degree of choice in questions.

It is also possible to reduce the subjectivity of scoring by using several readers and by establishing such separate explicit criteria for grading as content organization logic rogency clarity and fluency of expression Halo effect can be minimized by cooling students papers and by scoring in turn each question for all students instead of completely grading each stu dents paper before turning to the next paper.

Oral examinations typically enjoy the same advantages and distiduan tages of the essay examination but in addition enable the examiner to probe more deeply when insure of the students knowledge or merining and to cut short irrelevant and discussive answers. In this sense they discontage bluffing On the other hand they appear to etoke much more disruptive anxiety than do written examinations and to favor the glib and socially poised individual

#### Work Samples

\$

In most areas of education but particularly in vocational professional artistic and physical training it is possible to assess the extent to which the objectives of education are trutually being attained by directly apprrusing a performance or work product that is self-evidently reflective of the competence being tanght Such work samples include faboratory skills clinical performance drawings themes research reports gymnastic or musical performance the use of tools art or shop products typing and stenographic performance and so forth They constitute much more direct and valid criteria of the competences involved intan do short answer or discussion examinations which can only inferentially measure the same competences it is obviously much more important to know for example how well a student physician can interview examine diagnose and prescribe for an actual patient than how well he can answer questions about the theory and practice of medicine Such examinations also make possible direct assesments of such traits as flexibility resourcefulness perseverance and creativity Hence their value largely depends on the extent to which they are able realistically to simulate real life conditions of performance

The most scrous disadvantages of these examinations are that they are time consuming expensive and dificult to construct in many areas It is also difficult to assure breadth and equivalence of sampling If hospital cases for example are used as test material for students in clinical medicine how adequately can a single case measure a student s ability and how does one equate cases for difficulty? This argues for the desirability of appraising all of a student is work products in a given course of study—all of his laboratory drawings or chinical performances or of using standardized (for instance, televised) case presentations that are uniform for all students

Scoring presents still another difficulty and is no more reliable and valid than the observational and rating techniques on which it is based These techniques can be materially improved if the dimensions on or criteria by which the performance is to be judged are specified in advance, if discriminably different points on a rating scale can be both described and quantified if the ratings of several trained judges are averaged, and if ratings are made concurrently rather than retrospectively. Proper training of the judges includes discussion of the nature of the trait or competence to be rated, making a trial run of ratings, comparing ratings, and deciding how ratings are to be distributed over the scale. Final rungs, of course, are made independently. Halo effect can be minimized by having the rater judge each item on the scale for the entire group before proceeding to the next term.

## REFERENCES

- Aall A Ein neues Gedachtnisgesetz? Experimentelle Untersuchung über die Bedeu tung der Reproduktions-perspektive Z Psychol , 1913, 66, 1-50
- Abel L. B. The effects of shift in motivation upon the learning of a sensorimotor task Arch Psychol, 1936 29, No 205
- Abercrombie, M L. Learning to think New York Basic Books, 1960
- Ackerman W 1, and H Levin Effects of training in alternative solutions on subsequent problem solving J educ Psychol, 1958, 41, 289-244
- Adkins, Dorothy C Measurement in relation to the educational process Educ psychol Measmt , 1958, 18, 221-240
- Adorno, T W, Else Frenkel Brunswick, D J Levenson and R N Sanford The authoritarian personality New York Harper and Row, 1950
- Aebli H Didactique psychologie application à la didactique de la psychologie de Jean Piaget Neuchâtel Delachaux & Niestle, 1951
- Agard, F B, and H B Dunkel An investigation of second language leaching Boston Ginn 1948
- Alberts C A, and D Ebrenfreund Transposition in children as a function of age J exp Psychol 1951, 41 30-38
- Aldrich, C G and E A Doll Comparative intelligence of idiots and of normal infants J genet Psychol, 1931 39, 227-257
- Allen, D C, Sybil Tyrrel, R E Schulz, and G Roberta Loons The effect of exposure time on the relation between perceptual organization and intelligence Amer J Psychol , 1958 71, 573-577
- Allport, G W Crises in normal personality development Teachers Coll Rec, 1964,
- Allport, G W, and L. Postman The psychology of rumor New York Holt 1947
- Alper, Thelma G Task-orientation is ego-orientation in learning and retention Amer J Psychol, 1946 59, 236-248
- Alper Thelma G, and S J Korchin Memory for socially relevant material I ab norm soc Psychol, 1952, 47, 25-37

- Upert A The solving of problem situations by preschool children New York Teachers College Columbia Univer 1928
- Alpert R., and R > Haber instery in academic achievement situations J ab norm soc Psychol 1960 61 207-212
- Amidon E and N A Flanders The effects of direct and indirect teacher influence on dependent prone students learning geometry J educ Psychol 1961 52, 286-291
- Amos R. T. and R. V. Washington A comparison of pupil and leacher perceptions of pupil problems J educ Psychol 1960 51 255-258
- Anastasi A Intelligence and family size Psychol Bull 1956 53 187-209
- Anastasi A and C de Jesus Language development and non-verbal 1Q of Puerto-Rican preschool children in New York City J abnorm soc Psychol 1953 48 357 366
- Anderson G L Quannitative thinking as developed under connectionist and field theories of learning In Learning theory in school situations Univer Vinn Stud Educ Vinnespols Univer Vinnesis 1Press 1939 pp. 40–75
- Anderson H H Domination and socially integrative behavior in Child Behavior and development (R G Batter J S Koumin and H F Winght Eds) New York Webraw Hill 1913 pp 159-484
- Anderson H H Preface in Creativity and its cultivation (H H Anderson Ed) New York Harper 19:09(a)
- Anderson H H Creativity in perspective In Greativity and its cultivation (H H Anderson Ed.) New York Harper 19:9(b)
- Anderson J E. The limitations of infant and preschool tests in the measurement of intelligence J Psychol 1939 8 301-379
- Anderson J E The prediction of terminal intelligence from infant and preschool tests In Intelligence Iti indure and nurture 39th Yearbook Val Soc Stud Educ Part I Chicago Driver Chicago Press 1940
- Anderson L. D The predictive value of infancy tests in relation to intelligence at five years Child Develop 1939 10 205-212
- Anderson R C. Learning in discussions resume of the authoritarian democratic studies Harrard educ Rev 1959 29 201-215
- Anderson R C. Can first graders learn an advanced problem solving skill- J educ Psychol 1969 56 283-294
- Anderson R. C. and R. M Anderson Transfer of originality training J educ Psychol 1963 54 300-304
- Anderson Theresa. A study of the use of usual aids in basket shooting Res. Quart Amer Ass. Health phys. Educ. and Recreation. 1942, 13, 532-537
- Angell, D., and A. A. Loussdame. Prompted plus unprompted trails versus prompted trails alone in paired associate learning. *Research Report AIR* 314-60-IR 129 Partisburgh American Institute for Research October 1960.
- Angell G W The effect of immediate knowledge of quiz results on final examina tion scores in freshman chemistry J educ Res., 1949 42, 391-391
- Annett, J Learning pressure under conditions of immediate and delayed knowl edge of results Quart J Psychol 1959 11, 3-15
- Annett M The classification of instances of four common class concepts by children and adults Brit J educ Psychol., 1939 29, 233-236

References

- Archer F. J. Concept identification as a function of obviouiness of relevant and inclevant information J exp Lipchol. 1962 63 616-620
- Arrustead L. M. The effect of stimulas change on an exploratory drive in children Distert Abstr 1951 21 2190
- Attached V E. An investigation of the teaching of chronology in the sixth gride J exp I due, 1961 29 207-214
- Asch M. J. Nondirective teaching in psychology. Psychol Monogr. 1951, 65-4
- Aschner Mars Jane Tie pro fucture thinking of gifted children in the classroom flibana Institute for Research on Exceptional Children Univer Illinois 1961
- Ash P The relative effectiveness of massed versus spaced film presentations J educ Liychol 1950 41 19-30
- Asher F J The inadequaty of corrent intelligence tests for testing Kentucky mountain clubbren / genet I mehot 1935 46 180-186
- Munore 11 5 The Negro and the selools Chapel Hill NC Univer North Car olina Press, 1954
- tikin J. M. Some evaluation problems in a course content improvement project J Res Science Teaching 1964 / 129-132
- Atkin J M and R Karplus Disensery or insention? Utbana 111 College of Edu cation Univer Illinois 1962
- Atkin J M and S P Wyatt Astronomy Charting the Uniterse trial edition Ur bana III Elementary School Science Project Duiver Illinois 1961
- Atkinson J W., and G II Latwin Adnessment motive and jest anxiety conceived as motive to approach success and motive to avoid failure J abnorm soc Perchal 1960 60 52-63
- Atwater S K Proactive inhibition and associative lacilitation as affected by degree ol prior learning J exp Psychol 1953 46 400-404
- Auble D and F V Mech Parnal verbal reinforcement related to distributed prac tice in a classroom situation J Psychol 1953 36 165-186
- Ausubel D P Ego development and the learning process Child Developm 1919 20 173-190
- Ausubel D P Problems of adolescent adjustment Bull Nat Ass Sec Sch Prin cipals 1950 34, 1-84
- Austibel D P Pressige motivation of gifted children Genet Psychol Monogr 1951 43 53-117
- Ausubel D P Fgo development and the personality disorders New York Grune & Stration 1952
- Ausubel D P The nature of educational research 1 duc Theory 1953 3 314-320
- Ausubel D P Theory and problems of adolescent development New York Grune
- Ausabel D P Sociempathy as a function of sociometric status in an adolescent group Hum Relat 1955 8, 75 81
- Ausubel D P Some comments on the nature diagnosis and prognosis of neurotic anxiety Psychiat Quart 1956 30 77-88
- Austibel D P Theory and problems of child development New York Grune &
- Ausubel D P Viewpoints from related disciplines human growth and develop ment Teachers Coll Rec 1959 60 245-254

- Ausubel D P The use of advance organizers in the learning and retention of meaningful verbal material J educ Psychol 1960 51 267-272
- Ausubel D P In defence of verbal learning Educ Theory 1961 11 15-25(a)
- Ausubel D P Learning by discovery rationale and mystique Bull Nat Ass Sec Sch Principals 1961 45 18-58(b)
- Ausubel D P A new look at classroom discipline Phi Delta Kappan 1961 43 25-39(c)
- Ausubel D P Can children learn anything that adults can-and more efficiently? Elem Sch J 1962 62 270-272(a)
- Ausubel D P Implications of preadolescent an I early adolescent cognitive development for secondary school leaching High Sch J 1962 45 268-275(b)
- Ausubel D P A subsumption theory of meaningful verbal learning and retention J gen Prychol 196° 66 213-224(c)
- Ausubel D P The psychology of meaningful verbal learning New York Grune & Stratton 1963(a)
- Ausubel D P Some psychological problems in the objectives and design of an elementary school science program Science Educ 1963 41 278-284(b)
- Ausubel D P A teaching strategy for culturally deprived pupils cognitive and motivational considerations Sch Rev 1963 71 454-463(c)
- Ausubel D P Adults versus children in second language learning psychological considerations Mod Lang J 1964 48 420-424(a)
- Ausubel D P How revenues are the cognitive and motivational effects of cultural deprivation? Implications for teaching the culturally deprived child Urban Educ 1961 J 16-38(b)
- Ausubel D P A cognitive structure view of word and concept meaning In Read ings in the Psychology of Cognition (R C Anderson and D P Ausubel Eds) New York Holt Rinchart and Winston 1965 pp 58-75(a)
- Ausubel D P The effects of cultural deprivation on leatning patterns. Audiovisual Instruction 1965 10 10-12(b)
- Ausubel D P An evaluation of the conceptual schemes approach to science cur riculum development J Res Science Teaching, 1965 3 255-264(c)
- Ausubel, D P The fern and the tikt An American view of the New Zealand na tional character social attitudes and race relations New York Holt Rinehart and Winston 1955(d)
- Ausobel D P The influence of experience on the development of intelligence In Productice thinking in education (VI J Aschner & C E. Bisch Eds.) Washington DC Nar Educ Ass 1965 pp 45-69(e)
- Ausubel D P Introduction II in Readings in the Psychology of Cognition (R. C. Anderson and D P Ausubel Eds) New York Holt Rinehart and Winston 1965 pp 217 229(f)
- Ausubel D P Maori youth A psychoethnological study of cultural deprivation New York Holi Runchari and Winston 1965(g)
- Ausubel D P Perception versus cogn ison in meaningful verbal learning J gen Psychol 1965 73 182-187(b)
- Ausubel D P Early versus delayed review in meaningful learning Psychology in Schools 1966 3 192-198(a)

- AnutleL D. P. An evaluation of the ISCS approach to high school biology. Amer. Biology Teatler, 1997, 23, 176-184(b).
- Ausulet D. P. A cognitive structure fleory of school learning. In Instruction Nome contemporary sum points (E. Sicore, Ed.), San Francisco, Chandler, 1967, pp. 207-257.
- Ausubel, D. P., and L. Blate. Proactive influtation in the Engening of meaningful school material. J. et al. Rev. 1958, 32, 145–149.
- Yutulel D P. and D httererall. If erole of discriminability in meaningfol verbal learning and retention J et al. Prochol. 1951. 52 -00-271
- Ausdel D. P., and D. Litzerall. Organizer geveral tarkground and antecedent learning variables in sequential verbal learning. *J. educ. Dychol.*, 1962, 57 213-219.
- Aundel, D. P. and H. M. Schuff. The effect of incidental and experimentally in duted experience in the learning of relevant and irrelevant cauril relationships by duildren J. genet. Laychol. 1951. 57, 1092-123
- Austhel D. P., and H. V. Schift A level of appration approach to the measurement of goal tenacity J gen Lipchol 1955 52 97-110
- Autobel D P., and S 11 Schpoort Prediction of group optimon as a function of extremeness of predictor attitudes J for Psychol 1937 46 19 29
- Assubed D Pa, and M Yoursel The role of ductaminability in meaningful parallel learning J educ Psychol 1963 54 331-336
- Ausubel D P., and M Sousel The effect of spatel repetition on meaningful learning J gen Lischel 19 5 73 147-150
- Aurubel D Pa and M Joursef The effect of convolutation on sequentially related sequentially independent meaningful learning J gen Psychol 1966 74 355-360
- Ausubel D P H M Schuff and E B Gauser A preliminary study of developmental trends in sociempathy Accuracy of perception of own and others sociometric status. Child Developm, 1952–23, 111-128
- Ausubel D P., 11 M. Schiff and M. Goldman Qualitative characteristics in the learning process associated with anxiety J abnorm and Psychol 1953 48 557-517(a).
- Autubel D P 11 M Schiff and M P Zeleny Real life measures of academic and vocational aspirations relation to laboratory measures and adjustment *Chil I* Detection 1955 24 155-168(b)
- Jorcephine 1933 27 132-102(9) Ansubel D P E F Baltharr Irene Roventhal L. Blackman S II Schpoont and Joan Welkowitz Perceived parent aturunles as determinants of children's ego structure Child Detelpm 1951 25 173-183(a)
- tructure Child Detector 1991 22 112-103(4) Aushel D P II M Schiff and M Zeleny Valdery OI teachers ratings of adolescents adjustment and apprisions J educ Psychol 1951 45 391-407(b)
- cents adjustment and aspirations J cam. Fastor 1851 (5) 537-65(5) Ausubel D P Lilhan C Robbins and E Blake Retroactive inhibition and facil itation in the learning of school materials J educ Psychol 1957 48 334-
- 515(a) Ausuled D P 5 11 Schpoont and Lillian Cukter The influence of intention on the retention of school materials J educ Psychol, 1957 48 87-92(b)
- the retention of school materials *J* eaux *systems*, *ison* to *isology* Ansubel D P Mary Stager and A J H Gatte Retroactive facilitation in mean inglut verbal learning *J* educ Psychol, in press

- Back K W Influence through social communication *J* abuorm soc Psychol 1951 46 9-23
- Baer C J The school progress and adjustment of underage and overage students J educ Psychol 1958 49 17-19
- Bahrick H P P M Fitts and R L Runkin Effect of incentives upon reactions to peripheral stimuli J exp Psychol 1952 44 400-406
- Baker H L High school teachers knowledge of their pupils Sch Rev 1938 46 175-190
- Baker H V Children's contributions in elementary school general discussion Child Develpm Monogr 1912 No 29
- Baker R A and S W Osgood Discrimination transfer along a pitch continuum J exp Isycl ol 1954 48 211-216
- Baker R W and T O Madell A continued investigation of susceptibility to distraction in academically underactive ung and actuesing male college students J edue Psychol 1905 26 251-258
- Baker S R A study of the relationship of dogmatism to the retention of psychological concepts a research note J hum Rel 1964 12 311-313
- Baldwin A L J Kalliorn and F II Breese Patterns of parent behavior Psychol Monogr 1915 58 No 5 (Whole No 2(8)
- Ballard P B Oblivescence and reminiscence Brit J Psychol Monogr Suppl, 1913 J No 2
- Barnlund D C A comparative study of individual majority and group judgment J abnorm soc Psychol 1959 58 55-60
- Barr A S and others The measurement and prediction of teaching efficiency Rev educ Res 1958 28 256-274
- Barron F Creativity and psychological lealth Princeton N J D Van Nostrand 1963
- Bartlett F C Remembering Cambridge Cambridge Univer Press 1932
- Bartless T C Thinking an experimental and social study London G Allen 1958
- Batting W F Some factors affecting performance on a word formation problem J exp Psychol 1937 54 96-104
- Bayley N The California First Year Mental Scale Berkeley Calif Univer Calif Press 1933(a)
- Bayley N Mental growth during the first three years A developmental study of 61 children by repeated tests Genet Psychol Monogr 1933 14 7-92(b)
- Bayley N Mentai growth in young children In 39th Yearbook Nat Soc Stud Educ Part II Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1910 pp 11-47
- Bayley N Consistency and variability in the growth of intelligence from birth to eighteen years J genet Psychol 1949 75 165-196
- Bayley N On the growth of intelligence Amer Psychol 1955 10 805-818
- Bayley N Individual patterns of development Child Developm 1956 27 45-74
- Bayley N and H E Jones Environmental correlates of mental and motor development a cumulative study from infancy to six years Child Developm 1937 4, 329-511
- Bayley N and M H Oden The maintenance of intellectual ability in gifted adults J Cerontol 1955 10 91-107
- Beane D G A compatison of linear and branching techniques of programmed

References

instruction in plane geometry Technical Report No 1 Urbana 111 Training Research Laboratory Univer Illinois July 1962

- Beberman M An emerging program of secondary school mathematics Cambridge Mass Harvard Univer Press 1928
- Beilin H and Irene C Franklin Logical operations in area and length measurement age and training effects Child Develpm 1962 33 607-618
- Benne & D and Grace Levit The nature of groups and helping groups improve their operation Rev educ Res 1953 23 289-308
- Bennett G k and J E Doppelt A longitudinal study of the Differential Aptitude Tests Educ psychol Measmt 1951 11 228-237
- Bensberg G J Concept learning in mental defectives as a function of appropriate and inappropriate attention sets J educ Psychol 1958 49 137 143
- Beieiter C and S E Engelmann Teaching disadvantaged children Englewood Cliffs N J Prentice Hall 1966
- Berkowitz L. Leveling tendencies and the complexity simplicity dimension J Pers 1957 25 743-751
- Berlyne D E knowledge and stimulus response psychology Psychol Rev 1954 61 245-251
- Berlyne D E Conflict arousal and curiosity New York McGraw Hill 1900 Berlyne D E Structure and direction in thinking New York Wiley 1965
- Bernard Viola W School desegregation some psychiatric implications Psychiat 1958 21 149-158
- Bernard W Psychological principles of language learning and the bilingual read ing methoil Mod Lang J 1951 35 87-96
- Berustein B Some sociological determinants of perception an enquiry into subcultural differences Brit J Sociol 1958 9 159-171
- Bernstein B Language and social class Brit J Psychol 1960 11 271-276 Biel W C and R C Force Retention of nonsense syllables in intentional and
- meidental learning J Exp Psychol 1913 32 52-63
- Binter AR Two ways of teaching percent Flem Sch J 1963 63 261-265 Birch 11 G and H S Rabinowitz The negative effect of previous experience on
- productive thinking J exp Psychol 1951 41 121-125 Bjurgen 1 A A re-evaluation of rote learning Oslo Oslo Univer Press 1961
- Black 11 They shall not pass New York Morrow 1963
- Bliesmer P Reading abilities of bright and duil children of comparable mental ages J educ Psychol 1951 45 321-331
- Bloom B S Thought processes in lectures and discussions J gen Educ 1953 7,
- Bloom B S Stability and change in human elaracteristics New York Wiley
- Bloom B S Quality control in teaching in Realings for e fucational pipel ology (F B Lage Fd) New York Harrourt Brace & World 1961(b)
- Bloom B S and Lois J Broder Trablem solving processes of college surfaces Supp educ Monogr No 75 1-51 Chicago Finner Chicago Press 19.0
- Bloom B S M D Engelhart E J Furst W H Infl and D R Krail wohl (Edc) Taxono ny of e lucatio al of pectives the classification of e lucational goals Handlook I cognitive domain New York Longmans Green 19 /

- Blommers, P., M. Knief, and J. B. Stroud. The organismic age concept J educ Pachol. 1955, 46, 142-150
- Blos P The adolescent personality New York Appleton Century 1941
- Bond, A De M An experiment in the teaching of genetics New York Teachers College, Columbia Univer 1940
- Bonney M E Sociometric study of agreement between teacher judgments and stu dent choices Sociometry, 1917 10, 133-146
- Bostrom R. N. J. Vlandis and M. Rosenbaum. Grades as reinforcing contingencies and attitude change J. educ. Psychol., 1961, 52, 112-115
- Bourne, L. E., and R. G. Haygood Effects of intermittent reinforcement of an ir relevant dimension and task complexity upon concept identification J exp Psychol. 1960 60, 371-375
- Bourne, L. E., and P. Jennings. The relationship between response contiguity and classification learning J gen Psychol, 1963, 69, 335-338
- Bourne, L. E., and B. K. Parker. Differences among modes for portraying stimulus information in concept idensification. Psychonom. Sci., 1961 1, 209-210
- Bourne, L. E., and R. Pendleton Concept identification as a function of complete ness and probability of information feedback. J exp. Psychol., 1958, 56 415– 420
- Bourne L. E 5 Goldstein and W E Link Concept learning as a function of avail ability of previously presented information J exp Psychol, 1964, 67, 439-448
- Bouifield W A The problem of meaning in verbal learning in Verbal learning and verbal behavior (C N Cofer, Ed) New York McGraw Hill, 1961, pp 81-91
- Bousfield W A B H Cohen, and G A Whitemanh Associative clustering in the recall of words of different taxonomic frequencies of occurrence Psychol Rep , 1958, 41, 39-54
- Boyd W A textbook of pathology, 7th ed Philadelphia Lea & Febiger, 1961
- Brackbill Ysonne, J E Wagner, and Dorothy Wilson Feedback delay and the teaching machine Psychology in the schools, 1964, 1, 148-156
- Bradway Katherine P, and Clare W Thompson Intelligence at adulthood A twenty five year follow up J educ Psychol, 1962, 5, 1-14
- Braine, M D S The ontogeny of logical operations Pragets formulations examined by nonverbal methods Psychol Monogr, 1959, 73, No 4 (Whole No 475)
- Braine, M D S On learning the grammatical order of words Psychol Rev, 1963, 70, 323-348(a)
- Braine, M D S The ontogeny of English phrase structure the first phase Lan guage, 1963, 39, 1-13(b)
- Braley, L. S Strategy selection and negative instances in concept learning J educ Psychol, 1963, 54, 154-159
- Braun Jean S Relation between concept formation ability and reading achieve ment at three developmental levels Child Develpm, 1963, 34, 675-682
- Briggs L. J Two Self Instructional Devices Psychol Rep. 1958 4, 671-676
- Briggs L. J. Prompting and confirmation conditions for three learning tasks employing the subject matter trainer in Student response in programmed in struction (A. A. Lumdaine, Ed.) Washington D.C. National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council, 1961, pp. 375-387

- Briggs L J and H B Reed The curve of retention for substance material J exp Psychol 1943 32 513-517
- Brodie T A Attitude toward school and academic achievement Personnel & Guid J 1964 43, 375-378
- Bromer J A A comparison of incidental and purposeful memory for meaningful and nonsense material Amer J Psychol 1942 55 106-108
- Brookover W B S Thomas and Ann Patterson Self concept of ability and school achievement Sociol Educ 1964 37 271-278
- Biown C I An experiment in the teaching of creativity Sch Rev 1964 72 437-450
- Brown R How shall a thing be called? Psychol Rev 1958 65 14-21(a)
- Brown R Words and things Clencoe Ill The Free Press 1958(b)
- Brown R and C Fraser The acquisition of syntax In 1 erbal behavior and learn ing Problems and processes (C N Cofer and Barbara S Musgrave Eds) New York McGraw Hill 1963 pp 158-196
- Brownell W A Observations of instruction in lower grade arithmetic in English and Scottish schools Arith Teacher 1960 7 165-177
- Brownell W A The evaluation of learning under different systems of instruction Edue Psychologist 1965 3 3-7
- Brownell W A and G Hendrickson How children learn information concepts and generalizations In Learning and instruction 49th Yearbook Nat Soc Stud Educ Part 1 Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1950 pp 92-128
- Brownell W A and H E Moser Meaningful versus mechanical learning. A study in grade III subtraction Duke Univer Res Stud Educ 1919 No 8
- Brownell W A and V M Sims The nature of understanding In The measure ment of understanding 45th Yearbook hat Soc Stud Educ Part J Chicago Univer Clicago Press 1916 pp 27-43
- Bruce R W Conditions of transfer of training J exp Psychol 1933 16 313-361
- Bruner J S Coing beyond the information given in Contemporary approaches to cognition Cambridge Mass Harvard Univer Piess 1957 pp 41-70
- Bruner J S Learning and thinking Harrard educ Ret 1959 29 81-92
- Bruner J S The process of education Cambridge Mass Harvard Univer Press 1960
- Bruner J S The act of discovery Harrard educ Rev 1961 31 21-32(a)
- Bruner J S Alter Dewey what? Sat Rev June 17 1961 38-59 76-78(b)
- Bruner J S The course of cognitive growth Amer Psychologist 1961 19 1-15(a)
- Bruner J S Some theorems on instruction illustrated with reference to mathematics
- In Theories of learning an I instruction 63rd Yearbook Nat Soc Stud Fdue Part I Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1964 pp 506-335(b)
- Bruner J S and Rose R Olver Development of equivalence transformations in children Monogr Soc Res Child Develpm 1965 28 (Whole No 80) 125-
- Bruner J S and 11 Tajfel Cognitive risk an lenvironmental change J at norm tor Payel of 1961 62 231-241
- Bruner J S. Jacqueline J Goodnow and G & Austin A study of thinking New
- Bruner J S. J M Manller D O Dowd and M A Wallach The role of over

learning and drive level in reversal learning J comp physiol Psychol 1958 51 607-613

- Bruner J S M A Wallach and E H Galanter The identification of recurrent regularity Amer J Psychol 1959 72 200 220
- Bryan G L, and J W Rigney An evaluation of a method for shipboard training in operations knowledge Technical Report No 18 Los Angeles Department of Psychology Univer Southern California September 1956
- Bullock H A A comparison of the academic act revenents of white and Negro high school graduates J educ Res 19.0 44 179 18?
- Burnstead A P Distribution of effort in memorizing prose and poetry Amer J Psychol 1910 53 423-427
- Bumstead A P Finding the best method for memorizing J educ Psychol 1913 34 110-114
- Bumstead A P Distribution of effort in memorizing Amer J Psychol, 1954 77, 669-671
- Burack B., and D. Moos Effect of knowing the principle basic to solution of a problem J educ Res 1956 50 203-208
- Burks B S The relative influence of nature and nurture upon mental development a comparative study of loster parent loster child tesemblance and true parent true child resemblance in 27th Yearbook Nat Soc Stud Educ Chicago Umiser Chicago Press 19'8 Part I pp 219-316
- Burks B S On the relative contril utions of nature and nurture to average group differences in intelligence Proc Nat Acad Sci 1038 24 276-282
- Burt, C The development of reasoning in children J exp Pedag 1919 5 68-77
- Burt C Mental and sel plastic tests London King 1978
- Burt C The evidence for the concept of intelligence Brit J educ Psychol 1955 25 158-177
- Burt C The inheritance of mental ability Amer Psychologist 1958 13 1 15
- Burt C. The genetic determination of differences in intelligence A study of monocygotic twins reared together and apart Brit J Psychol 1966 57 137-153
- Burtt H E An experimental study of early childhood memory Final report J genet Psychol 1941 58 43-439
- Butler R A Incentive conditions which influence visual exploration J exp Psychol 19:34 48 19-52
- Buxton E W An experiment to test the effects of writing frequency and guided practice upon students skill in written expression Unpublished Ph D Dissertation Stanford Calif Stanford Univer 1928
- Byers J L. A study of the level of aspiration of academically successful and un successful high school students Calif J educ Res 1969 13 209-216
- Cain L. F., and R DeV Wiley The effect of spaced learning on the curse of re tention J exp Psychol 1939 25 209-914
- Callantine M F and J M Warren Learning sets in human concept formation Psychol Rep 1955 J 363-367
- Canning R R Does an honor system reduce classroom cheating? An experimental answer J exp Educ 1956 24 991 296
- Cantor N The Teaching Learning Process New York Dryden 1953
- Cantril H and G W Allport The psychology of radio New York Harper 1935 Carey J E and A E Goss The role of mediating verbal responses in the con reptual sorting of children J genet Psychol 1957 90 67 71
- Carlsmult L Effect of early father absence on scholastic aptitude Harvard educ Ret 1961 34, 3-21
- Carmichael L. H. P. Hogan and A. Walter. An experimental study of the effect of language on visually perceived form J exp. Psychol. 1932. 15, 73-86
- Caron A J Chirosity adhevement and avoidant motivation as determinants of epistemic behavior J abnorm soc Psychol 1963 67 535-549
- Carr II A Teaching and learning J genet Psychol 1930 37 189-218
- Carroll J B Knowledge of English roots and affixes as related to vocabulary and Latin study J educ Res 1910 34 256-261
- Carroll J B The analysis of reading instruction perspectives from psychology and inguistics in Theores of learning and instruction 63rd Yearbook. Nat Soc Stud Fdue Part I Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1964 pp 336-353
- Carroll JB and Mury L Burke Parameters of paired associate verbal learning Length of list meaningfulness rate of presentation and ability J exp Psychol 1965 69, 513 553
- Carson A S and A I Rabin Verbal comprehension and communication in Negro and white children J educ Psychol 1960 51 47-51
- Carter H D Effects of emotional factors upon recall J Psychol 1935 1 49-59
- Carter L. F. W. Haythorn J. Lanzetta and B. Mairowitz. The relation of cate gorizations and ratings in the observation of group behavior. Hum Relations 1951 4, 239-254
- Carter L. J Interrelationships among memory rate of acquisition and length of task Distert Abit 1959 19 1832
- Carter R S How maind are marks assigned by teachers? J educ Psychol 1952 43 218-228
- Carledge C J and E L krauser Training first grade children in creative think ing under quantitative and qualitative motivation J educ Psychol 1963 54 295-299
- Case D and J M Collinson The development of formal tlinking in verbal com prehension Brit J educ Psychol 1969 32 103-111
- Castenda A D S Palermo and B R McCandless Complex learning and per formance as a function of anxiety in children and task difficulty Child Developm 1955 27 529-532
- Cattell R B Theory of fluid and crystall zed intelligence J educ Psychol 1963 54 1-22
- -7 1-22 Cavan Ruth S Negro family disorganization and juvenile del nquency J Negro Educ 1959 28 230 339
- Chansky N M Learning a function of schedule and type of feedback Psychol
- Chansky N M Reactions to systems of guiding learning Amer educ res J 1964
- Chapanis A and W C Williams Results of a mental survey with the Kuhlmann Anderson intelligence tests in Williamson Country Tennessee J genet Psychol 1945 67 27 55

- Chase L. Motivation of young children an experimental study of the influence of certain types of external incentives upon the performance of a task Univer Inves Stud Child 11 eff 1932 5 No 5
- Chown Sheila M Rigidity-a flexible concept Psychol Bull 1959 56 195-223
- Christensen C M and K E Stordahl The effect of organizational aids on com prehension and retention J educ Psychol 1955 46 65-74
- Clark K B Some factors influencing the remembering of prose material Arch Pachol 1940 36 (Whole No 253)
- Clark K B and M P Clark Racial identification and preference in Negro clui dren in Readings in social psychology (T M Newcomb and E L Ilariley Eds) New York Holt Ranchart and Winston 1917 pp 169 178
- Cline V B J N Richards and C Abe The validity of a battery of creativity tests in a high school sample Educ psychol Measmt 1962 22 781 784
- Cline V B J M Richards and W E Needham Creativity tests and achievement in high school science J app Psychol 1963 47 181 189
- Cobb H V and S H Diremence Solutions of the Meter string problem as a function of the method of problem presentation Proc S Dak Acad Sci 1952 31 138-142
- Cofer G N A comparison of logical and verbatim learning of prose passages of different length Amer J Psychol 1941 54 1-20
- Cofer C N Reasoning as an associative process II1 The role of verbal responses in problem solving J gen Psychol 1907 57 55-68
- Cogan VI L. The behavior of teachers and the productive behavior of their pupils 1 Perception analysis 11 Trait analysis J exp Educ 1958 27 89-105 107 124
- Cohen 1 5 Rigidity and anxiety in a motor response Percep motor Skills 1961 12 127-130
- Cohen J The factorial structure of the WISC at ages 7-6 10-6 and 13-6 J con sult Psychol 1959 23 285-289
- Cohen J and C E M Hansel The idea of independence Brit J Prychol 1950 46 178-190
- Cole D and others The relation of aduevement imagery scores to academic per formance J abnorm soc Psychol 1962 65 208 211
- Coleman J S The adolescent subculture Glencoe III Free Press 1961
- Coleman W and A H Ward A comparison of Davis-Eells and Auhlmann Finch scores of children from high and low socio-economic status J educ Psychol 1955 46 465-469
- Conant J B Slums and suburl s New York McGraw Hill 1961
- Conrad H S and H E jones A second study of familial resemblance in intelli gence environmental and genetic implications of parent child and sibling cor relations in the total sample In Thirty mult Yearbook hat Soc Stud Educ Part II Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1940 pp 97 141
- Conrad H S F N Freeman and H E Jones Differential menial growth In Adolescence 43rd Yearbook Nat Soc Stud Educ Part I Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1944 pp 164 184
- Cook J O and M E Spitzer Supplementary report prompting versus confirma tion in paired associate learning J exp Psychol 1960 59 275-276

- Cook W W C H Leeds and R Gallis The Minnesola Teacher Attitude Inven tory New York Psychological Corp 1951
- Cooper Bernice An analysis of the reading achievement of white and Negro pupils in certain public schools of Georgia Sch Rev 1964 72 46°-471
- Corman B R The effect of varying amounts and kinds of information as guidance in problem solving Psychol Monogr 1957 71 No 2 (Whole No 431)
- cornell E L The variability of children of different ages and its relation to school classification and grouping Albany Univer State of New York 1936
- cornell E L and C M Armstrong Forms of mental growth patterns revealed by reanalysis of the Harvard growth data Child Develpm 1955 26 169-204
- Coulson J E and H F Silberman Effects of three variables in a teaching machine J educ Psychol 1960 57 135-143(a)
- Coulson J E and H F Silberman Results of an initial experiment in automated teaching In Teaching machines and programmed learning (A S Lumsdame and R Glaser Eds) Washington DC Nat Educ. Ass 1960 pp 452-468(b)
- Coulson J E and others Effects of branching in a computer controlled auto instructional device J appl Psychol 1962 46 389-392
- Cowen E L M Zax R Klein L D Izzo and Mary Ann Trost The relation of anxiety in school children to school record achievement and behavioral mea sures Child Developm 1965 36 685-695
- Cox J W Some experiments on formal training in the acquisition of skill Brit J Psychol 1933 24 67-87
- Craig R C Directed versus independent discovery of established relations J educ Psychol 1956 47 223 234
- Craig R C Discovery task completion and the assignment as factors in motivation *Amer educ Res J* 1965 2 217 222
- Crandall V Rachel Dewey W Katkovsky and Anne Preston Parents attitudes and behaviors and grade school children's academic achievements J genet Psychol 1964 104 53-66
- Cronbach L J Issues current in educational psychology Monogr Soc Res Child Developm 1965 30 (Serial No 99) 109-125
- Crowder N A Automatic tutoring by intrinsic programming In Teaching Ma Crowder N A Automatic tutoring by intrinsic programming and R Glaser Eds) chines and Programmed Learning (A A Lumsdaine and R Glaser Eds) Washington DC National Education Association 1960 pp 286-298
- Washington D C National Education Association and PP Crutchfield R S Teaching for productive thinking in children Paper presented to American Psychological Association New York Gity September 1966
- to American Psychological Association New York on Control of Control Crutchfield R S and M V Corrigion Facilitation of creative thinking and problem solving in school children Paper presented to American Association for the Advancement of Science Cleveland Ohio December 1963
- the Advancement of Science Cleveland Onio Declander when New York Cumungham K S The measurement of early levels of intelligence. New York Teachers College Columbia Univer 1927
- Feachers College Columbia Univer 1921 Cunningham Ruth Understanding group bel avor of boys and girls New York Teachers College Columbia Univer 1951
- Teachers College Columbia Univer 1991 Curry Carolyn Supplementary report the effects of verbal reinforcement combining tions on learning in children J exp Psychol 1950 59 454
- tions on learning in children *J* exp rependent 1500 June Curry R L The effect of socio-economic starus on the scholastic achievement of sixth grade children Brit J educ Psychol 1962 32 46-49

Dai B. Some problems of personality development in Negro children. In Person ality in nature, society, and culture (C. bluckholin and H. A. Murray Eds.) New York. Knopf. 1919, pp. 137-458.

Davies Dorothy R. The effect of tuition upon the process of learning a complex motor skill J educ Psychol, 1915 36, 352-365

Davis A Child training and social class in Child behavior ond development New York McGraw Hill 1943 pp 607-620

Davis A Social class influences upon learning Cambridge Harvard Univer Press 1918

Davis O L Learning about time zones an experiment in the development of certain time and space concepts Unpublished doctors dissertation Nashville, Tenn. George Peabody College for Teachers 1938

Dawes R M Memory and distortion of meaningful written material Brit J educ Psychol, 1966 57, 77-86

Dearborn W F, P W Johnson and L Garmichael Oral stress and meaning in printed material Science, 1919 110, 401

de Groot A D The effects of war upon the intelligence of youth J abnorm soc Psychol. 1918 43 \$11-\$17

de Groot, A D War and the intelligence of youth J abnorm soc Psychol, 1951, 46, 596-597

Della Piana G M Searching orientation and concept learning J educ Psychol, 1957, 48, 245-253

Della Piana G M An experimental evaluation of programmed learning motiva tional characteristics of the learner his responses, and certain learning out comes Salt Lake City Univer Unit 1961

Della Piana G M and N L Gage Pupils values and the validity of the Minne sota Teacher Attitude Inventory J educ Psychol 1955 46, 167-178

Dennis W Piaget s questions applied to a child of known environment J genet Psychol, 1942 60, 307-320

Dennis W Animism and related tendencies in Hopi children J obnorm soc Psychol, 1943, 38, 21-36

Dennis W and P Najarian Infant development under environmental handicap Psychol Monogr, 1957, 71 (Whole No 436)

Detambel M H, and L. M Stolurow Stimulus sequence and concept learning J exp Psychol, 1956 51, 34-40

Deutsch M The disadvantaged child and the learning process some social psychological and developmental considerations in Educotion in depressed oreas (A H Passow, Ed) New York Teachers College, Columbia Univers, 1963, pp 163-179

Deutsch, M., and B. Brown Social influences in Negro-White intelligence differ ence J. soc. Issues, 1964, 20, 24-35

Deutsch M, and others Some considerations as to the contributions of social, personality and racial factors to school retardation in minority group children Paper read at Amer Psychol Ass, Chicago September, 1956

Deutsche, J M The development of children's concepts of causal relationships Minneapolis Univer Minnesota Press 1937

Dewey J How we think Boston Heath, 1910

- d Heurle, Adma, Jeanne C Mellinger, and E A Haggard Personality intellectual, and achievement patterns in gifted children Psychol Monogr 1959, 73, No 13 (Whole No 483)
- Dickens Sara L, and C Hobart Parental dominance and offspring ethnocentrism I soc. Psychol, 1959, 49, 297-303
- Dickhoff, J S The university as leader and laggard J higher Educ, 1964, 35, 181-188
- Dienes Z P The growth of mathematical concepts in children through experience Educ Res, 1959, 2, 9-28
- Dienes, Z. P. Insight into arithmetical processes Sch. Rev., 1964, 72, 183-200
- Dillon, H J Early school leavers-a major educational problem New York Na tional Child Labor Committee, 1919
- Dodwell, P C Children's understanding of number and related concepts Can J Psychol, 1960, 14, 191-205
- Dodwell, P. C Children s understanding of number concepts Characteristics of an individual and a group test Can J Psychol, 1961, 15, 29-36
- Dodwell, P C Relations between the understanding of the logic of classes and of cardinal number in children Can J Psychol, 1962, 16, 152-160
- Dodwell, P C Children's understanding of spanal concepts Can J Psychol, 1963, 17, 141-161
- Dorsey, M N, and L. T Hopkins The influence of attitude upon transfer J educ Psychol, 1930, 21, 410-417
- Dreger, R M, and K S Miller Comparative psychological studies of Negroes and whites in the United States Psychol Bull, 1960, 57, 361-402
- Dressel, P, J Schmid, and C kincaid The effect of writing frequency upon essay type writing proficiency at the college level J educ Res, 1952, 46, 285-293
- Drevdahl, J E Factors of importance for creativity J clin Psychol, 1956, 12, 21-26
- Drevdahl, J E, and R B Cattell Personality and creativity in artists and writers I clin Psychol, 1958, 14, 107-111
- Drews Elizabeth M The effectiveness of homogeneous and heterogeneous ability grouping in ninth grade English classes with slow, average, and superior stu dents Washington, DC Government Printing Office, 1959
- Duel, H J Effect of periodic self evaluation on student achievement J educ
- Psychol , 1958, 49, 197-199 Duncan, C P Transfer in motor learning as a function of degree of first task learning and intra task similarity J exp Psychol, 1953, 45, 1-11
- Duncan C P Transfer after training with single versus multiple tasks J exp
- Duncan C P Recent research on burnan problem solving Psychol Bull, 1959, 56,
- Duncan C P Induction of a principle Quart J exp Psychol, 1964. 16, 373-377 Duncan, F M, E I Signori, and H Rempel Authoritarianism and the closure
- phenomenon Percept Mot Skills, 1964, 19, 663-666 Duncker, K On problem solving Psychol Monogr, 1945, 58 (Whole No 270) Duncker, K On problem solving Psychol Monogr, 1945, 58 (Whole No 270) Dunkel H B, and R. A Fillet A second year of French in the elementary school
- Dunn, L. M. A comparison of the reading processes of mentally retarded and nor

mal boys of the same mental age Monogr Soc Hes Child Develpm 1951

- Dunn L. M Mentally retarded children in Encyclopedia of educational research (C. W. Harris Ed.) New York Macmillan 1960 pp 835-818
- Dyk Ruth B and H B Witkin Family experience related to the development of differentiation in children Cl ifd Detelpm 1965 36 21 55
- Easley H The curse of forgetting and the distribution of practice J educ Psychol 1937 28 474-478
- Easley J A Is the teaching of scientific method a significant educational objective? In Philosophy and education (I Scheffler Ed ) Boston Allyn and Bacon 1958
- Eastey J A The Physical Science Education Committee and educational theory Harard educ Rev. 1920 29 4 11
- Easterbrook J A The effect of emotion on cue utilization and the organization of behavior Psychol Rev 1950 56 183-201
- Eaton M T A survey of the achievement in social studies of 10,220 sixth grade pupils in 464 schools in Indiana Bloomington Univer Indiana 1911
- Eaton M T G Weathers and B N Phillips Some reactions of classroom teachers to problem hehavior in school Fduc Adm Super 1957 43 129-139
- Ebbinghaus H Memory A contribution to experimental psychology New York Teachers College Columbia Univer 1913
- Ebel R. L. Must all tests be valid? Amer Psychologist 1961 16 610-617
- Eckstrand G W and D P Wickens Transfer of perceptual set J exp Psychol 19-54 47 274-278
- Edwards A L. Political frames of reference as a factor influencing recognition J abnorm soc Psychol 1911 36 31-50
- Edwards A L and H B English Remuniscence in relation to differential diffi culty J exp Psychol 1939 25 100-108
- Edwards M. P. and Leona E. Tyler. Intelligence: treatinity and achievement in a nonselective public junior high school J educ Psychol. 1965 36 96-99
- Eells h., A Davis and others Intelligence and cultural differences A study of cultural learning and problem solving Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1951
- Egstrom G H Effects of an emphasis on conceptualizing techniques during early learning of a gross motor skill Res Quart 1961 35 472-481
- Elfermann Rioka R. and Dalia Ettion Awareness of reversibility 1is effect ou performance of converse arithmetical operations. Brit J educ Pischol 1964 34 151 157
- Eigen L. D High school student reactions to programed instruction Phi Della Kappan 1963 44 289 285
- Eikenberry D H Permanence of high school learning J educ Psychol 1923 14 463-482
- Eisner E W Children's creativity in art a study of types Amer educ res J 1960 2 122-136
- Elkind D The development of quantitative thinking: a systematic replication of Plaget s studies J genet Psychol 1961 98 37-48(a)
- Elkind D Quantity conceptions in junior and senior high school students Child Develpm 1961 32 551 560(b)

- Elkind D Quantity conceptions in college students J soc Psychol 1962 57 459-465
- Elkind D Conceptual orientation shifts in children and adolescents Child De velpm, 1966 37 493-498
- Ellis H C Distribution of practice and meaningfulness in verbal learning Psychol Rep. 1960 6 319-325
- Ellis N R Objective quality discrimination learning sets in mental defectives J comp physical Psychial 1958 51 79-81
- Endler, N S and D Steinberg Prediction of academic achievement at the univer sity level Personnel and Guidance 1963 4 694-699
- Engle T L High school psychology courses as related to university college courses Bull Nat Assoc Sec School Principals 1957 41 38-42
- English H B E L Welborn and C D Kilian Studies in substance memorization J gen Psychol 1934 11 233-260
- Entwise Doris R Attensity factors of specific set in school learning Harvard educ Rev, 1961 37, 84-101
- Entwise Doris R and W H Huggins Interference in meaningful learning J educ Psychol, 1964 55 75-78
- Epstein W I Rock and C B Zuckerman Meaning and familiarity in associative learning Psychol Monogr 1960 74 (Whole No 491)
- Ericksen S C The zigrag curve of learning in Instruction Some contemporary viewpoints (L Siegel Ed) San Francisco Chandler 1967 pp 141-180
- Ervin Susan M Experimental procedures of children Child Develpm 1960 31 703-719(a)
- Ervin Susan M Training and a logical operation by children Child Develpm 1960 31 555-563(b)
- Ervin Susan M Transfer effects of learning a verbal generalization Child De velpm, 1960 31, 537-554(c)
- Ervin Susan M and W R Muller Language development In Child Psychology 62nd Yearbook Nat Soc Stud Educ Part I Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1963 pp 108-143
- Estes W K An experimental study of punishment Psychol Monogr 1944 57 No 3
- Estes W K Learning theory and the new mental chemistry Psychol Rev 1960 67, 207-223
- Estes W K B L Hopkins and E J Crother All or none and conservation effects in the learning and retention of paired associates J exp Psychol 1960 60 329-339
- Evans J L R Glaser and L E Homme An investigation of teaching machine variables using learning programs in symbolic logic Pittsburgh Department of Psychology Univer Pritsburgh December 1960(a)
- Evans J L R Glaser and L E Homme The development and use of a standard program for investigating programmed verbal learning Paper presented to American Psychological Association Chicago September 1960(b)
- Evans J L R Glaser and L E Homme A preluminary investigation of variation in the properties of verbal learning sequences of the teaching machine type in Teaching Machines and Programmed Learning (A A Lumsdaine and R

Glaser Eds.) Washington D.C. National Education Association 1960 pp 446-451(c)

- Event P H and J F Lambert Part II The effect of verbal instructions upon the formation of a concept J gen Psychol 1932 6 400-413
- Eysenck H ] Diriensions of personality London Routledge and kegan Paul 1917
- Fast 1 kindergarien teaching and Grade I reading 1 educ Psychol 1957 48, 52-57
- Fattu N Exploration of interactions among instruction content and aptitude variables J teacher Lduc 1963 14 211 251
- Fawcett B P Teaching for transfer Math Teacher 1935 28 465-472
- Feather N T The relationship of persistence at a task to expectation of success and achievement related motives J abnorm soc Psychol 1961 63 552-561
- Yeldhusen J F and H J klausmeter Anxiety intelligence and achievement in children of low average and lingh intelligence Child Develow 1962 33 405-409
- Feldhusen J F T Denny and C F Condou Anxiety divergent thinking and achievement J educ Psychol 1965 36 40-45
- Ferris F L An achievement test report In Review of the Secondary School Physics Program of the Physical Science Study Committee 1939 Progress Report Watertown Vass Educational Services Inc 1960 pp 26-28
- Festinger L The motivating effect of cognitive dissonance in Assessment of human motives (G Lindsley Ed) New York Grove 1928 pp 62-86
- Fielder F E Lea ler attitudes and group effectiveness Urbana Univer Illinois Press 1958
- Fillenbaum S and A Jackman Dogmatism and anxiety in relation to problem solving an extension of Rokeach's results J alnorm soc Psychol 1961 63 212 214
- Finds F H Enrollment increases and changes in the mental level of the high school population Appl Psychol Monogr No 10 1916
- Fullay G C Physical Science Study Committee a status report Science Teather 1959 26 574 581
- Finlay G C Secondary school physics the Physical Science Study Committee Amer J Physics 1960 28 574 581
- Fisher S Acquiescence and religiosity Psychol Rep 1964 15 781
- Fisher Sara C The process of generalizing abstraction and its product the general concept Psychol Monogr 1916 21
- Fitch Mildred L and others Frequent testing as a motivating factor in large lec ture classes J educ Psychol 1991 42 1 20
- Fitzgerald D and D P Ausubel Cognitive versus affective lactors in the learning and retention of controversial maternal J educ Psychol 1963 54 73 84
- Flanders N A Personal social anxiety as a factor in experimental learning situations J e luc Res 1951 45 100 110
- Flanders N A Teacler influence pupil attitudes and achievement Minneapolis College of Education Univer Minnesota 1960
- Flavell J H Tie developmental psychology of Jean Proget Princeton N J Van Nourand 1963

- Flavell, J H, A Cooper, and R H Losselle Effect of the number of preutilization functions on functional fixedness in problem solving Psychol Rep, 1958 4, 343-350
- Fleming, Charlotte M Adolescence Its Social Psychology New York International Universities Press, 1949
- Fleming, Charlotte M Class size as a variable in the teaching situation Educ Res, 1959, 1, 35-48
- Flescher, 1 Anxiety and achievement of inteflectually gifted and creatively gifted children J Psychol, 1963, 56, 251-268
- Follettie, J F Effects of training response mode test form and measure on acqui sition of semi ordered factual materials Research Memorandum, 24 Fort Ben ning, Ga U.S Army Infantry Human Research Unit April 1961
- Forgus, R H The effect of early perceptual learning on the behavioral organiza tion of adult rats J comp physiol Psychol, 1954 47, 331-336
- Forgus, R H, and R J Schwartz Efficient retension and transfer as affected by learning method J Psychol, 1957, 43, 135-139
- Forlano, G School learning with various methods of practice and rewards New York Teachers College, Columbia Univer, 1936
- Fowler, W Teaching a two year-old to read An experiment in early childhood learning Genet Psychol Monogr, 1962 66, 181-283
- Frank F Cated by J S Bruner The course of cognitive growth Amer Psychologist, 1964, 19, 1-15
- Frankel E A comparative study of achieving and under achieving high school boys of high intellectual ability J educ Res, 1960 53, 172-180
- Franzblau, A N Religious belief and character among Jewish adolescents New York Teachers College, Columbia Univer, 1934
- Frase, L T The effect of social reinforcers in a programed learning task Tech nical Report No 11 Urbana Training Research Laboratory, Univer Illinois September 1963
- Freedman J L Increasing creativity by free association training J exp Psychol, 1965, 69, 88-91
- Freedman, J L, and T K Landauer Retrieval of long term memory Tip-of the
- tongue" phenomenon Psychonomic Sci, 1966 4, 309-310 Freeman F N and C D Flory Growth in intellectual ability as measured by repeated tests Monogr Soc Res Child Develpm, 1937, 2, No 2
- Freeman F N, K J Holzinger and G B Mitchell The influence of environment on the intelligence, school achievement and conduct of foster children lu Twenty seventh Yearbook, Nat Soc Stud Educ, 1928, Part I, pp 103-217
- Freibergs Vaira, and E Tulying The effect of practice on utilization of informa tion from positive and negative instances in concept information Ganad J
- French, E G and F H Thomas The relation of achievement motivation to problem solving J abnorm soc Psychol, 1958 56, 45-48
- French, J W Aptitude and interest score patterns refated to satisfaction with col lege major field Educ and psychol Measmt, 1961, 21, 287-291
- French, J W New tests for predicting the performance of college students with high level aptitude J educ Psychol, 1964 55, 185-194

- French, R. S. The effect of instructions on the length difficulty relationship for a task involving sequential dependency J exp. Psychol., 1954, 48, 89-97
- Freud, A, and D Burlingham Infants without families New York International Universities Press, 1914
- Freyberg P S Concept development in Pragetian terms in relation to school achievement J educ Psychol, 1966, 57, 161-168
- Frutchey F P Retention in high school chemistry J Higher Educ, 1957, 8, 217-218
- Fry, E G A study of teaching machine response modes in *Teaching machines and programmed learning* (A A Lumsdaine and R Glaser, Eds) Washington, D C National Aduction Association 1960, pp 469-474
- Fryatt, M J, and E Tulving Interproblem transfer in identification of concepts involving positive and negative instances *Canad J Psychol*, 1963 17, 106-117.
- Fund for the Advancement of Education They nent to college early New York Fund for the Advancement of Education 1957
- Gage, N. L. Theories of teaching. In Theories of learning and instruction, 63rd Yearbook, Nat Soc Stud Educ, Part I Chicago Univer Chicago Press, 1964, pp. 268-285.
- Gagné R M The acquisition of knowledge Psychol Rev., 1962, 69, 355-365(2)
- Gagné, R. M. Military training and principles of learning Amer Psychologist, 1962 17, 83-91(b)
- Gagné, R. M. The conditions of learning New York Holt, Rineltari and Winston, 1965
- Gagné, R. M. Instruction and the conditions of learning. In Instruction: Some contemporary viewpoints (L. Siegel Ed.) San Francisco Chandler, 1967, pp 291-515
- Gagné, R. M., and L. T. Brown. Some lactors in the programing of conceptual material J exp. Psychol., 1961 62, 515-321
- Gagné, R. M., and W. Dick Learning measures in a self-instructional problem in solving equations Psychol Rep., 1962 10, 131-146
- Gagné, R. M., and N. E. Paradise. Abilities and learning sets in knowledge acqui stion Psychol Monogr, 1961, 75, No. 14 (Whole No. 518)
- Gagné, R. M., and E. C. Smith A study of the effects of verbalization on problem solving J exp Psychol, 1962, 63, 12-16
- Gagné, R. M., J. R. Mayor, Helen L. Carstens, and N. E. Paradise Factors in ac quiring knowledge of a mathematical task. Psychol Monogr., 1962, 76 (Whole No 526)
- Gater, E. L. Selected personably variables and the learning process Psychol Monogr., 1952, 66, No. 17
- Gaier E L., and Helen Wamback. Self-evaluation of personality assets and habilities of Southern white and Negro students J soc Psychol, 1960, 51, 135-145
- Gallagher, J J, and L. J Lucito Intellectual patierns of gifted compared with average and retarded Exceptional Children, 1961, 27, 479-482
- Gallagher, J J, and others Individual clastroom adjustments for gifted children in elementary school Exceptional Children, 1960, 26, 409-422, 432

Galperin, P Ya An experimental study in the formation of mental actions in

Psychology in the Soviet Union (B Simon Ed.) Stauford Calif Stanlord Univer Press 1957 pp 213-225

- Gardner R W and H J Schlesinger Tolerance for intrealistic experiences A study of the generality of cogmune control Brit J Psychol 1962 53 41 55
- Gardner R W P S Holzman G S Klem H B Linton and D P Spence A study of individual consistencies in cognitive behavior Psychol Issues 1 No 4 New York International Universities Press 1959
- Gardner R W D N Jackson and S J Messick Personality organization in cog mitive controls and mitellectual abilities I sychol Issues 2 No 1 New York International Universities Press 1960
- Garrett H E A developmental theory of intelligence Am Psychologist 1916 1 372-378
- Garrett II L A I Bryan and R L Ierl The age factor in mental organization Arch Psychol 1935 (Whole No 175)
- Garude R F The prediction of examination marks of mechanical engineering students at King's College Newcastle Brit J Psychol 1957 48 219-00
- Carvenck G M Retention of school learning as influenced by selective affective tone variables J educ Psychol 1964 55 31-31
- Gates A I Recitation as a factor in memorizing Arch Psychol 1917 7 (Whole No 40)
- Gates A I Generalization and transfer in spelling New York Teachers College Columbia Univer 1935
- Gates A I The necessary mental age for beginning reading Flem Sci J 1937 37, 497-508
- Gates A I and G A Taylor An experimental study of the nature of improve ment resulting from practice in a mental function J educ Psychol 1925 16 583-593
- Gates A I and G A Taylor An experimental study of the nature of improve ment resulting from practice in a motor function J educ Psycl ol 1926 17 226-236
- Gellerman L. W The double alternation problem 11 The behavior of children and human adults in a double alternation temporal mare J genet Psychol 1931 39, 197-226
- George C Lanticipation dans la résolution d'une tâche complexe Annee Pay chologique 1964 64 83 100
- Gesell A and H Thompson Learning and growth in identical twin infants Genet Psychol Monogr 1929 6 1-124
- Getzels J W and P W Jackson The highly intelligent and the highly creative adolescent a summary of some research findings In The Third University of Utah research conference on the identification of creative scientific talent (G W Taylor Ed ) Salt Lake City Utah Univer Utah Press 1959 pp 46-57 Getzels J W and P W Jackson Greativity and intelligence Explorations with
- gifted students New York Wiley 1962
- Geyer M T Influence of changing the expected time of recall J exp Psychol
- Gibb E G Children s thinking in the process of subtraction J exp Educ 1906 25 71-80

- Gibson E J and R D Walk. The effect of prolonged exposure to visually pre sented patterns on learning to discriminate between them J comp physiol Psychol 1956 49 239-242.
- Gibson J J Social psychology and the psychology of perceptual learning In Group relations at the crossroads (M Sheni and M O Wilson Eds) New York Harper 1953 pp 120-138
- Gilbert T F Overlearning and the resention of meaningful prose J gen Psychol, 1957 56 281-289
- Gillette A L. Learning and retention a comparison of three experimental procedures Arch Psychol 1936 28 (Whole No 198)
- Glaser R Instructional technology and the measurement of learning outcomes some questions Amer Psychologui 1963 18 519-521
- Glaser R (Ed.) Teaching machines and programmed learning 11 Data and direction Washington D.G. National Education Association 1965
- Glaze J & The association value of nonsense syllables J genet Psychol, 1928 35 200-207
- Gnagey W. J. The relationship of parental acceptance to the professional attitudes and academic achievement of students in teacher education. Paper read before the Vinerian Educational Research Association. February 1966
- Coff R. M Problems and emotional difficulties of Negro children New York Teachers College Columbia Univer 1919
- Goldbeck R A The effect of response mode and learning material difficulty on automated instruction Technical Report No 1 Santa Barbara Calil Amer jean Institute for Research September 1960
- Goldbeck R A and L J Briggs An analysis of response mode and feedback fac tors in automated instruction Technical Report No 2 Santa Barbara Calif American Institute for Research Notember 1960
- Goldbeck R A and V N Campbell The effects of response mode and response difficulty on programed learning J educ Psychol 1962 53 110-118
- Goldbeck R A V N Campbell and Joan E Llewellyn Further experimental evidence on response modes in automated instruction *Technical Report* No 3 Santa Barbara Calif American Institute for Research December 1960
- Goldfarb W Psychological privation in infancy and subsequent adjusiment Amer J. Orthopsychiat 1915 15 217-255
- Goldman A E and M Levine A developmental study of object sorting Child Developm 1963 34 649-666
- Goldman M. A comparison of individual and group performance for varying combinations of initial ability J Personality soc. Psychol. 1955 1, 210-216
- Goldman R J The application of Pragets schema of operational thinking to religious story data by means of the Guttman scalogram Brit J educ Psychol 1965, 35 158-170
- Gollin E S Organizational characteristics of social judgment A developmental investigation J Pers 1958 26 139-154
- Gonzalez R C and S Ross The basis of solution of preverbal children of the intermediate size problem Amer J Psychol, 1928 71, 712-746

Goodenough Florence L. New evidence on environmental influence on intelli

gence In Thirty ninth Yearbook, Nat Soc Stud Educ Chicago Univer Chicago Press, 1940, Part I, pp 307-365

- Goodenough, Florence L., and Clara R. Brian Certain factors underlying the ac quisition of motor skill by preschool children J exp Psychol, 1929, 12, 127-155
- Goodlad, J I Research and theory regarding promotion and nonpromotion Elem Sch 1, 1952, 53, 150-155
- Goodlad, J 1, and R H Anderson The nongraded elementary school New York Harcourt, Brace & World, 1959
- Goodman, M E Race awareness in young children Cambridge Addison Wesley, 1952
- Goodnow, Jacqueline J, and Gloria Bethon Pragets tasks The effects of school ing and intelligence Ghild develpm, 1966, 37, 574-582
- Gordon H Mental and scholastic tests among retarded children an enquiry into the effects of schooling on the various tests Educ Famphilets, Bd Educ, Lon don, 1923. No 44
- Gordon, K Class results with spaced and unspaced memorizing J exp Psychol, 1925, 8, 337-343
- Goss A E Acquisition and use of conceptual schemes In Verbal learning and verbal behavior (C N Cofer, Ed) New York McGraw Hill 1961, pp 42-68(a)
- Goss, A E Verbal mediating responses and concept formation Psychol Rev , 1961, 68, 248-274(b)
- Goss A E, and Marte C Moylan Conceptual block sorting as a function of degree of mastery of discriminative verbal responses ] genet Psychol, 1958, 71, 742-
- Gough, H G Academic achievement in high school as predicted from the Cali forma Psychological Inventory J educ Psychol, 1964, 55, 174-180
- Grace, Glona L. The relation of personality characteristics and response to verbal approval in a learning task Genet Psychol Monogr, 1948, 37, 73-103
- Granit, A R A study on the perception of form J exp Psychol, 1921, 12, 223-
- Grant, Eva I The effect of certain lactors in the home environment upon child behavior Univer Ioua Stud Child Welf, 1939 17, 61-94
- Green, R F, and B Berkowitz Changes in intellect with age 11 Factorial analysis of Wechsler Bellevue scores J genet Psychol, 1964, 101, 3-11
- Greenberg, H, and D Fane An investigation of several variables as determinants
- of authoritarianism J. soc Psychol, 1959, 19, 195-211 Greenberg H , A L Chase, and T N Cannon Attitudes of white and Negro high school students in a west Texas town toward school integration J appl
- Greenfield, Patricia 11 On culture and conservation In Studies in cognitive growth (J S Bruner, Ed ) New York Wiley, 1966, pp 225-256
- Griffin, Marie, and E G Beier Subliminal prior solution cues in problem solving
- Gugsby, O J An experimental study of the development of concepts of relation J gen Psychol, 1961, 65, 219-227

ship in preschool children as evidenced by their expressive ability J = xpEduc 1939 I 144-162

- Grimes J W and W Allinsmith Compulsivity anxiety and school achievement Merrill Palmer Quart 1961 7 247 269
- Grodskaya N V On the development of thinking of pupils in the process of mastery of homogeneous concepts Vop Pukhol 1962 No 3 105-116
- Gronlund N E The accuracy of teacters judgments concerning the sociometrie status of sixth grade pupils Sociometry 1920 13 197-295 329 357
- Grooms R R and N S Endler The effects of anxiety on academic achievement 1 educ Prychol 1960 31 299 301
- Gross M L The brain watchers New York Random House 1962
- Gross M N The effect of certain types of motivation on the honesty of children J educ Res 1916 40 133 140
- Grossack M M Some personal ty characteristics of southern Negro students J soc Psychol 1957 46 125-131
- Grote C N A comparison of the relative effectiveness of direct-detasted and directed discovery methods of teaching selected principles of mechanics in the area of physics Unpublished Ed D Dissertation Utbana III Univer Illinois 1960
- Grotelueschen A D Differentially Structured Introductory Learning Materials and Learning Tasks New York Columbia University 1967
- Guilford [ P Creativity Amer Psychologist 1950 9 441-454
- Guilford J P Three faces of intellect Amer Psychologist 1959 14 469-479
- Guilford J P Zero correlations among tests of intellectual abilities Psychol Bull 1964 61 401-404
- Guilford J P Intelligence 1969 model Amer Psychologist 1966 21 90-26
- Guilford J P and P R. Merrifield. The structure of the intellect model 1is uses and implications Reps prychol Lab No 24 Los Angeles Univer Southern California 1960
- Guillord J P R.G Wilson P R Christensen and D J Lewis A factor analytic study of creative thinking 1 Hypotheses and description of tests Reps psychol Lab No 4 Los Angeles Univer Southern Galifornia 1951
- Gurnee H Group learning Psychol Monogr 1962 76 No 13 (Whole No 532)
- Gustafson Lucile Relationship between ethnic group membership and the reien tion of selected facts pertaining to American history and culture J educ Social 1957 37 49-56
- Gotthre E R. The Psychology of Learning New York Harper 1952
- Gutteridge M V The duration of altention in young children Melbourne Univer Melbourne Press 1935
- Hainer A J and A Vi Kaplan Children's manifest anxiety and intelligence Child Develom 1959 30 269-971
- Hagen P E A factor analysis of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children Dissert Abst 1992 12 722 723
- Haggard E A Social status and intelligence an experimental study of certain cultural determinants of measured intelligence Genet Psychol Monogr 1954 49 141-186
- Hall J F Retroactive inhibition in meaningful material J educ Psychol 1905 46 47-52

- Hall W F and R Demarest Effect on achievement scores of a change in promotional policy Elem Sch J 1958 58 204 207
- Hamilton C E The relationship between length of interval separating two learn ing tasks and performance on the second task J exp Psyci ol 1950 40 613-621
- Hamilton G V N A study of perseverance reactions in primates and rodents Behav Monogr 3 No 2 1916
- Hamilton Nancy R Effects of logical versus random sequencing of items in an autoinstructional program under two conditions of covert response J educ Psychol 1964 55 258-266
- Hammer E F Creativity An exploratory investigation of the personalities of gifted adolescent artists New York Random House 1961
- Hanson J T Ninth grade girls vocational choices and their parents occupational level Vocat Guid Quart 1965 13 261 264
- Harari H and J W McDavid Cultural influences on retention of logical and symbolic material J educ Psychol 1966 57 18-22
- Harlow H F The formation of learning sets Psychol Rev 1949 56 51 65
- Harlow H F Learning and satiation of response in intrinsically motivated complex puzzle performance by monkeys J comp physiol Psychol 1950 43 289 994
- Harlow H F Motivation as a factor in the acquisition of new responses In Cur rent theory and research in motivation Lincoln Nebr Univer Nebraska Press 1953 pp 24-49
- Harootunian B and M Tate The relationship of certain selected variables to problem solving ability J educ Psychol 1960 51 3°6-333
- Harris D B Child development In Recent research and developments and their implications for teacher education 30th Yearbook Amer Ass Coll Teacher Educ Washington DC The Associat on 1960 pp 28-44

- Hart F W Teachers and teaching New York Macmillan 1984
- Hart 1 Maternal child rearing practices and authoritarian ideology J abnorm soc Psychol 1907 55 232 237
- Hart J T Memory and the feeling of knowing experience J educ Psychol 1965 56 208 216
- Hartogs R The clinical investigation and differential measurement of anxiety Amer J Psychiat 1950 106 929-934
- Hartshorne H and M A May Studies in the nature of character Vol 1 Studies in Deceit New York Macmillan 1928
- Hartson L Does college training influence test intelligence? J edic Psychol 1936 27 481-491
- Hartung M L Teaching of mathematics in senior high school and jumor college Rev Educ Res 1942 12 425-434
- Harvey O J Authoritarianism and conceptual functioning in varied conditions J Personality 1963 31 462-470
- Haselrud G M Transfer from context by sub-threshold summation J educ Psychol 1959 50 254-258
- Hatelrud G M and Shirley Meyers The transfer value of given and individually denved principles J educ Psychol 1958 49 293-298

ship in preschool children as evidenced by their expressive ability J exp Educ 1932 J 144 162

- Grines J W and W Allinsmith Compulsivity anxiety and school achievement Vernil Palmer Quart 1961 7 217-269
- Grodskaya N V On the development of thinking of pupils in the process of mastery of homogeneous concepts Vap Pukhol, 1969 No 3 106-116
- Gronlund N E The accuracy of teachers judgments concerning the sociometric status of sixth grade pupils Sociometry 1950 13, 197-225 329-357
- Grooms R R and N S Endler The effects of anxiety on academic achievement J educ Psychol 1960 51 299 304

Gross M L. The brain watchers New York Random House 1962

- Gross M M The effect of certain types of motivation on the honesty of children *I educ Res* 1946 40 133-140
- Grossack M M Some personality characteristics of southern Negro students J soc Psychol 1957 46 125-131
- Grote C N A comparison of the relative effectiveness of direct detailed and directed discovery methods of teaching selected principles of mechanics in the area of physics Unpublished Ed D Dissertation Urbana III Univer Illinois 1960
- Grotelueschen A D Differentially Structured Introductory Learning Materials and Learning Tasks New York Columbia University 1967
- Guilford J P Creativity Amer Psychologist 1950 9 444-454
- Guilford J P Three laces of intellect Amer Psychologist 1959 14 469-479
- Guillord J P Zero correlations among tests of intellectual abilities Psychol Bull 1964 61 401-404
- Guilford J P Intell gence 1950 model Amer Psychologist 1966 21 20-26
- Guillord J P and P R Mernfield The structure of the intellect model Its uses and implications Reps psychol Lab No 24 Los Angeles Univer Southern California 1960
- Guilford J P R C Wilson P R Cliristensen and D J Lewis A lactor analytic study of creatise thinking 1 Hypotheses and description of tests Reps psychol Lab No 4 Los Angeles Univer Southern Galifornia 1951

Gurnee H Group learning Psychol Monogr 1962 76 No 13 (Whole No 532)

- Guitalson Lucille Relationship between ethnic group membership and the retention of selected facts pertaining to American history and culture J educ Sociel 1957 3J 49-56
- Guthrie E. R. The Psychology of Learning New York Harper 1952
- Guttendge M V The duration of attention in joung children Melbourne Univer Melbourne Press 1935
- Hafner A J and A M Kaplan Children's massifest anxiety and intelligence Child Developm 1959 30 269 271
- Hagen P E A factor analysis of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children Dissert Abst 1957 12 722 723
- Haggard E A Social status and intelligence an experimental study of certain cultural determinants of measured intelligence Genet Psychol. Monogr, 1954 49 H1-186
- Hall J F Retroactive inhibition in meaningful material J educ Psychol 1955 46 47-52

- Hall W F and R Demarest Effect on achievement scores of a change in promouonal policy Elem Sch J 1958 58, 204-207
- Hamilton C E The relationship between length of interval separating two learn ing tasks and performance on the second task f exp Psychol, 1950 40, 613-621
- Hamilton G V N A study of perseverance reactions in primates and rodents Behav Monogr 3, No 2 1916
- Hamilton Nancy R Effects of logical versus random sequencing of items in an automstructional program under two conditions of covert response J educ Psychol, 1964 53, 258-266
- Hammer E F Greatisity An exploratory investigation of the personalities of gifted adolescent artists New York Random House 1961
- Hanson J T Ninth grade girls vocational choices and their parents occupational level *Locat Guid Quart* 1965 13, 261-264
- Haran H and J W McDaud Cultural influences on retention of logical and symbolic material J educ Psychol 1966 57, 18-22
- Harlow H F The formation of learning sets Psychol Rev 1949 56, 51-65
- Harlow H F Learning and satiation of response in intrinsically motivated complex puzzle performance by monkeys J comp physiol Psychol 1950 43 289-294
- Harlow H F Motivation as a factor in the acquisition of new responses In Cur rent theory and research in motivation Lancoln Nebr Univer Nebraska Press 1955 pp 24-49
- Harootunian B and M Tate The relationship of certain selected variables to problem solving ability J educ Psychol, 1960 51, 326-333
- Harris D B Child development In Recent research and developments and their implications for teacher education, 30th Yearbook. Amer Ass Coll Teacher Educ Washington DC The Association 1960 pp 28-44
- Hart F W Teachers and teaching New York Macmillan 1934
- Hatt I Maternal child rearing practices and authoritarian ideology J abnorm ioc Psychol 1957 35, 232-237
- Hart J T Memory and the feeling of knowing experience J educ Psychol, 1965 56 208-216
- Hartogs R The clinical investigation and differential measurement of anxiety Amer J Psychiat 1950 106 929-934
- Hartshorne H and M A May Studies in the nature of character Vol 1 Studies in Deceit New York Macmillan 1928
- Hartson L Does college training influence test intelligence? J educ Psychol 1936 27, 481-491
- Hartung M L Teaching of mathematics in senior high school and junior college Rev Educ Res, 1942 12 425-434
- Harvey O J Authoritarianism and conceptual functioning in varied conditions J Personality 1963 31, 462-470
- Haselrud G M Transfer from context by sub-threshold summation J educ Psychol 1959 50, 254-258
- Haselrud G M and Shirley Meyers The transfer value of given and individually derived principles J educ Psychol 1958 49, 293-298

- Hastings J T Tensions and school achievement examination J exp Fdue, 1914 12, 143-164
- Havighurst R J and F H Breese Relation between ability and social status in a Mid Western community III Primary mental abilities J educ Psychol 1917 38, 241-247
- Havighurst R J, and L L Janke Relations between ability and social status in a Mid Westerit community J Ten year old children J educ Psychol, 1914 35, 557-558
- Havighurst R. J and Beatrice J Neugarten Society and education, 2d ed Boston Allyn and Bacou 1962
- Havighurst R J and Hilda Taba Adolescent character and personality New York Wiley 1919
- Haygood R C and L E Bourne Forms of relevant sumulus redundancy in con cept identification J exp Psychol, 1964, 67, 392-397
- Harlan V Children e thinking Brit J Paychol , 1930 20, 354-361
- Hebb D O The organization of behavior New York Wiley, 1919
- Heidbreder Edna F Reasons used in solving problems J exp Psychol, 1927, 10, 307-414
- Heidbreder, Edna F Problem solving in children and adults J genet Psychol, 1928 33, 522-515
- Heidbreder Edna F, and C Zimmerman The atlainment of concepts 1X Semantic efficiency and concept attainment J Psychol, 1955 40, 325-335
- Heikkinen V A study in the learning process in the school class environment Helsinki Akateeminen Kirjakauppa 1957
- Heinonen V Differentiation of primary mental abilities Jyvaskyla Finland Kustantajat Publishers 1963
- Hendrickson G, and W H Schroeder Transfer of training in learning to hit a submerged target. J educ Psychol, 1941, 32, 205-213
- Hendrix Gentrude A new clue to transfer of training Liem Sch 1, 1947, 48, 197-208
- Hendrix Certrude Prerequisite to meaning Math Teach, 1950 43, 854-539
- Hendrix, Gertrude Learning by Discovery Math Teach, 1961, 54, 290-299
- Herr D M The sentiment of white supremacy an ecological study Amer J Sociol, 1959 61, 592-598
- Herr Selma E. The effect of pre-first grade training upon reading readiness and reading achievement among Spanish American children J educ Psychol 1916, 37, 87-102
- Herrick, V E. Administrative structure and processes in curriculum development Rev educ Res, 1960-30 258-274
- Hersbberger W Self evaluational responding and typographical cueing techniques for programming self sustructional reading materials J educ Psychol, 1961, 53 288-296
- Hess E H Imprinting Science, 1959 139, 133-141
- Hess, R D, and Irene Goldblatt The status of adolescents in American society A problem in social identity Child Developm, 1957, 28, 459-468
- Hess R C. and Virginia C Shipman Early experience and the socialization of cognitive modes in children Child Develpm, 1965, 36, 869-886

- Hibbs A R. Science for elementary students Teachers Coll Rec , 1961, 63, 136-142
- Hildreth G E. The difficulty reduction tendency in perception and problem solving J educ Psychol, 1941, 32, 305-313
- Hildreth G E The simplification tendency in reproducing designs J genet Psychol, 1944 64, 327-333
- Hilgard E R A perspective on the relationship between learning theory and educational practices In Theores of learning and instruction, 63rd Yearbook, Nat Soc Stud Fdue, Part I Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1964, pp 402-415
- Hilgard E R R P Irvine and J E Mupple Rote memorization understanding and transfer an extension of katoma s card trick experiments J exp Psychol, 1953 146 288-292
- Hilgard E. R. R. D. Edgren and R. P. Irvine. Errors in transfer following learning with understanding. Further studies with katoma's card trick experiments Jexp. Psychol., 1054 17, 457-464
- Hilgard J R. Learning and maturation in preschool children J genet Psychol, 1932 41, 36-56
- Higgad J R The effect of early and delayed practice on memory and motor per lormances studied by the method of co-twin control Genet Psychol Monogr, 1933 14, 492-567
- Hill D S Personification of ideals by urban children J soc Psychol, 1950, 1, 379-392
- Hill M C Research on the Negro family Marriage Fam Living, 1957, 19, 25-31
- Hill Shurley A A Study of the Logical Abilities of Children Doctor's thesis Stanlord, Calif Stanlord Univer 1961
- Hull W F Activity as an autonomous drive J comp physiol Psychol, 1956 49, 15-19
- Hillix W A and M H Marx Response strengthening by information and effect in human learning J exp Psychol, 1960, 60, 97-102
- Hitt W D and J R Stock The relationship between psychological characteristics and creative behavior Psychol Rec, 1965 15, 133-140
- Hobson J R. Sex differences in primary mental abilities f educ Res, 1947, 41, 126-132
- Hodges A A developmental study of symbolic behavior Child Develpm, 1954 25, 277-280
- Hoffman H N A study in an aspect of concept formation with subnormal average and superior adolescents Genet Psychol Monogr , 1955 52, 191-239
- Hoffman L. R. R. J. Burke and N. R. F. Maier. Does training with differential reinforcement on similar problems help in solving a new problem? Psychol Rep, 1953 15, 147-154.
- Hoffmann B The tyranny of testing New York Crowell Collier 1962
- Hohn F E Teaching creativity in mathematics Arith Teacher, 1961 8, 102-106
- Holaday P W and G D Stoddard Getting ideas from movies In Motion Pictures and Youth (W W Charters P W Holaday and G D Stoddard Eds) New York Viacmillan 1935
- Holland J G Teaching machines an application of machines from the laboratory In Teaching Machines and Programmed Learning (A A Lumsdaine and R

Glaser Eds.) Washington D.C. National Education Association 1960 pp 215-228

- Holland J G and D Porter The influence of repetition of incorrectly answered items in a teaching machine program J exp anal Behav 1961 4 30-307
- Holland J L. Creative and academic performance among talented adolescents J educ Psychol 1961 52 136-147
- Hollingshead A B Elmiouns jouth New York Wiley 1919
- Holloway H D Effects of training on the SRA Primary mental abilities (primary) and the WISC Child Develom 1954 25 253-263
- Holtman P S and R. W Gardner Leveling sharpening and memory reorganiza tion J abnorm soc Psychol 1960 61 176-180
- Honme L E and R Glaser Problems in programming verbal sequences in Teaching Meelines and Programmed Learning (A A Lumsdaine and R Glaser Eds) Washington D.C. National Education is 1960 pp 486-496

Honrik V P J W Macfarlane and L. Allen The stability of mental test per formance between two and e glitteen years J exp Educ 1918 17 309-374

- Hood H B An experimental study of Piagets theory of the development of num ber in children Brit J Psychol 1962 53 973-286
- House B J and D Zeaman Positive discrimination and reversals in low grade retardates J comp physiol Psychol 1959 52 564 565
- Hovland C I Experimental studies in rote learning theory III Distribution of practice with varying speeds of syllable presentation J exp Psychol 1938 23 172 190
- Hosland C I Experimental studies in rote learning theory V Comparison of distribution of practice in serial and paired associate learning J exp Psychol 1939 29 60°-635
- Hovland, C I Experimental studies in role learning theory VI Comparison of retention following learning to the same enterion by massed and distributed practice J exp Psychol 1940 26 568-587(a)
- Hovland C I Experimental studies in rote learning theory VII Distribution of practice with varying lengths of list J exp Psychol 1940 27 271 284(b)
- Hovland C 1 Experimental studies in rote learning theory \lll Distributed practice of pared associates with varying rates of presentation J exp Psych of 1949 39 714 718
- Hovland C I A communication analysis of connects formation. Psychol. Rev. 1959-59 461-472.
- Hosland C 1 and W Weiss Transmission of information concerning concepts through positive and negative instances J exp Psychol 1953 45 175-182
- Hoslan I C I A A Lumsdaine and F D Sheffield Experiments on Mass Communication Princeton N J Princeton Univer Press 1919
- Howells T H Comparative study of those who accept as against those who reject religious authority Univer Iona Stud Charact 11 1998 No 2
- Huang 1 Experimental studies on the role of repetition organization and the internion to learn in role memory J gen Psychol 1944 31 213-217
- Huang I., and H W Lee. Experimental analysis of child animism J genet Psychol. 1945 66 69-74

- Hughes J L. and W J McNamura A comparative study of programmed and con ventional instruction in industry J appl Psychol 1961 45 225-231
- Hull C L Quantitative aspects of the evolution of concepts Psychol Monogr 1990 28 (Whole No. 123)
- Hull C L. Principles of Behavior New York Appleton Century 1913
- Hunt E B Concept learning an information processing problem New York. Wiley 1962
- Hunt J McV Intelligence and experience New York Ronald 1961
- Hunt J McV The psychological basis for using pre-school enrichment as an antidote for cultural deprivation. Merrill Palmer Quart 1961 10 209-248
- Hurlock Elizabeth B. The value of praise and reproof as incentives for children Arch Psychol 1924 11, No 71
- Huttenlocher Janellin Some effects of negative instances on the formation of simple concepts Psychol Rep 1962 11 35-42
- Hyram G H An experiment in developing critical thinking in children J exp Educ 1957 26 125-132
- Inhelder Barbel and J Praget The growth of logical thinking from childhood to adolescence New York Basic Books 1958
- Irion A L. Retention and warming up effects on paired associate learning J exp Psychol 1949 39 669-675
- Inon A L. and L J Briggs Learning task and mode of operation variables in use of subject matter trainer AFPTRC-TR 57 8 October 1957
- Istael M L Variably blurred prompting 1 Methodology and application to the analysis of paired associate learning J Psychol 1960 50, 43-52
- herson M A and Mary E Reuter Ego-unolvement as an experimental variable Psychol Rep 1956 2 147-181
- Jackson S The growth of logical thinking in normal and subnormal children Brit J educ Psychol 1965 35 255-258
- Jackson T A General factors in the transfer of training in the white rat Genet Psychol Monogr 1932 11 1-59
- James N E Personal preference for method as a factor in learning J educ Psychol 1962 53 43-47
- Jans 1 L and B T king The influence of role playing on opinion change J abnorm soc Psychol 1954 49 211-218
- Janke L L. and R J Havighurst Relations between ability and social status in a mid Western community II Sixteen year old boys and girls J educ Psychol 1945 36 499-509
- Jenkins J G Instruction as a factor in incidental learning Amer J Psychol 1933 45 471-477
- Jenkins J G and K M Dallenbach Oblivescence during sleep and waking Amer J Psychol 1924 35 605-612
- Jenkins J G and W M Sparks Retroactive inhibition in foreign language study Psychol Bull 1940 37 470
- Jetaid A T and S F Bienstock The influence of training on the vocal ability of three year old children Child Developm 1931 2 277-291
- Jersid A T and S F Bienstock Development of rhythm in young children Ghild Develpm Monogr 1935 No 22

3

٠

ł

₹

- Jersild A T and Ruth J Tasch Cl il hen s Interests New York Teachers College Columbia Univer 1919
- Jersild A T and others Citld development and the curriculum New York Teachers College Columbia Univer 1916
- John Vera P and L S Goldstein The social context of language acquisition Vertill Palmer Quart 1964 10 265-276
- Joinson C E F P Ellison and J S Flores A summary of the major findings at the close of the first year of the study of the desclopment of methods and materials to facilitate foreign language instruction in elementary schools Urbana III Univer Illinois 1970
- Johnson, D. V. Formulation and reformulation of fguse concepts Amer J 15ychol 1961 74 418-491
- Johnson E S and C E Legg Why young people leave school Bull Vall Ass See Sch Principals 1944 28 3-28
- Johnson G O and S A kirk Are mentally handicapped children segregated in the regular grades. Exceptional Ci dd 1950 17 62-68 87-88
- Johnson R C and R C Zara Relational learning in young children J comp physicil Psycl of 1960 53 591-597
- Jones E E and R de Charms TI e organizing function of interaction roles in person perception J abnorm soc Psychol 1928 57 152-164
- Jones E E and R hohler The effects of plausibility on the learning of controversial statements J abnorm soc Psychol 1958 57 \$15-\$20
- Jones H L The pattern of abilities in juvenile and adult defectives Univer Califormia Publ Psychol 1931 5 47-61
- Jones H E The environment and mental development In *Manual of Child Psychology* (L Carmichael Ed) ed 2 New York Wiley 1954 pp (31-696
- Jones H E and H S Contrad The growth and decline of intelligence A study of a homogeneous group between the ages of ten and sixty Genet Psychol Monogr 1953 If No 3
- Jones H E and H S Contrad Mental development in adolescence. In Forty third Yearbook Ast Soc Stud Educ Chicago Univer Chicago Iress 1914 Part I pp 146-163.
- Jones M G and H B English Notional vs rote memory Amer J Psychol 1926 37 602-603
- Jones R 5 Integration of instructional with self scoring measuring procedures Unpublished 1 h D Dissertation Columbus Ohio Ohio State Univer 1950
- Joos L. W. Utilization of leading machine concept in elementary antilimetic. Baltimore Board of Education of Baltimore County 1961
- Jost, A Die Associationfestigkeit in ihrer Abhangigheit von der Verteilung der Wiederholungen Z Psychol 1897 14 436-472
- Judd C H 1 ratice and its effects on the perception of illusions Psychol Rev, 1902 9 27 39
- Kaess 11 and D Zeaman Positive and negative knowledge of results on a l ressey type punchboard J exp Psychol 1960 60 12-17
- Kagan J Reflection impulsivity and reading ability in primary grade children Child Develom 1965 36 (09-698

- kagan J and P H Mussen Dependency themes on the TAT and group con formity J consult Psychol 1956 20 19-27
- hagan J L W Sontag C T Baker and V Nelsen Personality and 1Q change J abnorm soc Psychol, 1958 56, 261-266
- kagan J., H A Moss and I E Sigel Conceptual style and the use of affect labels Merrill Palmer Quart Behav Develpm, 1960 6 261-278
- hagan J H A Moss and I E Sigel Psychological significance of styles of con ceptualization Monogr Soc Res Child Developm, 1963 28, No 2 73-112
- kagan J Bernice L Rosman Deborah Kay J Albert and W Phillips Informa ton processing in the child Significance of analytic and reflective attitudes *Psychol Nonogr*, 1964 78 (Whole No 578)
- Asgan J L. Pearson and Lois Welch Conceptual impulsivity and inductive rea soning Child Develpm 1966 37, 583-594
- kahl J A Education and occupational aspirations of common man boys Har vard educ Rev, 1953 23, 186-203
- Kahl J A The American class structure New York Rinehart 1957
- hahn M L. Social class and parental values Amer J Sociol, 1959 64, 337-851
- harplus R Beginning a study in elementary school science Amer J Physics, 1962 30, 1-9(a)
- harplus R The science curriculum-one approach Elem Sch J, 1962 62, 243-252(b)
- kates S L and L Yudin Concept attainment and memory J educ Psychol, 1964 55, 103-109
- katkouky W. Anne Preston and V J Crandall Parents attitudes toward their perional achievements and toward the achievement behaviors of their children J genet Psychol. 1964 104, 67-82(a)
- kattowky W Anne Presson and V J Crandall Parents achievement attitudes and their behavior with their children in achievement situations J genet Psychol 1904 104 105-121(b)
- katona G Organizing and memorizing New York Columbia Univer Press 1940
- kaufman M E and W M Peterson Acquisition of a learning set by normal and mentally retarded children J comp physiol Psychol, 1958 51, 619-621
- kausler D H A study of the relationship between ego involvement and learning J Psychol 1951, 32, 225-230
- hay 11 Learning and retaining verbal material Brit J Psychol, 1955 46, 81-100
- keular E R The development of understanding in arithmetic by a teaching ma dune J educ Psychol, 1959 50, 247-223
- Acislar E R A descriptive approach to classroom motivation J Teacher Educ, 1960 11, 310-315
- kenlar E R Shaping of a learning set in reading Paper presented to the American Educational Research Association Atlantic City NJ February 1961
- kenlar E R and J D Vickell Teaching scientific theory to first grade pupils by an auto-instructional device Harvard educ Rev, 1961 31, 73-83
- keislar E R and J D McNeil A comparison of two response modes in an auto instructional program with children in the primary grades J educ Fsychol, 1962 53, 127-131

1 5

...

x

.

۰,

ı.

٠

kelly, J G, J E Ferson and W H Holtzman The measurement of attitudes toward the Negro in the South J soc Psychol, 1958, 48, 305-317

- Kempler, H L Self confidence and problem solving rigidity J clin Psychol, 1962, [8 5]
- Kendler, H H Vertical and horizontal processes in problem solving Psychol Rev, 1962, 69, 1-62
- kendler, H H, and M F D Amato A comparison of reversal shifts and non reversal shifts in human concept formation behavior J exp Psychol, 1955, 49, 165-174

kendler, H H and A D harask Concept formation as a function of competition between response produced cues J exp Psychol, 1958 55, 278-283

- Kendler H H and Tracy S Kendler Inferential behavior in preschool children J exp Psychol, 1956 51, 311-314
- Kendler, H H and Tracy S Kendler Effect of verbalization on reversal shifts in children Science, 1961, 134, 1619-1620

kendler, H H, and Tracy S kendler Vertical and horizontal processes in problem solving Psychol Rev, 1962 69, 1-16

- Kendler, Tracy S Development of mediating responses in children Monogr Soc Res Child Developm, 1963 28, No 2 33-48
- Kendler, Tracy S and H H Kendler Reversal and nonreversal shifts in kinder garten children J exp Psychol, 1959 58, 56-60
- Kendler Tracy S, H H Kendler, and D Wells Reversal and nonreversal shifts in nursery school children J comp physiol Psychol, 1959, 52, 387-389
- keppel G and L Postman Studies of learning to learn 111 Conditions of im provement in successive transfer tasks J verb Learning and verb Behov, 1966 J. 260-267
- Kersh B Y The adequacy of meaning as an explanation for the superiority of learning by independent discovery J educ Psychol, 1958, 49, 282-292
- Kersh B Y The motivating effect of learning by directed discovery J educ Psychol, 1962 53, 65-71

Kettner, N. W. J. P. Guilford and P. R. Christensen. A factor analytic study across the domains of reasoning creativity and evaluation. Psychol Monogr, 1959 73, No. 9 (Whole No. 479).

Kight If R, and J M Sassenrath Relation of achievement motivation and test anxiety to performance in programed instruction J educ Psychol, 1966 37, 14-17

Killian L. M. and J. L. Haer. Variables related to attitudes regarding school desegregation among white southerners Sociometry, 1958. 21, 159-164

king D J, and C N Cofer Reiroactive interference in meaningful material as a function of the degree of contextual constraint in the original and inter polated learning J gen Psychol, 1960, 69, 145-148

King R. G. The prediction of choice of undergraduate field of concentration in Harvard College Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Harvard Univer, 1958

King W H The development of scientific concepts in children Brit J educ Psychol, 1960 37, 1-20

Kinoshita, T The effects of group cohesiveness and importance of the tasks upon conformity behavior Jap J Psychol, 1964, 34, 181-198

1

1

-

۲.

t

t

ł

x

t

٤

- kolstoe O P A comparison of mental abilities of hight and dull children of comparable mental ages J Educ Psychol 1954 43 161 168
- Kooker E W., and C S Williams College students ability to evaluate their performance on objective tests J educ Res 1959 53 69-72
- kounin J S Intellectual development and rigidity In Cl ild behavior and de velopment (R. C. Barker J S kounin and H F Wright Eds) New York McCraw Hill 1915 pp 179 198
- koun n ] S and P V Gump The comparative influence of punitive and non punitive tead ers upon children's concepts of school misconduct J educ Prod of 1961 52 44-49
- Kounin J S P V Cump and J J Ryan Explorations in classroom management J teach Educ 1961 12 235-246
- krathwohl D R and others Taxonomy of educational objectives the classifica tion of educational goals Handbook II affective domain New York David Mckay 1961
- Arechevsky 1 Hypotheses in rats Psychol Rev 1939 39 516-532
- Krechevsky I A study of the community of the problem solving process Psychol Rev 1938 45 107-133
- Krueger L O The relative effect of st terspersing a recall at different stages of learning Arcl Psychol 1930 18 (Whole No 114) 15-20
- Krueger W C F The effect of overlearning on retention J exp Psychol 1979 12 71 78
- Krueger W C F The optimal effect of recall during learning Arch Psychol 1930 18 (Whole No 114) 26-34
- Krueger W C F Rate of progress as related to difficulty of ass griment J educ Psychol 1916 37 247 219
- hrug R. E. Over and under achievement and the Edwards Personal Preference Schedule J appl Psychol 1959 43 133-137
- Krumboltz J D Meaningful learning and retention practice and reinforcement variables Rev educ Res 1961 31 535-546
- hrumboltz J D and R. G Weisman The effect of overt vs covert responding to programmed instruction on immediate and delayed retention J educ Payel of 1967 35 88-97(a)
- Krumboliz J D and R C Weisman The effect of intermuttent confirmation in programmed instruction Paper presented to the American Psychological Assotiation 51 Louis September 1967(1)
- kuenne M R Experimental investigation of the relation of language to transposition behavior in young children J exp Psychol 1946 36 471-490

kul len R G Tie psychology of adolescent development New York Harper 1957

- kurtz A k. Jeanieue S Walier and H. Brenner. The effects of inserted questions and statements on film learning. *Technical Report SDC* 2697-16 Penn sylvama State College. Instructional Films Research Program. September 1950.
- Kuriz K H., and C I Hostand Concept learning with differing sequences of instances J exp Psychol 1956 4 239-243
- Lacey H. M. Mediating verbal responses and stimulus similarity as factors in conceptual naming by school age children J exp. Psychol. 1961. 62, 113-121

- Lace) J I and K M Dallenbach Acquisition by children of the cause effect rela tionship Amer J Psychol 1940 33 575-578
- Ladd E. T. Perplexities of the problem of keeping order Harvard educ Rev 1958 28 19-28
- Lambert P Schedules of reinforcement effects on programmed learning Paper presented to the American Psychological Association St Louis September 1962
- Lambert P and others Experimental folklore and experimentation the study of programmed learning in the Wauwatora public schools J educ Psychol 1962 55, 485-491
- Lantz Beatrice Some dynamic aspects of success and failure Psychol Monogr 1915 39 No 1 (Whole No 271)
- Larson G L. Comparison of acquisition retention and transfer among three styles of learning Unpublished Ph D thesis Univer Illinois Urbana Illinois 1963
- Lashley K S Brain mechanisms and intelligence Clucago Univer Chicago Press 1929
- Lathrop C W and C A Norford Contributions of film introductions and film summaries to learning from instructional films *Technical Report-SDC* 269-78 Pennsylvania State College Instructional Films Research Program November 1919
- Laurendeau M and A Pinard Gausal thinking in the child A genetic and experimental approach New York International Universities Press 1962
- Lawrence D H and W R Goodwin Transfer in training behavior between two levels of speed USAF Personnel Center Res Bull 1954 No AFPTRC TR 5470
- Laycock S R and S Clark The comparative performance of a group of old dull and young bright children on some items of the Revised Stanford Binet Scale of Intelligence Form  $L_1$  edue Dryclo 1 1912 33 1-12
- Leahy A M Nature nurture and intelligence Genet Psychol Monogr 1935 17 235-308
- Leeds C H Teacher behavior liked and disliked by pupils Education 1954 75 29-36
- Leggitt Dorothy Measuring progress in working skills in ninth grade civics School Rev 1934 42 676-687
- Lehman H and L Cole The effectiveness of drill in handwriting to remove specific illegibilities Scl ool and Soc 1928 27 546-548
- Lehman H C The relationship between chronological age and high level research output in physics and chemistry J Gerontol 1964 19 157 164
- Lesser G S Recent revisions and educational applications of the concepts of intel ligence and giftedness Address at Institute for School Psychologists Univer Wiscomsin 1962
- Lesser G S Rhoda N Krawitz and Rita Packard Experimental arousal of achieve ment motivation in adolescent girls J abnorm soc Psychol 1963 66 59-66
- Lester Olive P Mental set m relation to retroactive inhibition J exp Psychol 1932 15 681-699
- Leventhal H and D L Singer Cognitive complexity impression formation and impression change J Pers 1964 32 210-226

- Levin G R and B L Baker Hem scrambling in a self instructional program. f educ Psychol 1963 54 138-143
- Levine J and G Murphy The learning and forgetting of controversial material J abnorm soc Psychol 1943 38 50, 517
- Levin E. E. Studies in indicance of ambiguity I The Decision Location Test with grade school children Cluid Develpm 1955 24 "65-968
- Lewin, K. Behavior and development as a function of the total situat on 1n Manual of child prof ology (L. Carmuchael Ed.) ed 2 New York Wiley 1954 pp 918-970

Lewis, M. M. Infant speech a study of beginnings of language ed 2 London Routledge and Kegan Paul 1951

Lewis O The children of Sand e New York Random House 1961

- Lewis W D A comparative study of the personalities interests and home back grounds of gifted children of superior and inferior educational achievement [genet Psychol 1941 59 707 918
- Lightfoot G F Personality characteristics of bright and dull children New York Teachers College Columnia Liniver 1951
- Lillenfeld A. M. and B. Pasamanuck. The association of maternal and fetal fac tors with the development of mental deficiency. II Relationship to maternal age birth order previous reproductive loss and degree of mental deficiency Am. J. Went Def. 1926 60 30 5060
- Lindholm, B W Changes in conventional and deviation 1Qs. J educ Psychol 1954 55 110-115
- Landley E. H. A study of puzzles with special reference to the psychology of mental adaptation Amer J. Psychol. 1897 8 431-493.
- Lippiti R. An experimental study of the effect of democratic and authoritarian group atmospheres. Univer Joza Stud Child Beil 16 No 3 Jona City Univer Jona Press, 1940
- Lipset, 5 M. Democracy and working-class authoritananism. Amer. 100101. Res. 1959 24: 487-501
- Lipsitt, L. P. A self-concept scale for children and its relationship to the children's form of the manifest anxiety scale. Child Developm 1958 29 463-4/2.
- Little J K. Results of use of machines for testing and for drill upon learning in educational psychology In Teeching mechanism and programmed learning (A A Lumshame and R. Glaser Eds.) Washington D C. Nat. Educ. Ass 1960 pp 39-53
- Lublinship A A The development of d Idren's speech and thought In Pry chology in the Societ Union (E Simon Ed.) Stanford Calf Stanford Univer Press 1997 pp 19 '04
- Lusson N and P H Mussen The relation of control to over aggression and de pendency J abnorm soc Psychol 1957 55 66-71
- Livson N and T F Nichols Social attitude configurations in an adolescent group I genet Psychol 1957 91 3-93
- Ljung B O The adolescent growth spurt in mental growth Stockholm Almquist and Wiksell 1965
- Lloyd, K. E. Supplementary report retention and transfer of responses to stimulus classes. J exp. Psychol. 1960, 59 207 108

- Locke E A Some correlates of classroom and out-of class achievement in gifted science students J educ Psychol, 1963 54, 238-248
- Lockhead G R A reevaluation of evidence of one trial associative learning Amer J Psychol, 1961 74, 590-595
- Loevinger, J Intelligence as related to socio economic factors in Thirty minth Yearbook Nat Soc Stud Lduc Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1940 Part I pp 159-210
- Loevinger, J On the proportional contributions of differences in nature and nur ture to differences in intelligence Psychol Bull, 1943 40, 725–756
- Long, L, and L Welch The development of the ability to discriminate and match numbers J genet Psychol, 1941 59, 377-387(a)
- Long, L. and L. Welch Reasoning ability in young children J. Psychol., 1941, 12, 21-44(b)
- Long, L., and L. Welch. Influence of level of abstractness on reasoning ability J. Psychol., 1942, 13, 41-59
- Longureth, L E., and R E Rice Perceptions of parental behavior and identification with parents by three groups of boys differing in school adjustment J educ Psychol. 1964 55, 144-151
- Lord F E A study of spatral orientation of children J educ Res 1941 34, 481-505
- Lorge, I The influence of regularly interpolated time intervals upon subsequent learning New York Teachers College Columbia Univer, 1930
- Lorge, I Schooling makes a difference Teach Coll Rec., 1945, 46, 483-492
- Lorge I Groupness of the group J educ Psychol , 1955 46, 449-456
- Lott, A J and Bernice E Lott Group cohesiveness communication level and conformity J abnorm soc Psychol, 1961 62, 408-412
- Lott A J and Bernice E Lott Group cohesiveness and individual learning J educ Psychol, 1966, 57, 61-73
- Lovell, k A follow up study of some aspects of the work of Praget and Inhelder on the childs conception of space Brit J educ Psychol, 1959 29, 104-117(a)
- Lovell, k Jean Praget's views on conservation of quantity Indian psychol Bull 1959 4, 16-19(b)
- Lovell, K A follow up study of Inhelder and Pragets 'The Growth of Logical Thinking Brit J Psychol, 1961, 52, 143-153(a)
- Lovell k The growth of basic mathematical and scientific concepts in children New York Philosophical Library, 1961(b)
- Lovell K, and E Ogilve A study of the conservation of substance in the jumor school child Brit J educ Psychol, 1960 30, 109-118
- Lovell K, and A Slater The growth of the concept of time a comparative study Child Psychial Psychiat, 1960 1, 179-190
- Luchins, A S Classroom experiments on mental set Amer J Psychol, 1946 59, 295-298
- Luchins A S, and Edith H Luchins A structural approach to the teaching of the concept of area in intuitive geometry J educ Res, 1947, 40, 528-533
- Luchins A S, and Edith H Luchins Rigidity of behavior A variational approach to the effect of Einstellung Eugene, Ore Univer Oregon Books 1959

LUCHO L. F. Independence conforming behavior as a function of intellect bright and dull children. Exceptional Children 1961 31, 1-13

Luh CW The conditions of retention Psychol Monogr 1922 31 (Whole No 3)

- Lumsdaine A A Some conclusions concerning student response and a science of instruction In Student response in programmed instruction (A A Lumsdaine Ed) Washington DC National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council 1961 pp 471-500
- Lumsdaune A A and f L Jans Resistance to counterpropaganda" produced by one sided and two-sided propaganda presentations Public Optimion Quart 1933 17 311-318
- Lundin R W and C R Sawyer The relationship between ten anxiety drinking patterns and scholastic achievement in a group of undergraduate college men J gen Psychol 1965 73 143-116
- Lunneborg Patricia W Relations among social desirability achievement and anxiety measures in children Child Develpm 1964 35 169-182
- Lunzer E A Some points of Pragetian theory in the light of experimental criticism Child Psychol Psychiat 1960 / 192-202
- Lunzer E & Problems of formal reasoning in test situations Monogr Soc Res Child Develom 1965 30 No 2 19-46
- Luna A R. The role of fauguage in the formation of temporary connections In Psychology in the Soviet Union (B Simon Ed.) Scanford Calif. Stanford Univer Fres. 1937 pp. 115-129
- Luna A R The directive function of speech in development and dissolution Part f Development of the directive function of speech in early childhood Word 1999 15 351-352
- Lyon D O The relation of length of material to time taken for learning and optimum distribution of time J educ Psychol. 1914 5 1-9 82-91 152-163

Lyon D O Memory and the learning process Balumore Warwick and York 1917

- McCarthy D The language development of the preschool child Minneapolis Univer Minnesota Press, 1930
- McClellan D C J W Atkinson R A Clark and E L. Lowell Tie achievement motive New York Appleton Century Crofis 1953
- Matcoby N and F D Sheffield. Combining practice with demonstration in teach ing complex sequences summary and interpretation. In Student response in programmed instruction (A A Lumsdaine Ed) Washington D G National Yeademy of Sciences—National Research Council 1961 pp 77-85
- NicConnell O L. Perceptual versus verbal mediation in the concept learning of cluidren Child Decelpm, 1963 35 1373-1383
- McConnell T R Discovery versus authomative identification in the learning of children Uniter Iowo Stud Educ 1934 9 No 5
- MatFarlane Jean W., Lucille Vilen and Marjorne P. Honzik A developmental sludy of ile bel as nor problems of normal children between tuenty one months and jourteen years Berkeley Calif Univer California Press 1934
- McGee B M Measurement of anthomarianism and its relation to teachers classroom behavior Genet Psychol Monogr 1935 52 89-146

McGeelice W., and W. D. Lewis The socio-economic status of the homes of men

tally superior and retarded children and the occupational rank of their parents J genet Psychol, 1942, 60, 375-380

- McGeoch, J A Influence of degree of learning upon retroactive inhibition Amer J Psychol, 1929, 41, 252-262
- McGeoch, J A Studies in retroactive inhibition VII Retroactive inhibition as a function of the length and frequency of the presentation of the interpolated lists J. exp Psychol. 1936 19, 674-693
- McGeoch, J A, and A L Irion The psychology of human learning New York Longmans, Green 1952
- McGeoch, J. A. and G. O. McGeoch. Studies in retroactive inhibition X. The influence of similarity of meaning between lists of paired associates. J. exp. Psychol., 1937, 21, 320-329.
- McGuigan, F. J., A. D. Calvin, and E. C. Richardson. Manifest anxiety, palmar perspiration index, and stylus maze learning. Am. J. Psychol. 1959, 72, 434-438.
- McGuire, C Sex role and community variability in test performance J educ Psychol, 1961, 52, 61-73
- McGuire, C and others Dimensions of talented behavior Educ psychol Measmt, 1961, 21, 3-38
- McKeachie, W. J. Psychological characteristics of adults and instructional methods in adult education. Paper presented at a Conference on Psychological Back ground of Adult Education," Systems University, October 1952.
- McKeachte, W J, D Pollie, and J Speisman Relieving anxiety in classroom ex aminations J abnorm soc Psychol, 1955, 50, 93-98
- McRee, J P, and F B Leader The relationships of socio-economic status and aggression to the competitive behavior of preschool children Child Develpm, 1955, 26, 135-142
- McKillop, A S The relationship between the reader's attitude and certain types of reading response New York Teachers College, Columbia Univer, 1952
- MacKinnon, D W The highly effective individual Teachers College Rec, 1960, 61, 367-378
- Machinnon, D. W. The personality correlates of creativity. A study of American architects in Proceedings of the XIV International Congress of Applied Pry chology (G. S. Nielsen Ed.). Copenhagen, 1951.
- MacKinnon D W The nature and nurture of creative talent Amer Psychologist, 1962, 17, 484-495
- McNeil, J D, and E R Keislar Indusidual differences and effectiveness of autoinstruction at the primary grade level Calif J educ Res, 1961, 12, 160-164
- McNemar, Olga W An attempt to differentiate between individuals with high and low reasoning ability Amer J Psychol, 1955 68, 20-36
- McNemar, Q Lost our intelligence- Why? Amer Psychologist, 1964, 19, 871-882
- McTavish, C L Effect ol repetitive film showings on learning Technical Report SDC 2697 12, Instructional Film Research Program, Pennsyliania State Col lege Port Washington, N S Special Devices Center, November 1949
- Mater, N R F Reasoning in humans I On direction J comp Psychol, 1930, 10, 115-143
- Mater, N R F Reasoning in children J comp Psychol, 1936, 21, 357-366

- Mater N R F and L. R. Hofiman Quality of first and second solutions in group problem solving J appl Pact of 1960 44 278-283
- Maller ] B Cooperation and competition on experimental study in motivation New York Teachers College Columbia Univer 1929
- Malmo R B Activation A neuropsychological dimension Psychol Rev 1959 66 567 356
- Malreu P Some problems of color vision in children J de Psychol et norm path 1935 52 222 "31
- Maltman 1 Thinking from a behavioristic point of view Psychol Rev 1955 62 275-286
- Maltzman f On the training of originality Psychol Rev 1960 67 929-242
- Maltiman I E Eisman and L O Brooks Some relationships between methods of instruction personality variables and problem solving behavior J educ Psycl of 1950 47 71 78
- Maletman 1 E Essman L O Breeks and W M Smith Task instructions for anagtams following different task instructions and training *f exp Psycl of* 1956 51 418-420
- Maltrman 1 Marigold Belloni and N Fishbein Experimental studies of associa tive variables in originality Psychol Monogr 1964 78 No 3 (Whole No 580)
- Mandler G Transfer of training as a function of degree of response overlearning J exp Psychol 1954 47 411-417
- Mandler G From association to structure Psychol Rev 1962 69 415-426
- Mann J H The effect of interracial contact on sociometric choices and perceptions J soc Psychol 1959 50 143-152
- Mannix J B The number concepts of a group of E.S.N children Brit J educ Psychol 1960 30 180-181
- Marchbanks Gabrielle and H Levin Cues by which children recognize words J educ Psychol 1966 56 57-61
- Marks J B Interests leadership and sociometric status among adolescents Soci ometry 1954 17 340-349
- Marks L E and G A M ller The role of semantic and syntactic constraints in the memorization of English sentences J verb Learning and Verb Behav 1964 3 1 5
- Marks M R Iroblem solving as a function of the situation J exp Psychol 1951 41 74-80
- Marks P A and N Vestre Relative effects of drive level and irrelevant responses on performance of a complex task Psychol Rec 1961 11 177 180

Marquari Dorothy 1 Group problem solving J soc Psychol 1955 41 103-113

- Marsh G Effect of overtraining on reversal and nonreversal shifts in nursery school children Ghild Developm 1964 35 1357 1372
- Maso N La valeur de l'activité de l'esprit dans la fixation des idées contribution expérimentale a la inéorie de l'écule active Arch Psychol Geneve 1929 21 275-99
- Matheson E A study ol problem solving behavior in preschool d'ildren Child Develpm 1931 2 242 26°
- Mathews R Recall as a function of number of classificatory items J exp Psychol 1934 47 241-247

References

- Maw W. H. and Filiel W. Maw Establishing criterion groups for evaluating measures of curiosity. J. exp. Psychol. 1961 29, 209-306
- Maw W H and Ethel W Maw Selection of unbalanced and minisual designs by children high in curiosity Child Decelpm 1962 33 917-922
- May M A and A A Lumsdaine Learning from films New Haven Yale Univer Press 1958
- Mead Margaret (Ed.) Cooperation and competition among primitice peoples New Nork McGraw Hill 1937
- Mead Margaret From the South Seas New York William Morrow 1939
- Medley D.M. and H.L. Mittel. Some behavioral correlates of tencher effectiveness J. educ. Psychol. 1949-50 239-216
- Mednick, Martha T. S. A. Mednick and C. C. Jung Continual association as a function of feeel of cretitisty and type of verbal stimulus. J. abnorm. soc. Psychol., 1961, 49, 511-515.
- Mechi P E Chinical versus statistical prediction Minimeapolis Univer Minnesota Press 1951
- Mehler J and G A Miller Retroactive interference in the recall of simple sen tences Brit J Psychol 1964 55, 295-301
- Meister N Cooperation of secondary schools and colleges in acceleration of gifted students J educ Sociol 1936 29 220-227
- Melaraguo R J I fleet of negative reinforcement in an untomated teaching setting Psychol Rep , 1960 7, 381-384
- Melton A W The science of learning and the technology of educational methods Harrard educ Rev 1939 29, 96-106
- Welton A W and J McQ Irwin The influence of degree of interpolated learning on retroactive minimum and the overt transfer of specific responses Amer J Psychol, 1910 53, 173-203
- Mendel Gisela Children's preferences for differing degrees of novelty Child Develpm, 1965 36 453-465
- Merrill M A Ou the relation of intelligence to achievement in the case of mentally retarded children Comp Psychol Monogr No 11, 1924
- Merrill M D Correction and review on successive parts in learning a hierarchial task J educ Psychol 1965 56 225-231
- Merrill N D and L. M Stolurow Rietarchical preview versus problem oriented preview Peabody Papers Hum Develpm 1965 3 No 5
- Merrill M D and L M Stolurow Hierarchical preview versus problem oriented review in learning an imaginary science Amer. educ. Res. J. 1966. 3 251-262.
- Meyer Susan R Report on the initial test of a junior high school program In Teaching machines and programmed learning (A A Lumsdame and R Glaser Eds) Washineton DG Nat Educ Ass 1960 pp 229-246(a)
- Meyer Susan R A test of the principles of activity immediate reinforcement and guidance as instrumented by Skanner's teaching Maclune Dissert Abst 1960 20 4129-4130(b)
- Meyer W J The stability of patterns of primary mental abilities among junior high and senior high school students Educ psychol Measint 1960 20 795-800
- Meyer W J and A W Bendig A longitudinal study of the Primary Mental Abilities Test J educ Psychol 1961 52 50-60

- Mejer W J and S I Offenbach Effectiveness of paired verbal reinforcers as a function of task complexity Paper presented to the Midwestern Psychological Association May 1961
- Meyer W ] and S B Seidman Age differences in the effectiveness of different reinforcement combinations on the acquisition and extinction of a simple conceal learning problem Child Develpm 1960 31 419-429
- Meyer W ] and S B Seidman Relative effectiveness of different reinforcement levels Ci ild Develom 1961 32 117 127
- Meyer W T and G G Thompson Sex differences in the distribution of teacher approval and disapproval among sixth grade children J educ Psychol 1956 47 885-396
- Michael D N and N Maccoby Factors influencing verbal learning from films under varying conditions of audies ce participation J exp Psychol 1953 46 411-418
- Milgram S Liberating effects of group pressure J Personality soc Psychol 1965 J 127 134
- Miller G A The magical number seven plus or minus two Some limits in our ability for processing information Psychol Rev 1955 63 81 97
- Miller G A and Jennifer A Selfridge Verbal context and the recall of meaningful material Amer J Psychol 1950 63 176-185
- Miller R V Social status and socioempathic differences among mentally superior mentally typical and mentally retarded children Exceptional Child 1956 23 111 119
- Millman J and M D Glock Trends in the measurement of general mental ability Rev educ Res 1960 35 17 24
- Milner E A study of the relationships between reading readiness in grade one school children and patterns of parent child interaction Child Develptin 1901 22 9-6112
- Mikon O Two-year follow up objective data after learning without class at tendance Psychol Rep 1962 11 833-836
- Minami H and K M Dallenbach The effect of activity upon learning and retension in the cockroach Amer J Psychol 1916 59 1 58
- Mittel H Comparison of the effectiveness of individualized with traditional instruction in ninth year mathematics. Paper presented to the American Educa t onal Research Association. Atlantic City, N.J. February 1959.
- Mogar Mariannina Children's causal thinking about natural phenomena Child Develpm 1960 31 59-65
- Nonsponery kay C The role of exploratory drive in learning J comp physiol Psychol 1954 4" 60-64
- Moreno J L. 11/0 shall survive? Wast ington D.C. Nervous and Mental Disease Publishing Co 1934
- Morphets M V and C Washburne When should children begin to read? Elem Sci J 1931 31 496-503
- Morris D P E. Soroker and G Barruss Follow up studies of shy withdrawn children Amer J Ortfoppchiat 1951 24 743-754
- Morriseit L. and C. I Hosland A comparison of three kinds of training in human problem solving f exp Psycl of 1939 58 52-55

- Morsh J E, and Eleanor W Wilder Identifying the effective instructor A review of the quantitative studies 1900-1952 USAF Pers Train Res Cent (Res Bull, 1951 No. AFPTRCTR 54:44)
- Moss H A, and J Kagan Stability of achievement and recognition seeking be haviors from early childhood through adulthood J abnorm soc Psychal, 1961 62, 501-513
- Moss J An experimental study of the relative effectiveness of the direct-detailed and the directed discovery methods of teaching letterpress imposition. Un published Ed D Dissertation. Urbana III. Univer Illinois 1960
- Mowrer, O H The psychologist looks at language Amer Psychologist 1954 9, 660-692
- Mowrer O H Learning theory and the symbolic processes New York Wiley 1960
- Mukerji, N F Investigation of ability to work in groups and in isolation Brit J Psychol, 1940 30, 352-356
- Munn N L. Bilateral transfer of training J exp Psychol 1932 15 \$43-\$55
- Munn N L. Learning in children In Manual of child psychology (L Carmichael Ed) New York Wiley 1954 pp 374-458
- Munro B C Meaning and learning Alberta J educ Res, 1959 5 268-281
- Munsinger, H and W Kessen Uncertainty, structure and preference Psychol Monogr, 1964, 78, No 9 (Whole No 586)
- Nuus R E A comparison of high causally and low causally oriented aixth grade children in respect to a perceptual intolerance of ambiguity test Child Developm, 1960 21, 521-536
- Myers G C A study in incidental memory Arch Psychol, 1913 5 (Whole No 26)
- Myers K E, R M W Travers and Mary E Sanford Learning and reinforcement in student pairs J educ Psychol, 1965, 56, 67-72
- Nagge J W An experimental test of the theory of associative interference J exp Psychol. 1995 18, 663-682
- Nakamura C Y, and G Boroczi Effect of relative intentive value on persistence and response speeil Child Develpm, 1965 36, 517-557
- National Science Teachers Association Gurriculum Committee Theory into action in science curriculum development Washington D.C. The Association 1961
- Naylor, J C and G L. Briggs Effects of task complexity and task organization on the relative efficiency of part and whole trasming methods J exp Psychol 1905, 65, 217-224
- Nelson V L. An analytical study of child learning Child Decelpm, 1936 7, 95-114
- Neprash J A Minority group contacts and social distance Phylon, 1953 14, 207-
- Newcomb, J. M. Student peer group influence. In The American college (N. San ford Fil) New York Wiley 1962 pp 469-488
- Newell, A., J C. Shaw and H A Simon Elements of a theory of human problem solving Psychol Ret 1954 65, 151-166
- Newman E. B Forgetting of meaningful material during sleep and waking Amer J Psychol, 1959 52, 65-71

- Newman H H F N Freeman and A J Holvinger Turns A study of heredity and environment Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1937
- Newman S E. Student vs instructor design of an experimental method J educ Psychol 1957 48 318-323
- Newstetter W I M J Feldnem and T M Newcomb Group adjustment A study in experimental sociology Cleveland Western Reserve Umver 1938
- Newton J M and Albert E Hickey Sequence effects in programed learning of a verbal concept J educ Psychol 1965 56 140-147
- New York City Public Schools Testing program development Special Circular No 48 1963-1964 New York Board of Education of the City of New York 1964
- Nisbet J Family environment and intelligence Eugenics Rev 1953 45 31-40
- Nusen H W K L Chow and J Semmes Effects of restricted opportunity for tactual kinaesthetic, and manipulaise experience on the behavior of a chimpanzee Amer J Psychol 1951 61 485-507
- Noble C E. The role of stimulus meaning (m) in senial verbal learning J exp Psychol 1929 43 437-446
- Noble C E The familianty frequency relationship Psychol Rev 1953 60 80-98
- Norcross K J and C C Spiker Effects of mediated associations on transfer in paired associate learning J exp Psychol 1938 55 129-134
- Northrop D S Effects on learning of the prominence of organizational outlines in instructional films Human Engineering Report SDC 269-7-33 Pennsyl vanis State Colfege Instructional Films Research Program October 1952
- Northway M I The influence of age and social group on children's remembering Brit J Psychol 1935 27 11 29
- Novak J D A model for the interpretation and analysis of concept formation. J Res Science Teaching 1960 3 72-83
- Oakes M. E. Children's explanations of natural phenomena New York Teachers College Columbia Univer 1946
- Oakes W F Use of leaching machines as a study aid in an introductory psychology course Psychol Rep 1960 7 297-303
- Ohnmacht, F 11 Achievement anxiety and creative thinking Amer educ res I 1966 3 131 138
- Ojemann R H and K Pritchett Pisget and the role of guided experiences in human development. Percept mot Skills 1963 17 997 940
- Ojemann R H E I Maxey and B C Sunter European study of guided textrange experience in developing probability concepts in grade 5 Percept mot Skills 1966 23 97 98
- Olson L. A Concept attainment of high school sophomores J educ Psychol 1963 54 213-216
- Olson W C Achievement as development Int Rev Educ 1957 3 133-142.
- Olson W C and B O Hughes Subsequent growth ol children with and without nursery school experience in Thirty ninth Yearbook Not Soc Stud Educ Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1910 pp 237-244
- Olson W. C., and B. O. Hughes, Growth of the child as a whole In Cl ild behavior and development (R. G. Barker J. S. Lounin and H. F. Wright, Eds.) New York McGraw Hill 1943 pp 199-208

## References

- Orr D B H L Friedman and Jane C C Williams Trainability of listening com prehension of speeded discourse J educ Psychol 1965 56 148-156
- Osborne R T Racial differences in mental growth and school achievement a longitudinal study Psychol Rep 1960 7 233-239
- Osgood C E Method and theory in experimental psychology New York Oxford Univer Press 1953
- Osgood C E A behavioristic analysis of perception and language as cognitive phenomena In Contemporary approaches to cognition Cambridge Mass Harvard Univer Press 1957 pp 75-118
- Osgood C E G J Suci and P H Tannenbaum The measurement of meaning Urbana Univer Illinois Press 1957
- Osler Sonia F and Sandra L Shapiro Studies in concept attainment IV The role of partial reinforcement as a function of age and intelligence Child Develpm 1964 35 6623-633
- Osler Soma F and Sandra R Wess Studies in concept attainment 111 Effect of instruction at two levels of intelligence J exp Psychol 196° 63 528-533
- Outhit M C A study of the resemblance of parents and children in general in telligence Arch Psychol 1933 No 149
- Overing R L R and R M W Travers Effect upon transfer of variations in training conditions J educ Psychol 1966 57 179-188
- Owen S G and others Programmed learning in medical education Postgrad Med J 1965 41 201
- Owens W A Age and mental abilities A longitudinal study Genet Psychol Monogr 1953 48 3-54
- Owens W A Effects of motivating instructions on reaction time in grade school children Child Develom 1959 30 261-268
- Page E B Teacher comments and student performance a seventy four classroom experiment in school motivation J educ Psychol 1958 49 173-181
- Palermo D S A Castenada and B R McCandless The relationship of anxiety in children to performance in a complex learning task. Chil I Develow 1956 27 335-357
- Parnes S J and A Meadow Effect of brainstorming instructions on creative problem solving by trained and untrained subjects J educ Psychol 1959 50 171-176
- Parsons T The school class as a social system. Some of its functions in American society. Harvard educ. Rev. 19:59-29 297-318
- Partridge E D Guidance of the adolescent In Handbook of chill guidance (E Harms Ed) New York Child Care Publications 1947
- Pasamanick B and El Knobloch Early language behavior in Negro children and the testing of intelligence J abnorm soc Psychol 1955 50 401-402
- Pattern E. F. The influence of distribution of repetitions on certain role learning phenomena J. Psychol. 1938 5 359-374
- Patton J A A study of the effects of student acceptance of responsibility and motivation on course behavior Unpublished doctoral dissertation Univer Vichican 1955
- Paul 1 11 Studies in remembering The reproduction of connected and extended
2

verbal material Psychol Issues I No 2 (Whole No 2) New York Interna tional Univer Press 1959

- Peak Helen Atutude and motivation In Nebraska Symposium on motivation Lincoln Neb Univer Nebraska Press 1955
- Peel E A Experimental examination of some of Praget's schemata concerning children's perception and thinking and a discussion of their educational significance Brit J educ Psychol 1959 29 89-103
- Penney R & Reactive curiosity and manifest anxiety in children Child Develom 1965 36 697-702
- Penney R K and A A Lupion Children's discrimination learning as a function of reward and punishment I comp physiol Psychol, 1961 54 449-451
- Perkins H V Classroom behavior and underachievement Amer educ res I 1965 2 1-12
- Peterson G M An empirical study of the ability to generalize J genet Psychol 1932 6 90-114
- Peterson H A Regitation or recall as a factor in the learning of long prose selections J educ Psychol 1914 35 270-228
- Peterson H A M Ellis N Toohill and P kloess Some measurements of the effect of reviews J educ Psychol 1935 26 65-72
- Peterson | The effect of attitude on immediate and delayed recall A class experiment I educ Psychol 1916 7 523-532
- Phillips B N and L A DAmico Effects of cooperation and competition on the cohesiveness of small face to-face groups J educ Psychol 1956 47 65-70
- Phillips B N E Hindsman and E Jennings Influence of intelligence on anxiety an perception of sell and others Child Develpm 1960 31 41-46
- I hillins W B Counseling Negro students An educational dilemma Calif I educ Res 1959 10 182-188
- Plaget I Language and Hought of the child London Rounledge and Kegan Paul 1926
- Prages I Judgment and reasoning in the chill New York Harcourt Brace & World 1928
- liaget J The child's conception of the world London Routledge and Legan Paul 1979
- liaget J Tie ciilds conception of physical causality New York Harcourt Brace & World 1932
- Praget | The psychology of intelligence New York Harcourt Brace & World 1950
- Plaget J T/e origins of intelligence in children New York International Uni versities Press 195?(a)
- Praget J The chills conception of number New York Humanities Press 1952(b)
- Liaget J How children form mathematical concepts Scientific American 1953 189 (5) 74-79
- Proget J Tle construction of reality in the child New York Basic Books 1904(a)
- Praget J Language and thought from the genetic point of view Acta Psychol 1951 10 51-60(b)
- Praget J Logie and psychology New York Basic Books 1907(a)

- Plaget J Logique et équilibre dans les comportements du sujet Études d'Episte mol genet, 1957, 2, 27-117(b)
- Praget, J Development and learning In Praget rediscovered (R E Ripple and V N Rockcastle, Eds.) Ithaca NY School of Education Cornell Univer 1964
- Plaget, J., and Bärbel Inhelder La genese des structures logiques elementaires Classifications et seriations Neuchâtel Editions Delachaux and Niestlé 1959
- Pickrel, E. W. The differential effect of manifest anxiety on test performance J educ Psychol, 1958, 49, 43-46
- Pierce Jones, J Socio-economic status and adolescents interests Psychol Rep, 1959 5. 683(a)
- Pierce Jones J Vocational interest correlates of socio-economic status in adolescence Edue psychol Measmi, 1959 19, 65-71(b)
- Piers Ellen V, Jacqueline M Daniels and J F Quackenbush The identification of creativity in adolescents J educ Psychol, 1960 51 346-351
- Pincs Maya How three year-olds teach themselves to read and love it Harpers, May 1963 226. 58-61
- Pinneau, S R. The infantile disorders of hospitalism and anachtic depression Psychol Bull, 1955, 52, 429-452
- Pistor, F How time concepts are acquired by children Educ Meth, 1910, 20, 107-119
- Pitman, I J Learning to read J royal Soc Arts, 1961, 109, 149-180
- Plowman Letlia, and J B Stroud The effect of informing pupils of the correctness of their responses to objective test questions J educ Res, 1942 36, 16-20
- Plumlee, Lynnette B Comparison of problem types in the comprehensive mathe mattes test College Board Rev , 1947, 1, 17-31
- Popp, Helen, and D Porter Programming verbal skills for primary grades AV Communication Rev , 1960 8, 165-175
- Poppleton, Pamela K, and K Austwick A comparison of programmed learning and notetaking at two age levels Brit J educ Psychol, 1964 34, 43-50
- Porter, D Some effects of year long teaching machine instruction In Automatic teaching the state of the art (E Galanter Ed.) New York Wiley 1959, pp 85-90
- Postman L Learned principles of organization in memory Psychol Monogr, 1954, 68 (Whole No 374)
- Postman L. Repetition and paired associate learning Amer J Psychol, 1962, 75, 372-389
- Postman L and Lucy Rau Retention as a function of the method of measurement Univer Calif Publ Psychol, 1957, 8, 217-270
- Postman L, and Virginia L. Senders Incidental learning and generality of set J exp Psychol, 1946 36, 153-165
- Poulton E C Previous knowledge and memory Brit J Psychol, 1957, 48, 259-270
- Prentice W C H Retroactive inhibition and the motivation of learning Amer J Psychol, 1943, 56, 283-292
- Pressey, S L. Development and appraisal of devices providing immediate automatic scoring of objective tests and concountant self instruction In Teaching

machines and programmed learning (A A Lumsdaine and R Glaser Eds) Washington D.C. Nat Educ Ass 1960 pp 69-88

- Pressey S L. Basic unresolved teaching machine problems Theory into Practice 1962 J. 30-37(a)
- Pressey S L. New theory no programing new future Paper presented to the American Psychological Association St Louis September 1962(b)
- Pressey S L Age and the doctorate-then and now J higher Educ 1962 33 153-160(c)
- Presey S L. Two basic neglected psychoeducational problems Amer Psychologist 1965 20 391 393
- Pressey 5 L. and F P Robition Psychology and the new education New York Harner 1914
- Price B Marian and B G Bell The relationship of chronological age mental age IO and sex to divergent thinking test J psychol Researchers 1960 9 1-9
- Probst G A A general information test for kindergarien children Child Develpm 1931 2 81-95
- Prothro E T Ethnocentrism and anti Negro attitudes in the deep South J abnorm soc Psychol 1952 47 105-108
- Provence Sally and Rose G Lapton Infants in institutions New York International Universities Press 1962
- Pubols B H Successive discrimination learning in the white rat a comparison of two procedures 1 comp physiol Psychol 1957 50 319-322
- Purvis A W An analysis of the abilities of different intelligence levels of secondary school pupils Unpublished Ed D thesis Harvard Univer 1938
- Pyper J R. The effect of simultaneous presentation of spoken and written words on the development of foreign language pronunciation habits. Unpublished Masters dissertation University of Jihnois 1961.
- Raab E and S M Lipset Prejudice and society New York. Anti Defamation League B Nai B nth 1959
- Raaheim K Problem solving and past experience Monogr Soc Res Child Develpm 1963 30 58-67 (Ser No 100)
- Ramasculari R S A note on the validity of the mental age concept J educ Psychol 1950 41 56-58
- Rambusch Nancy M Learning how to learn An American approach to Montessori Baltimore Helicon 1962
- Rapp A The experimental background of the problems of learning Glassical J 1915 40 467-480
- Rasmussen Elizabeth A and E. J Archer Concept identification as a function of language pretaining and task complexity J exp Psychol 1961 61 437-441
- Ray W E An experimental comparison of direct-detailed and directed discovery methods of leading micrometer principles and skills Unpublished Ed D Discritation Urbana III Univer Illinois 1957
- Ray W. S. Verhal compared with manipulative solution of an apparatus problem Amer. J. Psychol., 1957. 70. 289-290
- Reed H B Distributed practice in addition J educ Psychol, 1924 15, 248-249
- Reed 11 B Meaning as a factor in learning J educ Psychol, 1938 29, 419-430

- Reed H B Factors influencing the learning and retention of concepts 1 The influence of set J exp Psychol 1916 36 71-87
- Reed H B Teacher variables of warmith demand and utilization of intrinsic motivation related to pupils science interests A study illustrating several potentials of variance covariance J exp Fduc 1961 29 200 229
- Read L S The development of non continuity behavior through continuity learn ing J exp Psychol 1953 46 107 112
- Reese H W Manifest anxiety and achievement test performance J educ Psychol 1961 52 132
- Regensburg J Studies of educational success and failure in supernormal children New York Arch Psychol Columbia Univer 1931
- Reichard S M Schneider and D Rapaport The development of concept forma tion in children Amer J Orthopsych at 1944 14 156-162
- Reid J W An experimental study of analyses of the goal in problem solving J gen Psychol 1931 44 51-69
- Reymert W and R Hinton Jr The effect of a change to a relatively superior environment upon the 1Qs of one hundred children In *Ti triy ninth Year* book Asi Soc Stud Educ Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1940 Part II pp 253-268
- Reynolds J H Cognitive transfer in verbal learning J educ Psycl ol 1966 57 382 388
- Reynolds J H and R Glaser Effects of repetition and spaced review upon reten tion of a complex learning task J educ Psychol 1964 55 297-308
- Rhine R J The effect on problem solving of success or failure as a function of cue specificity Technical Report. No 8 NR 150-149 Stanford Calif Department of Psychology Stanford Univer 1922
- Richards J M V B Cline and W E Needham Creativity tests and teacher and self judgments of originality J exp Educ 1964 32 281 285
- Riesen A H The development of visual perception in man and chimpanzee Science 1947 106 107 108
- Riessman F The culturally deprived chill New York Harper 1962
- Riopelle A Transfer suppression and learning sets J comp physiol 1 sycl ol 19,3 46 108-114
- Roberts K E The ability of preschool children to solve problems in which a simple principle of relationship is kept constant J genet 1 sychol 1940 56 553-566
- Robinson E S Some factors determining the degree of retroactive inhibition Psychol Monogr 1970 28 (Whole No 128)
- Robinson E S and C W Darrow Effect of length of lists upon memory for numbers Amer J Psychol 1924 35 233-243
- Robinson E S and W T Heron Result of variations in length of memorized material J exp Psychol 1992 5 428-448
- Rock 1 The role of repetition in associative learning Amer J Psychol 1957 10 186-193
- Roe Anne Crucial life experiences in the development of scientists In Educat on and ialent (E P Torrance Ed) Vinneapolis Univer Vinnesota Press, 1960

- Roe, A Automated teaching methods using linear programs J appl Psychol, 1962, 46, 198-201
- Roe, K V, H W Case, and A Roe Scrambled versus ordered sequence in autoinstructional programs J educ Psychol, 1962, 53, 101-101
- Rogers A L. The growth of multigence at the college level Sch and Soc, 1930, 31, 632-699
- Rokeach M The open and closed mund New York Basic Books 1960
- Rosen, B C Race, ethnicity, and the achievement syndrome Amer sociol Rev, 1959, 24, 47-50
- Rosen B C The achievement syndrome and economic growth in Brazil Sor Forces, 1961 42, 341-354
- Rosen, B. C., and R. D. Andrade. The psychosocial origins of achievement motiva ion. Sociometry, 1959, 22, 185-218
- Rosentlial, B G Hypnotic recall of material learned under anxiety and non anxiety producing conditions J exp Psychol, 1914, 34, 368-389
- Ross, C C, and L h Henry The relation between frequency of testing and progress in learning psychology J educ Psychol, 1939, 30, 604-611
- Rossi, E Development of classificatory behavior Child Developm, 1964, 35, 137-142
- Roth, R H Student reactions to programed learning Phi Delia Kappan, 1963, 44, 278-281
- Rowe, Mary B Some properties of content learning in elementary school science Paper presented to the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, Feb 17, 1965
- Rowlett, J D An experimental comparison of direct detailed and directed discovery methods of teaching orthographic projection principles and skills Un published Ed D Dissertation Urbana, IB Univer Illinois 1960
- Rudel Rata G Transposition of response to size in children J comp physiol Psychol, 1958 51, 386-390
- Ruediger, W C. The indirect improvement of mental function through ideals Educ Rev. 1908, 36, 364-371
- Runkel P J. and Dora Daman Effects of training and anxiety upon teachers' preferences for information about students J educ Psychol, 1961, 52, 254-261
- Russell, D G, and I G Sarason Test anxiety sex, and experimental conditions in relation to anagram solution J Pers soc Psychol, 1965, J, 493-496
- Russell D R and J Q Saadeh Qualitative levels in children's vocabularies J educ Psychol, 1962, 53, 170-174
- Russell, R. W. Studies in animism II The development of animism J genet Psychol, 1910 56, 353-356
- Russ, M. M. The effect of resistance on intelligence test scores of young children Child Develop Monogr, 1931, No 6
- Ryans, D C Characteristics of leachers Washington, D C American Council on Education, 1960
- Rjans D (. Some relationships between pupil behavior and certain teacher char actensities J educ Psychol, 1961, 52, 82-90
- Sackett, C P Effects of rearing conditions upon the behavior of ritesus monkeys (macaes mulatia) Child Develpm, 1965, 36, 835-808

- Sarason, J G Test anxiety and the intellectual performance of college students J educ Psychol, 1961, 52, 201-206
- Sarason, I G Test anxiety and intellectual performance J abnorm soc Psychol, 1963 66, 73-75
- Sarason S B, and others Classroom observations of high and low anxious children Child Develpm, 1958 29, 287-295
- Sarason S B, and others Anxiety in elementary school children A report of research New York Wiley 1960
- Saraton S B, K T Hill, and P G Zimbardo A longitudinal study of the relation of test atxiety to performance on intelligence and achievement tests Monogr Soc Rev Child Developm, 1964 29 (Whole No 98)
- Sassenrath, J M Learning without awareness and transfer of learning sets J educ Psychol, 1959 50, 205-211
- Sassenrath, J M, and C M Garverick Effects of differential feedback from ex aminations on retention and transfer J educ Psychol, 1965, 56, 259-263
- Saugstad, P Problem solving as dependent upon availability of functions Brit J Psychol, 1955, 46, 191-198
- Saugstad P, and K. Raaheim Problem solving past experience and availability of function Brit J Psychol, 1960 51, 97-104
- Saul E V, and C E Osgood Perceptual organization of material as a factor in fluencing ease of learning and degree of retention J exp Psychol, 1950 40, 372-579
- Sax, G Concept acquisition as a function of differing schedules and delays of reinforcement J educ Psychol, 1960 51, 32-36
- Sax, G, and J P Ottina The arithmetic reasoning of pupils differing in school experience Calif J educ Res, 1958, 9, 15-19
- Sayegh Yvonne, and W Dennis The effect of supplementary experiences upon the behavioral development of infants in institutions Child Developm, 1965 36, 82-90
- Scandura J M Algorithm learning and problem solving J exp Educ, 1966 34, 1-6(a)
- Scandura J M Problem solving and prior learning J exp Educ, 1966 34, 7-11(b)
- Scherer G A and M Wertheimer A psycholinguistic experiment in foreign language teaching New York McGraw Hill 1964
- Schmidt, H O The effects of praise and blame as incentives to learning Psychol Monogr, 1941 53, No 3
- Schneider L. and S Lysgaard The deferred gratification pattern a preliminary study Amer social Rev, 1953 18, 142-149
- Schpoont, S H Some relationships between task attractiveness self-evaluated motivation, and success or failure Unpublished doctors dissertation Urbana Univer Illinois 1955
- Schramm W The research on programed instruction Washington, D.C. U.S. Department of Health Education, and Welfare 1964
- Schroder, H. M., and J. B. Rotter Rigidity as learned behavior J exp Psychol, 1952 43, 141-150
- Schrom N Missouri high school English offerings reported by University of Missouri freshman in relation to their freshman English placement scores Unpublished Ph D dissertation Columbia, Mo Univer Missouri 1953

- Schuessler, K., and A. Strauss. A study of concept learning by scale analysis. Amer toctol Rev., 1930 13, 752-762
- Schulman M J, and R J Haughurst Relations between ability and social status in a mid Western community IV Size of Vocabulary J educ Psychol., 1947, 38, 437-442
- Schulz, R. W. The role of cognitive organizers in the facilitation of concept learn ing in elementary school science. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Purdue University, 1966
- Schwab, J J (Supervisor) Biology Teachers' Handbook New York Wiley 1963
- Schwartz F and Frances Lappman Cognitive and associative structures in recall Psychol Rep, 1962 17, 91-101
- Scott J P Implications of infra human social behavior for problems of human relations in *Group relations at the crossroads* (M Sherif and M O Wilson, Eds) New York Harper 1953
- Scott J P, and M Marston Critical periods affecting the development of normal and maladjustive social behavior of pupples J genet Psychol, 1950 77, 25-60
- Scott J P, E Fredericson and J L. Fuller Experimental exploration of the critical period hypothesis J Personal, 1951, 1, 162-183
- Scott W A Attitude change through reward of verbal behavior J abnorm soc Psychol 1957, 55, 72-75
- Scottish Council for Research in Education Social implications of the 1947 Scottish menial survey London Univer London Press, 1958
- Sears Pauline S Levels of aspiration in academically successful and unsuccessful children J abnorm soc Psychol, 1940, 35, 493-536
- Scars, Pauline S The effect of classroom conditions on the strength of achievement molvation and work output in children Stanford, Calif Stanford Univer, 1963
- Sears R R Initiation of the repressive sequence hy experienced failure J exp Psychol, 1937, 20, 570-580
- Sebesta S L. Artificial onthography as a transitional device in first grade reading instruction J educ Psychol, 1964 55, 253-257
- Sechrest, L. and Judith S Kaas Concept difficulty as a function of stimulus similarity J educ Psychol. 1965, 56, 327-333
- Segel D Intellectual abilities in the adalescence period Washington, D.C. Federal Security Agency, 1918
- Segel D Fruitration in adolescent youth Washington, DC Federal Security Agency, 1931
- Serra, M. C. A study of fourth grade children's comprehension of certain verbal abstractions J exp Educ, 1953, 22, 103-118
- Sewell, W. H. A O Halter, and M A Strauss Social status and educational and occupational aspiration Amer social Rev., 1957, 22, 67-73
- Sexton, Patricia C. Social class and pupil rurn-over rates J educ Sociol., 1959, 33, 151-134
- Shaffer, L. 11 Concept formation in an ordering task. Brit J Psychol, 1961, 12, 361-369
- Shamos M, H Science for citizens Saturday Review, September 16 1961, 68-69
- Shannon D C What research says about acceleration Phi Della Kappan, 1957, 39, 70-72

- Sharpe, J F The retenuon of meaningful material Catholic Univer Amer Educ Res Monogr 1952 16 No 8
- Shaw, M C, and J T McCuen The onset of academic underachievement in bright children J educ Psychol, 1960 31, 103-108

Shaw, M C K Edson and H V Bell The self concept of bright underachieving high school students as revealed by an adjective check list Personnel and Guidance 1, 1960, 39, 193-196

Shaw M E A comparison of individuals and small groups in the rational solution of complex problems Amer J Psychol, 1932 44 491-504

- Shaw M E Some motivational factors in cooperation and competition J Person ality, 1958, 26, 155-169
- Shaw, M. E., and Lily M. Shaw. Some effects of sociometric grouping upon learning in a second grade classroom J soc. Psychol., 1962. 57. 453-458.
- Shay C B Relationship of intelligence to step size on a teaching machine program J educ Psychol, 1961 52, 98-103
- Shefield F D Theoretical considerations in the learning of complex sequential tasks from demonstration and practice in Student response in programmed instruction (A A Lumsdaine Ed) Washington DC Vational Academy of Sciences National Research Council, 1961, pp. 13-32
- Sherif M and H Cantril The psychology of ego involvements New York Wiley 1946
- Sherif, M., and Carolyn W Sherif Groups in harmony and tension New York Harper, 1953
- Sherif, M and Carolyn W Sherif Reference groups New York Harper & Row 1964
- Sherman M, and C B Key The intelligence of isolated mountain children *Ghild* Develpm, 1932, 3, 279-290
- Shore E and L. Sechrest Concept attainment as a function of number of positive instances presented J educ Psychol 1961 52, 303-307
- Shuey A M Improvement in scores on the American Council Psychological Examination from freshman to senior year J educ Psychol, 1948 39, 417-426
- Shuttleworth F K The cumulative influence on intelligence of socio-economic differentials operating on the same children over a period of ten years In Thirty ninth Yearbook, Nat Soc Stud Educ Chicago Univer Clincago Press 1910 Part II, pp 275-280
- Siegel L and F G Macomber Comparative effectiveness of televised and large classes and of small sections J educ Psychol, 1957, 48, 371-382
- Siegel L., and Lala C Siegel Educational set A determinant of acquisition J educ Psychol, 1965 56, 1-12
- Stegel P S Structure effects within a memory series J exp Psychol, 1943 33, 311-316
- Sigel J E Developmental irrends in the abstraction ability of children Child Developm, 1953 24, 131-144
- Sigel I E, and Bonnie McBane Cognitive competence and level of symbolization among five-year old children Paper presented at the American Psychological Association Convention New York City. September 1956
- Supola E. M. and H. L. Israel Habit interference as dependent upon stage of training Amer J. Psychol, 1933 43, 203-207

- Silberman, H F Sellinstructional devices and programmed materials Rev educ Res, 1962–32, 179-195
- Silvermau, H F, R J Melaragno, and J L Coulson Confirmation and prompting with connected discourse material *Psychol Rep*, 1961, *8*, 401-406(a)
- Silberman, H F, and others Fixed sequence versus branching autoinstructional methods J educ Paychol, 1961, 52, 166-172(b)
- Siller, J Socio economic status and conceptual thinking J abnorm soc Psychol, 1937 53, 365-371
- Silverman R E, and Millicent Alter Note on the response in teaching machine programs Psychol, Rep. 1960 7, 496
- Sims V M The relative influence of two types of motivation on improvement J educ Psychol 1928, 19, 480-484
- Sims V M Questioning some assumptions underlying current achievement testing Educ psychol Measure, 1918 8, 565-571
- Singer 5 L and B Steffire A note on racial differences in job values and desires 1 sec Psychol , 1956 43, 333-337
- Skaggs, E B, and others Further studies of the reading recitation process in learn ine Arch Psychol, 1930, 18 (Whole No 114)
- Skeels, H M and H Dye A study of the effects of differential stimulation in mentally retarded children Proc Amer Assoc Ment Defic, 1939, 44, 114-135
- Skeels, H M and E A Tillmore Mental development of children from under privileged homes J genet Psychol, 1937, 50, 427-439
- Skeels, II M and others A study of environmental stimulation an orphanage preschool project Uniter Iowa Stud Child Welf, 1938 No. 4
- Skelton R B High school foteign language study and freshman performance Sch and Soc., 1937, 55, 203-205
- Skinner, B F The behavior of organisms New York Appleton Century, 1938
- Skinner, B F Hahlen Two New York Macmiltan 1918
- Skinner B T Lerbal behavior New York Appleton Century Crofis 1957
- Skinner, B F Teaching machines Science, 1958 128, 969-977
- Skinner, B 1, and J C Holland The use of teaching machines in college in struction in Teaching machines and programmed learning (A A Lumidaine and R Glaser, Eds) Washington DC Nat Educ Ass, 1960, pp 159-172
- Skodak, M. Chuldren in four pomes a study of mental development. Univer loga Stud. Child II eff, 1939 16 No. 1
- Skodak, M. and H. M. Skeels. A final follow up of one hundred adopted children J genet Psychol, 1919-75, 85-125
- Slamecka N J Studies of retension of connected discourse Amer J Psychol, 1959, 72, 409-416(a)
- Slimecka N J Studies of retroaction of connected discourse Amer J Psychol, 1959 72, 109-416(b)
- Slametka N J Retroactive inhibition of connected discourse as a function of similarity of topic J exp Psychol, 1960, 60, 215-249
- Slamecka, N J Retention of connected discourse as a function of duration of miterpolated learning J exp Psychol, 1962, 63, 480-486
- Smeddluid J Transinity of preference patterns as seen by pre-school children Scand J Psychol, 1960, 1, 19-54

- Smedslund J The acquisition of conservation of substance and weight in children Scand J Psychol 1961 2 11-20 71-87 153-160 203-210
- Smedslund J The acquisition of conservation of substance and weight in children VII Conservation of discontinuous quantity and the operations of adding and taking away Scand J Psychol 1962 3 69-77
- Smedslund J The effect of observation on children's representation of the spatial orientation of a water surface J genet Psychol 1963 102 195-201
- Smedslund J Concrete reasoning a study of intellectual development Monogr Soc Res Child Developm 1964 29 1-89 (Serial No 93)
- Smith B O Logical aspects of educational measurement New York Columbia Univer Press 1998
- Smith B O Critical thinking In Recent research developments and their implications for teacher education Thirteenth Yearbook Amer Ass Coll Teacher Educ Washington DC The Association 1960 pp 84 96
- Smith G U and J W Prothro Ethnic differences in authoritarian personality Soc Forces 1957 35 334-338
- Smith H P A study in the selective character of American secondary education Participation in school activities as conditioned by socio economic status and other factors J educ Psychol 1945 36 229-246
- Smith Leone M Programed learning in elementary school an experimental study of relationships between mental abilities and performance Technical Report No 2 Urbana III Training Research Laboratory Univer Illinois August 1962
- Smith M E A study of some factors influencing the development of the sentence in preschool children J genet Psychol 1935 46 182-212
- Smith M H and Ellen Stearns The influence of isolation on the learning of the surrounding material Amer J Psychol 1949 62 \$69-\$81
- Smith S Language and non verbal test performance of racial groups in Honolulu before and after a fourteen year interval J gen Psychol 1942 26 51 93
- Smith W and J W Moore Size of step and achievement in programed spelling Lewisburg Pennsylvania Bucknell Univer September 1961
- Smith W and J W Moore Size of step and achievement in programed spelling Psychol Rep 1962 10 287-294
- Smock C D The relationship between intolerance of ambiguity generalization and speed of perceptual closure Child Develpm 1957 28 27-36
- and speed of perceptual tostic tostic tostic tostic per tost at the second seco
- anacty in children of the Determining and the development of Negro potential J Smuts R W The Negro community and the development of Negro potential J Negro Educ 1957 26 456-465
- Solomon D L Rosenberg and W E Bezdek Teacher behavior and student learn ing J educ Psychol 1964 55 23-30
- Solomon R L and E S Brush Experimentally derived conceptions of anxiety and aversion In *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation* (M R Jones Ed) Luncoln Univer Nebraska Press 1956
- EIRCHI UNICE ACCURATE ALES LOS IN Sommerfeld R E and N H Tracy A study of selected predictors of success in second year algebra in high school Paper read at Convention of American Educational Research Ass Atlantic City February 1961

- Sones A M and J B Stroud Review with special reference to temporal position J educ Psychol 1940 31 665-676
- Sontag L W and J kagan The emergence of intellectual achievement motives Am J Orthopschat 1963 J3 170-178
- Sontag L W C T Baker and V Nelsen Personality as a determinant of per lormance Amer J Otthopsychiat 1955 25 550-563
- Spaulding R. Athretement creations, and self-concept correlates of teacher pupil transactions in elementary schools Urbana Univer Illinois 1963 (Vinneoeraphed)
- Speer G S The mental development of children of feeble minded and normal mothers In Thirty minth Yearbook Vat Soc Siud Educ Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1940 Part II pp 309-314
- Spence L. W. The relation of Learning theory to the technology of education Har, and educ Rev 1959 29 81 95
- Spencer R E The relationship between personality anxiety and selected problem solving processes Unpublished doctors dissertation Urbana Univer Illinois 1957
- Spregel L. H. The child's concept of beauty a study in concept formation J genet Prichol 19:0 77 11 23
- Spielberger C D The effects of manifest anxiety on the academic achievement of college students Ment Hyg 1969 46 420-426
- Spielberger C. D., and W. G. Katzenmeyer Manifest articly intelligence and college grades f consult Psychol 19:59 23 278
- Spight, J B Day and night intervals and the distribution of practice J exp. Psychol 1928 11 397 398
- Spiker C. C. Experiments with children on the hypotheses of acquired distinctive ness of cuer Child Decelpt 1956 27 253-263
- Spiker C. C. Verbal factors in the discrimination learning of children Monogr Soc Res Child Developm 1963 28 (Whole No 86) 53-69
- Spiker C C and G Terrell Factors associated with transposition behavior of preschool children J genet Psychol 1925 86 143-158
- Spitz R A Hospitalism an inquiry into the genesis of psychiatric conditions in early childhood Psychoanal Stud Child 1915 1 53-74
- Spitz R. A The role of ecological factors in emotional development in inlancy Child Developm 1949 20 145-155
- Spitzer H F Studies in retention ] educ Psychol 1939 30 641-656
- Spitzer H F Class size and pupil achievement in elementary schools Elem Sch J 1954 55 82-86
- Staats, A. W. Verbal habit families concepts and the operant conditioning of word classes Psychol Rev. 1961 68 199-904
- Staats. Carolyn K., and A. W. Staats. Meaning established by classical conditioning *j. exp. Prychol.* 1957 57 74-80
- Statts Carolyn L., A W Statts and R. E Schutz The effects of discrimination pretraining on textual behavior f educ Psychol 1962, 53, 39-37
- Statey C. L. The law of effect in retained situations with meaningful material In Learning Theory in School Situations. Univer Minnesota Studies in Education Minneapolis Univer Minnesota Press 1919 pp. 74-103.

- Stanley J C The role of instruction discovery and revision in early learning Elem Sch J 1949 49 425-458
- Steckler G A Authoritarian ideology in Negro college students J abnorm soc Psychol 1957 54 396-399
- Steffire B Concurrent validity of the Vocational Values Inventory J educ Res 1959 52 339-351
- Stein J J The effect of a pre-film test on learning from an educational sound motion picture Technical Report-SDC 269-7 35 Pennsylvania State College Instructional Film Research Program November 1952
- Stendler Celia B Dora Damin and A C Haines Studies of cooperation and competition I The effect of working for group and individal rewards on the social chimate of children's groups J genet Psychol 1931, 49 173-197
- Stephens A L Certain special factors involved in the law of effect 1n Teaching machines and programmed learning (A A Lumsdaue and R Glaser Eds) Washington DC Nat Educ Ass 1960 pp 89 93
- Stephens W E A comparison of the performance of normal and subnormal boys on structured categorization tasks Except Children 1964 30 311 315
- Stern Carolyn Labeling and variety in concept identification with young children J educ Psychol 1965 56 235-240
- Stern G G Measuring noncognitive variables in research on teaching in Hand book of research on teaching (N L Gage Ed) Chicago Rand McNally 1963 pp 398-447
- Stevenson H W Latent learning in children J exp Psychol 1954 47 17 21
- Stevenson H W and M E Bitterman The distance effect in the transposition of intermediate size of children Am J Psychol 1935 68 274-279
- Stevenson H W and T Langlord Time as a variable in transposition by children Child Develpm 1957 28 365-370
- Stevenson H W and R D Odom The relation of anxiety to children's perform ance on learning and problem solving tasks Citld Develpm 1965 36 1003-1012
- Stevenson H W and E C Stewart A developmental study of racial awareness in young children Child Developm 1958 29 339-409
- Stevenson H W and J D Swartz Learning set in children as a function of in cellectual level J comp physiol Psychol 1938 51 755-757
- Stoddard G D The dual progress plan New York Harper 1961
- Stolurow L. M A comparative study of methods of programing materials for efficient learning in self instructional devices. Cooperative Research Project No HEW 661 SAE 8370 Urbana III Training Research Laboratory Univer Illinois June 1961(a).
- Stolurow L M Teaching by Machine Washington D.C. U.S. Office of Education 1961(b)
- Stolurow L M and C C Walker A comparison of overt and covert response in programmed learning J educ Res 1962 55 421-499
- Stone Mary Ann The development of the intersituational generality of formal thought Unpublished Ph D Dissertation Urbana Univer Illinois 1966
- Strauss A The development and transformation of monetary meaning in the child Amer social Rev 1929 17 275-286

- Strodtbeck F L. Family interaction values and achievement. In Talent and society (D.C. VicClelland Ed.) Princeton N.J. Van Nostrand 1958 pp 135-194
- Strom Ingrid M Research in grammar and usage and its implication for teaching and writing Bull School Educ Indiana Univer, 1960 36 No 5
- and writing and online and a second structure of the s
- Stroud J B The role of practice in learning In The psychology of learning 41st Yearbook Nat Soc Stud Fdue, Part II Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1912, pp. 553-576
- Storer N The child's learning difficulty as related to the emotional problem of the mother Am J Orthopychiat, 1953 23, 132-141
- Suchman J R. Training children in scientific inquiry Urbana III College of Education Univer Illinois 1959
- Suchman J R. Inquiry training in the elementary school Science Teacher, 1960 27, 42-47
- Suchman J R Inquiry training building skills for autonomous discovery Merrill Palmer Quart Behav Developm 1961 7, 148-169
- Suchman J R The inquiry process and the elementary school child Paper presented to the American Educational Research Association Atlantic Csty N J., February 1952
- Suinn R M A factor modifying the concept of anxiety as an interfering drive J gen Psychol, 1965 73, 43-46
- Sullivan E V An investigation into the use of different degrees of filmed verbal explanations on the activation generalization and extinction of conservation of substance problems in children. Toronto: Ontario Institute for Studies in Education 1966
- Summerfield A., and H Steinberg Reducing interfering in forgetting Quart J exp Psychol, 1957 9 146-154
- Supper P and Rose Constern Application of a stimulus sampling model to chil drens concept formation with and vultiout an overt correction response J exp Psychol 1962 63, 333-38(a)
- Suppes P and Rose Ginsberg Experimental studies of mathematical concept formation in young children Sci Educ, 1962 46 230-240(b)
- Suppes P and Rose Ginsberg A fundamental property of all-or none models bi nominal distribution of responses prior to conditioning with application to concept formation in children Psychol Rev 1963 70, 139-161
- Swenion C H College performance of students with high and low school marks when academic apinude is controlled J educ Res. 19.7 50, 597-603
- Swenson, E. J. Organization and generalization as factors in learning transfer and retroactive inhibition. In Learning theory in school situations, Univer Minn Stud Educ. Minneapolis. Univer Minnesota Press 1919, pp. 9-39.
- Symonds, P. M., and D. Chase. Practice versus motivation. J. educ. Psychol., 1929 20, 19-35
- Szuman S Comparison abstraction and analytic thought in the child Enfance, 1951, 4, 189-216

- Taba Hilda Learning by discovery psychological and educational rationale Paper presented to the American Educational Research Association Atlantic City N J February 1962
- Taft R Selective recall and memory distortion of favorable and unfavorable material J abnorm soc Psychol 1954 49 23-28
- Tate M W and Barbara Stanier Errors in judgment of good and poor problem solvers J exp Educ 1964 32 371 376
- Taylor C W A tentative description of the creative individual In Human vari ability and learning (W W Waetjen Ed) Washington D C Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development 1961 pp 62 79
- Taylor P H Children's evaluations of the characteristics of the good teacher Brit J educ Psychol 1962 32 258-266
- Teeter Barbara D I. Rouzer and F. Rosen Development of cognitive motiva tion preference for widely known information Child Developm 1964 35 1105-1111
- Ter Keurst A J The intrinsic programmed approach to the d scovery of concepts in general psychology J exp Educ 1965 33 351-335
- Terman L M and M A Merrill Measuring intelligence Boston Houghton Mifflin 1937
- Terman L M and Melita H Oden The gifted child grows up 25 years follow up of a superior group Stanford Calif Stanford Univer Press 1949
- Terman L M and Melita H Oden The gifted group at mid life Stanford Stan ford Univer Press 1959
- Terman L M and L E Tyler Psychological sex differences In Manual of cl ild psychology (L Carmichael Ed) ed 2 New York Wiley 1954 pp 1004 1114
- Terman L M and others Mental and physical traits of a thousand gifted children Stanford Calif Stanford Univer Press 1925
- Terrell G Manipulatory motivation in children J comp physiol Psychol 1959 52 705-709
- Terrell G Kathryn Durkin and M Wiesley Social class and the nature of the incentive in discrimination learning J abnorm soc Psychol 1959 59 270-272
- Thele C L The contribution of generalization to the learning of the addition facts Contributions to Education No 763 New York Teachers College Columbia Univer 1938
- Thisted M N and H H Remmers The effect of temporal set on learning J appl. Psycl ol 1932 16 257-268
- Thompson C W and A Margaret Differential test responses of normals and mental defectives J abnorm soc Psychol 1949 42 285-293
- Thompson G G and C W Hunnicutt The effect of repeated praise or blame on the work achievement of introverts and extroverts J educ Psychol 1914 35 257-266
- Thompson W R and W Heron The effects of restricting early experience on the problem solving capacity of dogs Canad J Psychol 1954 8 17-31
- Thorndike E L. The psychology of learning educational psychology Vol 2 New York Teachers College Columbia Univer 1913
- Thorndike E L. The effect of clanged data upon reasoning J exp Psychol 1999 5 33-38

- Thorndike, E. L. Mentał discipline in high school studies J educ Psychol, 1924, 15, 1-22, 83-98
- Thorndike, E L On the improvement of intelligence scores from thirteen to nuncicen J Fduc Psychol, 1926, 17, 73-76
- Thorndike, E. L. Adult learning New York, Macmellan, 1928
- Thorndike, E. L. Human learning New York Century, 1931
- Thornduke, E L. The fundamentals of learning New York Teachers College, Columbia Univer 1932
- Thorndike, E. I. The Psychology of wants, interests, and attitudes New York D Appleton Century 1935
- Thorndike E L, and others Adult learning New York Macmillan, 1925
- Thorndike E. L., and others The measurement of intelligence New York Teachers College Columbia Univer, 1926
- Thorndike, R L Growth of intelligence during adolescence J genet Psychol, 1918 72, 11-15
- Thorndike R L. Methodological issues in relation to definition and appraisal of underachievement Amer Psychologist, 1961, 16, 46
- Thorndike, R L. The concepts of over-and underachievement New York Teachers College, Columbia Univer 1963
- Thorndike, R. L. and others Retest changes in the IQ in certain superior schools In Thirty mith Yearbook, Not Soc Stud Educ Chicago Univer Chicago Press, 1940, Part II pp 351-361
- Thune, L. E. The effect of different types of preliminary activities on subsequent learning of paired associate material *J* exp Psychol., 1950 40, 423-438(a)
- Thune L. E. Warm up effect as a function of level of practice in verbal learning Amer Psychologist, 1950 5, 251(b)
- Thune L. E., and S. C. Ericksen Studies in abstraction learning. IV The transfer effects of conceptual versus role instruction in a simulated classroom situation Mimeographed Nashville Vanderbill Univer, 1950.
- Thurstone, L. L. Primary mental abilities Chicago Univer Chicago Press, 1938
- Thurstone L. L. and L. Ackerson The mental growth curve for the Binet tests J educ Psychol, 1929 20, 569-583
- Thurstone, L. L. and T G Thurstone Tests of primary mental abilities for ages five and six Chicago Science Research Associates, 1946
- Thysell, R. V. and R. W. Schulz Concept utilization as a function of the strength of televant and understant associations 3 zero Learn and verb Behav, 1964, 3, 205-258
- Tredeman H R A study of retention in classroom learning J educ Res, 1948 41, 516-531
- Tizard J The effects of different types of supervision on the behavior of mental defectives in a sheltered workshop Amer J ment Defic, 1953, 58, 143-161
- Todd, F. J. G. Terrell, and C. E. Frank. Differences between normal and under achievers of superior ability J epp Psychol, 1952, 46, 183-190
- Tolman, E. C. Purpornet behavior in animals and men New York Century, 1932 Tolman, E. C., C. S. Hall and E. P. Bretnall A disproof of the law of effect and
  - a substitution of the laws of emphasis, motivation, and disruption J. exp. Psychol, 1932, 15, 601-614

- Tomkins S S An experimental study of anxiety J Psychol 1943 15 307 313
- Tomhussan R M A comparison of four presentation methods for teaching complex technical miterial Unpublished Ed D Dissertation Urbana III Univer Illinois 1962
- Torrance L P. Eight partial replications of the Getzels Jackson study. Res. Memor. BER-60-15 Minneapolis. Bureau of Educational Research. Univer. Minnesota 1960(a).
- Torrance E P Gifted children In Recent research and developments and their implications for teacher education Thirteenth Vertbook American Association of Colleges for Teacher Education Washington D C The Association 1960 pp 64-72(b)
- Torrance E P Priming creative thinking in the primitry grades Elem sch J 1961 62 31-41
- Torrance E P I ducation and the creative potential Minneapolis Univer Minnesota Press 1963
- Torrance E P K Vamamoto D Schenetzki N Palamuthi and B Luther Assess ing the creative thinking abilities of children Minneapolis Bureau of Educational Research Univer Minneapoli 1960
- Traweek M W The relationship between certain personality variables and achieve ment through programmed instruction Calif J educ Res 1964 15 215-290
- Tresselt M E and S D S Spragg Changes occurring in the serial reproduction of verbally perceived materials J genet Psychol 1941 58 255-264
- Trowbridge M H and H Cason An experimental study of Thorndike's theory of learning J gen Psychol 1932 7 245-248
- Trump L J and D Baynham Guide to better schools Focus on change Chicago Rand McNally 1961
- Tryon Carolyn M The adolescent peer culture In Adolescence 43rd Yearbook Natl Soc Stud Educ Part I Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1944
- Tsai L S The relation of retention to the distribution of relearning J exp Psychol 1927 10 30-39
- Tuma E and N Livson Family socioeconomic status and adolescent attitudes to authority Child Develpm 1960 31 387-399
- Tumin M M Readiness and resistance to desegregation A social portrait of the hard core Soc Forces 1958 36 256-263
- Twining P E The relative importance of intervening activity and lapse of time in the production of forgetting J exp Psychol 1940 26 483-501
- Tyler Fred T Issues related to readiness In Theorer of learning and instruction 67rd Yearbook Natl Soc Stud Educ Part II Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1964 pp 210 239
- Tyler R W What high school pupils forget Educ Res Bull 1930 9 490-497
- Tyler R W Constructing achievement tests Columbus Ohio State Univer 1984(a)
- Tyler R W Some findings from studies in the field of college biology Science Educ 1934 18 133 149(b)
- Udry J R The importance of social class in a suburban school J educ Sociol 1960 33 507-310

- Uhlnger, Carolyn A, and M W Stephens Relation of achievement motivation to academic achievement in students of superior ability J educ Psychol, 1960, 51, 239-266
- Uhlman F W and E Saltz Retention of anxiety material as a function of cog nitive differentiation J Pers soc Psychol, 1965, 1, 55-62
- Ullman, C A Teachers, peers and tests as predictors of maladjustment J educ Psychol, 1957, 48, 257-267
- Ulmer, G Teaching geometry to cultisate reflective thinking an experimental study with 1239 high school pupils J exp Educ, 1939, 8, 18-25
- Underwood, B J The effect of successive interpolations on retroactive and proactive inhibition Frychol Monogr, 1945 59, No 3
- Underwood B J Prostine inhibition as a function of time and degree of prior learning J exp Psychol, 1919, 39, 24-34
- Underwood, B J Speed of Jearning and amount retained A consideration of methodology Psychol Bull, 1954 51, 276-282
- Underwood, B J Interference and forgetting Psychol Rev, 1957, 64, 49-60
- Underwood, B J Verbal learning in the educative process Harrard educ Rev, 1959 29, 107-117
- Underwood B J Ten years of massed practice on distributed practice Psychol Rev. 1961, 63, 229-247
- Underwood, B J and G Keppel One trial learning J verb Learn verb Behav, 1962 J, 1-13
- Underwood, B J, and J Richardson The influence of meaningfulness, intralist similarity and serial position in retention J exp Fischol, 1956, 52, 119-126
- Underwood, B J, and R. W Schulz Meaningfulness and verbal learning Chicago Lippincott 1960
- Underwood, B J, R Rehula and G Keppel Item selection and paired associate learning Amer J Psychol, 1962, 73, 353-371
- Urgaris, I C Situational generality of conservation Child Develpm, 1964, 35, 831-811
- Van Alsiyne D Play behavior and choice of play materials of preschool children Chicago Univer Chicago Press 1932
- Van Buskirk, C Performance on complex reasoning tasks as a function of anxiety J abnorm soc Psychol, 1961, 62, 200-209
- Vander Meer, A W The economy of time in industrial training 3 educ Psychol, 1945 36, 65-90
- Vannoy, J S Generality of cognitive complexity simplicity as a personality construct J Pers soc Psychol, 1965, 2, 885-396
- Van Ormer, E. B Retention after intervals of sleep and waking Arch Psychol, 1932, 21, No 137
- Vaughan G M The transsituational aspect of conforming behavior J. Personality, 1964, 32, 335-354
- Vernon, P E. Changes in abilities from 14 to 20 years Adv Sci., 1948, 5, 138
- Vernon, P L. The structure of human abilities New York Wiley, 1950
- Vinacke, W E. The investigation of concept formation Psychol Buil, 1951, 18, 1-32.

- Wechsler, D The Measurement of adult intelligence, ed 3 Baltimore Williams 112 Wilkins, 1944
- Wechsler, D Intellectual development and psychological maturity Child Developmitz 19:0 21, 45-50
- Weinberg C. Adhevement and school attitudes of adolescent boys as related to be havior and occupational status of families. Soc Forces, 1964 42, 462-466
- Weinberg N H Relativism, self centering and conceptual level Child Develpm 7 1963 34, 445-450
- Weingold H P, and R L Webster Effects of punishment on cooperative behavio". in children. Child Develpm, 1963 35, 1211-1216
- Weir, M. W. Developmental changes in problem solving strategies. Psychol. Rev. 1 1964 71, 473-490
- Weir M W and H W Stevenson The effect of verbalization in children's learn's ing as a function of chronological age Child Develpm, 1959 30, 143-149
- Weisberg P S and Kayla J Springer Environmental factors in creative function"a a study of gifted children Arch gen Psychiat 1961 5, 554-564
- Weiss, P. M. Wertheimer and B. Groesbeck. Achievement motivation, academic. aptitude and college grades. Educ. psychol. Measmi, 1959. 19, 663-666
- Hens W and B J Fine Sumulus prefamiliarization as a factor in identional, L learning J educ Psychol 1956 47, 118-124
- Welch, L. The genetic development of the associational structures of abstract in thinking J Psychol, 1940 10, 211-220(a)
- Welch, L. A preliminary investigation of some aspects of the hierarchical development of concepts J genet Psychol, 1940 22, 329-378(b)
- Welch, L. and L. Long Comparison of the reasoning ability of two age groups J. genet Psychol 1943 62, 63-76
- Wellman B L 1Q changes of preschool and nonpreschool groups during the pre school years a summary of the literature J Psychol, 1945, 20, 347-368
- Herner H Comparision psychology of mental development Chicago Follett, 1918 Wertham, F Psychological effects of school segregation Amer J Psychother, 1952, 6 94-103
- Wertheimer, M. Productive thinking (Enlarged ed., Michael Wertheimer, Ed.) New York Harper, 1959
- Wesman, A. G. A study of transfer of training from high school subjects to intelligence. J. educ. Res., 1945–39, 254-264
- West J V., and B Frachter A longetudinal study of the relationship of high school loreign language study and mathematics study to freshman grades J edue Ret. 1960, 54, 105-110
- Westie, F. R. Negro-white status differentials and social distance. Amer. sociol. Rev., 1952, 17, 550-558.
- Wheeler, L. R. A comparative study of the intelligence of East Tennessee Mountain Children J educ Psychol, 1942, 33, 321-334
- White, R. W. Motivation reconsidered the concept of competence Psychol Rev., 1959, 66, 297-333
- Whitlock, G. H., L. C. Copeland, and A. M. Craig. Programming versus independent study in learning elementary statistics. Psychol. Rep., 1963, 12, 171-174
- Williams, Joanna P. Effectiveness of constructed response and multiple choice programming modes as a function of test mode. J. educ. Psychol., 1936, 56, 111-117

References

- Williams, O. A study of the phenomenon of remniscence f exp Psychol 1926 9, 368-389
- Wilson, Margaret, J. M. Warren, and L. Abbott. Infantile simulation: activity and learning by cats. Child Develpm., 1965, 36, 818-853.
- Wilson, R. C. J. P. Guillord, and P. R. Christensen. The measurement of individual differences in originality. Psychol. Bull, 1953, 50, 362-370.
- Wilson, W. The effect of competition on the speed and accuracy of syllogistic reasoning. J. 10c. Psychol., 1965, 65, 27-32
- Wildank, R. T. Transfer of training in the white rat upon various series of marcs. Behav. Monogr., 1919, 4, No. 1
- Winterbottom, M. M. The relation of need achievement to learning experiences in independence and mastery. In Motices in fantary action and society, J. W. Atkinson, Ed. Princeton, N. J. Van Nostraul 1958.
- Wiseman, S. Symposium on the effects of coaching and prairice in intelligence tests IV. The Manchester Experiment. Brit J. educ. Psychol. 1951, 21, 5-8
- Winpé, L. G. Evaluating section teaching methods in the introductory course J educ. Res., 1951, 43, 161-186
- Wittenberg, R. M., and J. Berg. The stranger in the group. Amer. J. Orthophychist 1952, 22, 89–97.
- Wittrock, M. C. Set to learn and proactive inhibition. J. educ. Res. 1963, 57 72-75(a).
- Wittrock, M. C. Effect of certain sets upon complex verbal learning J educ Psychol., 1965, 57, 85-88(b)
- Wittrick, M. C. Verbal stimuli in concept formation: Leatning by discovery J educ. Psychol , 1965, 54, 185-190(c)
- Wittrock, M. C. Response mode in the programing of kinetic indecular theory concepts J. educ. Psychol , 1963, 54, 59-43(d).
- Wittrock, M. C. Verbal cues in concept identification. Learning by discovers J educ, Psychol , 1961, 55, 195-200
- Wittrock, M. C. and T. R. Husek Effect of anxiety upon retention of verbal learning Psychol Rep. 1962, 10, 78
- Wittiock, M. C., and E. R. Keislar. Verbal cues in the transfer of concepts. J. educ. Psychol., 1965, 56, 16-21.
- Wittick, M. C., and P. A. Twelker, Veihal rues and variety of classes of problens in transfer of training Psychol Rep. 1966, 14, 827-830
- Wohlwill, J. F. Absolute vs. relational distinguisation on the dimension of the affect J. genet, Psychol., 1960, 96, 555-503(a)
- Wohlwill, J. F. A study of the development of the number concept by scaleges a analysis, J. genet. Psychol., 1260, 97, 315-377(b)
- Wohlwill, J. F., and R. C. Lowe. An experimental analysis of its development of the concention of mander. Child Develops, 1962, 19, 153-167.
- Wollen, K. A. One trial versus matemental paired associate framing [] with Learn with Rehat. 1982, 1, 11-21.
- Wood, B. D., and F. N. Treeman. An experimental study of the concentration fluences of the type-atter on the elementary whend countries. New York Marmillan, 1952.
- Wesshow, If The effect of 1916 of training upon tea, deterar J. educ. Physics, 1922, 19, 184-182.

~

.

-

1

- Woodworth R S Fxperimental Psychology New York Holt Rinehart and Wanton 1988
- Woodworth R 5 Heredity and environment A critical survey of recently publuked materials on twins and foster children New York Social Science Re wardh Council 1911
- Wooldnidge D E The machinery of the brain New York McGraw Hill 1963
- Wortester D A The education of children of above average meniality Lincoln Univer Nebraska Press 1956
- Wright J M and O J Harvey Annude change as a function of authoritarian ism and punitiveness J Pers soc Psychol 1965 1 177-181
- Wrightsman L 5 The effects of anxiety achievement motivation and task im portance upon performance on an intelligence test J educ Psychol, 1962 57, 130-156
- Wrightstone J W Demonstration guidance project in New York City Harvard educ Rev 1960 30 237-251
- Wulf F Über die Veranderung von Vorstelbingen (Gedachtnis und Gestalt) Psychol Forsch 1922 J 333-575
- Yamamoto K Role of creature thinking and intelligence in high school achievement I sychol. Rep. 1964 14 783-789(a)
- Yamamoto & Threshold of intelligence in academic achievement of highly creative students J exp Educ 1961 32 401-405(b)
- Yamamoto K A A further analysis of the role of creative thinking in high school achievement J Psychol 1964 58 277-283(c)
- Yarcozower M Conditioning test of stimulus predifferentiation Amer J Psychol, 1959 /2 572-576
- Yarmey Y D Overt and covert responding in programmed learning Ontario J educ Res 1964 7 27-33
- Yarrow Marian R J O Campbell and L. J Yarrow Acquisition of new norms a study of racial desegregation J soc Issues 1958 14 8-28
- Yates A J Item analysis of Progressive Matrices (1947) British J educ Psychol, 1961 31 152 157
- Yoakam G A The effects of a single reading Univer Ioua Stud Educ 2 No 7 Jowa City State Univer Iona 1924
- Yorge G D Structure of experience and functional fixedness J educ Psychol, 1966 57 115-120
- Young F. M. Causes for loss of interest in high-school subjects at reported by 631 college students J educ Res 1932 25 110-115
- Young, R K and B J Underwood Transfer in verbal materials with dissimilar sumuli and response similarity varied J exp. Psychol. 1951-47 153-159
- Young R K W M Benson and W H Holizman Change in attitudes toward the vegro in a southern university J abnorm soc Psychol 1960 60 [31-133]
- Youtz Adella C An experimental evaluation of Jost's laws Psychol Monogr, 1941, 53, (Whole No 238)
- Yudin L. W. Formal thought in adolescence as a function of intelligence Child Develpm 1966 37 697-703
- Yudin L. W., and S. L. kates. Concept attainment and adolescent development J educ Psychol. 1963 35, 1-9

- Zagona S V and L. A Zurcher The relationship of verbal ability and other cognitive variables to the open close 1 cognitive dimension J Psycl of 1965 60 213–219
- Lander A A study of experimental frustration Psychol Monokr 1911 56 No 3 (Whole No 256)
- figler E and J de Labry Concept switching in middle class, lower class, and retarded children J abnorm soc Psychol 1905 65 \_67-273
- Figler E and P Kanzer The effectiveness of two classes of verbal temforcers on the performance of middle and lower class children J Perionality 1902, 30, 157-163
- Lillig M Einstellung und Yussage 7 Psychol 1928 106 5%-106

## AUTHOR INDEX

Aall, A., 387 Abbott, L., 185 Abc, C., 555 Abel, L. B. 365 Abercrombie, M. L., 141, 550 Ackerman, W. 1, 550 Ackerson, L., 234 Adorno, F W., 409 Aebh, 11, 197 Agard, F. B. 77 Albert, J., 172 Alberts, L., 79, 545 Alduch, C. G., 255 Allen, D C., 138, 239, 255, 412 Allport, G. W., 97, 333, 451 Alper, Thelma, G. 377, 3JI Alpert, A., 403, 543, 543 Mter, Millicent, 24 Anuklon, E., S.D Amos, R. F. 415 Anastasi, A., 184, 254 Anderson, G. L., 112, 473 Anderson, J E., 238 253, 240, 240, 247 Anderson, J. F., 245 254 Anderson, L. D., 238 Anderson, R. C., 457, 550, 560 Anderson, R. M., Scot Anderson, T. 502 Angell, D., 302, 318 Innett, J., 317 Suber, E. J., 135, 5\*2 Arrindrad, L. M., 313 Armstrong C. M. 234, 241 Amakal, 1 E. 12 Mil Neb Nr J., 547 Sather, M. J., 141 Sati P. 242, 522, 532 Asher, E. J., 141 267 254

Admore 11 5 410 Atkin | M 203 351 4-3 Atkinson J M 514 Alwater 5 K. 138 146 Juble D., 318 365 Austin, G A 5.0 521 532 Austwick, K., 318 Ausubel, D P 8 10 21 25 58 56 116 117, 137 143 146 153 154 157 154 160 175 1nd 1ng 215 277 2nd 2-2. 240 354 373 376-373 307 3.0 3/2 393 3 6 400, 403 407 409 413, 417 419 424, 427 435 456, 4 + 504 515, 546 550, 577 Back, K. W., 421 Bahrul, H P. 547 Baler, B. L., 31) Baler, C. T., 244 Baker, 11 L., 113 Baker 11 1., 191, 241 Baler, R W., 371 Baldwin & L. 241, 244 Ballard P B. Ite Bathatar, E. L. 317 . 11 4.11 Barr & 5, 451 Barnes, F., 557, 556 Bandent I C. 104 120 112 114 515 Batting W 1. 2.6 Rest att D. 7-2 Bease D 6. 501 2.4 2.5. 3.1 Beletister, M. 142 522 3.9 Beaux, 11., 515 B.J. H. M. 37.5 4 Brand Margad, had Array 1 11. als Len & D. D.

Bennett G K 238 Bensberg G J 136 Benson W M 443 Bereiter C 183 Berg J 415 Berkowitz L. 171 236 Berlyne D E 360 371 372 040 Bernard V W 459 443 Bernard W 74 Bernstein B 186 Bethon Gloria 194 195 Bezdek W E. 452 424 422 Bici W C 387 388 B nter & R 151 Birch 11 G 164 Bitterman M E 543 Bjergen 1 A. 46 Black H 584 Blackman L 397 399 409 Eayley > 184 231 232 234 238 241 254 Blake E. 117 143 144 Bliesmer P 235 Bloom B S., 232 247 351 456 546 550 570 Blos G 425 Bond A \$89 Bonney M E. 413 414 Borota G., 571 Bostrom R \ \$88 Bourne L. E. 317 318 531 532 Bousfeld W A 66 134 Boyd, W 154 Brackbull highne 518 Bradway hatherine P 233 238 242 Braine M D S 192 Brahey L. S a21 531 532 Braun Jean 5 531 Briggs L J 298 501 302 Breese F 11 241 242 244 252 435 Brentheise S H 547 Brenner H 332 Brian Clara R 302 Briggs L. J 111 306 307 327 Broder Lois ] 546 550 Brodie T A 389 Broiner | A 387 Brookover W B 410 Brooks L. O 503 547 Brown G 1 560 Brown L. T., 4J3 503 Brown R 68 202 218 252 502 527 Brownell W A 84 112 182 3.7 4/6 Bruce R W., 158 146 Bruner J S 79 101 129 134 145 146 150 151 172 174 179 182 191 197 211 216 242 268 \_60 372 473-475 482 484 485 488-431 433 4.J. 436 520 521 525 526 532 53> 5>4 555

Brush E S 383 Bryan H L. 190 232 233 236 242 243 2.3 318 Bullock H A 440 441 Bunnstead A F 292 Burack B 547 Burke Mary L. 321 547 Burks B S 243 200 201 Burlingham D 183 Burt C 196 243 251 542 543 Burtt 11 E 97 Burus G 413 Butler R 1 360 Buxton E. W 280 Biers | L. 410 Callantine M F 551 Callis R 454 Calvin A D 405 Campbell \ \ 298 299 444 Canning R R 574 Cannon T M 439 415 Cantor 5 366 Cantral H 538 Carey J E 135 156 Carlsmith L 242 Carmichael L. 121 532 Caron A J 377 405 Carr H A 304 Carroll J B 163 321 Carson A S 441 Carter H D 366 Carter L F 421 Carter L J 321 322 Cartledge C J 560 Case D 192 194 195 202 203 349 Cason 11 315 317 Castenada A 402 Castell R. B 229 234 556-558 Casan Ruth 5 438 Chansky N M 317 318 Chapanis A 184 186 254 Chave 1., 382 439 443 Check J 2,5 326 Child J L 382 Chow h L. 187 Chown Sheala M 539 Christensen C M 332 553 554 Clark K B 440 Clark R 1 234 391 398 440 Clifford Clarc 410 Cline V B 550 556 258 Cobb H \ 547 Cofer C N 111 117 322 540 Cogan M 1 452 454 Cohen 1 S 407 Cohen J 134 236 545 Cole D., 2.7 53.

Coleman, J. S., 229, 253-430 Collinson, J. M., 192, 194, 195 202 203 Conaut, J B, 410, 444 Condon, C. F., 108, 558 Cook, J. O. 302, 454 Conrad, 11. 5, 232 231, 212, 243 249, 250 Courser, Bernice, 440, 539 Copeland, L. C., 314 Corman, B R . 501 Cornell, E. L., 231, 211 Coulson, J E., 117, 301, 302 327 359 Covington, M. V., 560 Cowen, L. L., 103 Cox, J W., 163, 302 Craig, R. C., 518, 502, 503 Crandall, V., 398, 401 Cronbach, L. J., 163, 164 Crother, E. J. 281, 286 288 Crowder, N. A. 500 318 323 Crntchfield, R, S., 550 560 Cukier, 1., 387 Cunningham, K. S., 235, 420 Cumungham, R., 451 (um), C, 318 Curry, R. L., 433 Dat, B., 439, 442 Dallenbach, K. M., 115, 116, 515 D Amato, M F. 136 D Amico, L. A. 425 Dainrin, Dora, 171, 421, 425 D'Andraile, R , 395 Daniels, Jacqueline M., 555, 558 Darrow, C W., 322 Davies, Dorothy R., 302 Davis, A., 252, 436 Davis, R. A., 112, 156, 182, 252, 281, 469 Davis, O L., 529 Dawes, R. M., 121 Dearborn, W. F. 332 de Charms, R., 107, 121 de Groot, A D, 187 de Jesus, C., 184 de Labry, J., 436 Della Piana, G M., 146, 298, 302, 454, 532 Demarest, R., 265 Dennis, W., 181, 218, 545 Denney, T, 408, 558 Denzler, Lorraine S 408 Detambel, M 11, 141, 358 Deutsch, M., 183, 185, 186, 195, 248, 252 371, 439, 440, 442, 530 Deutsche, J. M. 531, 545, 548 Dewey, J, 401 d Hemile, Adma, 409 Dick, W , 540 Dickens, Sara L. 436 Dickhoff, J S., 152

Dienes / P 182 213 Dillon II J 255 Dodwell P C 192 195 Dorn Mirrain 5 182 DOINT M. N. 161 478 Doppelt J E 238 Dieger R M 441 Diessell, P., 280 Drevdahl J E 551 558 Drews Elizabeth M 260 Ducl 11 J 569 Duncan C P 139 159 409 531, 547 Duncan F M 547 Duncker k 500 539 510 Dunkel II B 77 Donn L M 235 267 Duskin Kathryn 436 DAL Ruth B 236 Eader 11 294 hasles J & 481 486 Easterbrool J A 549 Eaton M F 457 531 Ebhunghaus 11 281 321 Eckstrand G W 162 Edson, b 257 Edwards, A L 105 111 390 391 392 Edwards M P 556 557 Eclls, A., 25.2 Egstrom, G 11, 510 Ehrenfreund D, 79, 543 Lifermann, Rioka R 201 Figun, L. D., 348 Likenberry, D 11 112 Eisman, E., 503, 517 Ensuer, F W 554, 558 Ekind, D., 192, 194, 195, 531, 543, 544 Ellis, 11 C. 292 Ellis, M., 281, 292 Ellis, N. R., 256 Endler, N S , 256, 108 Engelmann, S E 185 Engle, T L, 139 Englehart, M D, 351 English, II B., 105, 111 Entwisic, Doins R , 117, 371 Epsicin, W, 46 Ericksen, S. C., 151, 169, 263, 343, 344 Ervin, S. M., 68, 138, 161, 169 192, 218 504. 550 Esics, W K, 284, 286 288 Etzion Dalia, 201 Evans, J L, 146, 147, 298 299, 301, 318 \$27 Ewert, P. II, 169, 478 Eysenck, H J, 400 Fanc, D, 439

Fawcett H P 161 Feather N T 371 377 Feldhusen J F 255 408 558 Feldstein M J 427 Ferson J E 443 444 Festinger L 372 Fiedler F E 421 Fillenbaum S 408 Fillmore E. A 164 247 Finch F H 231 255 Fine B J 134 Finlay G C 140 150 151 197 Fishbein M 560 Fisher S C 519 Fitch Mildred L 569 Fitts P M 549 Fitzgerald D 116 117 156 143 144 146 154 157 158 160 358 390 392 393 577 Flanders N A 399 400 454 Flavell J H 225 539 Fleming Charlotte M 260 Flescher I 256 555 5.6 Flory C. D 231 232 234 242 243 Folletue J F 528 Force R C 587 588 Forgus R H 185 505 Forlano G 296 Fowler W 182 Frank F 215 257 377 410 Frankel E 257 409 Franklin I 215 Frase L. T 582 Fraser G 68 Fredericson E 187 Freedman J L 104 560 Freeman f N 181 184 231 234 242 243 247 251 Freibergs Vaira 532 French E. G 371 577 549 French J W 255 256 389 French R \$ 138 Frenkel Brunswick Else 409 Frend A 183 Freyberg P S 515 Friedman 11 1. 328 Frutchey F P 112 Fry E. G 301 Fryatt M J 532 Fuller J L 187 Fuist É. J 351 Gage N L. 11 12 454 Gagné R. M 21 131 139 144 152 159 169 280 298 302 \$15 478 493 503 540 Gaier E L. 442 Galanter E. 11., 280 Gallagher J J 235 266

Gardner R W 171 173 Garrett H E 190 232 233 236 242 243 253 Garside R F 139 Garstens Helen L 139 145 502 Garverick C M 318 389 Gauser E B 413 Gates A 1 182 296 304 Gellerman L W 543 548 George C 549 Gesell A 178 205 Genzels J W 256 554 557 561 Gener M T 387 Gibson E J 185 191 Gilbert T F 281 Ginsberg R 143 288 299 Glaser R 146 147 278 280 298 299 301 318 327 348 493 586 Glate J A 47 111 Glock M D 229 Goff R M 439 Goldbeck R A 298 299 Goldblatt frene 455 Goldfarb W 184 Goldman A E 525 Goldman M 420 421 498 Goldman R J 191 192 194 202 378 379 400 404 107 Goldstein L S 185 532 Golbn E S 191 Gonzalez R C 135 Goodenough Florence L. 248 302 Goodlad J I 264 265 Goodman M E 440 Goodnow J J 194 195 520 521 532 Goodwan W R 162 311 Gordon H 184 247 Gordon K 292 294 Goss A E 135 136 516 Cough H G 409 Grace Gloria L 382 Cranit A K 121 Grant E I 241 Creen R F 236 Greenberg 11 459 445 Greenfeld Patricia VI 194 195 Greenhill L. P 322 332 Guffin Marie 537 Grigiby O J 545 Grodskaja N V 203 Groesheck B 371 377 Gronlund N E. 413 414 Grooms R R 408 Gross VI L., 584 Gross M M 425 Grossack M M 442 Grote G N 504 Grotelueschen A D 137

Guilford, J P., 229, 236, 553 555, 560 Gump P V, 458 Gurnee, H. 260, 421 Guthrie, E. R., 284, 286 Gustafson, L. 332, 391, 392 Guttendge, M V. 191 Haber, R N, 408 Haer, J L, 443 Hainer, A J, 408 Hagen, P. E. 236 Haggard, E. A., 229, 240, 409 Haines, A C , 424, 425 Hall, J. F. 117, 265 Haller, A O, 436 Hamilton C E, 514 Hamilton, G V N. 544 Hamilton, N R, 349 Hammer, E 1, 558 llansel, C E M, 545 Hanson, J. T , 435 Harari, H, 107 Harlow, H F, 138, 314, 364, 365 Harootunian, B., 548 Harris, C W, 260, 412, 420 Hart, F W, 453 Hart, I., 436, 437 Hart, J T, 104 Hartmann, G W, 391 Hartogs, R., 400, 406 Hartshorne, H., 574 Hartson, L, 249 Hartung, M L, 161 llarvey, O J, 409 Ilaselrud, G M, 135, 502, 503 Hastings, J T, 580 Havighurst, R J, 185, 190, 236, 237, 242, 252, 427, 435, 436, 438 Haygood, R, C, 531, 532 Haythorn, W, 421 llazlut, V 545, 546 Hebb, D. O., 183 Heidbreder, Edna F , 170, 478, 543 545 Heinonen, V., 236 Hendrickson, G , 84, 138, 476 Hendrix, G , 80 82, 458, 469, 477, 479, 480, 490, 493, 501 Henry, L K, 569 lleron, W., 183, 321, 322 11crr, S E, 269 Herr, O M., 443 11cmdk, V. E. 260 Hershberger, W., 515, 532 Hess, R. D., 185, 188, 433 Hibbs, A. R., 486, 487 Ilickey, A. L., 157 Hilgard, E. R., 14, 15, 111, 138 Hildreth, G E., 121

Hill, D S 191 н.п. т 408 Hill M C 438 439 Hill, S. A., 203 Hill W F \$65 Hill, W H, 351 Hillix, W A 298 Hindsman E 402 Hunton, R. 248 Hitt, W D, 556 Hohart C 436 437 Hobson, J R 242 Hodges A, 543 Hoffman, B 584 Hoffman, H N 531 Hoffman L R 280 547 Hogan H P, 121 Ilohn F E 554 Holaday P W 279 Holland J G 142, 299 302 315 Holland, J L 555 Hollingshead, A B 438 Holloway, II D 248 Holtzmann W H 443 444 Holzinger, K J 184 243 247 251 Holzman, P S, 171 Homme L. E 146, 147, 298, 299, 301, 318 327, 493 Honzik, M P, 238, 239 412 Hood, H B, 192 Hopkins, B L 161, 284, 286 288, 478 House, B J, 256 Hovland, C I, 138, 159, 293 296, 302, 312, 321 531, 532 Huang I, 387, 546 Huggins, W 11, 117 Hughes, J L, 181, 248, 348 Hull, C L, 161, 284, 315, 478, 531 Hunnicutt, C W 382 Ilunt, E B, 517 Hunt, J McV, 173 Hurlock, Ehzabeth B, 382 Husek, T R, 405 Huttenlocher, J. 532 Elyram, G 11, 163 Inhelder, B, 80, 86 87, 191, 197, 198, 202, 203, 205, 211, 214, 483, 542 Inon, A L., 305, 314, 315, 321 Irvine, R. P., 111 Irwin, J. McQ, 116 Israel, M. L., 302 Iverson, M. A. 372 Izzo, L. D., 408 Jackman, A., 409 Jackson, P. W. 256, 554 557, 561 Jackson, S., 194, 202 Jackson, T A, 138, 175, 192

James F 438 441 James N E 344 Janus I L. 389 Janke L. L. 190 237 435 Jenkins J G 115 117 279 Jennings E. 402 lersild A T 233 368 John Vera P 184 Johnson C E 267 332 438 531 Johnson H G 412 lores E. E. 391 Iones 35 E 234 242 243 243 251 253 2-4 317 Jones, M G 107 121 184 232 234 Jones R S 300 loseph M F W 300 411 lost A 294 Judd C H 158 162 Jung C C 560 1005 L W 142 328 kaas Judath S 531 haess W 298 302 318 kagan } 1"2 1/3 241 244 358 399 400 549 kahl J A 257 435 kahn M L 436 kalhorn J 241 244 kanzer P 436 kapian \ 1 408 harasik 1 D., 135 136 Karplus R 197 208 209 211 485 hates S L 192 202 525 532 13 Katkossks 14 598 401 Aatona G 111 113 158 471 500 540 Lattenineyer Vi G 408 Kaufinan M E., 2.6 Kausler M E. 377 Kausmeier H J 200 5.0 Nay 11 121 172 keislar F R 136 298 300 309 3.9 386 568 helly | G., 443 444 Actupler 11 L. 549 kendler 14 11 135 136 191 Mendler T 5 72 191 heppel G., 138 287 Acrah B 1 .03 Kessen Vi 172 kettner N W 553 554 hey C. B 184 247 Aught 11 R., 408 kilian C D., 10, 111 killian L. M., 443 kincaid G 250 hing R G., 117 2.6 \$89 kinsella P J., FJ 269 kink S A 1.0 248 267

kirkpatrick | E. 569 LUCH 1 E 502 503 Mate G R 532 3.9 klaus D | 327 klausmeter II ] 200 206 260 266 326 405 420 Mem R 403 Muncherg O 181 Klungensmith S W 546 Klocss P 281 292 knobloch H 240 Knodi D M 152 Koch 11 L 242 Koffka K 115 118 285 hogan \ 524 200 258 529 Kehler R 284 286 391 471 500 540 Kohn P M 371 Kohnstamm G A 215 216 hooker E W 560 koons G Roberta 200 Korchin S ] 391 Kounin ] S 458 548 Statissohl D R 3al htauser E. L. 560 htawitz Rhoda \ 258 krechevsky 1 286 krucger L. O 297 Krucger W C F 297 Krug R E 571 377 Krumboltz J D 146 298 317 318 Kuenne M R 79 Kuhlen R G 237 243 253 huttz A h 512 332 Laces 11 Vr 80 Lacey J J 545 Ladd E T 457 Lambert J F 169 298 317 318 478 Langford T 105 106 135 Landauer T & 104 Lantz Beatrice 402 Lanecta | 421 Latson G L. 301 303 203 Lashley h S 285 Lathrop C W 531 Laurendeau W 225 Lawrence D 11 162 311 Laycock S R 234 Leader F B 423 Leahy A VI 243 2.0 251 Let H 1 546 Leeds C 11 453 454 LEG C E. 458 Leggue Dorothy 153 164 Lehman 11 25r 330 Lesser 6 5 236 2.8 Levenson D J 409 Levenshal 11 172

Levine, J., 191, 349, 390 392, 525, 550 Levit, Grace, 457 Levitt, E E. 520 Lewin K. 471, 544 Lewis, M M, 526, 553 Lewis, O., 445 Lewis, W D, 252, 256 Liddle, Elizabeth A , 182 Lightfoot, G F. 244 Lillienfeld, A. M. 242 Lindholm, B W, 241 Lindley, E H. 544 Link, W E. 532 Lippitt, R., 418, 457 Lippman Frances, 134 Lipset, S. M., 437, 443 Lipsitt, L P. 402 Lipton, Rose C , 184, 383 Little, J K, 142, 317, 359 Litwin, G H, 398 Liublinskava, A. A., 135 Livson, N., 399, 409 Ljung, B O, 232, 236 Llewellyn, Joan E, 298 Lloyd, K E. 531 Locke, E A. 255, 256 Lockhead, G R. 287 Loevinger, J., 243, 253 Losselle, R. H. 539 Long, L., 196, 542 544 Longstreth, L E, 410 Lord, F E. 242 Lorge, 1, 249, 420 Lott, A, 1, 421 Lott, Bernice E , 421 Loughlin, C J, 256 Lovell, K., 192, 194, 197, 202 Lowe, R C, 215, 550 Lowell, E L, 398 Luchins, A S, 174, 478, 539 Luchins, E. H , 174, 478 Lucito, L F. 235, 266 Lumsdame, A A, 296, 302, 389 Lundin, R. W. 408 Lunneborg, Patricia W, 408 Lunzer, E A, 192 Luna, A R., 79 Luther, B. 553, 555 Lyon, D O, 111, 321, 322 Lysgaard, S., 436 Mabry, J E, 332 McCandless, B R, 405 McCarthy, D., 185 McClelland, D. C. 398 Maccoby, N , 296, 327 McConnell, O L , 532 McConnell, T R , 112, 499

McCuen, J T. 257 McDavid, J W 107 MacFatlane, Jean W, 238, 239, 412 McCee, H M 454 McGeehee W 252 McGeoch, A Ł 116, 305, 315, 321 McGeoch, J A 116 305 315, 321 McGuigan F | 405 McGuire, C 255, 555 Mcheachte W J 457 580 Mchee, J P 423 Mckillop, A S 107, 121 Machimon D W 556 558 561 McNamara W I 348 McNeil, J D., 298 300, 309, 359 McNemar O W 547 McNemar, Q 237 Macomber, F G 347 McTavish, C L, 281 Madell, T O, 371 Maier, N R F 280, 539, 543 544 547, 550 Mairowitz, B., 421 Maller, J B 376 424 Malmo R B. 372 Malrieu, P., 526 Maltzman, 1. 503, 540, 547, 560 Mandler, G, 16 136, 145, 146 161 Mann, J 11, 444 Mannix J B 192 Margaret, A, 234 Marks, J B, 134, 405, 430 Marks, M R 547 Marquart, Dorothy 1., 420 Marston M, 188 Marx, M H 298 Maso, N., 388 Matheson, E, 543 Mathews, R 134 Maw, W H, 559 Maw, Ethel W, 559 Maxey, E J 215 May, M A 302, 574 Mayor, J R 139, 144 302 Mead, Margaret, 425 Meadow, A, 550 Mech, E V, 318, 365 Medley, D M, 454 Mednick, Martha T., 550 Mednick, S A, 560 Mechl, P E, 415 Meister, M 266 Melaragno, R J, 302, 325, 359 Mellinger, Jeanne C., 409 Melton, A W, 15, 116 Mendel, Giscla, 407 Mernfield, P R, 553 Merrill, M. A., 232, 234, 235, 252, 253 Merrill, M. D., 137, 160, 184, 231, 258, 290

Messick S J 173 Meyer S R 142, \$18 309 002 003 Meyer W J., 236 238 318 Meyer W T., 434 Michael D N., 296 Milgram S 425 Miller G A 59 60 123 134 Miller J B 377 Miller K. S., 441 Miller R V 267 Miller W R 68 218 Millman J 229 Milton O 262 Minami H. Ua Mitchell C. B 247 258 Mitzel H 328 454 Mogar Marianning 545 Montgomery Kay C., 360 Montessors M., 182 188 261 264 Moore O h. 182 184 188 327 Moos D 547 Moreno J L., 413 Morphett M V 179 Morris D P 413 Morrisett L. 158 159 531 Morsh J E., 421 Moser II E. 112 Moss 11 A., 172 173 568 400 Moss | 503 Mowrer O H 63 66 67 136 Moylan Marie C., 135 136 Mukerji N F 420 Munn N L., 134 196 543 544 548 549 Munro B C 151 Munungrr 11 172 Murphy G 300-372 Musen P 11, 379 Maus R. E. 173 Myers G C. 387 420 Nagge | W 97 115 ajarian P 184 Vakamura C Y., 371 Naylor J C., 306 307 Needham W E, 555 556 558 Neben V L. 241 244 544 548 Neprash J A., 444 Neugarten Beatrice J., 435 438 Newcomb T M., 427 433 Newell A 122 Newman E. B., 111 115 117 Newman H 11., 184 243 251 Newman S. E., 151 Newstetter 15 1., 127 Newton J M., 137 Nuchols, T F., 409 Nucket, J., 254 Susen 11 W., 187

Noble C. E. 46 47 Vortross X J 136 Vorford C A 531 Northrop D 5 149 332 308 Northway VI L. 121 Novak J D., 342 Oakes M E. 545 546 Oaks W F 142 Oden M H 232 242 244 256 257 266 556 Odom R. D 405 O Dowd D 145 Offenbach S L, 318 Ozilvic, E., 192 Ohemacht F W 555 Ojemann R H 215 Obon L A 181 248 531 552 Oher Rose R 242 525 525 Orr D B 328 Osborne R T 140 141 Osler Sonia F 531 Orgood C E 62-64 113 115 118 134 136 162 311 332 515 540 Ottina J P 182 Outhit M C. 254 Overing, R. L. R., 138 169 547 Owen S G 3.9 Owens, 15 A 372 Packard, Rita 258 Page E. B., 280 Polamutiu > 553 500 Palermo D S 405 Paradise > E. 139 144 145 302 Parker B K 532 Parsons T 207 Partrudge E. D 429 Pasamanick B., 240 242 Patterson A., 410 Patton J A 457 Paul I H., 121 170 173 174 Peak H 389 fearson L., 172 549 Pcel E. A 192 Pendleton R 317 318 Penney R. L., 383 407 Perkins, II V., 257 Perl R E. 190 232 233 236 242 243 253 Feterson G VL, 548 Peterson 11 A., 256 281 292 296 Peterson J 388 Phillips W B., 172 402 425 445 457 Plaget J. 80 86 87 183 191 197 199 201 203 205 209 214 220 483 526 529 537 542 544 546 Paratel E. W., 405

Pierce Jones, J., 435, 436 Piers, Ellen V , 555, 558 Pinard, A. 225 Pines, Maya, 182, 184, 188 Pinneau, S R, 247 Pistor, F, 531 Pitman, I. J. 71 Plowman, Letha, 569 Plumlee, Lynette B , 583 Pollic, D. 580 Popp, Helen, 502 Poppleton, Pamela K , 548 Porter, D , 142, 302, 548, 358 Postman, L , 97, 114, 156, 138, 287, 387 Poulton, E C. 154 Prentice, W C H, 388 Pressey, S L, 142, 266, 267, 298, 500, 517 324, 327, 543, 549 Preston, Anne, 398, 401 Price, B Marion, 556 Pritchett, K, 215 Probst, C, A, 191 Prothro, E T, 439, 443 Provence, Sally, 184 Pubols, B H, 154, 145 Purvis, A W., 235 Pyper, J R., 78 Quackenbush, J F., 555, 558 Raab, E , 443 Raahem, K., 538, 544 Rabin, A I, 441 Rabinowitz, H S, 164 Ramaseshan, R S, 235 Rambusch, Nancy M, 182, 188, 264 Rankin, R E, 549 Rapaport, D , 191, 525 Rapp, A , 163 Rasmussen Elizabeth A, 135, 532 Rau, Lucy, 114 Ray, W E, 503, 540 Reed, H B, 111, 321, 387, 454 Reed, L. S, 134, 145 Rcese, H. W , 408 Regensburg, J. 256 Rehula, R , 287 Reichard, S., 191, 525 Reid, J. W., 547 Rempel, 11, 409 Reynolds, J. E., 136 Reynolds, J. H. 278, 280 Rhine, R. J. 549 Richards, J. M., 555, 556, 558 Richardson, J., 114, 135 Rusen, A H, 187 Ricssman, F, 186, 229 Reuter, Mary E , 372

Reymert M. 248 Rice, R. E., 410 Richardson E L 405 Riessman F. 269, 272 Rigney, J W., 318 Riopelle, A, 550 Robbins Lillian C 117 Roberts K E 543, 544 Robinson, E S 321 322 Rock, 1, 46, 284 286 288 Roe Anne 298, 349 554 Rogers A L 249 Rokeach M 172 409 Rosen B C, 172, 398 435 441, 443 Rosenbaum M 388 Rosenberg L, 452, 454 455 Rosenthal B G 97 105 115 397, 398, 399, 409 Rosman, Bernice L 172 Ross C C 135 569 Rossi E. 525 Roth R H. 348 Rotter, J B 550 Rouzer D L, 172 Rowe, Mary B 519 Rowlett, J D 503 Rudel, Rita G, 135 Ruediger, W G 164 Runkel, P J 171 Russell D H, 204, 405 525 Russell, R. W., 545, 549 Rust, M M. 240 Rvan, J J, 458 Ryans, D G , 452, 454 455 Saadeh, I Q, 204, 525 Sackett, G P, 183 Saltz, E , 171 Sanford, R N, 409, 420 Sarason, S B 404 405 408 Sassenrath, J N., 134, 136, 145, 318, 371, 377, 408, 531 Sangstad, P., 135, 538 Saul, E. V. 332 Sawyer, C R , 408 Sax, G, 182, 318 Sajegh, Yvonne, 248 Scandura, J M., 138 Schenetzki, D., 553, 555 Scherer, G A, 77 Schiff, 11 M, 215, 378, 379, 400 404 407, 413, 496, 546 550 Schlesinger, H J. 173 Schmid, J , 280 Schmidt, II O, 382 Schneider, L., 191, 436 525 Schnessler, K., 526 Schpoont, S 11, 378, 387, 397 399, 409, 508

Schramm W 348 Schroder H M 550 Schroeder W H 138 Schrom N 280 Schulman M ] 185 236 Schulz R. W 137 255 292 532 Schutz R E. 13a Schwab J J 349 Schwartz R. J 134 503 Scott J P 396 Scott W A 187 388 Scars P S 256 378 3/9 454 Sears R R 382 Sebestar S L 71 Scchrest L 520 531 Segel D 234 233 237 253 265 Seidman S B 318 Selfridge J A 60 Semmes J 187 Senders Virginia L 387 Serra M C 191 530 531 Sewell W 11 436 Sexton Patricia C 440 Shaffer L. H 532 Shamos M fI 208 210 Shannon D C 266 Shapiro Sandra L 531 Sharpe J F 105 106 Shaw J C 122 257 421 Shaw M E. 420 421 Shay C B 308 309 327 332 359 Shelfeld F D 15 296 302 327 Shenf C 423 424 431 435 Shenf Vi 423 424 431 435 Sherman M 184 247 Sh pman Virginia C 185 Shore E. 531 Shuey A M 249 Shuttleworth F & 255 Siegel L 171 347 532 Siegel P S 171 347 532 Sigel I E 172 173 185 191 525 Signori E. J 409 Supola E. M 146 Silberman II I 147 298 501 309 309 327 328 3.9 Silverman R E 298 Simon II A 122 Sums, 1 11 84 3 6 377 424 Singer S L 172 442 Skaggs E. B 292 297 Skelton R B 163 Skeels 11 VI 184 247 2.0 2.3 Skinner B F 66 142 145 259 308 315 327 382 Skodak M., 247 249 250 253 Sia necka N J., 117 281

Smedslund ] 151 192 201 205 215 225 323 500 Smith B O 12 13 141 550 Smith C U 459 Smith E C 169 4/8 Smith H P 458 Smith L M 302 359 Smith M E 185 Smith M H 332 Smith S 187 Smith W 397 Smith W M 547 Smock C D 173 407 Smpts R W 440 442 444 Sunder B C 215 Solomon D 383 452 454 455 Sommerfeld R E 256 Soncs A M 281 292 Sontag L W 241 244 Soroker E 413 Sparks W M 117 Spaulding R 419 452 454 457 Speer G S 181 Speisman J 580 Spence k W 15 16 Spencer R E 408 Speegel L 11 526 Spielburger C D 408 Spight | B 293 Spiker C C 79 136 4/8 543 Spitz R A 185 Spitzer 11 F 260 292 302 Sprage S O S 121 Springer kayla J 561 Staats A W 63 135 516 Staats C k 63 135 Stacey C L. 502 Stamler M W 547 Stanley J C 472 475 492 Stearns, Ellen 332 Stellare B 436 442 Stein J | 134 Steanberg H 115 256 Stendler Celia B., 424 425 Stern C 531 Stern G G 417 456 457 Stephens W E 256 317 371 377 Stevens A L 142 Stevenson 11 14 80 105 106 135 256 279 40. 440 478 543 Siewart E. C 440 Stock J R. 526 Stoddard G D 279 Stolurow L. M 137 144 290 298 302 378 5,9 Stordahl K E., 332 SLOVET > 244 Straus A 436 526

Strodtbeck, F. L 398 Strom, Ingrid M. 163 Stroud, J B, 281, 292, 309, 310, 569 Suchman, J R, 141, 482, 485, 486, 488, 490. 493, 550, 555 Suci, G J. 62, 64 Sunn, R. M. 406 Sullivan E V, 215, 216 Summerfield, A, 115 Suppes, P., 143, 288, 299 Swartz, J D. 256 Swenson, C H, 139 Swenson, E J , 112, 499 Szuman, S., 191 Taba, H, 427, 436, 438, 476, 492 Taft, R R , 121, 391, 392 Tajfel, H, 172 Tannenbaum, P H, 62, 64 Tasch, Ruth J, 368 Tate, M , 547, 548 Taylor, P. H. 304, 450 Teeter, Barbara, 172 Ter Keurst, A J, 142 Terman, L. M., 184, 231, 232, 238, 241, 242, 244, 252, 253, 256, 257, 266, 556 Terrell, G , 79, 257, 365, 377, 410, 436, 478 Thiele, C L, 112, 499 Thisted, M, N, 587 Thomas, S, 371, 377, 410, 549 Thompson Clare W, 233, 234, 238, 242 Thompson, G G, 582, 434 Thompson, W. R., 183 Thorndike, E L 162, 164, 218, 232, 239 275, 278, 279, 284, 315 Thorndike, R L, 249, 257, 555 Thune, L E, 169, 314 Thurstone, L. L , 234, 236, 252 Thysell, R V, 532 Tiedeman, fl R, 292 Tizard, J., 457 Todd, F J, 257, 377, 410 Tolman, E. C, 284, 865, 471 Tomkins, S S, 405 Tomlinson, R. M., 98, 503 Toohill, N, 281, 292 Torrance, E P., 256, 553, 555, 556, 560, 561 Tracy, N H, 256 Travers, R M W, 138, 169, 420, 456, 547 Traweck, M W, 408 Tresselt, M E, 121 Trost, Mary Ann, 408 Trowbridge, M. H., 315, 317 Trump, L ], 262 Tryon, Carolyn M, 428, 429, 432 Tulving, E , 532 Tumin, M M., 443 Twelker, P. A. 303, 312, 531

Twining, P E, 116 Tiler, F T, 182 214 Tyler L E 241 242 556 557 Tyler R W, 112 489 583 Tyrrel Sybil 255 Udry, J R , 435 Uhlunger Carolyn A 371 377 Uhlmann F W 171 Ullman C A 409 Ulmer G 161 Underwood B J 25 46, 107, 114, 116, 135 138 146 287 288 292 293 Uzgaris, I C 201 Van Alstyne D 191 Van Buskirk C 405 Vander Meer A W 302 Vannoy [ \$ 172 Van Ormer E B 115 Vernon, P E 190 236 Vestre N, 405 Vinacke W E 195 526 580 Vincent W S 332 Vlandis ] 388 von Wright J M, 511 V)gotsky L S 80 215, 216, 506, 515, 519, 525, 529, 530, 539 Wagner, J E 318 Walk R D, 183 Walker C C, 298 Walker C M, 532 Wallace, J 520 Wallace, W W 578 Wallach, M A, 145, 280, 554, 555 558, 559 Wallen, N E, 456 Wallon 11, 191, 525 Walter A A, 121, 532, 408 Wambath Helen, 442 Wann, K D, 182 Ward, A H., 156 229, 253, 281, 489 Ward, L B, 105, 112, 229, 314 Warren, J M., 183, 531 Washburne, N F, 179, 435 Washington, R M, 413 Waterhouse, I K, 382 Watson, G B, 391, 420 Wattenberg, W. W., 409, 410 Weathers, G. 457 Webster, R L, 383, 444 Weehsler, D. 231, 232 Weinberg, C. 438 Weingold, 11 P. 383 Weir, M M, 80, 135, 478, 543 Weisberg, P S, 561 Weisman, R G, 298, 317, 318

Weiss P 371 377 Weiss Sandra R. 531 Weiss W 134 532 Welborn E L 102 111 Welch L. 172 196 526 542 544 549 Welkowitz Joan 397 399 409 Wellman B L. 248 Werner H 191 525 526 542 Wertham F 439 Wertheimer M 77 371 377 471 500 540 Wesman A G 163 Wesue F R 443 Wheeler L R 184 187 247 254 Whipple J E 111 White R W 365 367 496 Whitemarsh G A 134 Whitlock G H 348 Wickens D P 162 Wiersma W 260 420 555 Wiesley M 436 Wilder Eleanor W 451 Williams C S 569 Williams Jane C C 328 Williams J P 301 Williams O 105 184 186 254 Wilson Dorothy 318 Wilson Margaret J 183 Wilson R C 553 254 Wiltbank R T 138 Winterbottom M M 398 Wiseman S 240 Wispé L G 419 456 457 Witkin II B 236 Wittenberg R M 413 Wittrock M C 136 144 298 300 302 303 509 312 359 405 .31 Wohiwill J F 191 192 215 543 550

Wollen K A 287 Wood E D 181 Woodrow H 138 163 Woodworth R S 201 300 Wooldridge D E 285 Worcester D A 266 Wright J M 409 Wrightsman L S 408 Wulf F 97 Wyate \$ P 208 Va Galperin P 216 lamamoto K A 553 555 557 Varcozower M 135 136 138 Yarmey A D 298 Varrow L. J 444 Varrow Marian R 444 Joakam G A 281 longe G D 539 Young F NI 146 366 443 Youssel M 116 117 137 143 157 160 280 282 290 Youtz Adella C 294 295 Sudin L. 192 202 525 532 543 Jagona S V 173 Lander A. 40a Zara R C. o31 Zax M 408 Zeaman D 256 298 302 318 Zeleny VI 378 400 413 Zigier E 436 Zillig M 390 Zumbardo P G 408 Zimmerman C 1"0 478 Zuckerman C B 46 Zurcher L A 173

## SUBJECT INDEX

Ability grouping, 260-262 differential, 261, 262 and differential aptitude tests, 261 and Dual Progress Plan, 261 and Trump Plan, 262 Abstract concepts, intuitively restructured, 213 understanding of, 87 Abstraction process, 81 Abstractions, primary, 198 secondary, 198, 200, 201 Abstractness, 325-530 Academically gifted, the, 265 See also Gifted children Acceleration, advantages of, 266 for gifted pupils, 266 impleinentation of, 266 Achievement motivation, 375-377, 397-398 affiliative components of, 375 cognitive drive component of, 375 (go enhancement component of, 375, 376, 385 excess of, 400 extransic components of, 586 and nonsatellization, 397 parent child relationship in development of, 398 satellization, 397 See also Motivation, Satellization, Cogni two drive. Ego enhancement Achievement tests, and B.S.C.S versions conventional, 577 cuphasis on speech in, 585 to evaluate new curriculum, 577 validity in, 576-578, 581 See also Tests, Test Scores, Testing Acquired meanings, and second language learning, 72-79 See also Second language learning

Acquisition, of concepts 509-514 516 517, 523 524 of knowledge 484 of language 82 of meanings 47-55, 65-70 See olso Concepts, Concept Acquisition, knowledge, Language, Meaning Adolescent values, lower versus middle class, 436 Adult versus Peer group norms, 430-454 Adult youth alienation, and belongingness, 432 exaggeration of, 433 role of peer group in, 431 See also Belongingness, Peer Culture, Peer group Advance organizers, pedagogic value of, 149 use of. 137 use of 1n learning and retention, 149 See also Organizers Age level trends, in objectivity of thought, 544-546 in problem solving ability, 543-544 See also Problem solving, Thought Anchoring ideas, clarity of, 132 relevant, 107 stability of, 132 Anumal learning, 10 Anumism, defined, 546 Anxiety, and creativity, 558 effect of on learning, 401, 405-407 effect of on school achievement, 407 and intelligence, 408 and 10. 408 motivational effects of, 408 neurotic, 105-105 normal versus neurotic, 401-403 organ of neutotic, 403 and problem solving, 549

Anxiety (cont ) and programmed instruction 408 and school learning 401-408 and self esteem 401 and test performance 380 See also Creativity Intelligence 1Q Problem solving Programmed instruct tion School achievement applied approach versus basic science 16-20 truny Alpha tests 232 Assimilated materials dissociability strength of 104 Assumilation 89-104 of abstract and factual materials 101 102 and complete organization in children 103 104 and derivative versus correlative subattribution 100-101 and effect on retention 92 explanatory values of 90-92 and induction and deduction process 102 and fearning versus retention 98-100 and memorial reduction 92 98 obliterative stage of 92 principle of 89 process 90 118 theory 118 See also Learning: Men orial reduction Obliterative assimilation Retention Arritudinal bias 390-393 Audiolingual approach 73-79 Authoritarianism 462 465 adolescent responses to 417 and dogmatists 408-407 in education 430 effect of on a casal health 413 illoor grunty of 419 10 New Jealand 417 418 Scales Berkeley 175 Automated teaching devices 147 See also Teaching machine sutomated instruction 317 323-3\_3 utonon ous discovery versus guided dis LONGTY 501 valations tests of 106 (service molivation (see Mouvaturn) Ballard Withan a phenorichen 102 Battleits deory of memory 198-129 Basic science research 17 Basic science versus applied approach 16-20 Behavioral terms specification of object tives in 351 Belief systems open closed dimension of 172 liclonging: evs 278 279 289 386

Berkeley Authoritarianism Scales 173 See also Authoritarianism Biology teaching of 341 354 316 Biological Science Curriculum Studies (B.S. C.5) 335 334 549 302 blue version of \$30 339 340 342 500 3-3 3-4 3-6 577 Comprehensive Test 577 and Cooperative Biology Test 5/8 evaluation of 577 eteen version of 335 540 342 350 sellow sersion of \$35 539 342 3.0 3.3 324 326 577 California Psychological Inventory 409 Causahry ideas of 542 Child desclopment 180 See also Readiness Child superiority proposition 217 218 thesis 218 Children bright versus dull 234-235 mentally retarded 242 267 Chunking, 60 61 123 def ned 59 Classroom climate 417-420 Classroom learning committe factors in 127 signative structure variables in 127 decline of 9 10 lactors influencing 26 laws of 13 psychology of 37 hee also Learning. Learning by discovery Learning tasks Learning variables Cognitive ability levels of 236 sex differences in 242 developmental change in 206 Cognitive content and conceptual terms 519-520 Cognitive development abstract stage of 87 205 concept assimulation in 527 concept formation in 127 concrete abstract dimension of 198-208 concrete infusive stage of 222 and cultural deprivation 18. distance instruction in role of 216 general 206 growth in 205 pre-operational stage in 1.38 and readiness 17,-221 transition from concrete to abstract 224 See also Concept acquisition Concept 25semulation Concept formation Intel lectual development Readiness Cognitive drive 367 368 371 descloj ment of 416 447 and meaningful learning 367

Cognitive drive (cont) potential centrality of, 367 See also Learning, Mcaningful learning, Motivation Cognitive functioning, abstract 192, 205 child's level of, 212 computer models of, 122, 123 conceptualization for, 506, 517 concrete, 192, 208-219 concrete to abstract, 205, 219-221, 528, differentiation of, 189, 190 intuitive level of, 208-219 and language, 79-82 role of concepts in, 509 preverbal, 80 See also Concepts, Intelligence, Language Cognitive process, 65 Cognitive readiness, 177-190 Cognitive structure, anchoring ideas in, 57, 132.518 and criterial attributes, 50 deliberate manipulation of, 148 established ideas in, 156, 157 hierarchical organization of, 103 influence of practice on, 274 and influencing substantive factors, 150lateral transfer in 131 negative transfer in, 539 new propositions in, 103 in problem solving, 538 539 relevant anchoring ideas in, 133 stability, effect of on new learning, 146 subsumers in. 158 and transfer, 127-174 vertical transfer in, 131 See also Anchoring ideas, Problem solv ing, Transfer, Transferability Cognitive structure variables, central role of. 512 cumulative effects of, 139 and curriculum reform movements, 140 influence of, 132 long term effects of, 140, 142 long term studies of, 139 principal, 130-133 programmed learning techniques in, 133 versus readiness, 153 and structure of knowledge, 127 See also Curriculumi, Readiness Cognitive style, 170-174 aspects of, 171 and dogmatism, 408 storage of information in, 171 variables of, 170 Cognitive variables, 369 Combinatorial meanings, 53

Combustion, theories of 7 Common sense and educational psychology, 7.8 Communication, effective 338 339 Competition, adverse consequences of, 425 and cooperation, 423-425 and personality 424 Computer assisted instruction, 264 Computer model theoretical value of, 122 Concept acquisition, abstraction in, 525 developmental aspects of, 523-525 factors influencing 530-532 influence of experience on, 530-531 influence of sex on, 530-532 and irrelevant information, 532 and language 521-523 and relevant information 532 sequential stages in, 517-519 theoretical views of 516-517 Concept assumilation 511, 512, 527-529 versus concept formation, 514-516 Concept formation, 510, 511, 512, 519, 527-529 categorization in, 518 hypothesis testing aspect of, 524 and problem solving, 512 in problem solving propositions, 518 strategies in, 520-521 versus concept assimilation, 514-516 See also Assimilation, Concept assimilation, Problem solving Concepts, as abstractions, 508 acquisition of, 505-532 assimilated, 529 classification of, 520 in cognitive functioning, 509 conjunctive, 520 criterial attributes of, 50 in culture, 508 disjunctive, 520 incating of, 50 nature of, 505-508 neobeliavioristic views of, 516-517 problems in use of, 509 reality of, 507, 508 relational, 520 rotely learned, 50 systematic instruction m, 515 use of, 505-552 vendicality of, 507 ways of acquiring, 509-513 ways of using, 509-513 See also Cognitive functioning, Concept Neobehaviorism, Roic acquisition, catning Concept Maturity Tests, 232 Conceptual behavior, neobehavioristic view of, 517
Conceptual terms and cognitive content, 519-520 Conceptualizing operations, increased aware ness of 527-529 Concrete-empirical experience, 103 child's dependence on, 524 Concrete empirical props, and concrete operational child, 201, 203 dependence on, 200, 208 220 in readiness programs, 269 Conditioned sensory responses,' 63 Conditioning process 65 66 Conformity adolescent, 427-429 and individuality 425-430 Conservation of memory,' 496, 497 Constancy and fluctuation causes of 239-241 Contiguity and set 532 Controversial materials, and attitudinal bias, 590 392 forecting of 392 learning of, \$89, \$91 organizets in learning of, 390 organizers in retention of, 393 See also Advance organizers, Learning, Organizers Cooperation and competition 423-425 Cooperative Biology Test 578 Counseling 10 Greature potentiality, assessments of, 554 cursosity as index of, 559 of gifted children, 559 identification of 558-559 measure of, 559 realization of 561 See also Creativity, Gifted shildren Creatinity, 551-562 and academic achievement, 556-557 and anxiety, 558 "democratic" definition of, 560 and divergent thinking, 553 encouragement of, 534 and environment, 552, 553 Elescher # measures of, 556 fostering of in the school, 559 and generality, 580 and intelligence, 555-556 and IQ 556 559 personality correlates of, 557-558 potentiality for, 558 and problem solving 555-562 in school, 559-562 and whool grades, 558 as substantite capacity 553, 554 supportive aspects of, 560 supportive cognitive criteria of, 558 supportive correlates of, 554

Creativity (cont) supportive measures of, 556 supportive traits of, 555 teaching for,' 560, 561 tests, 555 556, 558 See also Anxiety, Creative potentiality, Intelligence, IQ, Problem solving, Tesung: Tests Critical periods,' hypothesis, 188 phenomenon, 188 Cusenaire rods, 269 Culturally deprived children, 267-272 and cognuise development, 189 cognitive environment for 268 compensatory educational arrangements for, 272 effective learning for, 268 intellectual development of, 186, 272 intellectual deficit of 208 language retardation in, 184, 186, 269, 271 motivation of, 445-448 orientation to language of, 186 preschool enrichment for, 209 readiness of, 270 stigmassing effects of, 272 teaching strategy for, 268, 270 testing of, 580 unreadiness in, 269, 270 See also Cognitive development. Intel lectual development, Language, Mota vation, Readiness, Retardation Culture of poverty, 445 Curriculum, approach, single unit versus integrated, 355 354 breadin of 221 224 evaluation, 357, 358 intumively oriented, 209 materials, preparation of, 352 planning, principles of readiness in, 20 retorm, 349-359, 498-499 'spiral," 209 Davn-Eells "culture fair" test, 253 Decision location test, 173 Democratie" discipline, 460-464 Denotative meanings, 63 Dependent probabilities, 60 Deprivation, effects of, 247-218 See also Culturally deprined children Development deficit, cumulative nature of, 183 Dewey, John, and account of stages in thinking, 541 and preference for problem-solung ability, 474 Didactic teaching, 215 Differential aptitude tests, 261 Differential practice schedules, 307-309

Discipline, defined, 459 democratic, 460-464 functions of, 459, 460 positive' forms of, 461 See also School discipline Discovery, act of, 476 and "conservation of memory,' 496-497 as generator of inotivation, 493-495 as generator of self confidence, 493-495 influence of on retention, 496 meaningful, role of guidance in, 302 in organizing learning, 491-493 overemphasis on, 357 as source of intrinsic motivation, 495-496 in trial and error learning, 304 See also Motivation, Learning Discovery learning, 54-55, 82 meaningful, 55, 533 rote, 535 versus reception learning, 22-24 See also Discovery, Learning, Meaning ful learning; Reception learning Discovery method, 220, 504, 471-473, 481-484 advantages of, 472 and curriculum reform, 498 educational rationale of, 471 empirical support for, 499 enthusiasts of, 498 and 'heuristics of discovery," 488 highly structured, 495 inspirational values of, 494 in learning outcomes, 475 motivational virtues of, 494 and Ohm's law, 470 psychological rationale of, 471, 491 and problem solving, 469, 470 in problem solving techniques, 473 rationalized, 489 research on, 497-504 role of progressive education movement in, 468, 469 and scientific method, 470 time cost consideration in, 473 time cost factor of, 500 time consuming disadvantages of, 482 See also Curriculum reform, Learning, Motivation, Problem Solving Discussion versus lecture, 456 Dissociability strength, 104 Dissociation equilibrium, 97 Distributed practice, 291-295 facilitating effect of, 292, 295 immunizing effect of, 294 versus massed practice, 291 See also Practice

Dogmatism, and authoritarianism, 407-408 and cognitive style 408 and opmionated scales 172 See also Authoritarianism Drill, 309-312 counctations of 309 kngth of 310 See also Practice structured Dute reduction, effects of, 385 Hullian emphasis on 284 and reinforcement 384-386 Dual Progress Plan 261 Fducation, child centered approach to 32 function of, 15 Fund for Advancement of 266 premary goal of 484-188 psychological principles in, 8 psychological theories in 7 racial factors in 438-445 responsibility for directing 32-34 and social class stratification, 435-438 Educational achievement of Negro chil dren, 440-441 of Negro girls versus boys 442 Educational Development, Iowa Test of, 556 Educational objectives, determination of, 567 Educational practice applying psychological principles to, 6 influence on, 11 truisms in, 7 Educational psychology, as applied science, 9 and common sense, 7, 8 generalizations in, 19 for prospective teachers, 4 research strategy in, 14-20 as a science, 4 subject matter of, 9 role and scope of, 3-34 single principle of, 337 Thorndikian, 309 versus psychology, 8, 9 Educational research, 15 applied level in, 19 basic science approach to, 17, 19 and extrapolated basic science research Educational television, 347 Educational theory, role of drill in, 276 Educational thought, convergent current trends in, 28-34 Effect law of, 471 Effective communication, 338-339 in elementary biology, 339 writing style in, 338 ~~ <sup>52700</sup> Emstellung test 174 Ego-mhancement, 375-379 drive cruces of. 400 Ego-enhancement motivation, advantages and disadvantages of, 578-579 competition as form of, 423 offician of 377 See also Motivation Emotional psychology and common sense, 7 Endocrinology 145 Entuchment 265-267 effects of 248 249 for gifted pupils 266 pre-school 160 See also Gifted children Environmal deprivation (see Culturally deprived children) Foundbrauon defined, 205 Evaluation abuses of 570-573 limitations of 576-573 mususe of 573 printary purpose of, 567 principles of 565-583 purposes of 566-570 resistance to 567 student learning in 568 Evolution Darwinian theory of 132 353 Lamarcks theory of 132 themses of 7 Examination content of 568 containe function of, 569 CHA1 588 feedback from 560 as learning experience 569 objective 569 oral, 188 Exceptional child Japhnes for 490 Expository organizers (see Organizers) Expository leaching authoritarianism of 190-191 504 disenchantment with 81 method of, 85 mauses of \$5 versus independent discovery 504 Extraine motivation (see Mountation) Extraction cult of 412-413 Fast learner sersus slow learner, 107 Fredback, \$15-\$19 in automated instituction, 317 tognitive aspetts of, 366 cognitive effects of, \$16, \$17 lacilitating effects of, 316 as plactice sanable, 316 and programmed instruction, \$19 telative frequency of, 318

676

Feedback (cont) role of in meaningful learning, 318 See also Intomated instruction, Program med instruction Foreign language learning advantages of adults 18, 219 See also Second language learning Forgetting, amount ol, 116 assimilation theory of 118 during inter trial resis, 294 Gestalt theory of, 97, 118 interference theory of, 115 prior. 282 psychoanalytic theory of, 122 rotely learned material, 116 sources of, 105, 107 of superordinate learning, 95 theories of 205 Frequency, 275-201 as condition for meaningful learning, 285 evaluating role of, 284 in incremented learning 287 influence of 284-289 law of. 275 and learning, 278-280 in learning and retention, 275. 284, 288 mediation of, 284 and retention, 280 285 and rote learning, 277, 286, 288 Thorndike experiments 10, 278 see also Belonganganess, Learning Mean ingle) learning, Retention, Rote learn 100 Fund for Advancement of Education, 266 General intelligence, individual tests of, 241 wx differences in, 241 tests scores of, 239 Ceneralization inethod, 499 Generat meaning, nature of, 513-516 Gestalt theory, 118-120, 286, 471 Gilted children acceleration of, 255 creative potentialities of, 559 enuchment for, 266 See also Creativity; Enrichment Grapheme phoneme correspondences, 60, 71 Group-centered approaches versus teacheroriented approaches, 457 Group dynamics, 10 Group effort versus individual effort, 420-421 Group experience, individual orientation to 122-123 an monsatelliser, 122 deads antages of, 200 Growth, individual, 237-241

Guidance, \$01-305 most efficacious type of, 504 Guided discovery, 503-504 method of, 503 versus autonomous discovery 304 versus reception learning, 303 ' Hawthorne effect," 140, 141, 348, 357, 498, 499 Hereditarian position, 253 Heredity, environment of, 243 influences of, 243 'Heuristics of discovery." 488-489 'Higher Horizons Project' 444 448 Ideational systems, 103 Implicit behavior, effective, 62 motor, 62 Incremental theories versus nonincremental theories, 286-289 Individual effort versus group effort, 420-421 Individuality and conformity, 425-430 Individualization, 261-265 Individualized instruction, 262 Inference theory, 115-118 Information, irrelevant, 532 relevant, 532 theory, 517 Inquiry, overemphasis on, 357 Inquiry Training Program, 483, 490, 492, 493, 550, 555 Insight, emergence of, 536 Gestalt emphasis on, 500 nature of, 536 premature verbalization of, 540 process versus product in, 536, 537 and thinking, 540 unreportability of, 537 verbalization of, 501 Instances, array of, 532 licterogeneity of, 531 positive versus negative, 531 532 Instruction, automated, 323-325 computer assisted, 261 individualization of 263, 264, 335-337 preconceptions of 335-337 verbal, techniques of, 84 Instructional aids, \$42-\$49 Instructional materials, 320-359 internal logic of, 328-330 preparation of, 357 Intellectual ability, 223-238 and adolescence, 236-237 and 'core curriculum,' 237 individual differences in, 259-272

Intellectual ability (cont) IQ as measure of 226 progressively differentiated 237 tests of, 233 See also 1Q, Test scores Testing, Tests Intellectual development abstract logical stage of, 202 accelerating stages of 214-216 and American school systems, 191 concrete operational stage in, 199 developmental transition in 205 disagreement on stages of, 193 fluctuations between stages of, 195 general stages of 191-198 general theories of 191 and manner of achievement, 193 Praget's age levels of, 194 and Plaget's stages of 192, 193 qualitative changes in 196 and schooling 186-187 and Swiss school systems, 194 unictable of 197 Intellectual training concern with 29-31 Intelligence, and anxiety, 408 and creativity 555-556 developmental changes in, 231-241 and family size 234-255 growth of 190, 232 growth curve of 231-234, 241 influence of on concept acquisition, 530-5.32 influence of heredity and environment in, 243 nature of, 225-230 organization of, 229-230, 235 plasticity of, 189 as predictor of academic achievement, 255-258 quantitative, 190 social class differences in, 252 tests of, 580 verbal, 190 and vocational success, 257 See also Anxiety, Concept acquisition, Creativit) General intelligence Test scores, Tests Intelligence tests, 226, 228, 229, 252 composite scores on, 236 preschool, 238 value of, 229 Intelligence test scores, 190, 227, 255, 258 and advancing age, 238 gains in, 232 scaled, 232 variability in, 243 See also Test scores Intention to remember, 387-388

Eanstellung test, 174 Fgo enhancement, 375-379 dune excess of 400 Fro-enhancement motivation, advantages and disadvantages of, \$78-379 competition as form of, 423 officacy of 377 see also Motivation Emotional psychology and common sense, 7 Endocrupology 146 Enrichment, 265-267 effects of 248, 249 for gifted pupils, 266 preschool 269 See also Gifted children Environicital deprivation (see Culturally deputed children) Equilibration defined, 205 Evaluation, abuses of 570-573 limitations of. 570-573 misuse of, 573 prunary purpose of, 367 principles of 565-589 Dire-366, lo encorrect resistance to 567 student learning in, 568 Evolution, Darwinian theory of 132 333 Lamatch a theory of 132 theories of, 7 Examination content of 568 corrective lunction of, 563 cisar 588 feedback from 569 at learning experience 569 objective 569 oral 588 Exceptional child facilities for 490 Expository organizers (see Organizers) Expository teaching authoritarianism of 490-491 504 discussion with 85 method of 83 muurs of, 85 tersus independent discovery 584 Extrassic motivation (see Motivation) Extroversion, cult of, 412-413 Fast learner versus slow learner 107 Feedback, 315-319 in automated instruction 317 cognitive aspects of, 386 toguitive effects of, 316, 317 familiating effects of, 316 as practice variable, 316 and programmed instruction, 319 relative frequency of, 318

Feedback (conf) role of in meaningful learning 318 See also Automated Instruction, Program med instruction Foreign language learning advantages of adults in, 219 See also Second language learning Forgetting amount of, 116 assumilation theory of, 118 during inter trial tests 294 Gestalt theory of, 97, 118 saterference theory of, 115 proor. 282 psychoanalytic theory of, 122 rotely learned material, 116 sources of, 106, 107 of superordinate learning, 95 theories of, 203 Frequency, 275-291 as condition for meaningful learning, 285 evaluating role of, 284 in incomented learning, 287 influence of, 284-289 law of. 275 and learning 278-280 in learning and retention, 275, 284, 288 mediation of, 284 and sciention, 280 285 and rote learning, 277, 286, 288 Thorndian experiments in, 278 See also Belongingness, Learning, Meaningial learning, Rejention, Rote learn ing Fund for Advancement of Education, 266 General intelligence, individual tests of, 241 sex differences in, 241 tests scores of 239 Generalization michod, 499 Generic meaning nature of, 513-516 Gestals theory, 118-120, 286, 471 Gifred children, acceleration of, 266 creative potentialities of, 559 enrachment for, 266 See also Creativity; Enrichment Grapheme phoneme correspondences, 69, 71 Group centered approaches versus teacher oriented approaches, 457 Group dynamics, 10 Group effort scrus individual effort, 420-421 Group experience, individual orientation to 122-123 in nonsatellizer, 422 disadvantages of, 260 Growth, individual, 257-241

## Index

Guidance, 301-305 most efficacious type of, 504 Guided discovery, 503-504 method of, 503 versus autonomous discovery, 304 versus reception learning, 303 "Hawthorne effect," 140, 141, 348, 357, 498, 499 Hereditarian position, 253 Heredity, environment of, 243 influences of 213 "Heuristics of discovery," 488-489 "Higher Horizons Project," 444, 448 Ideational systems, 103 Implicit behavior, effective, 62 motor, 62 Incremental theories versus nonincremental theories, 286-289 Individual effort versus group effort, 420-42[ Individuality and conformity 425-430 Individualization, 264-265 Individualized instruction, 262 Inference theory, 115-118 Information, irrelevant, 532 relevant, 532 theory, 517 Inquiry, overemphasis on, 357 Inquiry Training Program, 488, 490, 492, 493, 550, 555 Insight, emergence of, 536 Gestalt emphasis on 500 nature of, 536 premature verbalization of, 540 process versus product in, 536, 537 and thinking, 540 unreportability of, 537 verbalization of, 501 Instances, array of, 532 heterogeneity of, 531 positive versus negative, 531 552 Instruction, automated 323-325 computer assisted, 264 individualization of, 263, 264 335-337 preconceptions of 335-337 verbal, techniques of, 84 Instructional aids, 342-349 Instructional materials, 320-359 internal logic of, 328-330 preparation of, 357 Intellectual ability, 225-258 and adolescence, 236-237 and "core curriculum,' 237 individual differences in, 259-272

Intellectual ability (cont) IQ as measure of 226 progressively differentiated, 237 tests of 233 See also 1Q, Test scores, Testing; Tests Intellectual development, abstract logical stage of, 202 accelerating stages of, 214-216 and American school systems, 194 concrete operational stage in, 199 developmental transition in, 205 disagreement on stages of, 193 fluctuations between stages of, 195 general stages of 191-198 general theories of, 191 and manner of achievement, 193 Plaget s age levels of, 194 and Plaget's stages of, 192, 193 qualitative changes in, 196 and schooling, 186-187 and Swiss school systems, 194 timetable of 197 Intellectual training, concern with, 29-38 Intelligence, and anxiety, 408 and creativity, 555-556 developmental changes in, 231-241 and family size, 234-255 growth of, 190, 232 growth curve of 251-234, 241 influence of on concept acquisition, 530influence of heredity and environment in. 213 nature of, 225-230 orgamization of, 229-230, 235 plasticity of, 189 as predictor of academic achievement, 255-258 quantitative, 190 social class differences in, 252 tests of, 580 verbal, 190 and vocational success, 257 See also Anxiety. Concept acquisition, Creativity, General intelligence, Test scores, Tests Intelligence tests, 226, 228, 229, 252 composite scores on, 236 preschool 238 value of, 229 Intelligence test scores, 190, 227, 255, 238 and advancing age 238 gains in, 232 scaled, 232 variability in, 243 See also Test scores Intention to remember, 387-388

Interference, proactive, 116 retroactive, 116 theory, validity of, 116 Integrative reconciliation, comparimental ization in 156 organizers in furthering principle of 157 in programming instructional material, 155 "Internal ripening," 195 Intra material organization 331 Intrinsic motivation (see Motivation) Intuitive learning, advantages of, 182 generality of, 211-212 premature instances of, 213 specificity of, 211-212 See also Learning Iowa Test of Educational Development 556 Intelevant information (see Information) 1 Q, 187 227 229, 237-239 245, 249 530-53) and achievement 435 of American high school population 255 of children of East Tennessee mountains, 248 constancy of, 238, 239, 241 correlations between parent and child 249 and creativity, 226 553 criticism of 226 discriminable changes in 245 ettor of, 238 Ifuctuations to 240 241 of foster children, 250, 253 gains in 241 242 ol gifted boys 435 of Hawaman children, 218 and hereditarian position 250 increases in 244 instability of, 240 losses in 241 measured change in, 246 of mentally retarded preschool children 248 modifiability of, 245-246 of Vegro pupils 441 in problem solving 548 progressive decline in, 246 progressive increases in 246 of rural children, 254 scores 230-231 251 of Scottish children, 255 of Southern Negro children, 247 as status symbol 581 tests 227, 229 of twins, 251 Irreversibility, mechanisms mediating 187-190

jost s laws, 285, 294, 297, 328 knowledge acquisition of, 484 as end in itself, 31 as goal of education, 484 meaningful 476 of results (see Feedback) Kuhlmann Finch Test, 253 Laboratory, role of in science \$45 Language acquiring of, 521-523 and cognitive functioning, 79 82 and concept acquisition, 521-523 organized behavior in, 79 spoken, 'natural speed rendition of,' 78 and thought 539-540 Language learning ability, of adults, 218 of cluidsen, 218 Language retardation 184-186 aspects of adult child communication in, 185 and lower class parents, 185 Law of Effect 471 Law of Frequency (see Frequency) Learning, 370-372 anchoting ideas in, 133-142 attitudinal effects on 391 conceptual 43-44 discovery, 54-55 effects of anxiety on 405-407 effect of frequency on, 287 chultonuicht, 8 experiences, 8 Gestale formulation of 284 group and social factors in 416-448 Guthman contiguity single trial ' model of, 284 incremental conception of, 286 influence of rewards on \$79 entrasic mousation for, 445 meaningful 45-47, 50-51 motivational factors in, 363-393 motivational orientation to, 395-401 nature of, 20 nonfrequency conceptions of, 284 outcomes. 275, 473 paned associate, 41, 286-288 personality factors in, 394-415 premature, 181-183 principles of, 5, 10 problems, 8 properties of 8 propositional, 43-14, 51-55 and punishment, 380, 381 reception discovery dimension of, 301 representational, 42, 47-51 set, 313-315

Learning (cont) subordinate, 53 subsumptive, 52 superordinate, 53 symbolic, in cognitive structure, 136 theoretical developments in psychology of, 284 theories of, 12 theories of versus theories of teaching 11-14 types of, 20-26 vocabulary, 48-50 whole versus part, 305-307 See also Anchoring ideas, Anxiety Dis covery learning, Frequency, Gestalt theory, Meaningful learning Motiva tion Learning by discovery, 467~504 and conservation of memory, 496 consideration of time and cost in. 481 educational limitations of, 473-497 historical antecedents of, 467-471 and problem solving, 483-484 and programmed instruction 493 psychological limitations of 473-497 subverbal awareness in, 477 and verbalization, 477-480, 501 See also Discovery, Discovery learning Discovery method, Programmed in struction: Problem solving Learning processes, meaningful, 108~109 rote, 109-110 Learning set, as condition of practice, 314 affects of, 144 problems, transfer in, 138-139, 312 warm up aspects of, 314 'Learning shock," 105 Learning tasks, components of, 58 difficulty of, 325 internal logic of, 328 internalizing of, 58 potential meaningful, 46, 107 sequential arrangement of, 159 simplification of, 311 relevance of, 10 Learning variables, affective social, 27 classification of, 26-27 cognitive, 27 cognitive structure, 26 intrapersonal, 26 situational, 26-27 Learning to write, copying versus tracing 535 Lecture versus discussion, 456 "Leveling" Gestalt phenomena of 97 Leveling sharpening tendencies, 171 Life adjustment ' movement, 31 Linear programming, 349

Listening accelerating rate of, 328 Logic and thought 537 Lower class children behavior of 437 values of 437 Manipulative variables 11 Massed practice (see Practice) Mathematics, University of Illinois Coin muttee, 481 Maturation, concept of 177 internal ripening theory of, 181 lack of 178 proportions of, 177 actual, 44, 45 Meaning, denotative versus connotative 62-64 logical 44-45 and meaningful learning, 37-82 mediational theory of 63-64 nature of, 37-45 ncobehavioristic theory of, 62-67 phenomenological 44-45 potential, 44 propositional, 66-67 psychological, 40 44-45 relationship of to meaningfulness, 37 selective emergence of, 106 of a sign, 62 theories of, 37 versus meaningfulness, 46-47 Meaningful learning, and acquisition of knowledge, 58-62 assimulation theory in, 114 cognitive development in, 175 cognitive structure in, 128 condition of, 37-41 developmental aspect to, 204 development readiness in, 175 effects of cognitive variables on, 370 effect of repetition on, 280 cyidence of, 110, 111 goal of, 46 learning and retention outcomes in, 108 and meaning, 37-82 and problem solving methods, 25 relationship of meaning to, 42 and renew, 281 and rote laboratory learning 446 seeking evidence of, 111 short term studies in, 133 significance of in acquired knowledge, 58-62 subsumptive mode of, 54 superiority of, 111-115 and transfer, 128 types of, 42-44 use of automated teaching devices in 146 { Meaningful learning (cont ) versus learning of meaningful material 45-17 versus rote learning 24-26 107-115 316 121-323 versus rote learning and retention 277 278 See also Learning Meaning Role fearm Meaningful learning and retention effects ol attitude on \$89-393 effects of intention on 386-388 influence of 368 motivational lactors on 368 tole of cognitive structure in 127 128 role of discriminability in 142-145 See also Cognitive structure: Motivation Reteution Meaningful reception learning 59 83-123 concept assimilation as form of 511 main danger in 89 nature of 83-89 See also Reception learning Meaningful retention 98 effect of mounational namables on 374 incastlement of 99 see also Retention Meaningful vertial learning cognition ver sus perception in 52-58 relevant anchoring ideas in 158 see also inchoring ideas Meaninglumest 40 44 306 Meanings acquisition of 47-00 Measurement abuses of 568 573 chilicism of 571 of educational outcomes .68 instrument of 568 initations of 5"0-573 punciples of 262-589 purposes of 565-576 Veasurement and evaluation abuses of 570 573 and counschag 570 and curriculum 270 and guidance 570 and individualization of instruction 570 Iumitations of 570-573 incthods of 586-589 and student learning 367-569 and seading 569 Viribational hypothesis 62 Medical education [62-16] an I clinical denionstrations 168 transfer problem in 167 Vienorial reduction and concept forma Hot 94 and lorgertung 94 Flocess of 91

Memory and assimilation theory 120 Barthess shory of 120 Mental health effects of authorstarianism on 419 and responsibility of the school 411-413 Mental Inspiene 10 Mentalistic approach 63 Mentally retarded the 242 267 teaching of 267 Mubile class values encouragement of 437 Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inven tors 558 Minnesota Teacher Attitude Inventory 454 Michehavior (see Discipline School disci pluc) Modifiability problem of 245-246 Montesori schools 188 Mooney Check List 409 Motion pictures 347 Motivation 363 371-372 arousal of \$66 affiliative durse as source of \$16 aversive 378 382 383 386 448 and cognitive drive \$65 extrance 417-418 increasing classroom 393 intrinsic 446-447 intrinsic tersus extrinsic 495 and learning \$64-365 and incaninglul learning 367 optimal level of \$72 threat of failure as form of 378 See also Ego-enhancement Discovery Learning Meaningful learning Motivational influences inclusion of 368-\$70 Motor behavior 64 Motor learning 158 valural setting versus structured setting 309-312 regative instances versus positife instances 551 See also Instances vegro children scallemic retardation of, 459-410 counching of 444-445 education of 444 educational achievement of 440-441, 443-411 ego structure ol. 442 and "Higher Hornons" project 444 vegro community girls versus hove status m 442 Vegio family life antionitatian nature of, 433 low level of 438 vegro teachers training of, 410

## Index

Neobehaviorismi, 62-67 Nonincremental theories, versus increment tal theories, 286-289 Nonpromotion, 265, 272 Nonverbal discovery and meaning, 476-477 Normality, limits of, 412 NSTA Curriculum Committee, 337 345 'Number anxiety,' 38 'Number shock." 38 Objective tests, 583-585 See also Test scores, Testing, Tests Objectivity of thought, age level trends in, 541-546 Obliterative assimulation, advantages of, 94 for cognitive functioning 94 as a reduction phenomenon, 94 resistance to, 107 reversed, 95 Organizers, 143-144, 149, 157 for elementary pupils, 335 "expository," 137, 148 facilitating effect of, 154 function of, 148 integrative, 333 perceptual, 332-333 in textual material, 355 use of, 118-149, 358 use of cognitive structure in, 136 versus intra material organization, 331 versus overviews, 330-331 See also Advance organizers Overachievement, 257-258 Overlearning 145-141, 159-160 Overtness, 297-300 Overviews versus organizers, 330-331 Pacing, 327-328 Parred associates 314 faired associate learning 41 Paranatal brain injury, 212 Part method versus whole method, 306-307 Pedagogic intesponsibility, 54 Peer culture, conformity, aspects of, 425-428 Peer group, in adult youth alienation, 431-134 desatellizing influence of, 424 nomus. 430 tole of in adult youth aftenation, 431-434 as socializing agency, 423 values of, 454 Personality development, 10 effects of competition on, 421 and responsibility of the school, 411-413 Personality maladjustment, and school achievement, 410 teachers responsibility in, 413-415 Phonemes, 69 Physical Science Study Committee (P.S.S.C.) 140 150 492 Positive instances versus negative instances 531-532 Practice, 273-319 condition of, 314 differential 308 distribution of, 291-295 effect of in learning 273 general condition of 309-315 and guidance \$04 meidental, 276 milluence of on cognitive structure, 273-971 massed, 201 205 method, 293-309 in natural settings 310 problem of chinical 167 and positive transfer, 314 progressivists, views of 276 schedules, differential 307-309 structured, 276, 309 task heterogeneity in, 312 trials, 295 Preconceptions, problem of, 336 tailornig otganizers to, 336 Premature learning, 181-183 Problem solving, alternative views on, 540-541 atixicty in, 549 approach, age level trends in, 544 cognitive structure in, 538-539 cognitive style in, 548 and concept assimilation, 512 and concept formation, 512 and creativity, 533-562 developmental changes in, 511-546 Dewey's cuephasis on, 405 in discovery leatning, 463-470 533 effects of guidance on, 503 factors influencing, 516-549 flexibility regulity in, 174 Gestalt formulation of, 511 heuristics ol, 550 inductive, 103 msightful approach in, 534-537, 542 intrapersonal factors in, 548 logic and thought in, 537-538 meaningful, 555 and meaningful discovery learning, 535 methods, 25 nature of, 534-534 negative transfer (n, 539 positive transfer in, 550

Problem solving (coni ) preparatory phases of, 541 process, 54 process versus product, 535-537 role of cognitive structure in 533-559 short term studies in, 155 skills, trainability of, 549 skills, transferability of, 550 solution phases of 541 stages of, 541 strategies of, 541 techniques, 475 training in 551 549 transferability in, 534. 547 trial and error approach to, 534-535, 542-544 types of thinking in, 538 and use of concepts 513 verticitization in 478 Problem solving ability, age level trends in 543-544 development of, 485, 547 at goal of education, 481-488 intelligence in, 548 variances in. 551 Programmed instruction, 147. 263-264. 317. 343, 348-349 421 advantages of, 158 and discovery learning, 493 in electrocardiography 359 in elementary arithmetic, 359 summary evaluation of, 348 Programmed learning 503 Programmed textbooks 147 545 Progressive differentiation, 154 principle of, 103, 153 in programming of subject matter 154 Prompting 301-305 Propositional learning 43-44 51-55 Propositions background, 55 meaningful 45 potentially incaningful 52, 57 problem setting, 55 subordinate 53 substrate 54 superordulate 53 Psychoanalytic theory, 122 Psychological meaning emergence of 45 idiosytichratic mature of, 45 See also Meaning Psychological principles, 6, 7 Psychology role of in education, 4-9 versus educational psychology, 8, 9 Punishment Reward, 379-384 Pupil behavior (see Discipline, School disci (mag Racial factors in education, 435-445

682

Rates of growth, individual, 237-241 Readiness, 269-271 age of, 177, 179 age of reading, 179 concept of, 177, 183 as function of general cognitive maturity 176 as function of learning process, 177 general changes in, 176 "internal ripening" thesis of, 178 and intuitive learning, 182 levels of, 182 and maturation, 177 nature of, 175-190 need for research on cognitive aspects of. 190 pedagogic problem of 179 principles 179-183 quality of education as determinate of, 178 Reading accelerating rate of, 328 phonetic sersus wholistic methods of teaching 70-72 Reality conceptual, 507 experienced, 505 physical, 507 representation of, 506 Recall of words \$34 Recapitulation versus recitation, 206-207 Reception learning, 55, 82, 84, 296, 302, 497 distinguishing attaibutes of, 84 meaningful, 533 prompting in, 302 as a rote phenomenon, 84 versus discovery learning, 22-24 527 versus guided discovery, 303 Recitation effectiveness of, 296 and meaningful learning and retention. 207 and rote leatning, 297 versus recapitulation, 296-297 serius verbatim recall, 305 Rehearsal 293 Reinforcement and drive reduction, 384-390 Relevant information versus irrelevant information, 532 Reliability and test strength, 580 Remaiscence, phenomenon of, 98, 105 Repetition. "feedback" role of, 285 importance pl. 289 role of, 277 senutinng effects of, 283 Representation, problem of, 64-65 Representational equivalence, 48-49 Representational learning, 42, 48-51, 65 Representational mediation process, 62 Representational symbols, 522, 526

Resemblance parent child 249 ----0 sibling and twin .50-.51 Response 298-299 constructed 301 covertness of 207-208 nature of 297-305 overt 298 Response set 406 Responses competing 300 Retention 111 1f5 372-374 391-393 assumilation theory of 117 effects of attitude structure on 391 and forgetting 115-123 meaningful versus rote 114-115 incasurcincut of 577 short term studies in 133 test 28f 282 Retention style 175 Retroactive interference f17 behavioristic mechanisms in 115 decreases of 116 increases of 116 Review carly 281-\_83 delayed 281 283 by testing 292 Revised Stanford Binet Scale 241 Reward and punishment \$79-384 Rote forgetting 99 Rote memory retroactive interference in Rote learning negative transfer in 138 paired associate 145 and retention major goals of 110 retention span for 109 serial 145 tasks 109 theory 10 A versus meaningful learning 24 26, 107 115, 316 321 323 Rote memorization 111 reliance of overachiever on 495 Rote verbal learning 46 51 Satellization versus nonsatellization 395 401 and effects on achievement motivation 397 398 and effects on school achievement 400-401 and effects on value assimilation 398 400 Schemanzing jest 171 School boys versus girls adjustment to 434-435 desegregation of 443 feminine values in 434

social class bias of 437

School achievement effects of anxiety on 407-408 and personality adjustment 409-410 and sociocconomic status 485 School discipline 457-458 value preferences in 458 American approach to 458 and authoritarianism 463 and permissiveness 163 problem of 457-458 See also Discipline School learning and anxiety 401 and intellectual development 186-187 negative transfer in 143 School responsibility in mental health 411-413 in personality development 411-413 primary 411-413 \$54-855 Science basic versus applied curriculum 355 487 rate of obsolescence in 489 teaching conceptual schemes approach to \$\$7-\$38 Scientific inquiry general principles of 212 Scottish Council for Research in Education 255 Second language learning audiolingual ap proach to 75 audiolingual methods in 73 avoidance of the native language in 74 direct reading in 77 grammatical generalization in 77 pattern practice drills 76 rote learning of phrases in 75 Secondary abstractions 198 200 201 Set and contiguity 532 Sequence of chunks 59 see also Chunking Sequential learning organized 158-159 transfer versus direct practice in 289-291 Sex influence of on concept acquisition 580 532 Sex differences 241 243 and community action 444 and counseling 444-445 and desegregation 443 444 and implications for education 442-440 Sharpening Gestalt phenomena of 97 Skinnerian linear programming technique \$49 Slow learner versus fast learner 107 Social class differences 251 254 Social class stratification and education 435-438 Social sex role and the school 434-435 Speech behavior 522 Spiral curriculum 209

Spoken language "natural speed rendition" of. 78 Stanford Binet Test, 234, 234 Step size, 326-327 Straw man technique, 85 Structural settings versus natural settings 309-312 Subsumption, consequences of obliterative 100-101 correlative 52 100 102 dennative 52, 100-102 Santax, 67-69 Task factors, influence on problem volving 517-548 Task size in automated instruction 323 and meaningful versus rote learning 321 and part method sersus whole method 421 affects of on learning efficiency, 326 of traching machines, 325 Frachet abaracteristics 449-464 Teacher personality pupil response to 433-454 warmth of, 454 Teachers cognitive abilities of 451-453 personality characteristics of 454-455 roles of 150-151 teaching Usle of 455-437 Teaching, automated 142 basic puncinles of, 13 expository (ice Expository teaching) methods of 4, 5 20 manute of 4 principles of 6 13 'rules' of 5 techniques of, 5 diconce of versus theories of lestning 11-14 Teaching inachine, 345 advantages of, 158 diminutive step size approach of 371 programs 358-359 training \$59 Test scores achievement, 574 585-586 and concurrent validity 575 and construct valuery, 575 distribution of 582 avends in themselves 571 antelligence 374 and predicine validity 575 prediture value of, 574 scholastic appliade, 575 validity of 580 See also Evaluation, Examination, Intel ligence test scores. Measurement

Testing, toefficient of stability in, 579 criticismy of, 581-585 Testing (cont) discriminating power in 582 discussion service essay questions in, 577-588 feasibility in, 582-583 and Halo effect, 588-589 lumitations of standardized 585 problem solving in. 576 programmed instruction approach to, 576 reliability in 578-581 representativeness in, 581 582 requirements in, 573-583 validus of 574-578 work samples in, 588 Tests, achievement, 537, 571-575 concept manufity, 232 Cooperative Biology 578 of creativity, 580 and culturally disadvantaged 580 differential aptituile, 261 cmay 585 of sistelligence 580 and Kuder Richardson Formulas, 579 length of, 580 multiple choice, 585 norms of standardized, 586 olnective, 571, 584-585 primary mental abilities, 248 problem solving, 585 reliability of, 578-581 requirements of effective, 575 retention, 577 and school marks, 571-572, 575 and Spearman Brown correction formula, 579 standardized 571-572 standardized-objective, 583-585 "Hansfer Jelention,' 577 validity of, 574 Textbook of Pathology, 154 Textbooks, deficiencies ascribed to, 344 theoretical bias in, 341 Theories of learning versus theories of teaching, 11-14 Thinking, ability, training programs in, 550 convergent, 538 creative, Torrances definition of, 553 Dewey's account of stages in, 541 desergent, 538, 553-554 Gestalt theories of, 540 and inught, 540 neobchavioristic theories of, 540 qualitative changes in, 542 quantitative changes in, 542 teaching of critical, 551 type) of 538 See also Thought

Threshold of availability 97 39 374 3x+ and dissociability strength 101 fluctuations in 101 lowering of 10a taising of 102 remaissance 101-106 temporarily elevated 93-101 Thought age level trends in objectivity of 544 aud language 53,3-540 tole of language m 540 and logic 537 See also Thinking Transfer approach to enlancement of 107-363 and consclidation 153-160 facilitating effect of setbalization in If) 170 Formal descipline" theory of 162-161 "Generalization theory of 152 and identical elements 161 and integrative reconciliation 155-158 negative in cognitive structure 533 ingative in problem solving 553 non speake 129 pedagogie facilitation of 117-162 positive 314 problem of in medical education 165 166 in problem solving 188 and progressive differentiation 152-155 role of in education 161-169 and sequential organization 158-159 subscibal awareness key to 477 and task factors 517 Icst, 503 Transposition' theory of 162 Transferability and discovery 473 in problem solving 554 of problem solving skills 550 of subscribal insight 480

Franslerability (cont) and aerbalization 479 Yee also Transfer Jumu Plan .62 Linkrachesement 57 2.8 t mucruty of Illinois Computtee on School Mathematics (L 1 C S M ) 140 481 492-413 419 501 Validity of achievement tests 576-578 concurrent 575 construct of o predictive 575 578 of test scotes 500 See also Evaluation Examinations Mea surement fest Scores Testing Test Variables (see Leathing variables) Verbal manuction techniques of 84 Verbal pre-training facilitating effect of 135 Cibafuration 82 477-480 501 Verhating recall versus relotinulated re-MODEL SO2 Vocabulary featning as meaningful leatn nug 43-51 types of 18-10 Vocational aspirations Negro versus white 411-412 Wethsler Bellevue test 232 Wethder Intelligence Scale for Children 241 Whole tuethod versus part method 306-307 Word meanings acquisition of 67 learning of 62-66 Words denotative meanings of 70 s)ntactic functions of 70

Acigatuik effect 503